

# Noether resolutions in dimension 2

Ignacio García Marco

Ecole Normale Supérieure de Lyon

Joint work with:

**I. Bermejo, E. García Llorente and M. Morales**

# Framework

$R := K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  a **polynomial ring** over an infinite field  $K$ .

A vector  $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n$  induces a **grading** in  $R$  by assigning  $\deg_\omega(x_i) := \omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then,  $R = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{N}} R_s$ .

# Framework

$R := K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  a **polynomial ring** over an infinite field  $K$ .

A vector  $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^n$  induces a **grading** in  $R$  by assigning  $\deg_\omega(x_i) := \omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Then,  $R = \bigoplus_{s \in \mathbb{N}} R_s$ .

Let  $I \subset R$  be a  **$\omega$ -homogeneous ideal**.

The ideal  $I = (f_1, f_2) \subset K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$  with

- $f_1 := x_1^2 - 2x_2x_3 + x_3^3$
- $f_2 := x_2^2 + 3x_3^4$

is  $\omega$ -homogeneous for  $\omega = (3, 4, 2) \in (\mathbb{Z}^+)^3$ .

# Framework

A common aim is to try to describe a **minimal  $\omega$ -graded free resolution of  $R/I$  as  $R$ -module**

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta_p} R(-e_{pj}) \xrightarrow{\phi_p} \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta_0} R(-e_{0j}) \xrightarrow{\phi_0} R/I \rightarrow 0$$

# Framework

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta_p} R(-e_{pj}) \xrightarrow{\phi_p} \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta_0} R(-e_{0j}) \xrightarrow{\phi_0} R/I \rightarrow 0$$

The **projective dimension** of  $R/I$  as  $R$ -module is  $pd_R(R/I) = p$ .

# Framework

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta_p} R(-e_{pj}) \xrightarrow{\phi_p} \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta_0} R(-e_{0j}) \xrightarrow{\phi_0} R/I \rightarrow 0$$

The **projective dimension** of  $R/I$  as  $R$ -module is  $\text{pd}_R(R/I) = p$ .

We say that  $R/I$  is **Cohen-Macaulay** if  $\text{pd}_R(R/I) = n - \dim(R/I)$ .

# Framework

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta_p} R(-e_{pj}) \xrightarrow{\phi_p} \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta_0} R(-e_{0j}) \xrightarrow{\phi_0} R/I \rightarrow 0$$

The  $\omega$ -**weighted Hilbert series** of  $R/I$  is

$$HS_{R/I}(t) := \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} [\dim_K(R_s) - \dim_K(I \cap R_s)] t^s$$

# Framework

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta_p} R(-e_{pj}) \xrightarrow{\phi_p} \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta_0} R(-e_{0j}) \xrightarrow{\phi_0} R/I \rightarrow 0$$

The  $\omega$ -**weighted Hilbert series** of  $R/I$  is

$$\begin{aligned} HS_{R/I}(t) &:= \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} [\dim_K(R_s) - \dim_K(I \cap R_s)] t^s \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i,j} (-1)^i t^{e_{i,j}}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t^{\omega_i})} \end{aligned}$$



# Framework

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta_p} R(-\mathbf{e}_{pj}) \xrightarrow{\phi_p} \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta_0} R(-\mathbf{e}_{0j}) \xrightarrow{\phi_0} R/I \rightarrow 0$$

The  $\omega$ -**weighted Hilbert series** of  $R/I$  is

$$\begin{aligned} HS_{R/I}(t) &:= \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}} [\dim_K(R_s) - \dim_K(I \cap R_s)] t^s \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i,j} (-1)^i t^{\mathbf{e}_{i,j}}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1 - t^{\omega_i})} \end{aligned}$$

If  $\omega = (1, \dots, 1)$ , the **Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity** of  $R/I$  is

$$\text{reg}(R/I) := \max\{\mathbf{e}_{ij} - i; 0 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq \beta_i\}.$$

## Today's framework

Let  $d := \dim(R/I)$  and set  $A := K[x_{n-d+1}, \dots, x_n]$ .

We assume that  $A \hookrightarrow R/I$  is a **Noether normalization**, i.e., an integral ring extension

# Today's framework

Let  $d := \dim(R/I)$  and set  $A := K[x_{n-d+1}, \dots, x_n]$ .

We assume that  $A \hookrightarrow R/I$  is a **Noether normalization**, i.e., an integral ring extension  $\implies R/I$  is a **finitely generated  $A$ -module**.

Hence, it makes sense to study its **minimal  $\omega$ -graded resolution as  $A$ -module** (the **Noether resolution**).

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\gamma_p} A(-s_{pj}) \xrightarrow{\psi_p} \cdots \xrightarrow{\psi_1} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\gamma_0} A(-s_{0j}) \xrightarrow{\psi_0} R/I \longrightarrow 0$$

# Today's framework

Let  $d := \dim(R/I)$  and set  $A := K[x_{n-d+1}, \dots, x_n]$ .

We assume that  $A \hookrightarrow R/I$  is a **Noether normalization**, i.e., an integral ring extension  $\implies R/I$  is a **finitely generated  $A$ -module**.

Hence, it makes sense to study its **minimal  $\omega$ -graded resolution as  $A$ -module** (the **Noether resolution**).

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\gamma_p} A(-s_{pj}) \xrightarrow{\psi_p} \cdots \xrightarrow{\psi_1} \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\gamma_0} A(-s_{0j}) \xrightarrow{\psi_0} R/I \longrightarrow 0$$

The **projective dimension** of  $R/I$  as  $A$ -module is  $pd_A(R/I) := p$ .

In general,  $0 \leq p < d$ .

## Proposition

①  $\mathrm{pd}_A(R/I) = \mathrm{pd}_R(R/I) + d - n.$

## Proposition

①  $\text{pd}_A(R/I) = \text{pd}_R(R/I) + d - n.$

*In particular, the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  *$R/I$  is Cohen-Macaulay*
- (b)  *$\text{pd}_A(R/I) = 0$*
- (c)  *$R/I$  is a free  $A$ -module*

## Proposition

$$\textcircled{1} \quad \text{pd}_A(R/I) = \text{pd}_R(R/I) + d - n.$$

*In particular, the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $R/I$  is Cohen-Macaulay
- (b)  $\text{pd}_A(R/I) = 0$
- (c)  $R/I$  is a free  $A$ -module

$$\textcircled{2} \quad HS_{R/I}(t) = \frac{\sum_{i,j} (-1)^i t^{s_{ij}}}{\prod_{i=n-d+1}^n (1 - t^{\omega_i})}$$

## Proposition

①  $\text{pd}_A(R/I) = \text{pd}_R(R/I) + d - n.$

*In particular, the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $R/I$  is Cohen-Macaulay
- (b)  $\text{pd}_A(R/I) = 0$
- (c)  $R/I$  is a free  $A$ -module

② 
$$HS_{R/I}(t) = \frac{\sum_{i,j} (-1)^i t^{s_{ij}}}{\prod_{i=n-d+1}^n (1 - t^{\omega_i})}$$

③ *If  $\omega = (1, \dots, 1)$ , then*

$$\text{reg}(R/I) = \max\{s_{ij} - i; 0 \leq i \leq \text{pd}_A(R/I), 1 \leq j \leq \gamma_i\}.$$



# The tool: Gröbner bases

We consider the  $\omega$ -weighted degree reverse lexicographic order  $>_{\omega}$ , defined as follows:

For  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , we have that  $x^{\alpha} >_{\omega} x^{\beta}$  iff

- $\deg_{\omega}(x^{\alpha}) > \deg_{\omega}(x^{\beta})$ , or
- $\deg_{\omega}(x^{\alpha}) = \deg_{\omega}(x^{\beta})$  and the last nonzero entry of  $\alpha - \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is negative.

## Notation:

- For  $J \subset R$  ideal,  $\text{in}(J)$  denotes its **initial ideal (w.r.t.  $>_{\omega}$ )**.

## First step of the Noether resolution

Let  $\mathcal{B}_0$  be **the set of monomials NOT belonging** to  $\text{in}(I) + (x_{n-d+1}, \dots, x_n)$

# First step of the Noether resolution

Let  $\mathcal{B}_0$  be the **set of monomials NOT belonging** to  $\text{in}(I) + (x_{n-d+1}, \dots, x_n)$

## Proposition

The set

$$\{x^\alpha + I \mid x^\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_0\} \subset R/I$$

is a *minimal set of generators of  $R/I$  as  $A$ -module*.

Hence, the *shifts* of the first step of the Noether resolution are given by  $\deg_\omega(x^\alpha)$  with  $x^\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_0$ .

# First step of the Noether resolution

Let  $\mathcal{B}_0$  be the **set of monomials NOT belonging** to  $\text{in}(I) + (x_{n-d+1}, \dots, x_n)$

## Proposition

The set

$$\{x^\alpha + I \mid x^\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_0\} \subset R/I$$

is a *minimal set of generators of  $R/I$  as  $A$ -module*.

Hence, the *shifts* of the first step of the Noether resolution are given by  $\deg_\omega(x^\alpha)$  with  $x^\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_0$ .

Indeed, the *first step of the Noether resolution* is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi_0 : \bigoplus_{v \in \mathcal{B}_0} A(-\deg_\omega(v)) & \longrightarrow & R/I \\ e_v & \mapsto & v + I. \end{array}$$

# Cohen-Macaulay criterion

## Proposition

$R/I$  is **Cohen-Macaulay** if and only if  $x_{n-d+1}, \dots, x_n$  do not divide any minimal generator of  $\operatorname{in}(I)$ .

This result (slightly) generalizes [Bermejo & Gimenez (2001)].

Whenever  $R/I$  is **Cohen-Macaulay**, the result in the previous slide provides the whole Noether resolution.

## $R/I$ is 2-dimensional

We work under the following hypotheses:

- $d = \dim(R/I) = 2$ ,
- $A = K[x_{n-1}, x_n]$  is a **Noether normalization** of  $R/I$ , and
- $x_n$  is a **nonzero divisor** of  $R/I$  (or  $I$  saturated).

## $R/I$ is 2-dimensional

We work under the following hypotheses:

- $d = \dim(R/I) = 2$ ,
- $A = K[x_{n-1}, x_n]$  is a **Noether normalization** of  $R/I$ , and
- $x_n$  is a **nonzero divisor** of  $R/I$  (or  $I$  saturated).

Consider the evaluation map

$$\begin{aligned}\chi: R &\longrightarrow R \\ x_i &\mapsto 1 \text{ for } i \in \{n-1, n\}.\end{aligned}$$

### Theorem

Let  $J := \chi(\text{in}(I)) \cdot R$  and set  $\mathcal{B}_1 := \mathcal{B}_0 \cap J$ .

The **shifts** of the **second step** of the **Noether resolution** are

$\deg_\omega(ux_{n-1}^{\delta_u})$ , where  $u \in \mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\delta_u := \min\{\delta \mid ux_{n-1}^\delta \in \text{in}(I)\}$ .

## Corollary

$$HS_{R/I}(t) = \frac{\sum_{v \in \mathcal{B}_0} t^{\deg_{\omega}(v)} - \sum_{u \in \mathcal{B}_1} t^{\deg_{\omega}(u) + \delta_u \omega_{n-1}}}{(1 - t^{\omega_{n-1}})(1 - t^{\omega_n})}$$

## Corollary (Bermejo-Gimenez (1999))

*If  $\omega = (1, \dots, 1)$ , then:*

$$\operatorname{reg}(R/I) = \max(\{\deg(v) \mid v \in \mathcal{B}_0\} \cup \{\deg(u) + \delta_u - 1 \mid u \in \mathcal{B}_1\})$$



## A worked out example

Let  $I = I(\Gamma)$  be the ideal of **the surface**  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{A}_K^4$  defined as

$$\Gamma := \{(s^3 + s^2t, t^4 + st^3, s^2, t^2) \in \mathbb{A}_K^4 \mid s, t \in K\}$$

## A worked out example

Let  $I = I(\Gamma)$  be the ideal of **the surface**  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{A}_K^4$  defined as

$$\Gamma := \{(s^3 + s^2t, t^4 + st^3, s^2, t^2) \in \mathbb{A}_K^4 \mid s, t \in K\}$$

If  $\text{ch}(K) = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4\}$  is a Gröbner basis of  $I$  w.r.t.  $>_\omega$  with  $\omega = (3, 4, 2, 2)$ , where

- $g_1 := 2x_2x_3^2 - x_1^2x_4 + x_3^3x_4 - x_3^2x_4^2$
- $g_2 := x_1^4 - 2x_1^2x_3^3 + x_3^6 - 2x_1^2x_3^2x_4 - 2x_3^5x_4 + x_3^4x_4^2$
- $g_3 := x_2^2 - 2x_2x_4^2 - x_3x_4^3 + x_4^4$
- $g_4 := 2x_1^2x_2 - x_1^2x_3x_4 + x_3^4x_4 - 3x_1^2x_4^2 - 2x_3^3x_4^2 + x_3^2x_4^3.$

Hence,  $\text{in}(I) = (x_2x_3^2, x_1^4, x_2^2, x_1^2x_2)$

## A worked out example

Let  $I = I(\Gamma)$  be the ideal of **the surface**  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{A}_K^4$  defined as

$$\Gamma := \{(s^3 + s^2t, t^4 + st^3, s^2, t^2) \in \mathbb{A}_K^4 \mid s, t \in K\}$$

If  $\text{ch}(K) = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4\}$  is a Gröbner basis of  $I$  w.r.t.  $>_\omega$  with  $\omega = (3, 4, 2, 2)$ , where

- $g_1 := 2x_2x_3^2 - x_1^2x_4 + x_3^3x_4 - x_3^2x_4^2$
- $g_2 := x_1^4 - 2x_1^2x_3^3 + x_3^6 - 2x_1^2x_3^2x_4 - 2x_3^5x_4 + x_3^4x_4^2$
- $g_3 := x_2^2 - 2x_2x_4^2 - x_3x_4^3 + x_4^4$
- $g_4 := 2x_1^2x_2 - x_1^2x_3x_4 + x_3^4x_4 - 3x_1^2x_4^2 - 2x_3^3x_4^2 + x_3^2x_4^3.$

Hence, in  $(I) = (x_2x_3^2, x_1^4, x_2^2, x_1^2x_2) \implies R/I$  is **not C-M**.

$$\text{in}(I) = (\textcolor{red}{x}_2 \textcolor{red}{x}_3^2, x_1^4, x_2^2, x_1^2 x_2) \Rightarrow \text{in}(I) + (x_3, x_4) = (x_1^4, x_2^2, x_1^2 x_2, x_3, x_4)$$

•  $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6\}$  with

$$u_1 := 1, u_2 := x_1, u_3 := x_2, u_4 := x_1^2, u_5 := x_1 x_2, u_6 := x_1^3.$$

$$\operatorname{in}(I) = (\color{red}{x_2 x_3^2}, x_1^4, x_2^2, x_1^2 x_2) \Rightarrow \operatorname{in}(I) + (x_3, x_4) = (x_1^4, x_2^2, x_1^2 x_2, x_3, x_4)$$

•  $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6\}$  with

$$u_1 := 1, u_2 := x_1, u_3 := x_2, u_4 := x_1^2, u_5 := x_1 x_2, u_6 := x_1^3.$$

The **first step of the Noether resolution is:**

$$\psi_0 : \begin{array}{c} A \oplus A(-3) \oplus A(-4) \oplus \\ A(-6) \oplus A(-7) \oplus A(-9) \end{array} \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0,$$

induced by  $e_i \mapsto u_i + I$ .

$$\text{in}(I) = (\underline{x_2 x_3^2}, x_1^4, x_2^2, x_1^2 x_2) \Rightarrow \text{in}(I) + (x_3, x_4) = (x_1^4, x_2^2, x_1^2 x_2, x_3, x_4)$$

- $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6\}$  with

$$u_1 := 1, u_2 := x_1, u_3 := x_2, u_4 := x_1^2, u_5 := x_1 x_2, u_6 := x_1^3.$$

The **first step of the Noether resolution is:**

$$\psi_0 : \begin{array}{c} A \oplus A(-3) \oplus A(-4) \oplus \\ A(-6) \oplus A(-7) \oplus A(-9) \end{array} \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0,$$

induced by  $e_i \mapsto u_i + I$ .

Now we have that:

- $J = \chi(\text{in}(I)) = (x_1^4, x_2)$ , and
- $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}_0 \cap J = \{x_2\} = \{u_3\}$ .

We compute  $\delta_3 = \min\{\delta \mid u_3 x_3^\delta \in \text{in}(I)\} = 2$ , and observe that  $\deg_\omega(u_3 x_3^2) = 8$ .

Hence, the **Noether resolution** is given by:

$$0 \rightarrow A(-8) \xrightarrow{\psi_1} \begin{matrix} A \oplus A(-3) \oplus A(-4) \oplus \\ \oplus A(-6) \oplus A(-7) \oplus A(-9) \end{matrix} \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0,$$

Hence, the **Noether resolution** is given by:

$$0 \rightarrow A(-8) \xrightarrow{\psi_1} \begin{matrix} A \oplus A(-3) \oplus A(-4) \oplus \\ \oplus A(-6) \oplus A(-7) \oplus A(-9) \end{matrix} \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0,$$

Moreover, the **remainder of the division** of  $x_3^2 u_3$  by  $\mathcal{G}$  is:

$$-x_4 u_4 + (x_3^3 x_4 - x_3^2 x_4^2) u_1.$$

Thus,  $\psi_1$  is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} -x_3^3 x_4 + x_3^2 x_4^2 \\ 0 \\ x_3^2 \\ x_4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



Since the Noether resolution is:

$$0 \rightarrow A(-8) \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} A \oplus A(-3) \oplus A(-4) \oplus \\ \oplus A(-6) \oplus A(-7) \oplus A(-9) \end{array} \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0,$$

Then, the  $\omega$ -weighted Hilbert series of  $R/I$  is

$$HS_{R/I}(t) = \frac{1 + t^3 + t^4 + t^6 + t^7 - t^8 + t^9}{(1 - t^2)^2}.$$

# Simplicial semigroup rings

For  $\mathcal{S} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \subset \mathbb{N}^d$  a **finitely generated semigroup**, we consider the **semigroup ring**  $K[\mathcal{S}] := K[t^s \mid s \in \mathcal{S}] \subset K[t_1, \dots, t_d]$ .

# Simplicial semigroup rings

For  $\mathcal{S} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \subset \mathbb{N}^d$  a **finitely generated semigroup**, we consider the **semigroup ring**  $K[\mathcal{S}] := K[t^s \mid s \in \mathcal{S}] \subset K[t_1, \dots, t_d]$ .

We have that  $K[\mathcal{S}] \simeq R/I_{\mathcal{S}}$  with  $I_{\mathcal{S}} = \text{Ker}(\varphi)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi: R &\rightarrow K[t_1, \dots, t_d] \\ x_i &\mapsto t^{a_i} = t_1^{a_{i1}} \cdots t_d^{a_{id}} \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $I_{\mathcal{S}}$  is  **$\mathcal{S}$ -graded**, i.e., multigraded with respect to the grading  $\deg_{\mathcal{S}}(x_i) = a_i \in \mathbb{N}^m$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

# Simplicial semigroup rings

For  $\mathcal{S} = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \subset \mathbb{N}^d$  a **finitely generated semigroup**, we consider the **semigroup ring**  $K[\mathcal{S}] := K[t^s \mid s \in \mathcal{S}] \subset K[t_1, \dots, t_d]$ .

We have that  $K[\mathcal{S}] \simeq R/I_{\mathcal{S}}$  with  $I_{\mathcal{S}} = \text{Ker}(\varphi)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi: R &\rightarrow K[t_1, \dots, t_d] \\ x_i &\mapsto t^{a_i} = t_1^{a_{i1}} \cdots t_d^{a_{id}} \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $I_{\mathcal{S}}$  is  **$\mathcal{S}$ -graded**, i.e., multigraded with respect to the grading  $\deg_{\mathcal{S}}(x_i) = a_i \in \mathbb{N}^m$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

We also assume that  $A = K[x_{n-d+1}, \dots, x_n] \hookrightarrow R/I_{\mathcal{S}}$  is a **Noether normalization** (this is equivalent to  $\mathcal{S}$  **simplicial semigroup**).

In this setting we may consider a  **$\mathcal{S}$ -graded Noether resolution** of  $K[\mathcal{S}]$ , i.e., a **minimal multigraded free resolution** of  $K[\mathcal{S}]$  as  **$A$ -module**:

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{S}_p} A \cdot s \xrightarrow{\psi_p} \cdots \xrightarrow{\psi_1} \bigoplus_{s \in \mathcal{S}_0} A \cdot s \xrightarrow{\psi_0} K[\mathcal{S}] \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{S}_i \subset \mathcal{S}$  for all  $i \in \{0, \dots, p\}$  and  $A \cdot s$  denotes the shifting of  $A$  by  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ .

# First step of the multigraded Noether resolution

The **first step of this resolution** corresponds to a **minimal set of generators** of  $K[\mathcal{S}]$  as  $A$ -module and is given by the following well known result.

## Proposition

$$\mathcal{S}_0 = \{s \in \mathcal{S} \mid s - a_i \notin \mathcal{S} \text{ for all } i \in \{n - d + 1, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi_0 : \oplus_{s \in \mathcal{S}_0} A \cdot s & \longrightarrow & K[\mathcal{S}] \\ e_s & \mapsto & t^s \end{array}$$

# Cohen-Macaulay criterion

From the previous result we derive the following one, which can be seen to be equivalent to [Goto-Suzuki-Watanabe (1976)] and [Stanley (1978)].

## Proposition

$$K[S] \text{ is } \mathbf{Cohen-Macaulay} \iff |S_0| = [\mathbb{Z}S : \mathbb{Z}S'],$$

where  $\mathbb{Z}S$  is the group generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  and  $\mathbb{Z}S'$  is the group generated by  $a_{n-d+1}, \dots, a_n$ .

$K[\mathcal{S}]$  is 2-dimensional

From now on suppose that  $K[\mathcal{S}]$  is a 2-dimensional semigroup ring. The **second step of the Noether resolution** is given by the following result.

### Theorem

$$\mathcal{S}_1 = \{s \in \mathcal{S} \mid s - a_{n-1}, s - a_n \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } s - a_n - a_{n-1} \notin \mathcal{S}\}.$$



## An application

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}_K^n$  be a **projective monomial curve**:

$$x_1 = s^{m_1} t^{m_n - m_1}, \dots, x_{n-1} = s^{m_{n-1}} t^{m_n - m_{n-1}}, x_n = s^{m_n}, x_{n+1} = t^{m_n}.$$

We set  $\mathcal{S} := \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \rangle \subset \mathbb{N}^2$  with  $a_i := (m_i, m_n - m_i)$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ ,  $a_n := (m_n, 0)$  and  $a_{n+1} := (0, m_n)$ , then

$$K[\mathcal{S}] \simeq K[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}] / I(\mathcal{C}).$$

## An application

Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}_K^n$  be a **projective monomial curve**:

$$x_1 = s^{m_1} t^{m_n - m_1}, \dots, x_{n-1} = s^{m_{n-1}} t^{m_n - m_{n-1}}, x_n = s^{m_n}, x_{n+1} = t^{m_n}.$$

We set  $\mathcal{S} := \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \rangle \subset \mathbb{N}^2$  with  $a_i := (m_i, m_n - m_i)$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ ,  $a_n := (m_n, 0)$  and  $a_{n+1} := (0, m_n)$ , then

$$K[\mathcal{S}] \simeq K[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}] / I(\mathcal{C}).$$

For  $r \in \{2, \dots, n-1\}$  one may consider the  **$r$ -th canonical projection of  $\mathcal{C}$** , i.e., the curve  $\mathcal{C}_r := \pi_r(\mathcal{C})$  where

$$\pi_r(p_1 : \dots : p_{n+1}) = (p_1 : \dots : p_{r-1} : p_{r+1} : \dots : p_{n+1})$$

We have that  $K[\mathcal{S}_r] \simeq R / I(\mathcal{C}_r)$ , where

$$\mathcal{S}_r = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}, a_{r+1}, \dots, a_{n+1} \rangle.$$

# Arithmetic sequences

## Theorem (Li-Patil-Roberts (2012))

If  $m_1 < \dots < m_n$  is an **arithmetic sequence**, i.e., there exist  $e, m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $m_i = m_1 + (i - 1)e$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then:

$$\mathcal{S}_0 = \left\{ \left( \left\lceil \frac{j}{n-1} \right\rceil m_n - je, je \right) \mid j \in \{0, \dots, m_n - 1\} \right\}$$

In particular,  $K[\mathcal{S}]$  is **Cohen-Macaulay**, and this result provides the shifts of the only step of the **multigraded Noether resolution**.

Now, we study the **Noether resolution of the canonical projections** of  $K[\mathcal{S}]$ .

## Theorem

Let  $q := \lceil (m_1 - 1)/(n - 1) \rceil$  and  $\ell := m_1 - q(n - 1)$ . If we set  $s_\lambda := \left( \left\lceil \frac{\lambda}{n-1} \right\rceil m_n - \lambda e, \lambda e \right) \in \mathbb{N}^2$  for all  $\lambda \in \{0, \dots, m_n - 1\}$ , then the **multigraded Noether resolution of  $K[S_r]$**  is given by:

- For  $m_1 \geq 2$ , then

$$0 \rightarrow \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda=0, \lambda \notin \Lambda_1}^{m_n-1} A \cdot s_\lambda \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} A \cdot (s_\lambda + a_n) \right) \rightarrow K[S_2] \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\Lambda_1 := \{\mu(n - 1) - 1 \mid 1 \leq \mu \leq q + e + \epsilon\}$ , and  $\epsilon = 1$  if  $\ell = n - 1$ , or  $\epsilon = 0$  otherwise.

- For  $r \in \{3, \dots, n - 2\}$  and  $r \leq m_1$ , then

$$0 \rightarrow \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda=0, \lambda \neq n-r}^{m_n-1} A \cdot s_\lambda \right) \oplus A \cdot (a_r + a_n) \rightarrow K[S_r] \rightarrow 0$$

- For  $r = n - 1 \leq m_1$ , then

$$0 \rightarrow \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda=0, \lambda \neq 1}^{m_n-1} A \cdot s_\lambda \right) \oplus A \cdot (a_{n-1} + (q + \epsilon)a_n + ea_{n+1}) \rightarrow K[S_{n-1}] \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\epsilon = 1$  if  $\ell = n - 1$ , or  $\epsilon = 0$  otherwise.

## Theorem (Continuation)

- For  $m_1 = 1$ , then

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} A \cdot (s_\lambda + a_n + ea_{n+1}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda=0, \lambda \notin \Lambda_2}^{m_n-1} A \cdot s_\lambda \oplus \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} A \cdot (s_\lambda + a_n) \rightarrow K[S_2] \rightarrow 0,$$

$$\oplus \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} A \cdot (s_\lambda + ea_{n+1})$$

where  $\Lambda_2 := \{\mu(n-1) - 1 \mid 1 \leq \mu \leq e\}$ .

- For  $r \in \{3, \dots, n-2\}$  and  $r > m_1$ , then

$$0 \rightarrow A \cdot (a_r + a_n + ea_{n+1}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\lambda=0, \lambda \neq n-r}^{m_n-1} A \cdot s_\lambda \oplus A \cdot (a_r + a_n) \oplus A \cdot (a_r + ea_{n+1}) \rightarrow K[S_r] \rightarrow 0.$$

- For  $r = n-1 > m_1$ , then

$$0 \rightarrow \left( \bigoplus_{\lambda=0, \lambda \neq 1}^{m_n-1} A \cdot s_\lambda \right) \oplus A \cdot (a_{n-1} + ea_{n+1}) \rightarrow K[S_{n-1}] \rightarrow 0.$$

## Corollary

$K[S_r]$  is **Cohen-Macaulay**  $\iff r \leq m_1$  or  $r = n - 1$ .

## Corollary

$$\operatorname{reg}(K[S_r]) = \begin{cases} \left\lceil \frac{m_n-1}{n-1} \right\rceil + 1 & \text{if } r \in \{2, n-1\} \text{ and } r \leq m_1, \\ 2e & \text{if } r = 2 \text{ and } m_1 = 1, \text{ and} \\ \left\lceil \frac{m_n-1}{n-1} \right\rceil & \text{if } r \in \{3, \dots, n-2\}, \text{ or} \\ & \text{if } r = n-1 \text{ and } m_1 < r \end{cases}$$

Consider the **projective curve given parametrically** by:

$$x_1 = st^6, x_2 = s^5t^2, x_4 = s^7, x_5 = t^7.$$

This corresponds to  $\mathcal{C}_2$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is associated to the arithmetic sequence  $1 < 3 < 5 < 7$  (i.e., with  $m_1 = 1$ ,  $d = 2$  and  $n = 4$ ).

The **multigraded Noether resolution** of  $K[\mathcal{S}_2]$  is

$$0 \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} A \cdot (10, 18) \\ \oplus \\ A \cdot (11, 24) \end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} A \oplus A \cdot (1, 6) \oplus A \cdot (5, 2) \oplus \\ A \cdot (2, 12) \oplus A \cdot (6, 8) \oplus \\ A \cdot (10, 4) \oplus A \cdot (3, 18) \oplus \\ A \cdot (11, 10) \oplus A \cdot (4, 24) \end{array} \rightarrow K[\mathcal{S}_2] \rightarrow 0.$$

If we consider the standard grading on  $R$ , we get:

$$0 \rightarrow A(-4) \oplus A(-5) \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} A \oplus A(-1)^2 \oplus A(-2)^3 \\ A(-3)^2 \oplus A(-4) \end{array} \rightarrow K[\mathcal{S}_2] \rightarrow 0,$$

and the following expression for the **Hilbert series** of  $K[\mathcal{S}_2]$ :

$$HS_{K[\mathcal{S}_2]}(t) = \frac{1 + 2t + 3t^2 + 2t^3 - t^5}{(1 - t)^2}.$$

We also have that  $\text{reg}(K[\mathcal{S}_2]) = 4$ .