
RECURSIVE FORMULAS RELATED TO THE SUMMATION OF THE MÖBIUS FUNCTION

MANUEL BENITO AND JUAN L. VARONA

ABSTRACT. For positive integers n , let $\mu(n)$ be the Möbius function, and $M(n)$ its sum $M(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(k)$. We find some identities and recursive formulas for computing $M(n)$; in particular, we present a two-parametric family of recursive formulas.

1. INTRODUCTION

The well-known Möbius function $\mu(n)$ is defined, for positive integers n , as

$$\mu(n) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ (-1)^k & \text{if } n \text{ is a product of } k \text{ different prime numbers,} \\ 0 & \text{if there exists a prime } p \text{ such that } p^2 \text{ divides } n \end{cases}$$

(see [1, Chapter 2]). Then, for every real number $x \geq 0$, the summation of the Möbius function is defined by taking

$$M(x) = M(\lfloor x \rfloor) := \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor x \rfloor} \mu(k).$$

In what follows, and as usually, we refer to $M(x)$ as the Mertens function, although, before F. Mertens (who used it in 1897, see [2]), T. J. Stieltjes already had introduced this function in his attempts to prove the Riemann Hypothesis (see [3, Lettre 79, p. 160–164], dated in 1885).

The behaviour of $M(x)$ is rather erratic and difficult of analyze, but it is very important in analytic number theory. In 1912, J. E. Littlewood [4] proved that the Riemann Hypothesis is equivalent to this fact:

$$(1) \quad |M(x)| = O(x^{1/2+\varepsilon}), \quad \text{when } x \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0;$$

in relation to this subject, see also [5]. Of course, it is not yet known if (1) is true or false. Previously, in 1897, Mertens [2] had given a table of values of $M(n)$ for $1 \leq n \leq 10000$. Relying on this table, he conjectured that, for $x > 1$,

$$|M(x)| < \sqrt{x}.$$

This conjecture was disproved, in 1985, by A. M. Odlyzko and H. te Riele [6], but they did not find an explicit counterexample. Actually, for every value of $M(n)$ computed up to that date, always happened $|M(n)| < 0.6\sqrt{n}$. In 1987, J. Pintz [7] proved that the Mertens conjecture is false for some $n < \exp(3.21 \times 10^{64})$; and this was improved further recently in 2006 by T. Kotnik and H. te Riele [8], who showed that the Mertens conjecture is false for some $n < \exp(1.59 \times 10^{40})$. More studies about the order of the Mertens function can

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 11A25.

Key words and phrases. Möbius function, summation of the Möbius function, Mertens function.

Research of the second author supported by grant MTM2006-13000-C03-03 of the DGI.

be found in [9] and [10]. Nowadays, to find an explicit counterexample of the Mertens conjecture is yet a very pursued result in number theory, and it generally believed that no counterexample will be found for $n < 10^{20}$.

To evaluate $M(n)$, a big quantity of recursive formulas appear in the mathematical literature. For instance, Stieltjes [3, Letter 79, p. 163] proved the expression

$$(2) \quad \sum_{k \leq \sqrt{n}} (-1)^{k-1} M(n/k) = -1 + M(\sqrt{n})z(\sqrt{n}) - \sum_{k \leq \sqrt{n}} z(n/k)\mu(k)$$

where $z(x) = 0$ if $\lfloor x \rfloor$ is even and 1 if it is odd; some other recursive formulas appear in the famous *Primzahlen* of E. Landau [11]. In 1996, M. Deléglise and J. Rivat [12], used an algorithm derived from the recurrence formula

$$(3) \quad M(x) = M(u) - \sum_{a \leq u} \mu(a) \sum_{\frac{u}{a} < b \leq \frac{x}{a}} M\left(\frac{x}{ab}\right)$$

(being $1 \leq u \leq x$) to evaluate $M(10^{16}) = -3195437$. More recursive formulas can be found in [13], and [14]; also, a large number of further references to related studies, including a nice historical review, are given in [15].

The aim of this paper is to prove different identities and recursive formulas satisfied by the Mertens function M . We devote to this end sections 2, 3 and 4; see Theorems 2, 3, 6, 9, and 10. For instance, in Theorem 3 we present a formula to evaluate $M(n)$ similar to the one given by its definition, but with only $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ summands. Also, let us note the interesting expansion for $2M(n) + 3$ that appears in Theorem 6, as well as the properties of the involved coefficients, studied below; they will lead us to Theorems 9 and 10. In particular, Theorem 10 gives a two-parametric family of recursive formulas for computing the Mertens function. As long as we know, all the “theorems” that we present in these sections are new; however, some of the “propositions” are already known, and we have included them by completeness.

Finally, in section 5, we study some properties of a function (that we will denote $H(n, m)$) related with the ones that appear in the previous sections; in particular, we prove the periodicity of this function.

2. FORMULAS IN WHICH ONLY M APPEARS

Let us begin by recalling the following well-known property of the Möbius function:

$$(4) \quad \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, it is trivial for $n = 1$. And, for $n > 1$, if $n = \prod_{j=1}^k p_j^{\alpha_j} > 1$ (p_j primes, $p_j \neq p_i$ for $j \neq i$), then

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \binom{k}{0} - \binom{k}{1} + \dots + (-1)^k \binom{k}{k} = (1-1)^k = 0.$$

The identity (4) allows to find a way of relating the value $M(n)$ with the values of $M(m)$, with m less than n . This result, also known (and whose proof we reproduce by completeness), is the following:

Proposition 1. *For every positive n , the Mertens function verifies*

$$(5) \quad 1 = \sum_{a=1}^n M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right).$$

Proof. Actually, we will prove (5) also for real numbers $x \geq 1$. From the definition $M(x) = \sum_{k \leq x} \mu(k)$, we have

$$\sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor x \rfloor} M\left(\frac{x}{a}\right) = \sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor x \rfloor} \sum_{b=1}^{\lfloor \frac{x}{a} \rfloor} \mu(b).$$

If $ab = k$, then $a|k$ and, moreover, when the values of a and b vary, k takes the values $1, 2, \dots, \lfloor x \rfloor$. Then, we have

$$\sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor x \rfloor} \sum_{b=1}^{\lfloor \frac{x}{a} \rfloor} \mu(b) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \lfloor x \rfloor} \sum_{a|k} \mu(a).$$

By applying (4), we get (5). \square

Of course, from (5) we obtain the following recursive formula satisfied by $M(n)$:

$$(6) \quad M(n) = 1 - \sum_{a=2}^n M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right),$$

which is essentially one of the recursive formulae used by Neubauer [13] to compute $M(n)$ up to 10^{10} . Moreover, let us note that (4) and (5) were used by Deléglise and Rivat [12] to find the identity (3).

In (6), n summands appear. In the following theorem, we reduce the number of summands up to $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$.

Theorem 2. *If $n \geq 3$, then*

$$(7) \quad M(n) = - \sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} M\left(\frac{n}{2a+1}\right).$$

Proof. If $n = 2m$ with $m > 1$, by applying (6) and (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(2m) &= 1 - \sum_{a=2}^{2m} M\left(\frac{2m}{a}\right) = \sum_{a=1}^m M\left(\frac{m}{a}\right) - \sum_{a=2}^{2m} M\left(\frac{2m}{a}\right) \\ &= - \sum_{a=1}^{m-1} M\left(\frac{2m}{2a+1}\right) = - \sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} M\left(\frac{n}{2a+1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For the case $n = 2m+1$, let us first note that the greatest remainder that can be obtained when m is divided by a is $a-1$, and, moreover

$$\frac{a-1}{a} + \frac{1}{2a} = \frac{2a-2+1}{2a} = \frac{2a-1}{2a} < 1.$$

Thus, it is clear that

$$M\left(\frac{2m+1}{2a}\right) = M\left(\frac{m}{a} + \frac{1}{2a}\right) = M\left(\frac{m}{a}\right).$$

Then, by applying (6), (5), and this fact, we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(2m+1) &= 1 - \sum_{a=2}^{2m+1} M\left(\frac{2m+1}{a}\right) = \sum_{a=1}^m M\left(\frac{m}{a}\right) - \sum_{a=2}^{2m+1} M\left(\frac{2m+1}{a}\right) \\ &= - \sum_{a=1}^m M\left(\frac{2m+1}{2a+1}\right) = - \sum_{a=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} M\left(\frac{n}{2a+1}\right). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

3. FORMULAS IN WHICH ONLY μ APPEARS

In the following theorem, we expand $M(n)$ as a sum with $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ summands, in which only μ and the integer-part function appear. In particular, this result provides a more efficient way to compute $M(n)$ than just to use its definition $M(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(k)$.

Theorem 3. *If $n \geq 3$, then*

$$(8) \quad M(n) = - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} \left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2k} \right\rfloor \mu(k).$$

Proof. Let us remind (7) in Theorem 2. The greatest value achieved by $\lfloor \frac{n}{2a+1} \rfloor$ is $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$. Moreover, $\lfloor \frac{n}{2a+1} \rfloor$ takes value k if

$$k \leq \frac{n}{2a+1} < k+1,$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{n-(k+1)}{2(k+1)} < a \leq \frac{n-k}{2k}.$$

In this way, $\lfloor \frac{n}{2a+1} \rfloor = k$ for $\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n-(k+1)}{2(k+1)} \rfloor$ values of a .

As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} M(n) &= - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-k}{2k} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n-(k+1)}{2(k+1)} \right\rfloor \right) M(k) \\ &= - \left(\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2 \cdot 2} \right\rfloor \right) M(1) + \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2 \cdot 2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2 \cdot 3} \right\rfloor \right) M(2) + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n - \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor}{2 \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n - \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - 1}{2 (\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1)} \right\rfloor \right) M \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor \right) \right) \\ &= - \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor \mu(1) + \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2 \cdot 2} \right\rfloor \mu(2) + \left\lfloor \frac{n-3}{2 \cdot 3} \right\rfloor \mu(3) + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left\lfloor \frac{n - \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor}{2 \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} \right\rfloor \mu \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor \right) - \left\lfloor \frac{n - \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - 1}{2 (\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1)} \right\rfloor M \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us observe

$$\frac{n - \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - 1}{2 (\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1)} = \begin{cases} \frac{3m-m-1}{2m+2} = \frac{2m-1}{2m+2} < 1 & \text{if } n = 3m, \\ \frac{3m+1-m-1}{2m+2} = \frac{2m}{2m+2} < 1 & \text{if } n = 3m + 1, \\ \frac{3m+2-m-1}{2m+2} = \frac{2m+1}{2m+2} < 1 & \text{if } n = 3m + 2, \end{cases}$$

and so

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n - \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - 1}{2 (\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1)} \right\rfloor = 0.$$

Then, (8) follows. □

The following result relates the value of $\mu(n)$ to the values of $\mu(m)$ for $1 \leq m < n$. Actually, this result is already known (see [1, Theorem 3.12]), although the proof that we

make in this paper is different and, perhaps, new; here, we use an argument similar to the one used in the proof of Theorem 3.

Proposition 4. *The Möbius function satisfies*

$$(9) \quad 1 = \sum_{k=1}^n \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor \mu(k).$$

Proof. By Proposition 1, $1 = \sum_{a=1}^n M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right)$. Here, we have $\lfloor \frac{n}{a} \rfloor = k$ if and only if

$$k \leq \frac{n}{a} < k+1,$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{n}{k+1} < a \leq \frac{n}{k},$$

and so $M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) = M\left(\lfloor \frac{n}{a} \rfloor\right) = M(k)$ for $\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n}{k+1} \rfloor$ values of a .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{a=1}^n M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k+1} \right\rfloor \right) M(k) \\ &= \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{1} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \right) M(1) + \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \right\rfloor \right) M(2) + \cdots + \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{n} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n+1} \right\rfloor \right) M(n) \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{n}{1} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor (M(2) - M(1)) + \cdots + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n} \right\rfloor (M(n) - M(n-1)) - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n+1} \right\rfloor M(n) \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{n}{1} \right\rfloor \mu(1) + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \mu(2) + \cdots + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{n} \right\rfloor \mu(n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor \mu(k). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us prove another expansion of 1 as a sum of μ 's. We will use this result in the proof of Theorem 6.

Proposition 5. *For $n \geq 3$, the Möbius function satisfies*

$$1 = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3k} \right\rfloor \mu(k).$$

Proof. First, let us suppose $n = 3m$. By Proposition 4, we have

$$1 = \sum_{k=1}^m \left\lfloor \frac{m}{k} \right\rfloor \mu(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n}{3}} \left\lfloor \frac{3m}{3k} \right\rfloor \mu(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3k} \right\rfloor \mu(k).$$

If $n = 3m + 1$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3k} \right\rfloor \mu(k) = \sum_{k=1}^m \left\lfloor \frac{3m+1}{3k} \right\rfloor \mu(k) = \sum_{k=1}^m \left\lfloor \frac{m}{k} \right\rfloor \mu(k) = 1,$$

because, in

$$\left\lfloor \frac{3m+1}{3k} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{k} + \frac{1}{3k} \right\rfloor,$$

the remainder when m is divided by k is always less or equal than $k-1$; and, by being

$$\frac{k-1}{k} + \frac{1}{3k} = \frac{3k-2}{3k} < 1,$$

we have

$$\left\lfloor \frac{3m+1}{3k} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{k} \right\rfloor.$$

If $n = 3m + 2$,

$$\left\lfloor \frac{3m+2}{3k} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{k} + \frac{2}{3k} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{m}{k} \right\rfloor$$

by being

$$\frac{k-1}{k} + \frac{2}{3k} = \frac{3k-1}{3k} < 1;$$

thus,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3k} \right\rfloor \mu(k) = \sum_{k=1}^m \left\lfloor \frac{3m+2}{3k} \right\rfloor \mu(k) = \sum_{k=1}^m \left\lfloor \frac{m}{k} \right\rfloor \mu(k) = 1. \quad \square$$

Then, we establish the following result, in which, as in Theorem 3, we show an expansion of $M(n)$ as a sum of $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$ summands; in every summand, only the Möbius function and a coefficient (related to the integer-part function) appear. This will be a fruitful result, because, later in this paper, we will find some alternative formulas and interesting properties for the coefficients.

Theorem 6. For $n \geq 3$, we have

$$(10) \quad 2M(n) + 3 = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} g(n, k) \mu(k)$$

with

$$(11) \quad g(n, k) = 3 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{3k} \right\rfloor - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2k} - \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Proof. Let us add 2 times the expansion for $M(n)$ in Theorem 3 plus 3 times the expansion for 1 in Proposition 5. □

The next result presents an alternative way for computing $g(n, k)$:

Proposition 7. For $k > 0$ and $n \geq 0$, let us take n_0 such that

$$n \equiv n_0 \pmod{6k}, \quad 0 \leq n_0 < 6k.$$

Then

$$(12) \quad g(n, k) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } 0 \leq n_0 < k, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \leq n_0 < 3k, \\ 1 & \text{if } 3k \leq n_0 < 5k, \\ -1 & \text{if } 5k \leq n_0 < 6k. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let us decompose $n = n_0 + 6kn_1$, with $0 \leq n_0 < 6k$. By (11),

$$g(n, k) = 3 \left\lfloor \frac{n_0 + 6kn_1}{3k} \right\rfloor - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{n_0 + 6kn_1 - k}{2k} \right\rfloor.$$

Then, it is clear that

if $0 \leq n_0 < k$,	$g(n, k) = 6n_1 - 2 \left\lfloor \frac{6k(n_1-1)}{2k} + \frac{5k+n_0}{2k} \right\rfloor = 2;$	
if $k \leq n_0 < 3k$,	$g(n, k) = 6n_1 - 6n_1 = 0;$	
if $3k \leq n_0 < 5k$,	$g(n, k) = 3 + 6n_1 - 6n_1 - 2 = 1;$	
if $5k \leq n_0 < 6k$,	$g(n, k) = 3 + 6n_1 - 6n_1 - 4 = -1.$	□

4. FORMULAS IN WHICH BOTH M AND μ APPEAR

Let us consider the function $g(n, k)$ for fixed n , i.e., as a function of k . In the following proposition, we show how $g(n, k)$ is constant when k varies a certain interval.

Proposition 8. *Let a and n be positive integers, with $a < n$. When k varies in the interval*

$$\frac{n}{a+1} < k \leq \frac{n}{a}$$

the value of $g(n, k)$ remains constant. This value depends only upon the remainder of a modulus 6.

Proof. Let us decompose $a = a_0 + 6a_1$ with $0 \leq a_0 < 6$. If $\frac{n}{a+1} < k \leq \frac{n}{a}$, then $ka \leq n < k(a+1)$ and so

$$(13) \quad ka_0 + 6ka_1 \leq n < k(a_0 + 6a_1 + 1).$$

Thus, $n = n_0 + 6ka_1$ for some n_0 verifying $0 \leq n_0 < 6k$. By substituting this value of n in (13), it becomes

$$(14) \quad ka_0 \leq n_0 < ka_0 + k.$$

By (12), $g(n, k)$ takes the same value for all n_0 that satisfies (14), and this value of $g(n, k)$ depends only on a_0 . □

As a consequence of Proposition 8, we can define the function

$$(15) \quad h(a) = g(n, k) \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{n}{a+1} < k \leq \frac{n}{a}.$$

By using (12) (pay attention to a_0 in the proof of Proposition 8), $h(a)$ takes these values:

$$(16) \quad h(a) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \\ 0, & \text{if } a \equiv 1 \pmod{6}, \\ 0, & \text{if } a \equiv 2 \pmod{6}, \\ 1, & \text{if } a \equiv 3 \pmod{6}, \\ 1, & \text{if } a \equiv 4 \pmod{6}, \\ -1, & \text{if } a \equiv 5 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

Now, we will split the sum in (10) in two parts, introducing a parameter r . The first part will consist in the $\lfloor \frac{n}{r+1} \rfloor$ first terms of the sum in (10). The second part will be, of course, the summands that remain; they will be manipulated in such way that we will get r summands in which only the functions h and M appear. We can say that this is a *mixed* recursive formula for computing M : $M(n)$ is obtained from $\mu(m)$ and $M(m)$ with $m < n$.

Theorem 9. *Let n and r two integers satisfying $3 \leq r \leq n - 1$. Then*

$$(17) \quad 2M(n) + 3 = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{r+1} \rfloor} g(n, k)\mu(k) + \sum_{a=3}^r h(a) \left(M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) - M\left(\frac{n}{a+1}\right) \right).$$

Proof. By (10) and (15),

$$\begin{aligned} 2M(n) + 3 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} g(n, k)\mu(k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{r+1} \rfloor} g(n, k)\mu(k) + \sum_{a=3}^r \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n}{a+1} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{a} \rfloor} g(n, k)\mu(k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{r+1} \rfloor} g(n, k)\mu(k) + \sum_{a=3}^r h(a) \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n}{a+1} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{a} \rfloor} \mu(k) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{r+1} \rfloor} g(n, k)\mu(k) + \sum_{a=3}^r h(a) \left(M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) - M\left(\frac{n}{a+1}\right) \right). \quad \square$$

Prior to continue, let us note which would be the two limit cases: of course, $r = 2$ is Theorem 6; and, when $r = n$, the sum indexed by k in (9) disappears. Another particular case appears by taking $r = \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$; thus (17) becomes

$$M(n) = \frac{1}{2} \left(-3 + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor + 1} \rfloor} g(n, k)\mu(k) + M\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) + \sum_{a=4}^{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor} (h(a) - h(a-1))M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) - h(\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor)M\left(\frac{n}{\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor + 1}\right) \right),$$

a formula that resembles (2) after isolating $M(n)$, but starting in $M(\frac{n}{3})$ instead of $M(\frac{n}{2})$.

On the other hand, by splitting again the summand on the right in (17), we can introduce a new parameter s :

Theorem 10. *Let n, r and s be three integers such that $s \geq 0$ and $6s + 9 \leq r \leq n - 1$. Then*

$$2M(n) + 3 = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{r+1} \rfloor} g(n, k)\mu(k) + \sum_{b=0}^s \left(M\left(\frac{n}{3+6b}\right) - 2M\left(\frac{n}{5+6b}\right) + 3M\left(\frac{n}{6+6b}\right) - 2M\left(\frac{n}{7+6b}\right) \right) + \sum_{a=6s+9}^r h(a) \left(M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) - M\left(\frac{n}{a+1}\right) \right).$$

Proof. Let us expand the summand $\sum_{a=3}^r$ in (17). In this way,

$$2M(n) + 3 = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{r+1} \rfloor} g(n, k)\mu(k) + h(3)M\left(\frac{n}{3}\right) - h(3)M\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) + h(4)M\left(\frac{n}{4}\right) - h(4)M\left(\frac{n}{5}\right) + h(5)M\left(\frac{n}{5}\right) - h(5)M\left(\frac{n}{6}\right) + h(6)M\left(\frac{n}{6}\right) - h(6)M\left(\frac{n}{7}\right) + h(7)M\left(\frac{n}{7}\right) - h(7)M\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) + h(8)M\left(\frac{n}{8}\right) - h(8)M\left(\frac{n}{9}\right) + \dots + h(6s+8)M\left(\frac{n}{6s+8}\right) - h(6s+8)M\left(\frac{n}{6s+9}\right) + \sum_{a=6s+9}^r h(a) \left(M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) - M\left(\frac{n}{a+1}\right) \right).$$

By applying the values of h according (16), the result follows. □

Thus, in Theorem 10 we have presented a two-parametric family of recurrence relation for computing an isolated value of $M(n)$. They provide mixed ways to calculate $M(n)$ using, in part, previously computed (and stored) values of $M(m)$ for a certain values of m , and another part that must be explicitly computed. Eventually, a suitable election of parameters

r and s (that may depend on n) will allow to get efficient methods of running this algorithm in a computer; at this point, it is clear that a careful implementation must be performed, taking into account the machine to be used. The idea to use this expansion is as follows: The terms in the first sum $\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{r+1} \rfloor}$ are directly evaluated. The second sum $\sum_{b=0}^s$ is computed by using previously computed and stored values of M . And the third sum

$$\sum_{a=6s+9}^r h(a) \left(M\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) - M\left(\frac{n}{a+1}\right) \right) = \sum_{a=6s+9}^r h(a) \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n}{a+1} \rfloor + 1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{a} \rfloor} \mu(k)$$

can be computed by using both methods, according the size of n , r and s .

Finally, let us note which are the limit cases of the identity established by Theorem 10: $s = -1$ (Theorem 9); $s = -1$ and $r = 2$ (Theorem 6); $r = n$ (\sum_b disappears); and $r = 6s + 8$ (\sum_k disappears).

5. THE FUNCTION $H(n, m)$. PERIODICITY

In the previous sections (see Theorems 6, 9 and 10), we often obtain expressions with the form $\sum_{k=1}^m g(n, k)\mu(k)$. Thus, in this section, we define a new function $H(n, m)$ (for non-negative integers n and positive integers m) by taking

$$H(n, m) := \sum_{k=1}^m g(n, k)\mu(k),$$

and we are going to study some of its properties. Also, we will use the following notation:

$$C_m := 6 \cdot \text{lcm}\{1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

First, let us see that, when we fix m in the second variable of H , the function is periodic with period C_m .

Proposition 11. *For every non-negative integer t , we have*

$$H(n + tC_m, m) = H(n, m).$$

Proof. By being $g(n + 6kt, k) = g(n, k)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we have $g(n + C_m t, k) = g(n, k)$. Thus, the result follows. \square

The following result gives the value of $H(n, m)$ as a function of $M(m)$.

Proposition 12. *For every non-negative integer t , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} H(0 + tC_m, m) &= 2M(m), \\ H(1 + tC_m, m) &= 2M(m) - 2, \\ H(2 + tC_m, m) &= 2M(m), \\ H(n + tC_m, m) &= 2M(m) + 3, \quad \text{if } 2 < n \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Proposition 11, without loss of generality, we can suppose $t = 0$. Then, it is enough for computing $H(n, m)$ for $0 \leq n \leq m$. First, let us analyze the cases $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$. By applying (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(0, m) &= \sum_{k=1}^m g(0, k)\mu(k) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^m \mu(k) = 2M(m), \\ H(1, m) &= \sum_{k=1}^m g(1, k)\mu(k) = 0 \cdot \mu(1) + 2 \sum_{k=2}^m \mu(k) = 2M(m) - 2, \end{aligned}$$

$$H(2, m) = \sum_{k=1}^m g(2, k)\mu(k) = 0 \cdot \mu(1) + 0 \cdot \mu(2) + 2 \sum_{k=3}^m \mu(k) = 2M(m).$$

For n verifying $2 < n \leq m$, let us decompose

$$(18) \quad H(n, m) = \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor} g(n, k)\mu(k) + \sum_{k=\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1}^n g(n, k)\mu(k) + \sum_{k=n+1}^m g(n, k)\mu(k).$$

Now, in the first sum, let us apply (10); in the second sum, let us use that, for $\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor < k \leq n$ (i.e., $k \leq n < 3k$) we have $g(n, k) = 0$ (see (12)); and, finally, for the third sum, let us note that $g(n, k) = 2$ for $0 \leq n < n + 1 \leq k$. In this way, (18) becomes

$$H(n, m) = (2M(n) + 3) + 0 + 2(M(m) - M(n)) = 2M(m) + 3. \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Apostol TM. Introduction to Analytic Number Theory. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1976.
- [2] Mertens F. Über eine zahlentheoretische Funktion. Sitzungsberichte Akad. Wiss. Wien IIa. 1897; 106: 761–830.
- [3] Hermite C. Correspondence d'Hermite et de Stieltjes: publiée par le soins de B. Baillaud et H. Bourget. Vol. 1. Paris: Gauthier-Villars; 1905.
- [4] Littlewood JE, Quelques conséquences de l'hypothèse que la fonction $\zeta(s)$ n'a pas de zéros dans le demi-plan $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2}$. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris. 1912; 154: 263–266.
- [5] Titchmarsh EC. The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function. Oxford: At the Clarendon Press; 1967.
- [6] Odlyzko AM, te Riele H. Disproof of the Mertens conjecture. J. Reine Angew. Math. 1985; 357: 138–160.
- [7] Pintz J. An effective disproof of the Mertens conjecture. In: Journées Arithmétiques (Besançon, France, 1985). Astérisque. 1987; 147-148: 325–333, 346.
- [8] Kotnik T, te Riele H. The Mertens conjecture revisited. In: Algorithmic number theory. Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. 4076. Berlin: Springer; 2006. p. 156–167.
- [9] el Marraki M. Fonction sommatoire de la fonction de Möbius, 3. Majorations asymptotiques effectives fortes. J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux. 1995; 7: 407–433.
- [10] Kotnik T, van de Lune J. On the order of the Mertens function. Experiment. Math. 2004; 13: 473–481.
- [11] Landau E. Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen. Leipzig & Berlin: Teubner; 1909.
- [12] Deléglise M, Rivat J. Computing the summation of the Möbius function. Experiment. Math. 1996; 5: 291–295.
- [13] Neubauer G. Eine empirische Untersuchung zur Mertensschen Funktion. Numer. Math. 1963; 5: 1–13.
- [14] Dress F. Fonction sommatoire de la fonction de Möbius. I. Majorations expérimentales. Experiment. Math. 1993; 2: 89–98.
- [15] te Riele H. On the history of the function $M(x)/\sqrt{x}$ since Stieltjes. In: Thomas Jan Stieltjes: Œuvres Complètes/Collected Papers. Vol. 1. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 1993. p. 69–79.

INSTITUTO SAGASTA, GLORIETA DEL DOCTOR ZUBÍA S/N, 26003 LOGROÑO, SPAIN
E-mail address: mbenit8@palmera.pntic.mec.es

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS Y COMPUTACIÓN, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA RIOJA, EDIFICIO J. L. VIVES,
 CALLE LUIS DE ULLOA S/N, 26004 LOGROÑO, SPAIN
E-mail address: jvarona@dmc.unirioja.es
URL: <http://www.unirioja.es/dptos/dmc/jvarona/welcome.html>

Received: January 24, 2008

Revised: April 18, 2008

Accepted: September 6, 2008

© Benito and Varona; Licensee Bentham Open.

This is an open access article licensed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/>) which permits unrestricted, non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the work is properly cited.