HOW TO DEFINE THE RIESZ TRANSFORM FOR FOURIER-NEUMANN EXPANSIONS?
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1. A sketch of the general theory

Let $X$ be an open (possibly unbounded) interval on the real line, a weight $w \in C^1(X)$ with $w(x) > 0$ for all $x \in X$, and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the natural inner product in $L^2(X, w(x) \, dx)$. 
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Moreover, let $L$ be a self-adjoint second order differential operator defined initially on a suitable (and dense) subset of functions in $L^2(X, w(x) \, dx)$.

Let us assume that there is an orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in $L^2(X, w(x) \, dx)$ consisting of eigenfunctions of $L$ that correspond with the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, that is,

$$L \varphi_n = \lambda_n \varphi_n,$$

and satisfying $0 < \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \cdots$ and $\lim_n \lambda_n = +\infty$.

For a function $f \in L^2(X, w(x) \, dx)$ whose Fourier expansion is

$$f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varphi_n, \quad c_n = \langle f, \varphi_n \rangle = \int_X f(x) \overline{\varphi_n(x)} w(x) \, dx,$$

we define in a natural way (at least formally)

$$Lf = L \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varphi_n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \lambda_n \varphi_n.$$

This spectral method can be used to extend to $L^2(X, w(x) \, dx)$ many operators related to $L$. For instance, for a function $\Phi$ defined over the eigenvalues we would define the operator $\Phi(L)$ as

$$\Phi(L)f = \Phi(L) \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varphi_n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \Phi(\lambda_n) \varphi_n.$$

In particular, for $t > 0$, we define the operator $e^{-tL}$ by means of

$$e^{-tL} \varphi_n = e^{-t\lambda_n} \varphi_n$$

and, for $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varphi_n \in L^2(X, w(x) \, dx)$,

$$(e^{-tL}f)(x) = e^{-tL} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varphi_n \right)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{-t\lambda_n} \varphi_n(x).$$

(Let us notice that, here and in what follows, the derivatives of the differential operator $L$ are taken with respect to the variable $x$.) If we denote $u(x,t) = (e^{-tL}f)(x)$, the partial derivative with respect to $t$ is, formally,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x,t) = -Le^{-tL}f(x) = -Lu(x,t)$$

so $u(x,t)$ is the solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x,t) = -Lu(x,t), & x \in X, \ t > 0, \\
\lim_{t \to 0} u(x,t) = u(x,0) = f(x).
\end{cases} \tag{1}$$

In the classical case of $L$ being the “ordinary” Laplacian (with a change of sign to get a differential operator with positive eigenvalues) $L = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$, (1) is the heat (or
heat-diffusion) equation which describes the distribution of heat (i.e., variation in temperature) in a given interval $X$ over time

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x,t) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(x,t), & x \in X, \ t > 0, \\
u(x,0) = f(x), & x \in X.
\end{cases}$$

By the reason above, and since $e^{-t_1 L} e^{-t_2 L} f = e^{-(t_1 + t_2) L} f$ for $t_1, t_2 \geq 0$, the operator $e^{-t L}$ is known as the heat semigroup.

Another useful operator is $e^{-t \sqrt{L}}$, that is defined by means of

$$e^{-t \sqrt{L}} \varphi_n = e^{-t \sqrt{\lambda_n}} \varphi_n$$

and, for $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varphi_n \in L^2(X, w(x) \, dx)$,

$$(e^{-t \sqrt{L}} f)(x) = e^{-t \sqrt{T}} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \varphi_n \right)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n e^{-t \sqrt{\lambda_n}} \varphi_n(x).$$

This time, if we take $u(x,t) = (e^{-t \sqrt{L}} f)(x)$, we have

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} u(x,t) = L e^{-t \sqrt{L}} f(x) = Lu(x,t),$$

so $u(x,t)$ is the solution of

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases}
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} u(x,t) = Lu(x,t), & x \in X, \ t > 0, \\
\lim_{t \to 0} u(x,t) = u(x,0) = f(x), & x \in X.
\end{cases}$$

In the classical case of $L = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$ the first equation in (2) becomes

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \right) u(x,t) = 0,$$

so it means that $u(x,t)$ is harmonic (in the classical sense). In general, $e^{-t \sqrt{L}}$ is known as the Poisson semigroup, and a function $u(x,t)$ that satisfies

$$(3) \quad \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - L \right) u(x,t) = 0$$

is said to be harmonic.

Under some conditions that we will not detail, the formal definitions that we have shown above can be rigorized on $L^2(X, w(x) \, dx)$ in a standard way; see [11] for details. Much more difficult is to extend it to functions on $L^p(X, w(x) \, dx)$ for $p \neq 2$. Several cases of this topic have been studied for different operators and orthogonal systems, starting from the seminal papers of Muckenhoupt and Stein in 1965 [8] and Muckenhoupt in 1969 [7]. In the recent years a considerable activity

---

¹The heat equation is derived from Fourier’s law and conservation of energy. The first solution technique for the heat equation was proposed by Joseph Fourier in his treatise *Théorie Analytique de la Chaleur*, published in 1822. Later, the solution technique was extended to many other types of equations and this led naturally to the basic ideas of the spectral theory.
can be observed; see [1, 6, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. An important part of this work is to identify the operators by means of suitable integral expressions with kernels, and to find precise bounds for these kernels.

There is a way of relating the Poisson and heat semigroup. For that purpose, let us note the well known identity

\[ e^{-t\sqrt{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_0^\infty t e^{-t^2/(4s)} e^{-s\gamma} s^{-3/2} ds. \]

Then, as shown in [11], the Poisson and heat semigroup can be related by means of the subordination formula

\[ (e^{-t\sqrt{L}} f)(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_0^\infty t e^{-t^2/(4s)} (e^{-sL} f)(x) s^{-3/2} ds. \]

There are other operators that can be defined by this technique. In particular, the formula

\[ s^{-a} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty t^{a-1} e^{-ts} dt \]

suggests the definition of the Riesz potentials (also known as fractional integrals) either as

\[ L^{-a} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty t^{a-1} (e^{-tL} f)(x) dt \]

or

\[ L^{-a/2} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty t^{a-1} (e^{-t\sqrt{L}} f)(x) dt, \]

that, in a spectral way (for \( f = \sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n \varphi_n \in L^2(X, w(x) dx) \)), is

\[ L^{-a} f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n \lambda_n^{-a} \varphi_n. \]

1.1. The decomposition of the differential operator. Now, let us suppose that we have a decomposition

\[ L = \delta^* \delta \]

where \( \delta \) and \( \delta^* \) are two adjoint first order differential operators, i.e., that verify

\[ \int_X f(x) \delta g(x) w(x) dx = \int_X g(x) \delta^* f(x) w(x) dx \]

for functions \( f \) and \( g \) good enough. (In the classical case \( L = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \), we have \( \delta^* = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \delta = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \), and (5) is just the formula of integrating by parts for functions \( f, g \) that vanishes in both extremes of the interval \( X \).) This decomposition \( L = \delta^* \delta \) is the key to generalize many classical operators of the harmonic analysis under this general scheme.

In particular, the operator \( \delta \) in this decomposition is used to define the so-called Riesz transform

\[ R = \delta L^{-1/2} \]
that, again in a spectral way (recall that \( \{ \varphi_n \}_{n=0}^\infty \) is an orthonormal basis in \( L^2(X, w(x) \, dx) \) constituted by eigenfunctions of \( L \), that is, \( L \varphi_n = \lambda_n \varphi_n \)), is given by

\[
R \varphi_n = \delta L^{-1/2} \varphi_n = \lambda_n^{-1/2} \delta \varphi_n
\]

and, for \( f = \sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n \varphi_n \in L^2(X, w(x) \, dx) \),

\[
Rf = \delta L^{-1/2} f = \sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n \lambda_n^{-1/2} \delta \varphi_n.
\]

Now, let us take

\[
M = \delta \delta^*
\]

so \( M \delta = \delta \delta^* \delta = \delta L \). Besides, let us define

\[
\psi_n = \delta \varphi_n,
\]

that satisfies \( \delta^* \psi_n = \delta^* \delta \varphi_n = L \varphi_n = \lambda_n \varphi_n \). Then

\[
M \psi_n = \delta \delta^* \delta \varphi_n = \delta L \varphi_n = \delta \lambda_n \varphi_n = \lambda_n \delta \varphi_n = \lambda_n \psi_n,
\]

so \( \{ \psi_n \}_{n=0}^\infty \) are the eigenvalues of \( M \); in particular, the operators \( L \) and \( M \) have the same spectrum. Moreover, it is also easy to show (at least formally) that \( \{ \psi_n \}_{n=0}^\infty \) is an orthonormal basis in \( \delta (L^2(X, w(x) \, dx)) \).

From the eigenfunctions \( \{ \psi_n \}_{n=0}^\infty \), we can, as with \( L \), use the spectral method to define many operators related to \( M \). For instance, the semigroup \( e^{-tM} \). An important property is that, for “any expression” \( \Phi(L) \), we have

\[
(6) \quad \Phi(M) \delta = \delta \Phi(L).
\]

For any eigenvalue \( \varphi_n \) it is clear that

\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} (e^{-t\sqrt{M}} \delta L^{-1/2} \varphi_n) = \lambda_n^{1/2} e^{-t\sqrt{M}} \psi_n = Me^{-t\sqrt{M}} \delta L^{-1/2} \varphi_n
\]

so, for \( f \in L^2(X, w(x) \, dx) \), the function

\[
(7) \quad v(x, t) = e^{-t\sqrt{M}} \delta L^{-1/2} f(x)
\]

satisfies

\[
\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - M \right) v(x, t) = 0,
\]

that is similar to (3). Actually, \( v \) plays the role of the conjugate harmonic of \( u \), because there is something similar to the Cauchy-Riemann equations; indeed, by applying (6) and cancelling \( \sqrt{L} \) with \( L^{-1/2} \), we have

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v(x, t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^{-t\sqrt{M}} \delta L^{-1/2} f(x) = -\sqrt{M} e^{-t\sqrt{M}} \delta L^{-1/2} f(x)
\]

\[
= -\sqrt{L} e^{-t\sqrt{L}} L^{-1/2} f(x) = -\delta e^{-t\sqrt{L}} f(x) = -\delta u(x, t)
\]

and

\[
\delta^* v(x, t) = \delta^* e^{-t\sqrt{M}} \delta L^{-1/2} f(x) = \delta^* e^{-t\sqrt{L}} L^{-1/2} f(x) = L e^{-t\sqrt{L}} L^{-1/2} f(x)
\]

\[
= L^{1/2} e^{-t\sqrt{L}} f(x) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^{-t\sqrt{L}} f(x) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(x, t).
\]
By this reason, (7) is called the conjugate Poisson operator. Finally, it is interesting to note that, in (2), instead of \( \lim_{t \to 0} u(x, t) = f(x) \), we have, for \( v \),

\[
\lim_{t \to 0} v(x, t) = v(x, 0) = \delta L^{-1/2} f(x) = Rf(x),
\]
i.e., we are getting the Riesz transform of \( f \).

All this theory that generalizes the classical harmonic analysis requires, in the first place, to find the decomposition (4). In practice, we also want to find decompositions such that, if we start with a “good” system \( \{\varphi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) and we take \( \psi_n = \delta \varphi_n \), then the new system \( \{\psi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) is “good” again.

Here, the easiest trick is to notice that the operator \( L \) can be chosen “except for an additive constant” because, if we add a constant, the only effect is that all the eigenvalues are increased by this constant. This can serve to find suitable decompositions

\[
L - c = \delta^* \delta,
\]
where the constant \( c \) must be chosen fulfilling \( c < \lambda_0 \) so that the new eigenvalues \( \{\lambda_n - c\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) to be positive. Sometimes, the useful decomposition to operate is done via two summands

\[
L - c = \frac{1}{2}(\delta^* \delta + \delta \delta^*),
\]
like for the harmonic oscillator (here \( c = 0 \))

\[
-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + x^2 = \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x\right)\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x\right);
\]
this decomposition is the usual for expansions with respect to the orthogonal system of Hermite functions.

Many different techniques and tricks have been followed for different operators, and consequently there does not exist a standard way of defining the Riesz transform. We can see many of them in [9], a survey devoted to studying the \( L^2 \)-theory of Riesz transforms for many orthogonal expansions.

In the rest of the paper we are going to show an orthogonal system related to a second order differential operator that is not easy to analyze with this scheme. Actually, we have not been able to make a definition of the Riesz transform valid in the corresponding \( L^2 \) space.

2. The Case of Fourier-Neumann expansions

Let \( J_{\nu} \) stand for the Bessel function of the first kind of order \( \nu \) (see [18], the well-known Watson’s treatise on Bessel functions). For \( \alpha > -1 \), the formula

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} J_{\alpha+2n+1}(x)J_{\alpha+2m+1}(x) \frac{dx}{x} = \frac{\delta_{nm}}{2(\alpha+2n+1)}, \quad n, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,
\]
provides an orthonormal system \( \{j_n^\alpha\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \) in \( L^2((0, \infty), x^{2\alpha+1} \, dx) \) given by

\[
j_n^\alpha(x) = \sqrt{2(\alpha+2n+1)} J_{\alpha+2n+1}(x)x^{-\alpha-1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots.
\]
For a function $f$, provided that the coefficients
\[ c_k^\alpha(f) = \int_0^\infty f(y) j_k^\alpha(y) y^{2\alpha+1} dy, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \]
exist, consider its partial sums
\[ S_n^\alpha(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_k^\alpha(f) j_k^\alpha(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots. \]

Series of the form $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n J_{\alpha+n}$ are usually called Neumann series, hence we refer to $\sum_{k=0}^\infty c_k^\alpha(f) j_k^\alpha(x)$ as to a Fourier-Neumann series. The mean and almost everywhere convergence of these series have been studied in [17, 2, 3, 5].

It is well-known that the Bessel function $J_\nu(x)$ satisfies the differential equation
\[ x^2 J''_\nu(x) + x J'_\nu(x) + (x^2 - \nu^2) J_\nu(x) = 0. \]
Then, it is easy to check that, if we denote by $L_\alpha$ the differential operator
\[ L_\alpha f \equiv \left( x^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (2\alpha + 3) x \frac{d}{dx} + x^2 + (\alpha + 1)^2 \right) (f), \]
we have
\[ L_\alpha j_n^\alpha = (\alpha + 2n + 1)^2 j_n^\alpha, \]
i.e., $j_n^\alpha, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \alpha$ are eigenfunctions of $L_\alpha$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_n = (\alpha + 2n + 1)^2$.

Let us note we are seeing which differential equation is satisfied by the function $j_n^\alpha$, and it is a simple change of variable on the abovementioned differential equation for the Bessel functions; even more, the precise equation that is satisfied by $j_n^\alpha$ can be found in [18, §5.73, p. 158].

The system $\{j_n^\alpha\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is not a basis on $L^2((0, \infty), x^{2\alpha+1} dx)$, but a basis in a proper subset $B_2 \subset L^2((0, \infty), x^{2\alpha+1} dx)$ (with the same norm), that makes it be an interesting and peculiar system. Although it is not important in this moment, let us say by completeness that the space $B_2$ is formed by the functions $f \in L^2((0, \infty), x^{2\alpha+1} dx)$ such that the so-called “modified” Hankel transform of order $\alpha$,

\[ (8) \quad H_\alpha(f)(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{J_\alpha(xy)}{(xy)^\alpha} f(y)y^{2\alpha+1} dy, \quad x > 0, \]
is supported on $[0, 1]$; see [17, 2, 3, 5] for details, where not only is $B_2$ identified, but also
\[ B_p = \text{span}\{j_n^\alpha\}_{n=0}^\infty \quad \text{(closure on } L^p((0, \infty), x^{2\alpha+1} dx)) \]
for some range of $p$’s.

The density of $\text{span}\{j_n^\alpha\}_{n=0}^\infty$ in a proper subset of the whole $L^2$ (or $L^p$) is not a handicap to use the spectral method of defining operators related to $L_\alpha$; the only restriction is that we define these operators only for functions on the subset. In [1], we have recently studied the heat and Poisson semigroups related to the differential operator $L_\alpha$, as well as its fractional integrals. But, how to define the Riesz transform?
In this paper we are going to check that it does not seem to be an easy task, and that unexpected problems arise when trying to do the decomposition of $L_\alpha$ into two adjoint differential operators.

2.1. The decomposition of the operator. Let us decompose

$$L_\alpha \equiv x^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (2\alpha + 3)x \frac{d}{dx} + x^2 + (\alpha + 1)^2 = \delta^* \delta,$$

with $\delta$ and $-\delta^*$ two adjoint differential operators, i.e., that verify

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)\delta g(x)x^{2\alpha+1} \, dx = -\int_0^\infty g(x)\delta^* f(x)x^{2\alpha+1} \, dx$$

for functions $f$ and $g$ good enough. The reason for taking this kind of decomposition instead of (4)–(5) is that the coefficient of $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ in $L_\alpha$ (with positive eigenvalues) is $x^2$, which is positive (otherwise, we need to use complex coefficients in $\delta$ and $\delta^*$). In what follows, all the derivatives involved in the differential operators $L_\alpha$, $\delta$ and $\delta^*$, are with respect to the variable $x$.

If we have two adjoint operators $\delta$ and $\delta^*$, it is easy to check whether $L_\alpha = \delta^* \delta$ or not. But the contrary is not true; that is, given $L_\alpha$, it is not easy to find adjoint operators $\delta$ and $\delta^*$ such that $L_\alpha = \delta^* \delta$. Moreover, there are many other variants that can be useful, such as $L_\alpha = \delta^* \delta + c$ or $L_\alpha - c = \frac{1}{2} (\delta^* \delta + \delta \delta^*)$, for a constant $c$, as was seen above.

The best way is to use a good computer algebra system such as Mathematica (but with its cons, see [4]); any other should be useful for the same purposes. Although we will not reproduce the Mathematica code, all the formulas and decompositions that we show in what follows have been found with the help of this program. Since $L_\alpha = x^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \cdots$, it seems reasonable to find decompositions with the form $\delta = x \frac{d}{dx} + \cdots$ and also $\delta^* = x \frac{d}{dx} + \cdots$. We have also tried operators with the more general form $\delta = x r(x) \frac{d}{dx} + \cdots$ and $\delta^* = x \frac{d}{dx} + \cdots$ (for an arbitrary function $r(x)$) but nothing interesting seems to arise, so we do not show any of them in this paper.

The first idea is to look for with Mathematica functions $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ such that

$$\delta f = x \frac{df}{dx} + q(x)f,$$

$$\delta^* f = x \frac{df}{dx} + p(x)f$$

satisfy $L_\alpha = \delta^* \delta$ and (9) for functions $f,g$ that “vanished enough” in 0 and $\infty$ (making integrations by parts, this means that $f(x)g(x)x^{2\alpha+1}$ vanishes both in $x = 0$ and when $x \to \infty$).

In this way we have found the following decomposition:

$$\delta f = x \frac{df}{dx} + \left( \alpha + 1 + \frac{xJ_1(x)}{J_0(x)} \right) f,$$

$$\delta^* f = x \frac{df}{dx} + \left( \alpha + 1 - \frac{xJ_1(x)}{J_0(x)} \right) f$$

(10)
(we will call it the “J-decomposition”). This kind of decompositions δ*δ is very strange, because the infinity quantity of zeros of J0(x) makes the fraction xJ1(x)/J0(x) be neither continuous nor derivable in infinitely many points, and it is not a function in Lp (p ≥ 1).

Moreover, if, as usual, Yν(x) denotes the Bessel function of the second kind and order ν, also the following decomposition appears:

\[ \begin{align*}
\delta f &= x \frac{df}{dx} + \left( \alpha + 1 + \frac{xY_1(x)}{Y_0(x)} \right) f, \\
\delta^* f &= x \frac{df}{dx} + \left( \alpha + 1 - \frac{xY_1(x)}{Y_0(x)} \right) f
\end{align*} \]  

(we will call it the “Y-decomposition”). It is also easy to get new decompositions by combining the J-decomposition (10) and the Y-decomposition (11) by means of a process of mediation\(^2\) of fractions (i.e., passing from a/b and c/d to (a+c)/(b+d)). In this way, we have the decomposition

\[ \begin{align*}
\delta f &= x \frac{df}{dx} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \alpha + 1 + \frac{xJ_1(x) + xY_1(x)}{J_0(x) + Y_0(x)} \right) f, \\
\delta^* f &= x \frac{df}{dx} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \alpha + 1 - \frac{xJ_1(x) + xY_1(x)}{J_0(x) + Y_0(x)} \right) f.
\end{align*} \]  

(12)

Even more, we can add two extra real parameters r and s and take

\[ \begin{align*}
\delta f &= x \frac{df}{dx} + \left( \alpha + 1 + \frac{rx J_1(x) + sx Y_1(x)}{r J_0(x) + s Y_0(x)} \right) f, \\
\delta^* f &= x \frac{df}{dx} + \left( \alpha + 1 - \frac{rx J_1(x) + sx Y_1(x)}{r J_0(x) + s Y_0(x)} \right) f.
\end{align*} \]  

(13)

But we always get Jν or Yν Bessel functions in the denominator, with infinitely many zeros. Recall that, for x large enough, Jν(x) and Yν(x) oscillate as a cosine or a sine respectively; more precisely, they satisfy the asymptotic formulas

\[ \begin{align*}
J_\nu(x) &= \left( \frac{2}{\pi x} \right)^{1/2} \left[ \cos \left( x - \frac{\nu \pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) + O(x^{-1}) \right], \quad x \to \infty, \\
Y_\nu(x) &= \left( \frac{2}{\pi x} \right)^{1/2} \left[ \sin \left( x - \frac{\nu \pi}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right) + O(x^{-1}) \right], \quad x \to \infty.
\end{align*} \]

\(^2\)The mediation is a very common process in diophantine approximation due to the property

\[ \frac{a}{b} < \frac{c}{d} \quad \text{(with denominators } b, d > 0) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{a}{b} < \frac{a+c}{b+d} < \frac{c}{d} ;\]

it is used, for instance, in the definition of Farey and Brocot sequences. It is funny to see that it also appears in the context of this paper.
By definition, the Riesz transform is $R = \delta(L_\alpha)^{-1/2}$, with $(L_\alpha)^{-1/2}$ being the fractional integral. Thus, if we apply this to $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n j_{n}^\alpha$, we get

$$Rf(x) = R\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n j_{n}^\alpha\right)(x) = \delta(L_\alpha)^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n j_{n}^\alpha\right)(x)$$

$$= \delta\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{((\alpha+2n+1)^2)^{1/2}} j_n^\alpha\right)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{\alpha + 2n + 1} \delta j_n^\alpha(x).$$

But (unlike other cases in which the Riesz transform is studied) it does not seem easy to find a “good” expression for $\delta j_n^\alpha(x)$, that in the case of (10) would be

$$\delta j_n^\alpha(x) = \sqrt{2(\alpha + 2n + 1)} x \frac{d}{dx} \frac{J_{\alpha+2n+1}(x)}{x^{\alpha+1}}$$

$$+ \sqrt{2(\alpha + 2n + 1)} \left(\alpha + 1 + \frac{x J_1(x)}{J_0(x)}\right) \frac{J_{\alpha+2n+1}(x)}{x^{\alpha+1}},$$

so nothing “smart” seems to appear. (We will not insist on it, but the same can be said for the decompositions as in (14)–(18) that we will see below, and also for (19) and (20).)

A different idea is to use a constant $c$ and decompose

$$L_\alpha - c \equiv x^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (2\alpha + 3) x \frac{d}{dx} + x^2 + (\alpha + 1)^2 - c = \delta^* \delta.$$ 

We have found that this can be done with

$$\delta f = x \frac{df}{dx} + \left(1 + \alpha + \frac{x(J_{1+\sqrt{\alpha}}(x) - J_{-1+\sqrt{\alpha}}(x))}{2J_{\sqrt{\alpha}}(x)}\right)f$$

$$= x \frac{df}{dx} + \left(1 + \alpha - \frac{xJ'_{\sqrt{\alpha}}(x)}{J_{\sqrt{\alpha}}(x)}\right)f,$$

$$\delta^* f = x \frac{df}{dx} + \left(1 + \alpha - \frac{x(J_{1+\sqrt{\alpha}}(x) - J_{-1+\sqrt{\alpha}}(x))}{2J_{\sqrt{\alpha}}(x)}\right)f$$

$$= x \frac{df}{dx} + \left(1 + \alpha + \frac{xJ'_{\sqrt{\alpha}}(x)}{J_{\sqrt{\alpha}}(x)}\right)f.$$

Of course, similar $Y$-decomposition can be found, as well as combinations between the $J$-decomposition and the $Y$-decomposition by means of the mediation process as in (12) and (13). We do not see marvelous improvements, but it is interesting to note that, with $c = 1/4$, we get trigonometric expressions; and with $c = (\alpha + 1)^2$, the Bessel functions in the decomposition depend on $\alpha$. (For us, it sounds strange that, except for the summand $1 + \alpha$, the decomposition can be taken independent of $\alpha$.)
An example of decomposition with $c = 1/4$ is

\begin{align}
\delta f &= x \frac{df}{dx} + \left(\frac{3}{2} + \alpha + x \tan(x)\right) f, \\
\delta^* f &= x \frac{df}{dx} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha - x \tan(x)\right) f.
\end{align}

Another (also with $c = 1/4$):

\begin{align}
\delta f &= x \frac{df}{dx} + \left(\frac{3}{2} + \alpha - x \cot(x)\right) f, \\
\delta^* f &= x \frac{df}{dx} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha + x \cot(x)\right) f.
\end{align}

In general (for $c = 1/4$), and taking into account a mediation process as in (13), we get

\begin{align}
\delta f &= x \frac{df}{dx} + \left(\frac{(3 + 2\alpha)s - 2rx \cos(x) + ((3 + 2\alpha)r + 2sx) \sin(x)}{2s \cos(x) + 2r \sin(x)}\right) f, \\
\delta^* f &= x \frac{df}{dx} + \left(\frac{((1 + 2\alpha)s + 2rx \cos(x) + ((1 + 2\alpha)r - 2sx) \sin(x)}{2s \cos(x) + 2r \sin(x)}\right) f
\end{align}

for arbitrary constants $r$ and $s$. At least formally, these constants can be complex numbers; in this way, taking $r = i$ and $s = 1$, we get

\begin{align}
\delta f &= x \frac{df}{dx} + \left(\frac{3}{2} + \alpha + ix\right) f, \\
\delta^* f &= x \frac{df}{dx} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha - ix\right) f.
\end{align}

But it seems difficult to apply these decompositions in the context of real functions.

### 2.2. Decompositions with two summands.

Decompositions of the form

\[ L_\alpha - c = \frac{1}{2} (\delta^* \delta + \delta \delta^*) \]

(with $\delta$ and $-\delta^*$ adjoint) can be also found. This time, completely different expressions appear in the decomposition (without Bessel functions in a denominator!), but unfortunately nothing useful seems to arise.

For instance, we have checked that the above decomposition with two summands can be obtained with

\begin{align}
\delta f &= x \frac{df}{dx} + \left(1 + \alpha + \sqrt{c - x^2}\right) f, \\
\delta^* f &= x \frac{df}{dx} + \left(1 + \alpha - \sqrt{c - x^2}\right) f.
\end{align}
But \( x \in (0, \infty) \) so, now, we always get complex functions in a range of \( x \). In particular, with \( c = 0 \) we get

\[
\delta f = x \frac{df}{dx} + (1 + \alpha + ix) f, \\
\delta^* f = x \frac{df}{dx} + (1 + \alpha - ix) f.
\]

\subsection{The “non-modified” case.}

We can wonder what would happen if we carry out the study of Riesz transforms in the Fourier-Neumann expansions setting in another way. The case studied up to now concerns the measure \( x^{2n+1} dx \), which is usually known as the “modified” case (because it is related with the modified Hankel transform (8)), and that is used in [1, 2, 3, 5, 17]. But we might be also interested in the case that deals with Lebesgue measure, or the “non-modified” case (that is related to the so-called non-modified Hankel transform, a simple variation of (8)).

In this context, we consider the space \( L^2((0, \infty), dx) \) in which the system \( \{\phi_n^\alpha\}_{n=0}^\infty \), given by

\[
\phi_n^\alpha(x) = \sqrt{2(\alpha + 2n + 1)} J_{\alpha+2n+1}(x)x^{-1/2}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]

is orthonormal. The associated second order differential operator is

\[
\mathcal{L}_\alpha f \equiv \left(x^2 \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 2x \frac{d}{dx} + x^2 + \frac{1}{4}\right)(f),
\]

and we have

\[
\mathcal{L}_\alpha \phi_n^\alpha = (\alpha + 2n + 1)^2 \phi_n^\alpha,
\]

i.e., \( \phi_n^\alpha, n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), are eigenfunctions of \( \mathcal{L}_\alpha \) with eigenvalues \( \lambda_n = (\alpha + 2n + 1)^2 \).

Again with the help of Mathematica, we have also found the corresponding expressions for the non-modified case, but as one can expect (we are making simple change of functions), nothing new appears. For instance, we get \( \mathcal{L}_\alpha = \delta^* \delta \) with

\[
\delta f = x \frac{df}{dx} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{xJ_1(x)}{J_0(x)}\right) f, \\
\delta^* f = x \frac{df}{dx} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{xJ_1(x)}{J_0(x)}\right) f,
\]

or, analogously, with its corresponding \( Y \)-decomposition. Of course, the same can be said about the mediation process, the variation of the operator by an additive constant \( c \), as in \( \mathcal{L}_\alpha - c = \delta^* \delta \) (one more time, trigonometric expressions appear for \( c = 1/4 \)), and the decompositions of the kind \( \mathcal{L}_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\delta^* \delta + \delta \delta^*) \) or \( \mathcal{L}_\alpha - c = \frac{1}{2}(\delta^* \delta + \delta \delta^*) \).
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