

Gauss Jordan

By Jose Divasón

January 21, 2014

Contents

1	Dual Order	6
1.1	Interpretation of dual order based on order	6
1.2	Computable greatest operator	7
2	Class for modular arithmetic	7
2.1	Definition and properties	7
2.2	Conversion between a modular class and the subset of natural numbers associated.	9
2.3	Instantiations	18
3	Miscellaneous	19
3.1	Definitions of number of rows and columns of a matrix	19
3.2	Basic properties about matrices	19
3.3	Theorems obtained from the AFP	20
3.4	Basic properties involving span, linearity and dimensions	23
3.5	Basic properties about matrix multiplication	24
3.6	Properties about invertibility	25
3.7	Instantiations	27
3.8	Properties about lists	28
4	Reduced row echelon form	29
4.1	Defining the concept of Reduced Row Echelon Form	29
4.1.1	Previous definitions and properties	29
4.1.2	Definition of reduced row echelon form up to a column	31
4.1.3	The definition of reduced row echelon form	34
4.2	Properties of the reduced row echelon form of a matrix	34
5	Fundamental Subspaces	39
5.1	The fundamental subspaces of a matrix	39
5.1.1	Definitions	39
5.1.2	Relationships among them	39
5.2	Proving that they are subspaces	40

5.3	More useful properties and equivalences	40
6	Code generation for vectors and matrices	44
7	Elementary Operations over matrices	46
7.1	Some previous results:	46
7.2	Definitions of elementary row and column operations	48
7.3	Properties about elementary row operations	49
7.3.1	Properties about interchanging rows	49
7.3.2	Properties about multiplying a row by a constant	52
7.3.3	Properties about adding a row multiplied by a constant to another row	55
7.4	Properties about elementary column operations	60
7.4.1	Properties about interchanging columns	60
7.4.2	Properties about multiplying a column by a constant	63
7.4.3	Properties about adding a column multiplied by a constant to another column	65
7.5	Relationships amongst the definitions	71
7.6	Code Equations	71
8	Rank Nullity Theorem of Linear Algebra	73
8.1	Previous results	73
8.2	The proof	76
8.3	The rank nullity theorem for matrices	80
9	Rank of a matrix	80
9.1	Row rank, column rank and rank	80
9.2	Properties	80
10	Linear Maps	84
10.1	Properties about ranks and linear maps	84
10.2	Invertible linear maps	85
10.3	Definition and properties of the set of a vector	89
10.4	Coordinates of a vector	94
10.5	Matrix of change of basis and coordinate matrix of a linear map	98
10.6	Equivalent Matrices	110
10.7	Similar matrices	112
11	Gauss Jordan algorithm over abstract matrices	113
11.1	The Gauss-Jordan Algorithm	113
11.2	Properties about rref and the greatest nonzero row.	114
11.3	The proof of its correctness	116
11.4	Lemmas for code generation and rank computation	117

12 Obtaining explicitly the invertible matrix which transforms a matrix to its reduced row echelon form	168
12.1 Definitions	168
12.2 Proofs	169
12.2.1 Properties about <i>Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA</i>	169
12.2.2 Properties about <i>Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA</i>	171
12.2.3 Properties about <i>Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA</i>	172
12.2.4 Properties about <i>Gauss-Jordan-PA</i>	173
12.2.5 Proving that the transformation has been carried out by means of elementary operations	173
13 Computing determinants of matrices using the Gauss Jordan algorithm	180
13.1 Some previous properties	180
13.1.1 Relationships between determinants and elementary row operations	180
13.1.2 Relationships between determinants and elementary column operations	181
13.2 Proving that the determinant can be computed by means of the Gauss Jordan algorithm	182
13.2.1 Previous properties	182
13.2.2 Definitions	184
13.2.3 Proofs	184
14 Inverse of a matrix using the Gauss Jordan algorithm	192
14.1 Several properties	192
14.2 Computing the inverse of a matrix using the Gauss Jordan algorithm	194
15 Bases of the four fundamental subspaces	200
15.1 Computation of the bases of the fundamental subspaces	201
15.2 Relationships amongst the bases	201
15.3 Code equations	201
15.4 Demonstrations that they are bases	202
16 Solving systems of equations using the Gauss Jordan algorithm	205
16.1 Definitions	206
16.2 Relationship between <i>is-solution-def</i> and <i>solve-system-def</i>	206
16.3 Consistent and inconsistent systems of equations	207
16.4 Solution set of a system of equations. Dependent and independent systems.	213
16.5 Solving systems of linear equations	218

17 The Field of Integers mod 2	220
17.1 Bits as a datatype	221
17.2 Type <i>bit</i> forms a field	222
17.3 Numerals at type <i>bit</i>	223
17.4 Conversion from <i>bit</i>	224
18 Code Generation for Bits	224
19 Examples of computations over abstract matrices	225
19.1 Transforming a list of lists to an abstract matrix	225
19.2 Examples	226
19.2.1 Ranks and dimensions	226
19.2.2 Inverse of a matrix	226
19.2.3 Determinant of a matrix	227
19.2.4 Bases of the fundamental subspaces	227
19.2.5 Consistency and inconsistency	228
19.2.6 Solving systems of linear equations	228
20 Immutable Arrays with Code Generation	229
20.1 Code Generation	229
21 IArrays Addenda	231
21.1 Some previous instances	231
21.2 Some previous definitions and properties for IArrays	231
21.2.1 Lemmas	231
21.2.2 Definitions	231
21.3 Code generation	231
22 Matrices as nested IArrays	232
22.1 Isomorphism between matrices implemented by vecs and matrices implemented by iarrays	232
22.1.1 Isomorphism between vec and iarray	232
22.1.2 Isomorphism between matrix and nested iarrays	234
22.2 Definition of operations over matrices implemented by iarrays	235
22.2.1 Properties of previous definitions	236
22.3 Definition of elementary operations	238
22.3.1 Code generator	239
23 Gauss Jordan algorithm over nested IArrays	242
23.1 Definitions and functions to compute the Gauss-Jordan algorithm over matrices represented as nested iarrays	242
23.2 Proving the equivalence between Gauss-Jordan algorithm over nested iarrays and over nested vecs (abstract matrices).	243
23.3 Implementation over IArrays of the computation of the <i>rank</i> of a matrix	250

23.3.1	Proving the equivalence between <i>rank</i> and <i>rank-iarray</i> .	250
23.3.2	Code equations for computing the rank over nested iarrays and the dimensions of the elementary subspaces	252
24	Obtaining explicitly the invertible matrix which transforms a matrix to its reduced row echelon form over nested IArrays	253
24.1	Definitions	253
24.2	Proofs	254
24.2.1	Properties of <i>Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA</i>	254
24.2.2	Properties about <i>Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA</i>	255
24.2.3	Properties about <i>Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA</i>	257
24.2.4	Properties about <i>Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA</i>	260
25	Bases of the four fundamental subspaces over IArrays	262
25.1	Computation of bases of the fundamental subspaces using IArrays	262
25.2	Code equations	263
26	Solving systems of equations using the Gauss Jordan algorithm over nested IArrays	266
26.1	Previous definitions and properties	266
26.2	Consistency and inconsistency	268
26.3	Independence and dependence	273
26.4	Solve a system of equations over nested IArrays	274
27	Computing determinants of matrices using the Gauss Jordan algorithm over nested IArrays	276
27.1	Definitions	276
27.2	Proofs	277
27.3	Code equations	282
28	Inverse of a matrix using the Gauss Jordan algorithm over nested IArrays	283
28.1	Definitions	283
28.2	Some lemmas and code generation	283
29	Examples of computations over matrices represented as nested IArrays	284
29.1	Transformations between nested lists nested IArrays	285
29.2	Examples	285
29.2.1	Ranks, dimensions and Gauss Jordan algorithm	285
29.2.2	Inverse of a matrix	286
29.2.3	Determinant of a matrix	286
29.2.4	Bases of the fundamental subspaces	286
29.2.5	Consistency and inconsistency	288

29.2.6 Solving systems of linear equations	288
30 Code Generation from IArrays to Haskell	289
30.1 Code Generation to Haskell	289
31 Code Generation for rational numbers in Haskell	291
31.1 Serializations	291
32 Serialization of real numbers in Haskell	297
32.1 Implementation of real numbers in Haskell	297
33 Exporting code to SML and Haskell	298

1 Dual Order

```
theory Dual-Order
  imports Main
begin
```

1.1 Interpretation of dual order based on order

Computable Greatest value operator for finite linorder classes. Based on $\text{Least } ?P = (\text{THE } x. ?P x \wedge (\forall y. ?P y \rightarrow x \leq y))$

```
interpretation dual-order: order (op ≥)::('a::{order}=>'a=>bool) (op >)
proof
```

```
  fix x y:'a::{order} show (y < x) = (y ≤ x ∧ ¬ x ≤ y) using less-le-not-le .
  show x ≤ x using order-refl .
```

```
  fix z show y ≤ x ⇒ z ≤ y ⇒ z ≤ x using order-trans .
```

```
next
```

```
  fix x y:'a::{order} show y ≤ x ⇒ x ≤ y ⇒ x = y by (metis eq-iff)
qed
```

```
interpretation dual-linorder: linorder (op ≥)::('a::{linorder}=>'a=>bool) (op >)
```

```
proof
```

```
  fix x y:'a show y ≤ x ∨ x ≤ y using linear .
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma wf-wellorderI2:
```

```
  assumes wf: wf {(x:'a::ord, y). y < x}
```

```
  assumes lin: class.linorder (λ(x:'a) y:'a. y ≤ x) (λ(x:'a) y:'a. y < x)
```

```
  shows class.wellorder (λ(x:'a) y:'a. y ≤ x) (λ(x:'a) y:'a. y < x)
```

```
  using lin unfolding class.wellorder-def apply (rule conjI)
```

```
  apply (rule class.wellorder-axioms.intro) by (blast intro: wf-induct-rule [OF wf])
```

```
lemma (in preorder) tranclp-less': op >++ = op >
```

```

by(auto simp add: fun-eq-iff intro: less-trans elim: tranclp.induct)

interpretation dual-wellorder: wellorder (op  $\geq$ )::('a::{linorder, finite}=>'a=>bool)
(op >)
proof (rule wf-wellorderI2)
show wf {(x :: 'a, y). y < x}
by(auto simp add: trancl-def tranclp-less' intro!: finite-acyclic-wf acyclicI)
show class.linorder ( $\lambda(x::'a) y::'a. y \leq x$ ) ( $\lambda(x::'a) y::'a. y < x$ )
unfolding class.linorder-def unfolding class.linorder-axioms-def unfolding
class.order-def
unfolding class.preorder-def unfolding class.order-axioms-def by auto
qed

```

1.2 Computable greatest operator

```

definition Greatest' :: ('a::order  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a::order (binder GREATEST' 10)
where Greatest' P = dual-order.Least P

```

The following THE operator will be computable when the underlying type belongs to a suitable class (for example, Enum).

```

lemma [code]: Greatest' P = (THE x::'a::order. P x  $\wedge$  ( $\forall y::'a::order. P y \longrightarrow y \leq x$ ))
unfolding Greatest'-def ord.Least-def by fastforce

```

```

lemmas Greatest'I2-order = dual-order.LeastI2-order[folded Greatest'-def]
lemmas Greatest'-equality = dual-order.Least-equality[folded Greatest'-def]
lemmas Greatest'I = dual-wellorder.LeastI[folded Greatest'-def]
lemmas Greatest'I2-ex = dual-wellorder.LeastI2-ex[folded Greatest'-def]
lemmas Greatest'I2-wellorder = dual-wellorder.LeastI2-wellorder[folded Greatest'-def]
lemmas Greatest'I-ex = dual-wellorder.LeastI-ex[folded Greatest'-def]
lemmas not-greater-Greatest' = dual-wellorder.not-less-Least[folded Greatest'-def]
lemmas Greatest'I2 = dual-wellorder.LeastI2[folded Greatest'-def]
lemmas Greatest'-ge = dual-wellorder.Least-le[folded Greatest'-def]

```

```
end
```

2 Class for modular arithmetic

```

theory Mod-Type
imports
$ISABELLE-HOME/src/HOL/Library/Numeral-Type
$ISABELLE-HOME/src/HOL/Multivariate-Analysis/Cartesian-Euclidean-Space
Dual-Order
begin

```

2.1 Definition and properties

Class for modular arithmetic. It is inspired by the locale mod_type.

```

class mod-type = times + wellorder + neg-numeral +
fixes Rep :: 'a => int
  and Abs :: int => 'a
assumes type: type-definition Rep Abs {0..<int CARD ('a)}
  and size1: 1 < int CARD ('a)
  and zero-def: 0 = Abs 0
  and one-def: 1 = Abs 1
  and add-def: x + y = Abs ((Rep x + Rep y) mod (int CARD ('a)))
  and mult-def: x * y = Abs ((Rep x * Rep y) mod (int CARD ('a)))
  and diff-def: x - y = Abs ((Rep x - Rep y) mod (int CARD ('a)))
  and minus-def: - x = Abs ((- Rep x) mod (int CARD ('a)))
  and strict-mono-Rep: strict-mono Rep
begin

lemma size0: 0 < int CARD ('a)
  using size1 by simp

lemmas definitions =
  zero-def one-def add-def mult-def minus-def diff-def

lemma Rep-less-n: Rep x < int CARD ('a)
  by (rule type-definition.Rep [OF type, simplified, THEN conjunct2])

lemma Rep-le-n: Rep x ≤ int CARD ('a)
  by (rule Rep-less-n [THEN order-less-imp-le])

lemma Rep-inject-sym: x = y ↔ Rep x = Rep y
  by (rule type-definition.Rep-inject [OF type, symmetric])

lemma Rep-inverse: Abs (Rep x) = x
  by (rule type-definition.Rep-inverse [OF type])

lemma Abs-inverse: m ∈ {0..<int CARD ('a)} ⇒ Rep (Abs m) = m
  by (rule type-definition.Abs-inverse [OF type])

lemma Rep-Abs-mod: Rep (Abs (m mod int CARD ('a))) = m mod int CARD ('a)
  by (simp add: Abs-inverse pos-mod-conj [OF size0])

lemma Rep-Abs-0: Rep (Abs 0) = 0
  apply (rule Abs-inverse [of 0])
  using size0 by simp

lemma Rep-0: Rep 0 = 0
  by (simp add: zero-def Rep-Abs-0)

lemma Rep-Abs-1: Rep (Abs 1) = 1
  by (simp add: Abs-inverse size1)

```

```

lemma Rep-1: Rep 1 = 1
  by (simp add: one-def Rep-Abs-1)

lemma Rep-mod: Rep x mod int CARD ('a) = Rep x
  apply (rule-tac x=x in type-definition.Abs-cases [OF type])
  apply (simp add: type-definition.Abs-inverse [OF type])
  apply (simp add: mod-pos-pos-trivial)
  done

lemmas Rep-simps =
  Rep-inject-sym Rep-inverse Rep-Abs-mod Rep-mod Rep-Abs-0 Rep-Abs-1

```

2.2 Conversion between a modular class and the subset of natural numbers associated.

Definitions to make transformations among elements of a modular class and naturals

```

definition to-nat :: 'a => nat
  where to-nat = nat o Rep

```

```

definition Abs' :: int => 'a
  where Abs' x = Abs(x mod int CARD ('a))

```

```

definition from-nat :: nat => 'a
  where from-nat = (Abs' o int)

```

```

lemma bij-Rep: bij-betw (Rep) (UNIV::'a set) {0..<int CARD('a)}
  proof (unfold bij-betw-def, rule conjI)
    show inj Rep by (metis strict-mono-imp-inj-on strict-mono-Rep)
    show range Rep = {0..<int CARD('a)} using Typedef.type-definition.Rep-range[OF type].
  qed

```

```

lemma mono-Rep: mono Rep by (metis strict-mono-Rep strict-mono-mono)

```

```

lemma Rep-ge-0: 0 ≤ Rep x using bij-Rep unfolding bij-betw-def by auto

```

```

lemma bij-Abs: bij-betw (Abs) {0..<int CARD('a)} (UNIV::'a set)
  proof (unfold bij-betw-def, rule conjI)
    show inj-on Abs {0..<int CARD('a)} by (metis inj-on-inverseI type type-definition.Abs-inverse)
    show Abs ` {0..<int CARD('a)} = (UNIV::'a set) by (metis type type-definition.univ)
  qed

```

```

corollary bij-Abs': bij-betw (Abs') {0..<int CARD('a)} (UNIV::'a set)
  proof (unfold bij-betw-def, rule conjI)
    show inj-on Abs' {0..<int CARD('a)} unfolding inj-on-def Abs'-def by (auto,
      metis Rep-Abs-mod mod-pos-pos-trivial)
    show Abs' ` {0..<int CARD('a)} = (UNIV::'a set) unfolding image-def Abs'-def

```

```

apply auto
proof -
fix x show  $\exists xa \in \{0..<\text{int } \text{CARD}('a)\}. x = \text{Abs } (xa \bmod \text{int } \text{CARD}('a))$ 
by (rule bexI[of - Rep x], auto simp add: Rep-less-n[of x] Rep-ge-0[of x], metis
Rep-inverse Rep-mod)
qed
qed

lemma bij-from-nat: bij-betw (from-nat) {0..<CARD('a)} (UNIV::'a set)
proof (unfold bij-betw-def, rule conjI)
have set-eq: {0::int..<int CARD('a)} = int` {0..<CARD('a)} apply (auto)
proof -
fix x::int assume x1: (0::int) ≤ x and x2: x < int CARD('a) show x ∈ int
‘ {0::nat..<CARD('a)}
proof (unfold image-def, auto, rule bexI[of - nat x])
show x = int (nat x) using x1 by auto
show nat x ∈ {0::nat..<CARD('a)} using x1 x2 by auto
qed
qed
show inj-on (from-nat::nat⇒'a) {0::nat..<CARD('a)}
proof (unfold from-nat-def , rule comp-inj-on)
show inj-on int {0::nat..<CARD('a)} by (metis inj-of-nat subset-inj-on top-greatest)
show inj-on (Abs'::int=>'a) (int ` {0::nat..<CARD('a)}) using bij-Abs unfolding bij-betw-def set-eq
by (metis (hide-lams, no-types) Abs'-def Abs-inverse Rep-inverse Rep-mod
inj-on-def set-eq)
qed
show (from-nat::nat=>'a)` {0::nat..<CARD('a)} = UNIV unfolding from-nat-def
using bij-Abs' unfolding bij-betw-def set-eq o-def by blast
qed

lemma to-nat-is-inv: the-inv-into {0..<CARD('a)} (from-nat::nat=>'a) = (to-nat::'a=>nat)
proof (unfold the-inv-into-def fun-eq-iff from-nat-def to-nat-def o-def, clarify)
fix x::'a show (THE y::nat. y ∈ {0::nat..<CARD('a)} ∧ Abs' (int y) = x) =
nat (Rep x)
proof (rule the-equality, auto)
show Abs' (Rep x) = x by (metis Abs'-def Rep-inverse Rep-mod)
show nat (Rep x) < CARD('a) by (metis (full-types) Rep-less-n nat-int size0
zless-nat-conj)
assume x: ¬ (0::int) ≤ Rep x show (0::nat) < CARD('a) and Abs' (0::int)
= x using Rep-ge-0 x by auto
next
fix y::nat assume y: y < CARD('a)
have (Rep(Abs'(int y)::'a)) = (Rep((Abs(int y mod int CARD('a))))::'a)) unfolding Abs'-def ..
also have ... = (Rep (Abs (int y)::'a)) using zmod-int[of y CARD('a)] using
y mod-less by auto
also have ... = (int y) proof (rule Abs-inverse) show int y ∈ {0::int..<int
CARD('a)} using y by auto qed

```

```

  finally show y = nat (Rep (Abs' (int y)::'a)) by (metis nat-int)
qed
qed

lemma bij-to-nat: bij-betw (to-nat) (UNIV::'a set) {0..<CARD('a)}
  using bij-betw-the-inv-into[OF bij-from-nat] unfolding to-nat-is-inv .

lemma finite-mod-type: finite (UNIV::'a set)
  using finite-imageD[of to-nat UNIV::'a set] using bij-to-nat unfolding bij-betw-def
by auto

subclass (in mod-type) finite by (intro-classes, rule finite-mod-type)

lemma least-0: (LEAST n. n ∈ (UNIV::'a set)) = 0
proof (rule Least-equality, auto)
  fix y::'a
  have (0::'a) ≤ Abs (Rep y mod int CARD('a)) using strict-mono-Rep unfolding
strict-mono-def
    by (metis (hide-lams, mono-tags) Rep-0 Rep-ge-0 strict-mono-Rep strict-mono-less-eq)
  also have ... = y by (metis Rep-inverse Rep-mod)
  finally show (0::'a) ≤ y .
qed

lemma add-to-nat-def: x + y = from-nat (to-nat x + to-nat y)
  unfolding from-nat-def to-nat-def o-def using Rep-ge-0[of x] using Rep-ge-0[of
y] using Rep-less-n[of x] Rep-less-n[of y]
  unfolding Abs'-def unfolding add-def[of x y] by auto

lemma to-nat-1: to-nat 1 = 1
  by (metis (hide-lams, mono-tags) Rep-1 comp-apply to-nat-def transfer-nat-int-numerals(2))

lemma add-def':
  shows x + y = Abs' (Rep x + Rep y) unfolding Abs'-def using add-def by
simp

lemma Abs'-0:
  shows Abs' (CARD('a))=(0::'a) by (metis (hide-lams, mono-tags) Abs'-def
mod-self zero-def)

lemma Rep-plus-one-le-card:
  assumes a: a + 1 ≠ 0
  shows (Rep a) + 1 < CARD ('a)
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ Rep a + 1 < CARD('a) hence to-nat-eq-card: Rep a + 1 = CARD('a)

    by (metis (hide-lams, mono-tags) Rep-less-n add1-zle-eq dual-order.le-less)
  have a+1 = Abs' (Rep a + Rep (1::'a)) using add-def' by auto
  also have ... = Abs' ((Rep a) + 1) using Rep-1 by simp
  also have ... = Abs' (CARD('a)) unfolding to-nat-eq-card ..

```

```

also have ... = 0 using Abs'-0 by auto
finally show False using a by contradiction
qed

lemma to-nat-plus-one-less-card: ∀ a. a+1 ≠ 0 --> to-nat a + 1 < CARD('a)
proof (clarify)
fix a
assume a: a + 1 ≠ 0
have Rep a + 1 < int CARD('a) using Rep-plus-one-le-card[OF a] by auto
hence nat (Rep a + 1) < nat (int CARD('a)) unfolding zless-nat-conj using
size0 by fast
thus to-nat a + 1 < CARD('a) unfolding to-nat-def o-def using nat-add-distrib[OF
Rep-ge-0] by simp
qed

corollary to-nat-plus-one-less-card':
assumes a+1 ≠ 0
shows to-nat a + 1 < CARD('a) using to-nat-plus-one-less-card assms by simp

lemma strict-mono-to-nat: strict-mono to-nat
using strict-mono-Rep
unfolding strict-mono-def to-nat-def using Rep-ge-0 by (metis comp-apply
nat-less-eq-zless)

lemma to-nat-eq [simp]: to-nat x = to-nat y <-> x = y using injD [OF bij-betw-imp-inj-on[OF
bij-to-nat]] by blast

lemma mod-type-forall-eq [simp]: (∀ j::'a. (to-nat j) < CARD('a) → P j) = (∀ a.
P a)
proof (auto)
fix a assume a: ∀ j. (to-nat::'a=>nat) j < CARD('a) → P j
have (to-nat::'a=>nat) a < CARD('a) using bij-to-nat unfolding bij-betw-def
by auto
thus P a using a by auto
qed

lemma to-nat-from-nat:
assumes t:to-nat j = k
shows from-nat k = j
proof -
have from-nat k = from-nat (to-nat j) unfolding t ..
also have ... = from-nat (the-inv-into {0..<CARD('a)} (from-nat) j) unfolding
to-nat-is-inv ..
also have ... = j
proof (rule f-the-inv-into-f)
show inj-on from-nat {0..<CARD('a)} by (metis bij-betw-imp-inj-on bij-from-nat)
show j ∈ from-nat ‘ {0..<CARD('a)} by (metis UNIV-I bij-betw-def bij-from-nat)
qed
finally show from-nat k = j .

```

```

qed

lemma to-nat-mono:
assumes ab: a < b
shows to-nat a < to-nat b
using strict-mono-to-nat unfolding strict-mono-def using assms by fast

lemma to-nat-mono':
assumes ab: a ≤ b
shows to-nat a ≤ to-nat b
proof (cases a=b)
  case True thus ?thesis by auto
next
  case False
  hence a<b using ab by simp
  thus ?thesis using to-nat-mono by fastforce
qed

lemma least-mod-type:
shows 0 ≤ (n::'a)
using least-0 by (metis (full-types) Least-le UNIV-I)

lemma to-nat-from-nat-id:
assumes x: x < CARD('a)
shows to-nat ((from-nat x)::'a) = x
unfolding to-nat-is-inv[symmetric] proof (rule the-inv-into-f-f)
show inj-on (from-nat::nat=>'a) {0..<CARD('a)} using bij-from-nat unfolding bij-betw-def by auto
show x ∈ {0..<CARD('a)} using x by simp
qed

lemma from-nat-to-nat-id[simp]:
shows from-nat (to-nat x) = x by (metis to-nat-from-nat)

lemma from-nat-to-nat:
assumes t:from-nat j = k and j: j < CARD('a)
shows to-nat k = j by (metis j t to-nat-from-nat-id)

lemma from-nat-mono:
assumes i-le-j: i < j and j: j < CARD('a)
shows (from-nat i::'a) < from-nat j
proof -
have i: i < CARD('a) using i-le-j j by simp
obtain a where a: i=to-nat a using bij-to-nat unfolding bij-betw-def using i to-nat-from-nat-id by metis
obtain b where b: j=to-nat b using bij-to-nat unfolding bij-betw-def using j to-nat-from-nat-id by metis
show ?thesis by (metis a b from-nat-to-nat-id i-le-j strict-mono-less strict-mono-to-nat)
qed

```

```

lemma from-nat-mono':
  assumes i-le-j:  $i \leq j$  and  $j < CARD('a)$ 
  shows (from-nat i:'a)  $\leq$  from-nat j
proof (cases i=j)
  case True
  have (from-nat i:'a) = from-nat j using True by simp
  thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case False
  hence i<j using i-le-j by simp
  thus ?thesis by (metis assms(2) from-nat-mono less-imp-le)
qed

lemma to-nat-suc:
  assumes to-nat (x)+1 < CARD ('a)
  shows to-nat (x + 1:'a) = (to-nat x) + 1
proof -
  have (x:'a) + 1 = from-nat (to-nat x + to-nat (1:'a)) unfolding add-to-nat-def
  ..
  hence to-nat ((x:'a) + 1) = to-nat (from-nat (to-nat x + to-nat (1:'a)):'a)
by presburger
  also have ... = to-nat (from-nat (to-nat x + 1):'a) unfolding to-nat-1 ..
  also have ... = (to-nat x + 1) by (metis assms to-nat-from-nat-id)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma to-nat-le:
  assumes y < from-nat k
  shows to-nat y < k
proof (cases k<CARD('a))
  case True show ?thesis by (metis (full-types) True <y < from-nat k> to-nat-from-nat-id
  to-nat-mono)
next
  case False have to-nat y < CARD ('a) using bij-to-nat unfolding bij-betw-def
  by auto
  thus ?thesis using False by auto
qed

lemma le-Suc:
  assumes ab: a < (b:'a)
  shows a + 1  $\leq$  b
proof -
  have a + 1 = (from-nat (to-nat (a + 1)):'a) using from-nat-to-nat-id [of
  a+1,symmetric] .
  also have ...  $\leq$  (from-nat (to-nat (b:'a)):'a)
  proof (rule from-nat-mono')
    have to-nat a < to-nat b using ab by (metis to-nat-mono)
    hence to-nat a + 1  $\leq$  to-nat b by simp
  qed
qed

```

```

thus to-nat b < CARD ('a) using bij-to-nat unfolding bij-betw-def by auto
  hence to-nat a + 1 < CARD ('a) by (metis <to-nat a + 1 ≤ to-nat b>
  preorder-class.le-less-trans)
    thus to-nat (a + 1) ≤ to-nat b by (metis <to-nat a + 1 ≤ to-nat b> to-nat-suc)
    qed
  also have ... = b by (metis from-nat-to-nat-id)
  finally show a + (1::'a) ≤ b .
qed

lemma le-Suc':
assumes ab: a + 1 ≤ b
and less-card: (to-nat a) + 1 < CARD ('a)
shows a < b
proof -
  have a = (from-nat (to-nat a)::'a) using from-nat-to-nat-id [of a,symmetric] .
  also have ... < (from-nat (to-nat b)::'a)
  proof (rule from-nat-mono)
    show to-nat b < CARD('a) using bij-to-nat unfolding bij-betw-def by auto
    have to-nat (a + 1) ≤ to-nat b using ab by (metis to-nat-mono')
    hence to-nat (a) + 1 ≤ to-nat b using to-nat-suc[OF less-card] by auto
    thus to-nat a < to-nat b by simp
    qed
  finally show a < b by (metis to-nat-from-nat)
qed

lemma Suc-le:
assumes less-card: (to-nat a) + 1 < CARD ('a)
shows a < a + 1
proof -
  have (to-nat a) < (to-nat a) + 1 by simp
  hence (to-nat a) < to-nat (a + 1) by (metis less-card to-nat-suc)
  hence (from-nat (to-nat a)::'a) < from-nat (to-nat (a + 1))
    by (rule from-nat-mono, metis less-card to-nat-suc)
  thus a < a + 1 by (metis to-nat-from-nat)
qed

lemma Suc-le':
fixes a::'a
assumes a + 1 ≠ 0
shows a < a + 1 using Suc-le to-nat-plus-one-less-card assms by blast

lemma from-nat-not-eq:
assumes a-eq-to-nat: a ≠ to-nat b
and a-less-card: a < CARD('a)
shows from-nat a ≠ b
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ from-nat a ≠ b hence from-nat a = b by simp
  hence to-nat ((from-nat a)::'a) = to-nat b by auto
  thus False by (metis a-eq-to-nat a-less-card to-nat-from-nat-id)

```

qed

```
lemma Suc-less:
  fixes i::'a
  assumes i<j
  and i+1 ≠ j
  shows i+1 < j by (metis assms le-Suc le-neq-trans)
```

lemma Greatest-is-minus-1: ∀ a::'a. a ≤ -1

```
proof (clarify)
  fix a::'a
  have zero-ge-card-1: 0 ≤ int CARD('a) – 1 using size1 by auto
  have card-less: int CARD('a) – 1 < int CARD('a) by auto
  have not-zero: 1 mod int CARD('a) ≠ 0 by (metis (hide-lams, mono-tags)
Rep-Abs-1 Rep-mod zero-neq-one)
  have int-card: int (CARD('a) – 1) = int CARD('a) – 1 using zdiff-int[of 1
CARD ('a)] using size1 by simp
  have a = Abs' (Rep a) by (metis (hide-lams, mono-tags) Rep-0 add-0-right
add-def' comm-monoid-add-class.add.right-neutral)
  also have ... = Abs' (int (nat (Rep a))) by (metis Rep-ge-0 int-nat-eq)
  also have ... ≤ Abs' (int (CARD('a) – 1))
  proof (rule from-nat-mono'[unfolded from-nat-def o-def, of nat (Rep a) CARD('a
– 1)])
    show nat (Rep a) ≤ CARD('a) – 1 using Rep-less-n
    by (metis (hide-lams, mono-tags) Rep-1 Rep-le-n dual-linorder.leD dual-linorder.le-less-linear
of-nat-1 of-nat-diff zle-diff1-eq zle-int zless-nat-eq-int-zless)
    show CARD('a) – 1 < CARD('a) using finite-UNIV-card-ge-0 finite-mod-type
  by fastforce
  qed
  also have ... = – 1
  unfolding Abs'-def unfolding minus-def zmod-zminus1-eq-if unfolding Rep-1
  apply (rule cong [of Abs], rule refl)
  unfolding if-not-P [OF not-zero]
  unfolding int-card
  unfolding mod-pos-pos-trivial[OF zero-ge-card-1 card-less]
  using mod-pos-pos-trivial[OF - size1] by presburger
  finally show a ≤ – 1 by fastforce
qed
```

lemma a-eq-minus-1: ∀ a::'a. a+1 = 0 → a = –1

```
by (metis add-neg-numeral-special(2) add-right-cancel sub-num-simps(1))
```

lemma forall-from-nat-rw:

```
shows (∀ x∈{0.. $\langle$ CARD('a)}. P (from-nat x::'a)) = (∀ x. P (from-nat x))
```

```
proof (auto)
```

```
fix y assume *: ∀ x∈{0.. $\langle$ CARD('a)}. P (from-nat x)
```

```

have from-nat y ∈ (UNIV::'a set) by auto
from this obtain x where x1: from-nat y = (from-nat x::'a) and x2: x ∈ {0..<CARD('a)}
  using bij-from-nat unfolding bij-betw-def
  by (metis from-nat-to-nat-id rangeI the-inv-into-onto to-nat-is-inv)
  show P (from-nat y::'a) unfolding x1 using * x2 by simp
qed

lemma from-nat-eq-imp-eq:
  assumes f-eq: from-nat x = (from-nat xa::'a)
  and x: x < CARD('a) and xa: xa < CARD('a)
  shows x=xa using assms from-nat-not-eq by metis

lemma to-nat-less-card:
  fixes j::'a
  shows to-nat j < CARD ('a)
  using bij-to-nat unfolding bij-betw-def by auto

lemma from-nat-0: from-nat 0 = 0
  unfolding from-nat-def o-def of-nat-0 Abs'-def mod-0 zero-def ..
lemma to-nat-0: to-nat 0 = 0 unfolding to-nat-def o-def Rep-0 nat-0 ..
lemma to-nat-eq-0: (to-nat x = 0) = (x = 0) using to-nat-0 to-nat-from-nat by
auto

lemma suc-not-zero:
  assumes to-nat a + 1 ≠ CARD('a)
  shows a+1 ≠ 0
proof (rule ccontr, simp)
  assume a-plus-one-zero: a + 1 = 0
  hence rep-eq-card: Rep a + 1 = CARD('a) using assms to-nat-0 Suc-eq-plus1
  Suc-lessI Zero-not-Suc to-nat-less-card to-nat-suc by (metis (hide-lams, mono-tags))
  moreover have Rep a + 1 < CARD('a)
  using Abs'-0 Rep-1 Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-lessI Suc-neq-Zero add-def' assms rep-eq-card
  to-nat-0 to-nat-less-card to-nat-suc by (metis (hide-lams, mono-tags))
  ultimately show False by fastforce
qed

lemma from-nat-suc:
  shows from-nat (j + 1) = from-nat j + 1
  unfolding from-nat-def o-def Abs'-def add-def' Rep-1 Rep-Abs-mod
  unfolding of-nat-add apply (subst mod-add-left-eq) unfolding int-1 ..

lemma to-nat-plus-1-set:
  shows to-nat a + 1 ∈ {1..<CARD('a)+1}
  using to-nat-less-card by simp

end

```

2.3 Instantiations

```

instantiation bit0 and bit1:: (finite) mod-type
begin

definition (Rep:'a bit0 => int) x = Rep-bit0 x
definition (Abs:int => 'a bit0) x = Abs-bit0' x

definition (Rep:'a bit1 => int) x = Rep-bit1 x
definition (Abs:int => 'a bit1) x = Abs-bit1' x

instance
proof
  show (0:'a bit0) = Abs (0:int) unfolding Abs-bit0-def Abs-bit0'-def zero-bit0-def
  by auto
    show (1:int) < int CARD('a bit0) by (metis bit0.size1)
    show type-definition (Rep:'a bit0 => int) (Abs:int => 'a bit0) {0:int..<int
      CARD('a bit0)}
      proof (unfold type-definition-def Rep-bit0-def [abs-def] Abs-bit0-def [abs-def]
      Abs-bit0'-def, intro conjI)
        show ∀ x:'a bit0. Rep-bit0 x ∈ {0:int..<int CARD('a bit0)}
        unfolding card-bit0 unfolding int-mult
        using Rep-bit0 [where ?'a = 'a] by simp
        show ∀ x:'a bit0. Abs-bit0 (Rep-bit0 x mod int CARD('a bit0)) = x
        by (metis Rep-bit0-inverse bit0.Rep-mod)
        show ∀ y:int. y ∈ {0:int..<int CARD('a bit0)} → Rep-bit0 ((Abs-bit0:int
        => 'a bit0) (y mod int CARD('a bit0))) = y
        by (metis bit0.Abs-inverse bit0.Rep-mod)
      qed
      show (1:'a bit0) = Abs (1:int) unfolding Abs-bit0-def Abs-bit0'-def one-bit0-def
      by (metis bit0.of-nat-eq of-nat-1 one-bit0-def)
      fix x y :: 'a bit0
      show x + y = Abs ((Rep x + Rep y) mod int CARD('a bit0))
      unfolding Abs-bit0-def Rep-bit0-def plus-bit0-def Abs-bit0'-def by fastforce
      show x * y = Abs (Rep x * Rep y mod int CARD('a bit0))
      unfolding Abs-bit0-def Rep-bit0-def times-bit0-def Abs-bit0'-def by fastforce
      show x - y = Abs ((Rep x - Rep y) mod int CARD('a bit0))
      unfolding Abs-bit0-def Rep-bit0-def minus-bit0-def Abs-bit0'-def by fastforce
      show - x = Abs (- Rep x mod int CARD('a bit0))
      unfolding Abs-bit0-def Rep-bit0-def uminus-bit0-def Abs-bit0'-def by fastforce
      show (0:'a bit1) = Abs (0:int) unfolding Abs-bit1-def Abs-bit1'-def zero-bit1-def
      by auto
      show (1:int) < int CARD('a bit1) by (metis bit1.size1)
      show (1:'a bit1) = Abs (1:int) unfolding Abs-bit1-def Abs-bit1'-def one-bit1-def
      by (metis bit1.of-nat-eq of-nat-1 one-bit1-def)
      fix x y :: 'a bit1
      show x + y = Abs ((Rep x + Rep y) mod int CARD('a bit1))
      unfolding Abs-bit1-def Abs-bit1'-def Rep-bit1-def plus-bit1-def by fastforce
      show x * y = Abs (Rep x * Rep y mod int CARD('a bit1))

```

```

unfolding Abs-bit1-def Rep-bit1-def times-bit1-def Abs-bit1'-def by fastforce
show x - y = Abs ((Rep x - Rep y) mod int CARD('a bit1))
unfolding Abs-bit1-def Rep-bit1-def minus-bit1-def Abs-bit1'-def by fastforce
show - x = Abs (- Rep x mod int CARD('a bit1))
unfolding Abs-bit1-def Rep-bit1-def uminus-bit1-def Abs-bit1'-def by fastforce
show type-definition (Rep::'a bit1 => int) (Abs:: int => 'a bit1) {0::int..<int
CARD('a bit1)}
proof (unfold type-definition-def Rep-bit1-def [abs-def] Abs-bit1-def [abs-def]
Abs-bit1'-def, intro conjI)
show  $\forall x::'a \text{ bit1}.$  Rep-bit1 x  $\in \{0::\text{int}..\text{<int CARD('a bit1)}\}$ 
unfolding card-bit1
unfolding int-Suc int-mult
using Rep-bit1 [where ?'a = 'a] by simp
show  $\forall x::'a \text{ bit1}.$  Abs-bit1 (Rep-bit1 x mod int CARD('a bit1)) = x
by (metis Rep-bit1-inverse bit1.Rep-mod)
show  $\forall y::\text{int}.$  y  $\in \{0::\text{int}..\text{<int CARD('a bit1)}\} \longrightarrow$  Rep-bit1 ((Abs-bit1::int
=> 'a bit1) (y mod int CARD('a bit1))) = y
by (metis bit1.Abs-inverse bit1.Rep-mod)
qed
show strict-mono (Rep::'a bit0 => int) unfolding strict-mono-def by (metis
Rep-bit0-def less-bit0-def)
show strict-mono (Rep::'a bit1 => int) unfolding strict-mono-def by (metis
Rep-bit1-def less-bit1-def)
qed
end

end

```

3 Miscellaneous

```

theory Miscellaneous
imports ~~/src/HOL/Multivariate-Analysis/Multivariate-Analysis
begin

```

3.1 Definitions of number of rows and columns of a matrix

```

definition nrows :: 'a ^ 'columns ^ 'rows => nat
where nrows A = CARD('rows)

definition ncols :: 'a ^ 'columns ^ 'rows => nat
where ncols A = CARD('columns)

```

3.2 Basic properties about matrices

```

lemma nrows-not-0[simp]:
shows 0  $\neq$  nrows A unfolding nrows-def by simp

```

```

lemma ncols-not-0[simp]:
  shows 0 ≠ ncols A unfolding ncols-def by simp

lemma nrows-transpose: nrows (transpose A) = ncols A
  unfolding nrows-def ncols-def ..

lemma ncols-transpose: ncols (transpose A) = nrows A
  unfolding nrows-def ncols-def ..

lemma finite-rows: finite (rows A)
  using finite-Atleast-Atmost-nat[of λi. row i A] unfolding rows-def .

lemma finite-columns: finite (columns A)
  using finite-Atleast-Atmost-nat[of λi. column i A] unfolding columns-def .

lemma matrix-vector-zero: A *v 0 = 0
  unfolding matrix-vector-mult-def by (simp add: zero-vec-def)

lemma vector-matrix-zero: 0 v* A = 0
  unfolding vector-matrix-mult-def by (simp add: zero-vec-def)

lemma vector-matrix-zero': x v* 0 = 0
  unfolding vector-matrix-mult-def by (simp add: zero-vec-def)

lemma transpose-vector: x v* A = transpose A *v x
  by (unfold matrix-vector-mult-def vector-matrix-mult-def transpose-def, auto)

lemma transpose-zero[simp]: (transpose A = 0) = (A = 0)
  unfolding transpose-def zero-vec-def vec-eq-iff by auto

```

3.3 Theorems obtained from the AFP

The following seven theorems have been obtained from the AFP http://afp.sourceforge.net/browser_info/current/HOL/Tarskis_Geometry/Linear_Algebra2.html. I have removed some restrictions over the type classes.

```

lemma vector-matrix-left-distrib:
  shows (x + y) v* A = x v* A + y v* A
  unfolding vector-matrix-mult-def
  by (simp add: algebra-simps setsum-addf vec-eq-iff)

lemma matrix-vector-right-distrib:
  shows M *v (v + w) = M *v v + M *v w
  proof –
    have M *v (v + w) = (v + w) v* transpose M by (metis transpose-transpose
    transpose-vector)

```

```

also have ... = v v* transpose M + w v* transpose M
  by (rule vector-matrix-left-distrib [of v w transpose M])
finally show M *v (v + w) = M *v v + M *v w by (metis transpose-transpose
transpose-vector)
qed

lemma scalar-vector-matrix-assoc:
  fixes k :: real and x :: 'a::semiring-1, real-algebra} ^('n::finite) and A :: 'a ^('m::finite) ^'n
  shows (k *_R x) v* A = k *_R (x v* A)
  by (unfold vector-matrix-mult-def vec-eq-iff, auto simp add: scaleR-setsum-right)

lemma vector-scalar-matrix-ac:
  fixes k :: real and x :: 'a::semiring-1, real-algebra} ^('n::finite) and A :: 'a ^('m::finite) ^'n
  shows x v* (k *_R A) = k *_R (x v* A)
  using scalar-vector-matrix-assoc unfolding vector-matrix-mult-def by auto

lemma transpose-scalar: transpose (k *_R A) = k *_R transpose A
  unfolding transpose-def
  by (simp add: vec-eq-iff)

lemma scalar-matrix-vector-assoc:
  fixes A :: 'a::semiring-1, real-algebra} ^('m::finite) ^('n::finite)
  shows k *_R (A *v v) = k *_R A *v v
proof -
  have k *_R (A *v v) = k *_R (v v* transpose A) by (metis transpose-transpose
transpose-vector)
  also have ... = v v* k *_R transpose A
    by (rule vector-scalar-matrix-ac [symmetric])
  also have ... = v v* transpose (k *_R A) unfolding transpose-scalar ..
  finally show k *_R (A *v v) = k *_R A *v v by (metis transpose-transpose
transpose-vector)
qed

lemma matrix-scalar-vector-ac:
  fixes A :: 'a::semiring-1, real-algebra} ^('m::finite) ^('n::finite)
  shows A *v (k *_R v) = k *_R A *v v
proof -
  have A *v (k *_R v) = k *_R (v v* transpose A) by (metis transpose-transpose
scalar-vector-matrix-assoc transpose-vector)
  also have ... = v v* k *_R transpose A
    by (subst vector-scalar-matrix-ac) simp
  also have ... = v v* transpose (k *_R A) by (subst transpose-scalar) simp
  also have ... = k *_R A *v v by (metis transpose-transpose transpose-vector)
  finally show A *v (k *_R v) = k *_R A *v v .
qed

```

Here ends the theorems obtained from AFP.

The following definitions and lemmas are also obtained from AFP: http://afp.sourceforge.net/browser_info/current/HOL/Tarskis_Geometry/Linear_Algebra2.html

definition

```
is-basis :: (real^(n::finite)) set => bool where
  is-basis S ≡ independent S ∧ span S = UNIV
```

lemma card-finite:

```
assumes card S = CARD(n::finite)
shows finite S
```

proof –

```
from ⟨card S = CARD(n)⟩ have card S ≠ 0 by simp
with card-eq-0-iff [of S] show finite S by simp
```

qed

lemma independent-is-basis:

```
fixes B :: (real^(n::finite)) set
shows independent B ∧ card B = CARD(n) ↔ is-basis B
```

proof

```
assume independent B ∧ card B = CARD(n)
```

```
hence independent B and card B = CARD(n) by simp+
```

```
from card-finite [of B, where 'n = 'n] and ⟨card B = CARD(n)⟩
```

```
have finite B by simp
```

```
from ⟨card B = CARD(n)⟩
```

```
have card B = dim (UNIV :: ((real^n) set))
```

```
by (simp add: dim-UNIV)
```

```
with card-eq-dim [of B UNIV] and ⟨finite B⟩ and ⟨independent B⟩
```

```
have span B = UNIV by auto
```

```
with ⟨independent B⟩ show is-basis B unfolding is-basis-def ..
```

next

```
assume is-basis B
```

```
hence independent B unfolding is-basis-def ..
```

```
moreover have card B = CARD(n)
```

proof –

```
have B ⊆ UNIV by simp
```

moreover

```
{ from ⟨is-basis B⟩ have UNIV ⊆ span B and independent B
```

unfolding is-basis-def

```
by simp+ }
```

```
ultimately have card B = dim (UNIV :: ((real^n) set))
```

```
using basis-card-eq-dim [of B UNIV]
```

```
by simp
```

```
then show card B = CARD(n) by (simp add: dim-UNIV)
```

qed

```
ultimately show independent B ∧ card B = CARD(n) ..
```

qed

lemma basis-finite:

```
fixes B :: (real^(n::finite)) set
```

```

assumes is-basis B
shows finite B
proof -
  from independent-is-basis [of B] and <is-basis B> have card B = CARD('n)
    by simp
  with card-finite [of B, where 'n = 'n] show finite B by simp
qed

```

Here ends the facts obtained from AFP: http://afp.sourceforge.net/browser_info/current/HOL/Tarskis_Geometry/Linear_Algebra2.html

3.4 Basic properties involving span, linearity and dimensions

This theorem is the reciprocal theorem of $\text{independent } ?B \implies \text{finite } ?B \wedge \text{card } ?B = \dim (\text{span } ?B)$

```

lemma card-eq-dim-span-indep:
fixes A :: ('n::euclidean-space) set
assumes dim (span A) = card A and finite A
shows independent A
by (metis assms card-le-dim-spanning dim-subset equalityE span-inc)

lemma dim-zero-eq:
fixes A::'a::euclidean-space set
assumes dim-A: dim A = 0
shows A = {} ∨ A = {0}
proof -
  obtain B where ind-B: independent B and A-in-span-B: A ⊆ span B and
  card-B: card B = 0 using basis-exists[of A] unfolding dim-A by blast
  have finite-B: finite B using indep-card-eq-dim-span[OF ind-B] by simp
  hence B-eq-empty: B = {} using card-B unfolding card-eq-0-iff by simp
  have A ⊆ {0} using A-in-span-B unfolding B-eq-empty span-empty .
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed

lemma dim-zero-eq':
fixes A::'a::euclidean-space set
assumes A: A = {} ∨ A = {0}
shows dim A = 0
proof -
  have card ({::'}a set) = dim A
    proof (rule basis-card-eq-dim[THEN conjunct2, of {::'}a set A])
      show {} ⊆ A by simp
      show A ⊆ span {} using A by fastforce
      show independent {} by (rule independent-empty)
    qed
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma dim-zero-subspace-eq:
  fixes A::'a::euclidean-space set
  assumes subs-A: subspace A
  shows (dim A = 0) = (A = {0}) using dim-zero-eq dim-zero-eq' subspace-0[OF
  subs-A] by auto

lemma span-0-imp-set-empty-or-0:
  assumes span A = {0}
  shows A = {} ∨ A = {0} by (metis assms span-inc subset-singletonD)

lemma linear-injective-ker-0:
  assumes lf: linear f
  shows inj f = ({x. f x = 0} = {0})
  unfolding linear-injective-0[OF lf]
  using linear-0[OF lf] by blast

lemma snd-if-conv:
  shows snd (if P then (A,B) else (C,D)) = (if P then B else D) by simp

```

3.5 Basic properties about matrix multiplication

```

lemma row-matrix-matrix-mult:
  fixes A::'a::{comm-ring-1} ^'n ^'m
  shows (P $ i) v* A = (P ** A) $ i
  unfolding vec-eq-iff
  unfolding vector-matrix-mult-def unfolding matrix-matrix-mult-def
  by (auto intro!: setsum-cong)

corollary row-matrix-matrix-mult':
  fixes A::'a::{comm-ring-1} ^'n ^'m
  shows (row i P) v* A = row i (P ** A)
  using row-matrix-matrix-mult unfolding row-def vec-nth-inverse .

lemma column-matrix-matrix-mult:
  shows column i (P**A) = P *v (column i A)
  unfolding column-def matrix-vector-mult-def matrix-matrix-mult-def by fastforce

lemma matrix-matrix-mult-inner-mult:
  shows (A ** B) $ i $ j = row i A · column j B
  unfolding inner-vec-def matrix-matrix-mult-def row-def column-def by auto

lemma matrix-vmult-column-sum:
  fixes A::real ^'n ^'m
  shows ∃f. A *v x = setsum (λy. f y *R y) (columns A)
  proof (rule exI[of - λy. setsum (λi. x $ i) {i. y = column i A}])
    let ?f=λy. setsum (λi. x $ i) {i. y = column i A}
    let ?g=(λy. {i. y=column i (A)})


```

```

have inj: inj-on ?g (columns (A)) unfolding inj-on-def unfolding columns-def
by auto
have union-univ:  $\bigcup (\{g'(columns (A))\}) = UNIV$  unfolding columns-def by
auto
have A *v x = ( $\sum_{i \in UNIV} x \$ i *s column i A$ ) unfolding matrix-mult-vsum ..
 $\dots$ 
also have ... = setsum ( $\lambda i. x \$ i *s column i A$ ) ( $\bigcup (\{g'(columns A)\})$ ) unfolding
union-univ ..
also have ... = setsum (setsum (( $\lambda i. x \$ i *s column i A$ ))) ( $\{g'(columns A)\}$ )
by (rule setsum-Union-disjoint, auto)
also have ... = setsum ((setsum (( $\lambda i. x \$ i *s column i A$ )))  $\circ ?g$ ) (columns
A) by (rule setsum-reindex, simp add: inj)
also have ... = setsum ( $\lambda y. ?f y *R y$ ) (columns A)
proof (rule setsum-cong2, unfold o-def)
fix xa
have setsum ( $\lambda i. x \$ i *s column i A$ ) {i. xa = column i A} = setsum ( $\lambda i. x$ 
 $\$ i *s xa$ ) {i. xa = column i A} by simp
also have ... = setsum ( $\lambda i. x \$ i *R xa$ ) {i. xa = column i A} unfolding
scalar-mult-eq-scaleR ..
also have ... = setsum ( $\lambda i. x \$ i$ ) {i. xa = column i A} *R xa using
scaleR-setsum-left[of ( $\lambda i. x \$ i$ ) {i. xa = column i A} xa] ..
finally show ( $\sum_{i \mid xa = column i A} x \$ i *s column i A$ ) = ( $\sum_{i \mid xa =$ 
column i A. x \$ i) *R xa .
qed
finally show A *v x = ( $\sum_{y \in columns A} (\sum_{i \mid y = column i A} x \$ i) *R y$ ) .
qed

```

3.6 Properties about invertibility

```

lemma matrix-inv:
assumes invertible M
shows matrix-inv-left: matrix-inv M ** M = mat 1
and matrix-inv-right: M ** matrix-inv M = mat 1
using ⟨invertible M⟩ and someI-ex [of  $\lambda N. M ** N = mat 1 \wedge N ** M =$ 
mat 1]
unfolding invertible-def and matrix-inv-def
by simp-all

lemma invertible-mult:
assumes inv-A: invertible A
and inv-B: invertible B
shows invertible (A**B)
proof -
obtain A' where AA': A ** A' = mat 1 and A'A: A' ** A = mat 1 using
inv-A unfolding invertible-def by blast
obtain B' where BB': B ** B' = mat 1 and B'B: B' ** B = mat 1 using
inv-B unfolding invertible-def by blast
show ?thesis
proof (unfold invertible-def, rule exI[of - B'**A'], rule conjI)

```

```

have A ** B ** (B' ** A') = A ** (B ** (B' ** A')) using matrix-mul-assoc[of
A B (B' ** A'), symmetric] .
also have ... = A ** (B ** B' ** A') unfolding matrix-mul-assoc[of B B' A'] ..
..
also have ... = A ** (mat 1 ** A') unfolding BB' ..
also have ... = A ** A' unfolding matrix-mul-lid ..
also have ... = mat 1 unfolding AA' ..
finally show A ** B ** (B' ** A') = mat (1::'a) .
have B' ** A' ** (A ** B) = B' ** (A' ** (A ** B)) using matrix-mul-assoc[of
B' A' (A ** B), symmetric] .
also have ... = B' ** (A' ** A ** B) unfolding matrix-mul-assoc[of A' A B] ..
..
also have ... = B' ** (mat 1 ** B) unfolding A'A ..
also have ... = B' ** B unfolding matrix-mul-lid ..
also have ... = mat 1 unfolding B'B ..
finally show B' ** A' ** (A ** B) = mat 1 .
qed
qed

```

In the library, $\text{matrix-inv } ?A = (\text{SOME } A'. ?A ** A' = \text{mat} (1::?'a) \wedge A' ** ?A = \text{mat} (1::?'a))$ allows the use of non square matrices. The following lemma can be also proved fixing A

```

lemma matrix-inv-unique:
fixes A::'a::{semiring-1} ^'n ^'n
assumes AB: A ** B = mat 1 and BA: B ** A = mat 1
shows matrix-inv A = B
proof (unfold matrix-inv-def, rule some-equality)
show A ** B = mat (1::'a)  $\wedge$  B ** A = mat (1::'a) using AB BA by simp
fix C assume A ** C = mat (1::'a)  $\wedge$  C ** A = mat (1::'a)
hence AC: A ** C = mat (1::'a) and CA: C ** A = mat (1::'a) by auto
have B = B ** (mat 1) unfolding matrix-mul-rid ..
also have ... = B ** (A**C) unfolding AC ..
also have ... = B ** A ** C unfolding matrix-mul-assoc ..
also have ... = C unfolding BA matrix-mul-lid ..
finally show C = B ..
qed

```

```

lemma matrix-vector-mult-zero-eq:
assumes P: invertible P
shows ((P**A)*v x = 0) = (A *v x = 0)
proof (rule iffI)
assume P ** A *v x = 0
hence matrix-inv P *v (P ** A *v x) = matrix-inv P *v 0 by simp
hence matrix-inv P *v (P ** A *v x) = 0 by (metis matrix-vector-zero)
hence (matrix-inv P ** P ** A) *v x = 0 by (metis matrix-vector-mul-assoc)
thus A *v x = 0 by (metis assms matrix-inv-left matrix-mul-lid)
next
assume A *v x = 0

```

```
thus  $P \otimes A *v x = 0$  by (metis matrix-vector-mul-assoc matrix-vector-zero)
qed
```

```
lemma inj-matrix-vector-mult:
fixes  $P::\text{real}^n \times m$ 
assumes  $P$ : invertible  $P$ 
shows inj ( $\text{op} *v P$ )
unfolding linear-injective-0[ $\text{OF matrix-vector-mul-linear}$ ]
using matrix-left-invertible-ker[of  $P$ ]  $P$  unfolding invertible-def by blast
```

```
lemma independent-image-matrix-vector-mult:
fixes  $P::\text{real}^n \times m$ 
assumes ind-B: independent  $B$  and inv-P: invertible  $P$ 
shows independent ( $(\text{op} *v P)^\dagger B$ )
proof (rule independent-injective-on-span-image)
show independent  $B$  using ind-B .
show linear ( $\text{op} *v P$ ) using matrix-vector-mul-linear by force
show inj-on ( $\text{op} *v P$ ) (span  $B$ ) using inj-matrix-vector-mult[ $\text{OF inv-P}$ ] unfolding inj-on-def by simp
qed
```

```
lemma independent-preimage-matrix-vector-mult:
fixes  $P::\text{real}^n \times n$ 
assumes ind-B: independent ( $(\text{op} *v P)^\dagger B$ ) and inv-P: invertible  $P$ 
shows independent  $B$ 
proof -
have independent ( $(\text{op} *v (\text{matrix-inv } P))^\dagger ((\text{op} *v P)^\dagger B)$ )
proof (rule independent-image-matrix-vector-mult)
show independent ( $\text{op} *v P^\dagger B$ ) using ind-B .
show invertible ( $\text{matrix-inv } P$ ) by (metis inv-P invertible-righ-inverse matrix-inv-left)
qed
moreover have ( $\text{op} *v (\text{matrix-inv } P))^\dagger ((\text{op} *v P)^\dagger B) = B$ 
proof (auto)
fix  $x$  assume  $x: x \in B$  show  $\text{matrix-inv } P *v (\text{op} *v x) \in B$  by (metis (full-types) x inv-P matrix-inv-left matrix-vector-mul-assoc matrix-vector-mul-lid)
thus  $x \in \text{op} *v (\text{matrix-inv } P)^\dagger \text{op} *v P^\dagger B$ 
unfolding image-def by (auto, metis inv-P matrix-inv-left matrix-vector-mul-assoc matrix-vector-mul-lid)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
```

3.7 Instantiations

Functions between two real vector spaces form a real vector

```
instantiation fun :: (real-vector, real-vector) real-vector
begin
```

```

definition plus-fun f g = ( $\lambda i. f i + g i$ )
definition zero-fun = ( $\lambda i. 0$ )
definition scaleR-fun a f = ( $\lambda i. a *_R f i$ )

instance proof
  fix a::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b and b::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b and c::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b
  show a + b + c = a + (b + c) unfolding fun-eq-iff unfolding plus-fun-def
  by auto
  show a + b = b + a unfolding fun-eq-iff unfolding plus-fun-def by auto
  show (0::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b) + a = a unfolding fun-eq-iff unfolding plus-fun-def
  zero-fun-def by auto
  show - a + a = (0::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b) unfolding fun-eq-iff unfolding plus-fun-def
  zero-fun-def by auto
  show a - b = a + - b unfolding fun-eq-iff unfolding plus-fun-def zero-fun-def
  by auto
next
fix a::real and x::('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b) and y::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b
show a *R (x + y) = a *R x + a *R y
unfolding fun-eq-iff plus-fun-def scaleR-fun-def scaleR-right.add by auto
next
fix a::real and b::real and x::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b
show (a + b) *R x = a *R x + b *R x
unfolding fun-eq-iff unfolding plus-fun-def scaleR-fun-def unfolding scaleR-left.add
by auto
show a *R b *R x = (a * b) *R x unfolding fun-eq-iff unfolding scaleR-fun-def
by auto
show (1::real) *R x = x unfolding fun-eq-iff unfolding scaleR-fun-def by auto
qed
end

```

```

instantiation vec :: (type, finite) equal
begin
definition equal-vec :: ('a, 'b::finite) vec  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'b::finite) vec  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where equal-vec x y = ( $\forall i. x\$i = y\$i$ )
instance
proof (intro-classes)
  fix x y::('a, 'b::finite) vec
  show equal-class.equal x y = (x = y) unfolding equal-vec-def using vec-eq-iff
  by auto
qed
end

```

3.8 Properties about lists

The following definitions and theorems are developed in order to compute setprods. More theorems and properties can be demonstrated in a similar way to the ones about *listsum*.

```
definition (in monoid-mult) listprod :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
```

```

listprod xs = foldr times xs 1

lemma (in monoid-mult) listprod-simps [simp]:
  listprod [] = 1
  listprod (x # xs) = x * listprod xs
  by (simp-all add: listprod-def)

lemma (in monoid-mult) listprod-append [simp]:
  listprod (xs @ ys) = listprod xs * listprod ys
  by (induct xs) (simp-all add: mult.assoc)

lemma (in comm-monoid-mult) listprod-rev [simp]:
  listprod (rev xs) = listprod xs
  by (simp add: listprod-def foldr-fold fold-rev fun-eq-iff mult-ac)

lemma (in monoid-mult) listprod-distinct-conv-setprod-set:
  distinct xs ==> listprod (map f xs) = setprod f (set xs)
  by (induct xs) simp-all

lemma setprod-code [code]:
  setprod f (set xs) = listprod (map f (remdups xs))
  by (simp add: listprod-distinct-conv-setprod-set)

end

```

4 Reduced row echelon form

```

theory Rref
imports
  Mod-Type
  Miscellaneous
begin

```

4.1 Defining the concept of Reduced Row Echelon Form

4.1.1 Previous definitions and properties

This function returns True if each position lesser than k in a column contains a zero.

```

definition is-zero-row-upk :: 'rows => nat => 'a::{zero} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows
=> bool
  where is-zero-row-upk i k A = (forall j::'columns. (to-nat j) < k --> A $ i $ j =
0)

definition is-zero-row :: 'rows => 'a::{zero} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows => bool
  where is-zero-row i A = is-zero-row-upk i (ncols A) A

```

```

lemma is-zero-row-upt-ncols:
  fixes  $A::'a::\{zero\}^{'columns::\{mod-type\}}^{'rows}$ 
  shows is-zero-row-upt-k i (ncols A) A = ( $\forall j::'columns. A \$ i \$ j = 0$ ) unfolding
is-zero-row-def is-zero-row-upt-k-def ncols-def by auto

corollary is-zero-row-def':
  fixes  $A::'a::\{zero\}^{'columns::\{mod-type\}}^{'rows}$ 
  shows is-zero-row i A = ( $\forall j::'columns. A \$ i \$ j = 0$ ) using is-zero-row-upt-ncols
unfolding is-zero-row-def ncols-def .

lemma is-zero-row-eq-row-zero: is-zero-row a A = (row a A = 0)
  unfolding is-zero-row-def' row-def
  unfolding vec-nth-inverse
  unfolding vec-eq-iff zero-index ..

lemma not-is-zero-row-upt-suc:
  assumes  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i (\text{Suc } k) A$ 
  and  $\forall i. A \$ i \$ (\text{from-nat } k) = 0$ 
  shows  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i k A$ 
  using assms from-nat-to-nat-id
  using is-zero-row-upt-k-def less-SucE
  by metis

lemma is-zero-row-upt-k-suc:
  assumes is-zero-row-upt-k i k A
  and  $A \$ i \$ (\text{from-nat } k) = 0$ 
  shows is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) A
  using assms unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def using less-SucE to-nat-from-nat
  by metis

lemma is-zero-row-upt-0:
  shows is-zero-row-upt-k m 0 A unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def by fast

lemma is-zero-row-upt-0':
  shows  $\forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m 0 A$  unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def by fast

lemma is-zero-row-upt-k-le:
  assumes is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) A
  shows is-zero-row-upt-k i k A
  using assms unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def by simp

lemma is-zero-row-imp-is-zero-row-upt:
  assumes is-zero-row i A
  shows is-zero-row-upt-k i k A
  using assms unfolding is-zero-row-def is-zero-row-upt-k-def ncols-def by simp

```

4.1.2 Definition of reduced row echelon form up to a column

This definition returns True if a matrix is in reduced row echelon form up to the column k (not included), otherwise False.

```
definition reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k :: 'a::{zero, one} ^'m::{mod-type} ^'n::{finite, ord, plus, one} => nat => bool
where reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k =
(
  ( $\forall i. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i k A \longrightarrow \neg (\exists j. j > i \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } j k A)) \wedge$ 
  ( $\forall i. \neg (\text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i k A) \longrightarrow A \$ i \$ (\text{LEAST } k. A \$ i \$ k \neq 0) = 1) \wedge$ 
   (*In the following condition,  $i < i+1$  is assumed to avoid that row  $i$  can be the latest row (in that case,  $i+1$  would be the first row):*)
  ( $\forall i. i < i+1 \wedge \neg (\text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i k A) \wedge \neg (\text{is-zero-row-upt-k } (i+1) k A)$ 
    $\longrightarrow ((\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ (i+1) \$ n \neq 0))) \wedge$ 
   ( $\forall i. \neg (\text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i k A) \longrightarrow (\forall j. i \neq j \longrightarrow A \$ j \$ (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) = 0))$ 
  )
)
```

```
lemma rref-upt-0: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A 0
unfolding reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k-def is-zero-row-upt-k-def by auto
```

```
lemma rref-upt-condition1:
assumes r: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k
shows ( $\forall i. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i k A \longrightarrow \neg (\exists j. j > i \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } j k A))$ 
using r unfolding reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k-def by simp
```

```
lemma rref-upt-condition2:
assumes r: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k
shows ( $\forall i. \neg (\text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i k A) \longrightarrow A \$ i \$ (\text{LEAST } k. A \$ i \$ k \neq 0) = 1$ )
using r unfolding reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k-def by simp
```

```
lemma rref-upt-condition3:
assumes r: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k
shows ( $\forall i. i < i+1 \wedge \neg (\text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i k A) \wedge \neg (\text{is-zero-row-upt-k } (i+1) k A) \longrightarrow ((\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ (i+1) \$ n \neq 0)))$ 
using r unfolding reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k-def by simp
```

```
lemma rref-upt-condition4:
assumes r: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k
shows ( $\forall i. \neg (\text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i k A) \longrightarrow (\forall j. i \neq j \longrightarrow A \$ j \$ (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) = 0))$ 
using r unfolding reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k-def by simp
```

Explicit lemmas for each condition

```
lemma rref-upt-condition1-explicit:
assumes reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k
and is-zero-row-upt-k i k A
```

```

and  $j > i$ 
shows  $\text{is-zero-row-upk } j \ k \ A$ 
using assms rref-upk-condition1 by blast

lemma rref-upk-condition2-explicit:
assumes rref-A: reduced-row-echelon-form-upk A k
and  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } i \ k \ A$ 
shows  $A \$ i \$ (\text{LEAST } k. A \$ i \$ k \neq 0) = 1$ 
using rref-upk-condition2 assms by blast

lemma rref-upk-condition3-explicit:
assumes reduced-row-echelon-form-upk A k
and  $i < i + 1$ 
and  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } i \ k \ A$ 
and  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } (i + 1) \ k \ A$ 
shows  $(\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ (i + 1) \$ n \neq 0)$ 
using assms rref-upk-condition3 by blast

lemma rref-upk-condition4-explicit:
assumes reduced-row-echelon-form-upk A k
and  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } i \ k \ A$ 
and  $i \neq j$ 
shows  $A \$ j \$ (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) = 0$ 
using assms rref-upk-condition4 by auto

```

Intro lemma and general properties

```

lemma reduced-row-echelon-form-upk-intro:
assumes  $(\forall i. \text{is-zero-row-upk } i \ k \ A \longrightarrow \neg (\exists j. j > i \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } j \ k \ A))$ 
and  $(\forall i. \neg (\text{is-zero-row-upk } i \ k \ A) \longrightarrow A \$ i \$ (\text{LEAST } k. A \$ i \$ k \neq 0) = 1)$ 
and  $(\forall i. i < i + 1 \wedge \neg (\text{is-zero-row-upk } i \ k \ A) \wedge \neg (\text{is-zero-row-upk } (i + 1) \ k \ A) \longrightarrow ((\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ (i + 1) \$ n \neq 0)))$ 
and  $(\forall i. \neg (\text{is-zero-row-upk } i \ k \ A) \longrightarrow (\forall j. i \neq j \longrightarrow A \$ j \$ (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) = 0))$ 
shows reduced-row-echelon-form-upk A k
unfolding reduced-row-echelon-form-upk-def using assms by fast

```

```

lemma rref-suc-imp-rref:
fixes  $A :: 'a :: \{\text{semiring\_1}\} :: 'n :: \{\text{mod-type}\} :: 'm :: \{\text{mod-type}\}$ 
assumes r: reduced-row-echelon-form-upk A (Suc k)
and k-le-card:  $\text{Suc } k < \text{ncols } A$ 
shows reduced-row-echelon-form-upk A k
proof (rule reduced-row-echelon-form-upk-intro)
show  $\forall i. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } i \ k \ A \longrightarrow A \$ i \$ (\text{LEAST } k. A \$ i \$ k \neq 0) = 1$ 
using rref-upk-condition2[OF r] less-SucI unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def by blast
show  $\forall i. i < i + 1 \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } i \ k \ A \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } (i + 1) \ k \ A \longrightarrow ((\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ (i + 1) \$ n \neq 0))$ 

```

```

using rref-upt-condition3[OF r] less-SucI unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def by
blast
show ∀ i. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k i k A → (∀ j. i ≠ j → A $ j $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0) = 0)
using rref-upt-condition4[OF r] less-SucI unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def by
blast
show ∀ i. is-zero-row-upt-k i k A → ¬ (∃ j > i. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k j k A)
proof (clarify, rule ccontr)
fix i j
assume zero-i: is-zero-row-upt-k i k A
and i-less-j: i < j
and not-zero-j: ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k j k A
have not-zero-j-suc: ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k j (Suc k) A
using not-zero-j unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def by fastforce
hence not-zero-i-suc: ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) A
using rref-upt-condition1[OF r] i-less-j by fast
have not-zero-i-plus-suc: ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k (i+1) (Suc k) A
proof (cases j=i+1)
case True thus ?thesis using not-zero-j-suc by simp
next
case False
have i+1 < j by (rule Suc-less[OF i-less-j False[symmetric]])
thus ?thesis using rref-upt-condition1[OF r] not-zero-j-suc by blast
qed
from this obtain n where a: A $ (i+1) $ n ≠ 0 and n-less-suc: to-nat n <
Suc k
unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def by blast
have (LEAST n. A $ (i+1) $ n ≠ 0) ≤ n by (rule Least-le, simp add: a)
also have ... ≤ from-nat k by (metis Suc-lessD from-nat-mono' from-nat-to-nat-id
k-le-card less-Suc-eq-le n-less-suc ncols-def)
finally have least-le: (LEAST n. A $ (i + 1) $ n ≠ 0) ≤ from-nat k .
have least-eq-k: (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0) = from-nat k
proof (rule Least-equality)
show A $ i $ from-nat k ≠ 0 using not-zero-i-suc zero-i unfolding
is-zero-row-upt-k-def by (metis from-nat-to-nat-id less-SucE)
show ∀ y. A $ i $ y ≠ 0 ⇒ from-nat k ≤ y by (metis is-zero-row-upt-k-def
not-leE to-nat-le zero-i)
qed
have i-less: i < i+1
proof (rule Suc-le', rule ccontr)
assume ¬ i + 1 ≠ 0 hence i+1=0 by simp
hence i=-1 by (metis diff-0 diff-add-cancel diff-minus-eq-add minus-one)
hence j <= i using Greatest-is-minus-1 by blast
thus False using i-less-j by fastforce
qed
have from-nat k < (LEAST n. A $ (i+1) $ n ≠ 0)
using rref-upt-condition3[OF r] i-less not-zero-i-suc not-zero-i-plus-suc least-eq-k
by fastforce
thus False using least-le by simp

```

qed
qed

lemma *reduced-row-echelon-if-all-zero*:
assumes *all-zero*: $\forall n. \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$
shows *reduced-row-echelon-form-upk* $A k$
using *assms unfolding reduced-row-echelon-form-upk-def is-zero-row-upk-def by auto*

4.1.3 The definition of reduced row echelon form

Definition of reduced row echelon form, based on *reduced-row-echelon-form-upk*
 $A k = ((\forall i. \text{is-zero-row-upk } i k A \longrightarrow \neg (\exists j > i. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } j k A)) \wedge (\forall i. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } i k A \longrightarrow A \$ i \$ (\text{LEAST } k. A \$ i \$ k \neq (0::'a)) = (1::'a)) \wedge (\forall i. i < i + (1::'c) \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } i k A \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } (i + (1::'c)) k A \longrightarrow (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq (0::'a)) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ (i + (1::'c)) \$ n \neq (0::'a))) \wedge (\forall i. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } i k A \longrightarrow (\forall j. i \neq j \longrightarrow A \$ j \$ (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq (0::'a)) = (0::'a))))$

definition *reduced-row-echelon-form* :: $'a::\{\text{zero, one}\} ^m :: \{\text{mod-type}\} ^n :: \{\text{finite, ord, plus, one}\} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *reduced-row-echelon-form* $A = \text{reduced-row-echelon-form-upk } A (\text{ncols } A)$

Equivalence between our definition of reduced row echelon form and the one presented in Steven Roman's book: Advanced Linear Algebra.

lemma *reduced-row-echelon-form-def'*:
reduced-row-echelon-form $A =$
 $(\forall i. \text{is-zero-row } i A \longrightarrow \neg (\exists j. j > i \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row } j A)) \wedge$
 $(\forall i. \neg (\text{is-zero-row } i A) \longrightarrow A \$ i \$ (\text{LEAST } k. A \$ i \$ k \neq 0) = 1) \wedge$
 $(\forall i. i < i + 1 \wedge \neg (\text{is-zero-row } i A) \wedge \neg (\text{is-zero-row } (i + 1) A) \longrightarrow ((\text{LEAST } k. A \$ i \$ k \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } k. A \$ (i + 1) \$ k \neq 0))) \wedge$
 $(\forall i. \neg (\text{is-zero-row } i A) \longrightarrow (\forall j. i \neq j \longrightarrow A \$ j \$ (\text{LEAST } k. A \$ i \$ k \neq 0) = 0))$
 $) \text{ unfolding reduced-row-echelon-form-def reduced-row-echelon-form-upk-def is-zero-row-def ..}$

4.2 Properties of the reduced row echelon form of a matrix

lemma *rref-condition1*:
assumes $r: \text{reduced-row-echelon-form } A$
shows $(\forall i. \text{is-zero-row } i A \longrightarrow \neg (\exists j. j > i \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row } j A))$ **using** r **unfolding** *reduced-row-echelon-form-def'* **by** *simp*

lemma *rref-condition2*:
assumes $r: \text{reduced-row-echelon-form } A$
shows $(\forall i. \neg (\text{is-zero-row } i A) \longrightarrow A \$ i \$ (\text{LEAST } k. A \$ i \$ k \neq 0) = 1)$
using r **unfolding** *reduced-row-echelon-form-def'* **by** *simp*

```

lemma rref-condition3:
  assumes r: reduced-row-echelon-form A
  shows ( $\forall i. i < i+1 \wedge \neg (\text{is-zero-row } i A) \wedge \neg (\text{is-zero-row } (i+1) A) \longrightarrow ((\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ (i+1) \$ n \neq 0))$ )
  using r unfolding reduced-row-echelon-form-def' by simp

```

```

lemma rref-condition4:
  assumes r: reduced-row-echelon-form A
  shows ( $\forall i. \neg (\text{is-zero-row } i A) \longrightarrow (\forall j. i \neq j \longrightarrow A \$ j \$ (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) = 0)$ )
  using r unfolding reduced-row-echelon-form-def' by simp

```

Explicit lemmas for each condition

```

lemma rref-condition1-explicit:
  assumes rref-A: reduced-row-echelon-form A
  and is-zero-row i A
  shows  $\forall j > i. \text{is-zero-row } j A$ 
  using rref-condition1 assms by blast

```

```

lemma rref-condition2-explicit:
  assumes rref-A: reduced-row-echelon-form A
  and  $\neg \text{is-zero-row } i A$ 
  shows  $A \$ i \$ (\text{LEAST } k. A \$ i \$ k \neq 0) = 1$ 
  using rref-condition2 assms by blast

```

```

lemma rref-condition3-explicit:
  assumes rref-A: reduced-row-echelon-form A
  and i-le:  $i < i + 1$ 
  and  $\neg \text{is-zero-row } i A$  and  $\neg \text{is-zero-row } (i + 1) A$ 
  shows  $(\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ (i + 1) \$ n \neq 0)$ 
  using rref-condition3 assms by blast

```

```

lemma rref-condition4-explicit:
  assumes rref-A: reduced-row-echelon-form A
  and  $\neg \text{is-zero-row } i A$ 
  and  $i \neq j$ 
  shows  $A \$ j \$ (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) = 0$ 
  using rref-condition4 assms by blast

```

Other properties and equivalences

```

lemma rref-condition3-equiv1:
  fixes A::'a::{one, zero} ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
  assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form A
  and i-less-j:  $i < j$ 
  and j-less-nrows:  $j < \text{nrows } A$ 
  and not-zero-i:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row } (\text{from-nat } i) A$ 
  and not-zero-j:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row } (\text{from-nat } j) A$ 
  shows  $(\text{LEAST } n. A \$ (\text{from-nat } i) \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ (\text{from-nat } j) \$ n \neq 0)$ 

```

```

 $\neq 0)$ 
using i-less-j not-zero-j j-less-nrows
proof (induct j)
case 0
show ?case using 0.prems(1) by simp
next
fix j
assume hyp:  $i < j \Rightarrow \neg \text{is-zero-row}(\text{from-nat } j) A \Rightarrow j < \text{nrows } A \Rightarrow (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ \text{from-nat } i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ \text{from-nat } j \$ n \neq 0)$ 
and i-less-suc-j:  $i < \text{Suc } j$ 
and not-zero-suc-j:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row}(\text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } j)) A$ 
and Suc-j-less-nrows:  $\text{Suc } j < \text{nrows } A$ 
have j-less:  $(\text{from-nat } j :: 'rows) < \text{from-nat } (j + 1)$  by (rule from-nat-mono, auto
simp add: Suc-j-less-nrows[unfolded nrows-def])
hence not-zero-j:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row}(\text{from-nat } j) A$  using rref-condition1[OF rref]
not-zero-suc-j unfolding Suc-eq-plus1 by blast
show  $(\text{LEAST } n. A \$ \text{from-nat } i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ \text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } j) \$ n \neq 0)$ 
proof (cases i=j)
case True
show ?thesis
proof (unfold True Suc-eq-plus1 from-nat-suc, rule rref-condition3-explicit)
show reduced-row-echelon-form A using rref .
show  $(\text{from-nat } j :: 'rows) < \text{from-nat } j + 1$  using j-less unfolding from-nat-suc
.
show  $\neg \text{is-zero-row}(\text{from-nat } j) A$  using not-zero-j .
show  $\neg \text{is-zero-row}(\text{from-nat } j + 1) A$  using not-zero-suc-j unfolding
Suc-eq-plus1 from-nat-suc .
qed
next
case False
have  $(\text{LEAST } n. A \$ \text{from-nat } i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ \text{from-nat } j \$ n \neq 0)$ 
proof (rule hyp)
show  $i < j$  using False i-less-suc-j by simp
show  $\neg \text{is-zero-row}(\text{from-nat } j) A$  using not-zero-j .
show  $j < \text{nrows } A$  using Suc-j-less-nrows by simp
qed
also have ...  $< (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ \text{from-nat } (j + 1) \$ n \neq 0)$ 
proof (unfold from-nat-suc, rule rref-condition3-explicit)
show reduced-row-echelon-form A using rref .
show  $(\text{from-nat } j :: 'rows) < \text{from-nat } j + 1$  using j-less unfolding from-nat-suc
.
show  $\neg \text{is-zero-row}(\text{from-nat } j) A$  using not-zero-j .
show  $\neg \text{is-zero-row}(\text{from-nat } j + 1) A$  using not-zero-suc-j unfolding
Suc-eq-plus1 from-nat-suc .
qed
finally show  $(\text{LEAST } n. A \$ \text{from-nat } i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ \text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } j) \$ n \neq 0)$  unfolding Suc-eq-plus1 .
qed

```

qed

corollary rref-condition3-equiv:
fixes A::'a::{one, zero} ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form A
and i-less-j: $i < j$
and i: $\neg \text{is-zero-row } i \text{ A}$
and j: $\neg \text{is-zero-row } j \text{ A}$
shows ($\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ j \$ n \neq 0)$
proof (rule rref-condition3-equiv1[of A to-nat i to-nat j, unfolded from-nat-to-nat-id])
show reduced-row-echelon-form A using rref .
show to-nat i < to-nat j by (rule to-nat-mono[OF i-less-j])
show to-nat j < nrows A using to-nat-less-card unfolding nrows-def .
show $\neg \text{is-zero-row } i \text{ A using } i$.
show $\neg \text{is-zero-row } j \text{ A using } j$.
qed

lemma rref-implies-rref-up:
fixes A::'a::{one,zero} ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form A
shows reduced-row-echelon-form-up-k A k
proof (rule reduced-row-echelon-form-up-k-intro)
show $\forall i. \neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } i \text{ k A} \longrightarrow A \$ i \$ (\text{LEAST } k. A \$ i \$ k \neq 0) = 1$
using rref-condition2[OF rref] is-zero-row-imp-is-zero-row-up by blast
show $\forall i. i < i + 1 \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } i \text{ k A} \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } (i + 1) \text{ k A} \longrightarrow (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ (i + 1) \$ n \neq 0)$
using rref-condition3[OF rref] is-zero-row-imp-is-zero-row-up by blast
show $\forall i. \neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } i \text{ k A} \longrightarrow (\forall j. i \neq j \longrightarrow A \$ j \$ (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) = 0)$
using rref-condition4[OF rref] is-zero-row-imp-is-zero-row-up by blast
show $\forall i. \text{is-zero-row-up-k } i \text{ k A} \longrightarrow \neg (\exists j > i. \neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } j \text{ k A})$
proof (auto, rule ccontr)
fix i j **assume** zero-i-k: is-zero-row-up-k i k A **and** i-less-j: $i < j$
and not-zero-j-k: $\neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } j \text{ k A}$
have not-zero-j: $\neg \text{is-zero-row } j \text{ A using is-zero-row-imp-is-zero-row-up not-zero-j-k by blast}$
hence not-zero-i: $\neg \text{is-zero-row } i \text{ A using rref-condition1[OF rref] i-less-j by blast}$
have Least-less: $(\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ j \$ n \neq 0)$ by
(rule rref-condition3-equiv[OF rref i-less-j not-zero-i not-zero-j])
moreover have ($\text{LEAST } n. A \$ j \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0)$
proof (rule LeastI2-ex)
show $\exists a. A \$ i \$ a \neq 0$ using not-zero-i unfolding is-zero-row-def is-zero-row-up-k-def
by fast
fix x **assume** Aix-not-0: $A \$ i \$ x \neq 0$
have k-less-x: $k \leq \text{to-nat } x$ using zero-i-k Aix-not-0 unfolding is-zero-row-up-k-def
by force
hence k-less-ncols: $k < \text{ncols } A$ unfolding ncols-def using to-nat-less-card[of x] by simp

```

obtain s where Ajs-not-zero: A $ j $ s ≠ 0 and s-less-k: to-nat s < k using
not-zero-j-k unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def by blast
  have (LEAST n. A $ j $ n ≠ 0) ≤ s using Ajs-not-zero Least-le by fast
  also have ... = from-nat (to-nat s) unfolding from-nat-to-nat-id ..
  also have ... < from-nat k by (rule from-nat-mono[OF s-less-k k-less-ncols[unfolded
ncols-def]])
  also have ... ≤ x using k-less-x leD not-leE to-nat-le by fast
  finally show (LEAST n. A $ j $ n ≠ 0) < x .
qed
ultimately show False by fastforce
qed
qed

```

```

lemma rref-first-position-zero-imp-column-0:
fixes A::'a::{one,zero} ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form A
and A-00: A $ 0 $ 0 = 0
shows column 0 A = 0
proof (unfold column-def, vector, clarify)
fix i
have is-zero-row-upk 0 1 A unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def using A-00 by
(metis One-nat-def less-Suc0 to-nat-eq-0)
hence is-zero-row-upk i 1 A using rref-upk-condition1[OF rref-implies-rref-upk[OF
rref]] using least-mod-type less-le by metis
thus A $ i $ 0 = 0 unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def using to-nat-eq-0 by blast
qed

```

```

lemma rref-first-element:
fixes A::'a::{one,zero} ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form A
and column-not-0: column 0 A ≠ 0
shows A $ 0 $ 0 = 1
proof (rule ccontr)
have A-00-not-0: A $ 0 $ 0 ≠ 0
proof (rule ccontr, simp)
assume A-00: A $ 0 $ 0 = 0
from this obtain i where Ai0: A $ i $ 0 ≠ 0 and i: i>0 using column-not-0
unfolding column-def by (metis column-def rref rref-first-position-zero-imp-column-0)
  have is-zero-row-upk 0 1 A unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def using A-00 by
(metis One-nat-def less-Suc0 to-nat-eq-0)
  moreover have ¬ is-zero-row-upk i 1 A using Ai0 by (metis is-zero-row-upk-def
to-nat-0 zero-less-one)
  ultimately have ¬ reduced-row-echelon-form A by (metis A-00 column-not-0
rref-first-position-zero-imp-column-0)
  thus False using rref by contradiction
qed
assume A-00-not-1: A $ 0 $ 0 ≠ 1

```

```

have Least-eq-0: (LEAST n. A $ 0 $ n ≠ 0) = 0
  proof (rule Least-equality)
    show A $ 0 $ 0 ≠ 0 by (rule A-00-not-0)
    show ∃y. A $ 0 $ y ≠ 0 ⇒ 0 ≤ y using least-mod-type .
  qed
  moreover have ¬ is-zero-row 0 A unfolding is-zero-row-def is-zero-row-upt-k-def
  ncols-def using A-00-not-0 by auto
  ultimately have A $ 0 $(LEAST n. A $ 0 $ n ≠ 0) = 1 using rref-condition2[OF
  rref] by fast
  thus False unfolding Least-eq-0 using A-00-not-1 by contradiction
  qed
end

```

5 Fundamental Subspaces

```

theory Fundamental-Subspaces
imports
  ~~~/src/HOL/Multivariate-Analysis/Multivariate-Analysis
  Miscellaneous
begin

  5.1 The fundamental subspaces of a matrix

  5.1.1 Definitions

  definition left-null-space :: 'a::semiring-1 ^'n ^'m => ('a ^'m) set
    where left-null-space A = {x. x v* A = 0}

  definition null-space :: 'a::semiring-1 ^'n ^'m => ('a ^'n) set
    where null-space A = {x. A *v x = 0}

  definition row-space :: 'a::real-vector ^'n ^'m => ('a ^'n) set
    where row-space A = span (rows A)

  definition col-space :: 'a::real-vector ^'n ^'m => ('a ^'m) set
    where col-space A = span (columns A)

```

5.1.2 Relationships among them

```

lemma left-null-space-eq-null-space-transpose: left-null-space A = null-space (transpose
A)
  unfolding null-space-def left-null-space-def transpose-vector ..

lemma null-space-eq-left-null-space-transpose: null-space A = left-null-space (transpose
A)
  using left-null-space-eq-null-space-transpose[of transpose A]
  unfolding transpose-transpose ..

```

```

lemma row-space-eq-col-space-transpose:
  fixes A::'a::{real-vector, semiring-1} ^'columns ^'rows
  shows row-space A = col-space (transpose A)
  unfolding col-space-def row-space-def columns-transpose[of A] ..

lemma col-space-eq-row-space-transpose:
  fixes A::'a::{real-vector,semiring-1} ^'n ^'m
  shows col-space A = row-space (transpose A)
  unfolding col-space-def row-space-def unfolding rows-transpose[of A] ..

```

5.2 Proving that they are subspaces

```

lemma subspace-null-space:
  fixes A::'a::{semiring-1, real-algebra} ^'n ^'m
  shows subspace (null-space A)
  proof (unfold subspace-def null-space-def, auto)
    show A *v 0 = 0 by (metis add-diff-cancel eq-iff-diff-eq-0 matrix-vector-right-distrib)

    fix x y
    assume Ax: A *v x = 0 and Ay: A *v y = 0
    have A *v (x + y) = (A *v x) + (A *v y) unfolding matrix-vector-right-distrib
    ..
    also have ... = 0 unfolding Ax Ay by simp
    finally show A *v (x + y) = 0 .
    fix c
    have A *v c *_R x = c *_R (A *v x) unfolding scalar-matrix-vector-assoc
    matrix-scalar-vector-ac ..
    also have ... = 0 unfolding Ax by simp
    finally show A *v c *_R x = 0 .
    qed

```

```

lemma subspace-left-null-space:
  fixes A::'a::{semiring-1, real-algebra} ^'n ^'m
  shows subspace (left-null-space A)
  unfolding left-null-space-eq-null-space-transpose using subspace-null-space .

```

```

lemma subspace-row-space:
  shows subspace (row-space A) by (metis row-space-def subspace-span)

```

```

lemma subspace-col-space:
  shows subspace (col-space A) by (metis col-space-def subspace-span)

```

5.3 More useful properties and equivalences

```

lemma col-space-eq:
  fixes A::real ^'m ^'n
  shows col-space A = {y. ∃ x. A *v x = y}
  proof (unfold col-space-def span-explicit, rule)

```

```

show {y.  $\exists x. A *v x = y \} \subseteq \{y. \exists S u. \text{finite } S \wedge S \subseteq \text{columns } A \wedge (\sum_{v \in S. u v *_R v) = y\}$ }

proof (rule)
  fix y assume y:  $y \in \{y. \exists x. A *v x = y\}$ 
  obtain x where  $x:A *v x = y$  using y by blast
  obtain f where setsum:  $A *v x = \text{setsum} (\lambda y. f y *_R y)$  ( $\text{columns } A$ ) using
    matrix-vmult-column-sum by auto
  show  $y \in \{y. \exists S u. \text{finite } S \wedge S \subseteq \text{columns } A \wedge (\sum_{v \in S. u v *_R v) = y\}$ 
    by (rule, rule exI[of - columns A], auto, rule finite-columns, rule exI[of - f],
    metis x setsum)
  qed
next
  show  $\{y. \exists S u. \text{finite } S \wedge S \subseteq \text{columns } A \wedge (\sum_{v \in S. u v *_R v) = y\} \subseteq \{y. \exists x.$ 
     $A *v x = y\}$ 
  proof (rule, auto)
    fix S and u::(real, 'n) vec  $\Rightarrow$  real
    assume finite-S:  $\text{finite } S$  and S-in-cols:  $S \subseteq \text{columns } A$ 
    let ?f= $\lambda x. \text{if } x \in S \text{ then } u x \text{ else } 0$ 
    let ?x= $(\chi i. \text{if column } i A \in S \text{ then } (\text{inverse} (\text{real} (\text{card} \{a. \text{column } i A = \text{column}$ 
     $a A\})) * u (\text{column } i A) \text{ else } 0)$ 
    show  $\exists x. A *v x = (\sum_{v \in S. u v *_R v)$ 
    proof (unfold matrix-mult-vsum, rule exI[of - ?x], simp)
      let ?g= $\lambda y. \{i. y = \text{column } i A\}$ 
      have inj: inj-on ?g (columns A) unfolding inj-on-def unfolding columns-def
      by auto
      have union-univ:  $\bigcup (?g`(\text{columns } A)) = \text{UNIV}$  unfolding columns-def by
      auto
      have setsum (lambda i. (if column i A in S then inverse (real (card {a. column i A =
      column a A})) * u (column i A) else 0) *s column i A) UNIV
        = setsum (lambda i. (if column i A in S then inverse (real (card {a. column i A =
      column a A})) * u (column i A) else 0) *s column i A) ( $\bigcup (?g`(\text{columns } A))$ )
        unfolding union-univ ..
      also have ... = setsum (setsum (lambda i. (if column i A in S then inverse (real
        (card {a. column i A = column a A})) * u (column i A) else 0) *s column i A))
        (?g`(\text{columns } A))
      by (rule setsum-Union-disjoint, auto)
      also have ... = setsum ((setsum (lambda i. (if column i A in S then inverse (real
        (card {a. column i A = column a A})) * u (column i A) else 0) *s column i A)) o
        ?g)
        ( $\text{columns } A$ ) by (rule setsum-reindex, simp add: inj)
      also have ... = setsum (?y. ?f y *_R y) (columns A)
      proof (rule setsum-cong2, auto)
        fix x
        assume x-in-cols:  $x \in \text{columns } A$  and x-notin-S:  $x \notin S$ 
        show  $(\sum i | x = \text{column } i A. (\text{if column } i A \in S \text{ then } (\text{inverse} (\text{real} (\text{card}$ 
         $\{a. \text{column } i A = \text{column } a A\})) * u (\text{column } i A) \text{ else } 0) *s \text{column } i A) = 0$ 
          apply (rule setsum-0') using x-notin-S by auto
next
  fix x

```

```

assume x-in-cols:  $x \in \text{columns } A$  and x-in-S:  $x \in S$ 
have setsum ( $\lambda i. (\text{if column } i A \in S \text{ then } (\text{inverse}(\text{real}(\text{card}\{a. \text{column } i A = \text{column } a A\}))) * u (\text{column } i A) \text{ else } 0) *s \text{column } i A$ ) { $i. x = \text{column } i A$ } =
= setsum ( $\lambda i. (\text{inverse}(\text{real}(\text{card}\{a. \text{column } i A = \text{column } a A\}))) * u (\text{column } i A) *s \text{column } i A$ ) { $i. x = \text{column } i A$ } apply (rule setsum-cong2) using x-in-S by simp
also have ... = setsum ( $\lambda i. (\text{inverse}(\text{real}(\text{card}\{a. x = \text{column } a A\}))) * u x *s x$ ) { $i. x = \text{column } i A$ } by auto
also have ... = of-nat ( $\text{card}\{i. x = \text{column } i A\}$ ) * ( $\text{inverse}(\text{real}(\text{card}\{a. x = \text{column } a A\})) * u x *s x$ ) unfolding setsum-constant ..
also have ... = of-nat ( $\text{card}\{i. x = \text{column } i A\}$ ) * ( $\text{inverse}(\text{real}(\text{card}\{a. x = \text{column } a A\})) *s (u x *s x)$ )
unfolding vector-smult-assoc [of  $\text{inverse}(\text{real}(\text{card}\{a. x = \text{column } a A\})) u x x$ , symmetric] ..
also have ... = real ( $\text{card}\{i. x = \text{column } i A\}$ ) *_R ( $\text{inverse}(\text{real}(\text{card}\{a. x = \text{column } a A\})) *s (u x *s x)$ )
by (auto, metis real-of-nat-def setsum-constant setsum-constant-scaleR)
also have ... = real ( $\text{card}\{i. x = \text{column } i A\}$ ) *s ( $\text{inverse}(\text{real}(\text{card}\{a. x = \text{column } a A\})) *s (u x *s x)$ ) unfolding scalar-mult-eq-scaleR ..
also have ... = (real ( $\text{card}\{i. x = \text{column } i A\}$ ) *  $\text{inverse}(\text{real}(\text{card}\{a. x = \text{column } a A\})) *s (u x *s x)$ ) unfolding vector-smult-assoc by auto
also have ... = 1 *s (u x *s x) apply (auto, rule right-inverse) using x-in-cols unfolding columns-def by auto
also have ... = u x *s x by auto
also have ... = u x *_R x unfolding scalar-mult-eq-scaleR ..
finally show ( $\sum i \mid x = \text{column } i A$ . ( $\text{if column } i A \in S \text{ then } \text{inverse}(\text{real}(\text{card}\{a. \text{column } i A = \text{column } a A\})) * u (\text{column } i A) \text{ else } 0) *s \text{column } i A$ ) = u x *_R x .
qed
also have ... = setsum ( $\lambda y. ?f y *_R y$ ) ( $S \cup ((\text{columns } A) - S)$ ) apply (rule setsum-cong) using S-in-cols by auto
also have ... = setsum ( $\lambda y. ?f y *_R y$ )  $S + \text{setsum}(\lambda y. ?f y *_R y)((\text{columns } A) - S)$  apply (rule setsum-Un-disjoint) using S-in-cols finite-S finite-columns by auto
also have ... = setsum ( $\lambda y. ?f y *_R y$ )  $S$  by simp
finally show ( $\sum i \in \text{UNIV}$ . ( $\text{if column } i A \in S \text{ then } \text{inverse}(\text{real}(\text{card}\{a. \text{column } i A = \text{column } a A\})) * u (\text{column } i A) \text{ else } 0) *s \text{column } i A$ ) = ( $\sum v \in S. u v *_R v$ ) by auto
qed
qed
qed
corollary col-space-eq':
fixes A::real^'m^'n
shows col-space A = range ( $\lambda x. A *v x$ )
unfolding col-space-eq by auto

lemma row-space-eq:

```

```

fixes A::realnm
shows row-space A = {w.  $\exists y. (\text{transpose } A) *v y = w\}$ 
unfolding row-space-eq-col-space-transpose col-space-eq ..

lemma null-space-eq-ker:
fixes f::(realn) => (realm)
assumes lf: linear f
shows null-space (matrix f) = {x. f x = 0}
unfolding null-space-def using matrix-works [OF lf] by auto

lemma col-space-eq-range:
fixes f::(reala) => (realb)
assumes lf: linear f
shows col-space (matrix f) = range f
unfolding col-space-eq unfolding matrix-works[OF lf] by blast

lemma null-space-is-preserved:
fixes A::realcolsrows
assumes P: invertible P
shows null-space (P**A) = null-space A
unfolding null-space-def
using P matrix-inv-left matrix-left-invertible-ker matrix-vector-mul-assoc matrix-vector-zero
by metis

lemma row-space-is-preserved:
fixes A::realcolsrows
assumes P: invertible P
shows row-space (P**A) = row-space A
proof (auto)
fix w
assume w: w ∈ row-space (P**A)
from this obtain y where w-By: w=(transpose (P**A)) *v y unfolding row-space-eq
by fast
have w = (transpose (P**A)) *v y using w-By .
also have ... = ((transpose A) ** (transpose P)) *v y unfolding matrix-transpose-mul
..
also have ... = (transpose A) *v ((transpose P) *v y) unfolding matrix-vector-mul-assoc
..
finally show w ∈ row-space A unfolding row-space-eq by blast
next
fix w
assume w: w ∈ row-space A
from this obtain y where w-Ay: w=(transpose A) *v y unfolding row-space-eq
by fast
have w = (transpose A) *v y using w-Ay .
also have ... = (transpose ((matrix-inv P) ** (P**A))) *v y by (metis P matrix-inv-left
matrix-mul-assoc matrix-mul-lid)
also have ... = (transpose (P**A) ** (transpose (matrix-inv P))) *v y unfolding
matrix-transpose-mul ..

```

```

also have ... = transpose (P**A) *v (transpose (matrix-inv P) *v y) unfolding
matrix-vector-mul-assoc ..
finally show w ∈ row-space (P**A) unfolding row-space-eq by blast
qed
end

```

```

theory Code-Set
imports
  ~~/src/HOL/Library/Cardinality
begin

```

The following setup could help to get code generation for List.coset, but it neither works correctly it complains that code equations for remove are missed, even when List.coset should be rewritten to an enum:

```

declare minus-coset-filter [code del]
declare remove-code(2) [code del]
declare insert-code(2) [code del]
declare inter-coset-fold [code del]
declare compl-coset[code del]
declare Cardinality.card'-code(2)[code del]

```

```

code-datatype set

```

The following code equation could be useful to avoid the problem of code generation for List.coset []:

```

lemma [code]: List.coset (l::'a::enum list) = set (enum-class.enum) – set l
  by (metis Compl-eq-Diff-UNIV coset-def enum-UNIV)

```

Now the following examples work:

```

value [code] UNIV::bool set
value [code] List.coset ([]::bool list)
value [code] UNIV::Enum.finite-2 set
value [code] List.coset ([]::Enum.finite-2 list)
value [code] List.coset ([]::Enum.finite-5 list)

```

```

end

```

6 Code generation for vectors and matrices

```

theory Code-Matrix
imports
  Miscellaneous
  Code-Set
begin

```

In this file the code generator is set up properly to allow the execution of matrices represented as functions over finite types.

```

lemmas vec.vec-nth-inverse[code abstype]

lemma [code abstract]: vec-nth 0 = (%x. 0) by (metis zero-index)
lemma [code abstract]: vec-nth 1 = (%x. 1) by (metis one-index)
lemma [code abstract]: vec-nth (a + b) = (%i. a\$i + b\$i) by (metis vector-add-component)
lemma [code abstract]: vec-nth (vec n) = (λi. n) unfolding vec-def by fastforce
lemma [code abstract]: vec-nth (a * b) = (%i. a\$i * b\$i) unfolding vector-mult-component
by auto
lemma [code abstract]: vec-nth (c *s x) = (λi. c * (x\$i)) unfolding vector-scalar-mult-def
by auto

definition mat-mult-row
  where mat-mult-row m m' f = vec-lambda (%c. setsum (%k. ((m\$f)\$k) *
((m'\$k)\$c)) (UNIV :: 'n::finite set))

lemma mat-mult-row-code [code abstract]:
  vec-nth (mat-mult-row m m' f) = (%c. setsum (%k. ((m\$f)\$k) * ((m'\$k)\$c))
(UNIV :: 'n::finite set))
by(simp add: mat-mult-row-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma mat-mult [code abstract]: vec-nth (m ** m') = mat-mult-row m m'
unfolding matrix-matrix-mult-def mat-mult-row-def[abs-def]
using vec-lambda-beta by auto

lemma matrix-vector-mult-code [code abstract]:
  vec-nth (A *v x) = (%i. (∑ j∈UNIV. A \$ i \$ j * x \$ j)) unfolding matrix-vector-mult-def
by fastforce

lemma vector-matrix-mult-code [code abstract]:
  vec-nth (x v* A) = (%j. (∑ i∈UNIV. A \$ i \$ j * x \$ i)) unfolding vector-matrix-mult-def
by fastforce

definition mat-row
  where mat-row k i = vec-lambda (%j. if i = j then k else 0)

lemma mat-row-code [code abstract]:
  vec-nth (mat-row k i) = (%j. if i = j then k else 0) unfolding mat-row-def by
auto

lemma [code abstract]: vec-nth (mat k) = mat-row k
unfolding mat-def unfolding mat-row-def[abs-def] by auto

definition transpose-row
  where transpose-row A i = vec-lambda (%j. A \$ j \$ i)

lemma transpose-row-code [code abstract]:
  vec-nth (transpose-row A i) = (%j. A \$ j \$ i) by (metis transpose-row-def
vec-lambda-beta)

```

```

lemma transpose-code[code abstract]:
  vec-nth (transpose A) = transpose-row A unfolding transpose-def
  by (metis (no-types) Cart-lambda-cong UNIV-I transpose-row-def vec-lambda-inverse)

lemma [code abstract]: vec-nth (row i A) = (op $ (A $ i)) unfolding row-def by
fastforce
lemma [code abstract]: vec-nth (column j A) = (%i. A $ i $ j) unfolding column-def
by fastforce

definition rowvector-row v i = vec-lambda (%j. (v$j))

lemma rowvector-row-code [code abstract]:
  vec-nth (rowvector-row v i) = (%j. (v$j)) unfolding rowvector-row-def by auto

lemma [code abstract]: vec-nth (rowvector v) = rowvector-row v
  unfolding rowvector-def unfolding rowvector-row-def[abs-def] by auto

definition columnvector-row v i = vec-lambda (%j. (v$i))

lemma columnvector-row-code [code abstract]:
  vec-nth (columnvector-row v i) = (%j. (v$i)) unfolding columnvector-row-def
by auto

lemma [code abstract]: vec-nth (columnvector v) = columnvector-row v
  unfolding columnvector-def unfolding columnvector-row-def[abs-def] by auto

end

```

7 Elementary Operations over matrices

```

theory Elementary-Operations
imports
  Fundamental-Subspaces
  Code-Matrix
begin

```

7.1 Some previous results:

```

lemma mat-1-fun: mat 1 $ a $ b = ( $\lambda i j. \text{if } i=j \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0$ ) a b unfolding
mat-def by auto

lemma mat1-sum-eq:
  shows ( $\sum k \in \text{UNIV}. \text{mat} (1::'a::\{\text{semiring-1}\}) \$ s \$ k * \text{mat} 1 \$ k \$ t$ ) = mat
  1 \$ s \$ t
  proof (unfold mat-def, auto)
    let ?f= $\lambda k. (\text{if } t = k \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) * (\text{if } k = t \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a))$ 
    have univ-eq:  $\text{UNIV} = (\text{UNIV} - \{t\}) \cup \{t\}$  by fast
    have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV - {t})  $\cup \{t\}$ ) using univ-eq by

```

```

simp
also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV - {t}) + setsum ?f {t} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
also have ... = 0 + setsum ?f {t} by auto
also have ... = setsum ?f {t} by simp
also have ... = 1 by simp
finally show setsum ?f UNIV = 1 .
next
assume s-not-t: s ≠ t
let ?g=λk. (if s = k then 1::'a else 0) * (if k = t then 1 else 0)
have setsum ?g UNIV = setsum (λk. 0::'a) (UNIV::'b set) by (rule setsum-cong2,
simp add: s-not-t)
also have ... = 0 by simp
finally show setsum ?g UNIV = 0 .
qed

```

```

lemma invertible-mat-n:
fixes n::'a::{field}
assumes n: n ≠ 0
shows invertible ((mat n)::'a ^'n ^'n)
proof (unfold invertible-def, rule exI[of _ mat (inverse n)], rule conjI)
show mat n ** mat (inverse n) = (mat 1::'a ^'n ^'n)
proof (unfold matrix-matrix-mult-def mat-def, vector, auto)
fix ia::'n
let ?f=(λk. (if ia = k then n else 0) * (if k = ia then inverse n else 0))
have UNIV-rw: (UNIV::'n set) = insert ia (UNIV-{ia}) by auto
have (∑ k∈(UNIV::'n set). (if ia = k then n else 0) * (if k = ia then inverse
n else 0)) =
(∑ k∈insert ia (UNIV-{ia}). (if ia = k then n else 0) * (if k = ia then
inverse n else 0)) using UNIV-rw by simp
also have ... = ?f ia + setsum ?f (UNIV-{ia})
proof (rule setsum.insert)
show finite (UNIV - {ia}) using finite-UNIV by fastforce
show ia ∉ UNIV - {ia} by fast
qed
also have ... = 1 using right-inverse[OF n] by simp
finally show (∑ k∈(UNIV::'n set). (if ia = k then n else 0) * (if k = ia then
inverse n else 0)) = (1::'a) .
fix i::'n
assume i-not-ia: i ≠ ia
show (∑ k∈(UNIV::'n set). (if i = k then n else 0) * (if k = ia then inverse
n else 0)) = 0 by (rule setsum-0', simp add: i-not-ia)
qed
next
show mat (inverse n) ** mat n = ((mat 1)::'a ^'n ^'n)
proof (unfold matrix-matrix-mult-def mat-def, vector, auto)
fix ia::'n
let ?f= (λk. (if ia = k then inverse n else 0) * (if k = ia then n else 0))

```

```

have UNIV-rw: ( $\text{UNIV}::'n \text{ set}$ ) =  $\text{insert } ia (\text{UNIV} - \{ia\})$  by auto
have ( $\sum k \in (\text{UNIV}::'n \text{ set})$ . (if  $ia = k$  then  $\text{inverse } n$  else 0) * (if  $k = ia$  then
 $n$  else 0)) =
 $(\sum k \in \text{insert } ia (\text{UNIV} - \{ia\}))$ . (if  $ia = k$  then  $\text{inverse } n$  else 0) * (if  $k = ia$  then
 $n$  else 0)) using UNIV-rw by simp
also have ... = ?f  $ia + \text{setsum } ?f (\text{UNIV} - \{ia\})$ 
proof (rule setsum.insert)
  show finite ( $\text{UNIV} - \{ia\}$ ) using finite-UNIV by fastforce
  show  $ia \notin \text{UNIV} - \{ia\}$  by fast
qed
also have ... = 1 using left-inverse[OF n] by simp
finally show ( $\sum k \in (\text{UNIV}::'n \text{ set})$ . (if  $ia = k$  then  $\text{inverse } n$  else 0) * (if  $k = ia$  then
 $n$  else 0)) = (1::'a).
fix i::'n
assume i-not-ia:  $i \neq ia$ 
show ( $\sum k \in (\text{UNIV}::'n \text{ set})$ . (if  $i = k$  then  $\text{inverse } n$  else 0) * (if  $k = ia$  then
 $n$  else 0)) = 0 by (rule setsum-0', simp add: i-not-ia)
qed
qed

corollary invertible-mat-1:
shows invertible (mat (1::'a::{field})) by (metis invertible-mat-n zero-neq-one)

```

7.2 Definitions of elementary row and column operations

Definitions of elementary row operations

```

definition interchange-rows :: ('a::semiring-1) ^'n ^'m => 'm => 'm => 'a ^'n ^'m
  where interchange-rows A a b = ( $\chi i j$ . if  $i=a$  then  $A \$ b \$ j$  else if  $i=b$  then  $A \$ a \$ j$  else  $A \$ i \$ j$ )
definition mult-row :: ('a::semiring-1) ^'n ^'m => 'm => 'a => 'a ^'n ^'m
  where mult-row A a q = ( $\chi i j$ . if  $i=a$  then  $q*(A \$ a \$ j)$  else  $A \$ i \$ j$ )
definition row-add :: ('a::semiring-1) ^'n ^'m => 'm => 'm => 'a => 'a ^'n ^'m
  where row-add A a b q = ( $\chi i j$ . if  $i=a$  then  $(A \$ a \$ j) + q*(A \$ b \$ j)$  else  $A \$ i \$ j$ )

```

Definitions of elementary column operations

```

definition interchange-columns :: ('a::semiring-1) ^'n ^'m => 'n => 'n => 'a
  where interchange-columns A n m = ( $\chi i j$ . if  $j=n$  then  $A \$ i \$ m$  else if  $j=m$  then  $A \$ i \$ n$  else  $A \$ i \$ j$ )
definition mult-column :: ('a::semiring-1) ^'n ^'m => 'n => 'a => 'a ^'n ^'m
  where mult-column A n q = ( $\chi i j$ . if  $j=n$  then  $(A \$ i \$ j)*q$  else  $A \$ i \$ j$ )
definition column-add :: ('a::semiring-1) ^'n ^'m => 'n => 'n => 'a => 'a ^'n ^'m
  where column-add A n m q = ( $\chi i j$ . if  $j=n$  then  $((A \$ i \$ n) + (A \$ i \$ m))*q$  else  $A \$ i \$ j$ )

```

7.3 Properties about elementary row operations

7.3.1 Properties about interchanging rows

Properties about *interchange-rows*

lemma *interchange-same-rows*: *interchange-rows* A a $a = A$
unfolding *interchange-rows-def* **by** *vector*

lemma *interchange-rows-i[simp]*: *interchange-rows* A i j $\$ i = A \$ j$
unfolding *interchange-rows-def* **by** *vector*

lemma *interchange-rows-j[simp]*: *interchange-rows* A i j $\$ j = A \$ i$
unfolding *interchange-rows-def* **by** *vector*

lemma *interchange-rows-preserves*:
assumes $i \neq a$ **and** $j \neq a$
shows *interchange-rows* A i j $\$ a = A \$ a$
using *assms* **unfolding** *interchange-rows-def* **by** *vector*

lemma *interchange-rows-mat-1*:
shows *interchange-rows* (*mat* 1) a b $\ast\ast A = \text{interchange-rows } A a b$
proof (*unfold* *matrix-matrix-mult-def* *interchange-rows-def*, *vector*, *auto*)
fix *ia*
let $?f = (\lambda k. \text{mat} (1::'a) \$ a \$ k * A \$ k \$ ia)$
have *univ-rw:UNIV* = $(\text{UNIV} - \{a\}) \cup \{a\}$ **by** *auto*
have *setsum ?f UNIV* = *setsum ?f* $((\text{UNIV} - \{a\}) \cup \{a\})$ **using** *univ-rw* **by**
auto
also have ... = *setsum ?f* $(\text{UNIV} - \{a\}) + \text{setsum ?f} \{a\}$
proof (*rule* *setsum-Un-disjoint*)
show *finite* $(\text{UNIV} - \{a\})$ **by** (*metis finite-code*)
show *finite* $\{a\}$ **by** *simp*
show $(\text{UNIV} - \{a\}) \cap \{a\} = \{\}$ **by** *simp*
qed
also have ... = *setsum ?f* $\{a\}$ **unfolding** *mat-def* **by** *auto*
also have ... = $?f a$ **by** *auto*
also have ... = $A \$ a \$ ia$ **unfolding** *mat-def* **by** *auto*
finally show $(\sum k \in \text{UNIV}. \text{mat} (1::'a) \$ a \$ k * A \$ k \$ ia) = A \$ a \$ ia$.
assume *i: a ≠ b*
let $?g = \lambda k. \text{mat} (1::'a) \$ b \$ k * A \$ k \$ ia$
have *univ-rw':UNIV* = $(\text{UNIV} - \{b\}) \cup \{b\}$ **by** *auto*
have *setsum ?g UNIV* = *setsum ?g* $((\text{UNIV} - \{b\}) \cup \{b\})$ **using** *univ-rw'* **by**
auto
also have ... = *setsum ?g* $(\text{UNIV} - \{b\}) + \text{setsum ?g} \{b\}$ **by** (*rule* *setsum-Un-disjoint*,
auto)
also have ... = *setsum ?g* $\{b\}$ **unfolding** *mat-def* **by** *auto*
also have ... = $?g b$ **by** *simp*
finally show $(\sum k \in \text{UNIV}. \text{mat} (1::'a) \$ b \$ k * A \$ k \$ ia) = A \$ b \$ ia$
unfolding *mat-def* **by** *simp*
next

```

fix i j
assume ib: i ≠ b and ia:i ≠ a
let ?h=λk. mat (1::'a) $ i $ k * A $ k $ j
have univ-rw'':UNIV = (UNIV-{i}) ∪ {i} by auto
have setsum ?h UNIV = setsum ?h ((UNIV-{i}) ∪ {i}) using univ-rw'' by
auto
also have ... = setsum ?h (UNIV-{i}) + setsum ?h {i} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
also have ... = setsum ?h {i} unfolding mat-def by auto
also have ... = ?h i by simp
finally show (∑ k∈UNIV. mat (1::'a) $ i $ k * A $ k $ j) = A $ i $ j
unfolding mat-def by auto
qed

lemma invertible-interchange-rows: invertible (interchange-rows (mat 1) a b)
proof (unfold invertible-def, rule exI[of - interchange-rows (mat 1) a b], simp,
unfold matrix-matrix-mult-def, vector, clarify,
unfold interchange-rows-def, vector, unfold mat-1-fun, auto+)
fix s t::'b
assume s-not-t: s ≠ t
show (∑ k::'b∈UNIV. (if s = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = t then 1::'a
else if k = t then 1::'a else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (0::'a)
by (rule setsum-0', simp add: s-not-t)
assume b-not-t: b ≠ t
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if s = b then if t = k then 1::'a else (0::'a) else if s = k
then 1::'a else (0::'a)) *
(if k = t then 0::'a else if k = b then 1::'a else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a)))
=
(0::'a) by (rule setsum-0', simp)
assume a-not-t: a ≠ t
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if s = a then if b = k then 1::'a else (0::'a) else if s = b
then if a = k then 1::'a else (0::'a) else if s = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) *
(if k = a then 0::'a else if k = b then 0::'a else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a)))
=
(0::'a) by (rule setsum-0', auto simp add: s-not-t)
next
fix s t::'b
assume a-noteq-t: a≠t and s-noteq-t: s ≠ t
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if s = a then if t = k then 1::'a else (0::'a) else if s = t
then if a = k then 1::'a else (0::'a) else if s = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) *
(if k = a then 1::'a else if k = t then 0::'a else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a)))
=
(0::'a) apply (rule setsum-0') using s-noteq-t by fastforce
next
fix s t::'b
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if t = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = t then 1::'a else if
k = t then 1::'a else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (1::'a)
proof -
let ?f=(λk. (if t = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = t then 1::'a else if k = t

```

```

then 1::'a else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a)))
  have univ-eq: UNIV = ((UNIV - {t}) ∪ {t}) by auto
  have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV - {t}) ∪ {t}) using univ-eq by
    simp
  also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV - {t}) + setsum ?f {t} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
    auto)
  also have ... = 0 + setsum ?f {t} by auto
  also have ... = setsum ?f {t} by simp
  also have ... = 1 by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
next
fix s t::'b
assume b-noteq-t: b ≠ t
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if b = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = t then 0::'a else
if k = b then 1::'a else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (1::'a)
proof -
  let ?f=(λk. (if b = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = t then 0::'a else if k = b
then 1::'a else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a)))
  have univ-eq: UNIV = ((UNIV - {b}) ∪ {b}) by auto
  have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV - {b}) ∪ {b}) using univ-eq by
    simp
  also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV - {b}) + setsum ?f {b} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
    auto)
  also have ... = 0 + setsum ?f {b} by auto
  also have ... = setsum ?f {b} by simp
  also have ... = 1 using b-noteq-t by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
assume a-noteq-t: a≠t
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if t = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = a then 0::'a else
if k = b then 0::'a else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (1::'a)
proof -
  let ?f=(λk. (if t = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = a then 0::'a else if k =
b then 0::'a else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a)))
  have univ-eq: UNIV = ((UNIV - {t}) ∪ {t}) by auto
  have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV - {t}) ∪ {t}) using univ-eq by
    simp
  also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV - {t}) + setsum ?f {t} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
    auto)
  also have ... = 0 + setsum ?f {t} by auto
  also have ... = setsum ?f {t} by simp
  also have ... = 1 using b-noteq-t a-noteq-t by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
next
fix s t::'b
assume a-noteq-t: a≠t
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if a = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = a then 1::'a else

```

```

if k = t then 0::'a else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a)) = (1::'a)
proof -
  let ?f=λk. (if a = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = a then 1::'a else if k =
t then 0::'a else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a))
  have univ-eq: UNIV = ((UNIV - {a}) ∪ {a}) by auto
  have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV - {a}) ∪ {a}) using univ-eq by
simp
  also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV - {a}) + setsum ?f {a} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
  also have ... = 0 + setsum ?f {a} by auto
  also have ... = setsum ?f {a} by simp
  also have ... = 1 using a-noteq-t by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed

```

7.3.2 Properties about multiplying a row by a constant

Properties about *mult-row*

```

lemma mult-row-mat-1: mult-row (mat 1) a q ** A = mult-row A a q
proof (unfold matrix-matrix-mult-def mult-row-def, vector, auto)
  fix ia
  let ?f=λk. q * mat (1::'a) $ a $ k * A $ k $ ia
  have univ-rw:UNIV = (UNIV-{a}) ∪ {a} by auto
  have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV-{a}) ∪ {a}) using univ-rw by
auto
  also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV-{a}) + setsum ?f {a} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
  also have ... = setsum ?f {a} unfolding mat-def by auto
  also have ... = ?f a by auto
  also have ... = q * A $ a $ ia unfolding mat-def by auto
  finally show (Σ k∈UNIV. q * mat (1::'a) $ a $ k * A $ k $ ia) = q * A $ a
$ ia .
  fix i
  assume i: i ≠ a
  let ?g=λk. mat (1::'a) $ i $ k * A $ k $ ia
  have univ-rw'':UNIV = (UNIV-{i}) ∪ {i} by auto
  have setsum ?g UNIV = setsum ?g ((UNIV-{i}) ∪ {i}) using univ-rw'' by
auto
  also have ... = setsum ?g (UNIV-{i}) + setsum ?g {i} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
  also have ... = setsum ?g {i} unfolding mat-def by auto
  also have ... = ?g i by simp
  finally show (Σ k∈UNIV. mat (1::'a) $ i $ k * A $ k $ ia) = A $ i $ ia
unfolding mat-def by simp
qed

```

```

lemma invertible-mult-row:
assumes qk: q*k=1 and kq: k*q=1

```

```

shows invertible (mult-row (mat 1) a q)
proof (unfold invertible-def, rule exI[of - mult-row (mat 1) a k],rule conjI)
  show mult-row (mat (1::'a)) a q ** mult-row (mat (1::'a)) a k = mat (1::'a)
    proof (unfold matrix-matrix-mult-def, vector, clarify, unfold mult-row-def, vector, unfold mat-1-fun, auto)
      show (∑ ka∈UNIV. q * (if a = ka then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if ka = a then k
      * (1::'a) else if ka = a then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (1::'a)
        proof -
          let ?f=λka. q * (if a = ka then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if ka = a then k * (1::'a)
          else if ka = a then 1::'a else (0::'a))
          have univ-eq: UNIV = ((UNIV - {a}) ∪ {a}) by auto
          have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV - {a}) ∪ {a}) using univ-eq
        by simp
        also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV - {a}) + setsum ?f {a} by (rule
        setsum-Un-disjoint, auto)
        also have ... = 0 + setsum ?f {a} by auto
        also have ... = setsum ?f {a} by simp
        also have ... = 1 using qk by simp
        finally show ?thesis .
      qed
    next
      fix s
      assume s-noteq-a: s≠a
      show (∑ ka∈UNIV. (if s = ka then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if ka = a then k *
      (1::'a) else if ka = a then 1::'a else 0)) = 0
        by (rule setsum-0', simp add: s-noteq-a)
    next
      fix t
      assume a-noteq-t: a≠t
      show (∑ ka∈UNIV. (if t = ka then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if ka = a then k *
      (0::'a) else if ka = t then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (1::'a)
        proof -
          let ?f=λka. (if t = ka then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if ka = a then k * (0::'a)
          else if ka = t then 1::'a else (0::'a))
          have univ-eq: UNIV = ((UNIV - {t}) ∪ {t}) by auto
          have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV - {t}) ∪ {t}) using univ-eq
        by simp
        also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV - {t}) + setsum ?f {t} by (rule
        setsum-Un-disjoint, auto)
        also have ... = setsum ?f {t} by simp
        also have ... = 1 using a-noteq-t by auto
        finally show ?thesis .
      qed
    fix s
    assume s-not-t: s≠t
    show (∑ ka∈UNIV. (if s = a then q * (if a = ka then 1::'a else (0::'a)) else
    if s = ka then 1::'a else (0::'a)) *
    (if ka = a then k * (0::'a) else if ka = t then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (0::'a)
      by (rule setsum-0', simp add: s-not-t a-noteq-t)

```

```

qed
show mult-row (mat (1::'a)) a k ** mult-row (mat (1::'a)) a q = mat (1::'a)
proof (unfold matrix-matrix-mult-def, vector, clarify, unfold mult-row-def, vector, unfold mat-1-fun, auto)
  show (∑ ka∈UNIV. k * (if a = ka then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if ka = a then q
  * (1::'a) else if ka = a then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (1::'a)
  proof -
    let ?f=λka. k * (if a = ka then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if ka = a then q * (1::'a)
    else if ka = a then 1::'a else (0::'a))
    have univ-eq: UNIV = ((UNIV - {a}) ∪ {a}) by auto
    have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV - {a}) ∪ {a}) using univ-eq
  by simp
    also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV - {a}) + setsum ?f {a} by (rule
  setsum-Un-disjoint, auto)
    also have ... = 0 + setsum ?f {a} by auto
    also have ... = setsum ?f {a} by simp
    also have ... = 1 using kq by simp
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
next
fix s
assume s-not-a: s≠a
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if s = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = a then q * (1::'a)
else if k = a then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (0::'a)
  by (rule setsum-0', simp add: s-not-a)
next
fix t
assume a-not-t: a≠t
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if t = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = a then q * (0::'a)
else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (1::'a)
proof -
  let ?f=λk. (if t = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = a then q * (0::'a) else
  if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a))
  have univ-eq: UNIV = ((UNIV - {t}) ∪ {t}) by auto
  have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV - {t}) ∪ {t}) using univ-eq
  by simp
    also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV - {t}) + setsum ?f {t} by (rule
  setsum-Un-disjoint, auto)
    also have ... = setsum ?f {t} by simp
    also have ... = 1 using a-not-t by simp
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
fix s
assume s-not-t: s≠t
show (∑ ka∈UNIV. (if s = a then k * (if a = ka then 1::'a else (0::'a)) else
if s = ka then 1::'a else (0::'a)) *
  (if ka = a then q * (0::'a) else if ka = t then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (0::'a)
  by (rule setsum-0', simp add: s-not-t)
qed

```

qed

corollary *invertible-mult-row'*:
 assumes *q-not-zero*: $q \neq 0$
 shows *invertible* (*mult-row* (*mat* (1::'a::{field}))) *a q*
 by (*simp add: invertible-mult-row[of q inverse q] q-not-zero*)

7.3.3 Properties about adding a row multiplied by a constant to another row

Properties about *row-add*

lemma *row-add-mat-1*: *row-add* (*mat* 1) *a b q* ** *A* = *row-add A a b q*
proof (*unfold matrix-matrix-mult-def row-add-def, vector, auto*)
 fix *j*
 let ?*f* = $(\lambda k. (\text{mat} (1::'a) \$ a \$ k + q * \text{mat} (1::'a) \$ b \$ k) * A \$ k \$ j)$
 show *setsum ?f UNIV* = $A \$ a \$ j + q * A \$ b \$ j$
 proof (*cases a=b*)
 case *False*
 have *univ-rw*: $UNIV = \{a\} \cup (\{b\} \cup (UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\}))$ **by** *auto*
 have *setsum-rw*: $\text{setsum } ?f (\{b\} \cup (UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\})) = \text{setsum } ?f \{b\}$
 + $\text{setsum } ?f (UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\})$ **by** (*rule setsum-Un-disjoint, auto simp add: False*)
 have *setsum ?f UNIV* = *setsum ?f* ($\{a\} \cup (\{b\} \cup (UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\}))$)
 using *univ-rw* **by** *simp*
 also have ... = *setsum ?f* $\{a\} + \text{setsum } ?f (\{b\} \cup (UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\}))$ **by**
 (*rule setsum-Un-disjoint, auto simp add: False*)
 also have ... = *setsum ?f* $\{a\} + \text{setsum } ?f \{b\} + \text{setsum } ?f (UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\})$ **unfolding** *setsum-rw ab-semigroup-add-class.add-ac(1)[symmetric]* ..
 also have ... = *setsum ?f* $\{a\} + \text{setsum } ?f \{b\}$
 proof –
 have *setsum ?f* ($UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\}$) = *setsum* ($\lambda k. 0$) ($UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\}$) **unfolding** *mat-def* **by** (*rule setsum-cong2, auto*)
 also have ... = 0 **unfolding** *setsum-0* ..
 finally show ?*thesis* **by** *simp*
 qed
 also have ... = $A \$ a \$ j + q * A \$ b \$ j$ **using** *False unfolding mat-def by simp*
 finally show ?*thesis* .
 next
 case *True*
 have *univ-rw*: $UNIV = \{b\} \cup (UNIV - \{b\})$ **by** *auto*
 have *setsum ?f UNIV* = *setsum ?f* ($\{b\} \cup (UNIV - \{b\})$) **using** *univ-rw* **by** *simp*
 also have ... = *setsum ?f* $\{b\} + \text{setsum } ?f (UNIV - \{b\})$ **by** (*rule setsum-Un-disjoint, auto*)
 also have ... = *setsum ?f* $\{b\}$
 proof –
 have *setsum ?f* ($UNIV - \{b\}$) = *setsum* ($\lambda k. 0$) ($UNIV - \{b\}$) **using** *True unfolding mat-def by auto*

```

also have ... = 0 unfolding setsum-0 ..
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = A $ a $ j + q * A $ b $ j
by (unfold True mat-def, simp, metis (hide-lams, no-types) vector-add-component
vector-sadd-rdistrib vector-smult-component vector-smult-lid)
finally show ?thesis .
qed
fix i assume i: i ≠ a
let ?g=λk. mat (1::'a) $ i $ k * A $ k $ j
have univ-rw: UNIV = {i} ∪ (UNIV - {i}) by auto
have setsum ?g UNIV = setsum ?g ({i} ∪ (UNIV - {i})) using univ-rw by
simp
also have ... = setsum ?g {i} + setsum ?g (UNIV - {i}) by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
also have ... = setsum ?g {i}
proof -
  have setsum ?g (UNIV - {i}) = setsum (λk. 0) (UNIV - {i}) unfolding
mat-def by auto
  also have ... = 0 unfolding setsum-0 ..
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = A $ i $ j unfolding mat-def by simp
finally show (∑ k∈UNIV. mat (1::'a) $ i $ k * A $ k $ j) = A $ i $ j .
qed

lemma invertible-row-add:
assumes a-noteq-b: a ≠ b
shows invertible (row-add (mat (1::'a::{ring-1}))) a b q)
proof (unfold invertible-def, rule exI[of - (row-add (mat 1) a b (-q))], rule conjI)
show row-add (mat (1::'a)) a b q ** row-add (mat (1::'a)) a b (- q) = mat
(1::'a) using a-noteq-b
proof (unfold matrix-matrix-mult-def, vector, clarify, unfold row-add-def, vector,
unfold mat-1-fun, auto)
show (∑ k::'b∈UNIV. (if b = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = a then (0::'a)
+ - q * (1::'a) else if k = b then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (1::'a)
proof -
  let ?f=λk. (if b = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = a then (0::'a) + - q *
(1::'a) else if k = b then 1::'a else (0::'a))
  have univ-eq: UNIV = ((UNIV - {b}) ∪ {b}) by auto
  have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV - {b}) ∪ {b}) using univ-eq
by simp
  also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV - {b}) + setsum ?f {b} by (rule
setsum-Un-disjoint, auto)
  also have ... = 0 + setsum ?f {b} by auto
  also have ... = setsum ?f {b} by simp
  also have ... = 1 using a-noteq-b by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

show ( $\sum k \in UNIV. ((if a = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) + q * (if b = k then 1::'a else (0::'a))) * (if k = a then (1::'a) + - q * (0::'a) else if k = a then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (1::'a)$ )
proof -
  let ?f= $\lambda k.$   $((if a = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) + q * (if b = k then 1::'a else (0::'a))) * (if k = a then (1::'a) + - q * (0::'a) else if k = a then 1::'a else (0::'a))$ 
  have univ-eq:  $UNIV = ((UNIV - \{a\}) \cup \{a\})$  by auto
  have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f  $((UNIV - \{a\}) \cup \{a\})$  using univ-eq
  by simp
  also have ... = setsum ?f  $(UNIV - \{a\}) + setsum ?f \{a\}$  by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint, auto)
  also have ... =  $0 + setsum ?f \{a\}$  by auto
  also have ... = setsum ?f  $\{a\}$  by simp
  also have ... =  $1$  using a-noteq-b by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
next
  fix s
  assume s-not-a:  $s \neq a$ 
  show ( $\sum k \in UNIV. (if s = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = a then (1::'a) + - q * (0::'a) else if k = a then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (0::'a)$ )
    by (rule setsum-0', auto simp add: s-not-a)
next
  fix t
  assume b-not-t:  $b \neq t$  and a-not-t:  $a \neq t$ 
  show ( $\sum k \in UNIV. (if t = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = a then (0::'a) + - q * (0::'a) else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (1::'a)$ )
    proof -
      let ?f= $\lambda k.$   $((if t = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = a then (0::'a) + - q * (0::'a) else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a)))$ 
      have univ-eq:  $UNIV = ((UNIV - \{t\}) \cup \{t\})$  by auto
      have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f  $((UNIV - \{t\}) \cup \{t\})$  using univ-eq
      by simp
      also have ... = setsum ?f  $(UNIV - \{t\}) + setsum ?f \{t\}$  by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint, auto)
      also have ... =  $0 + setsum ?f \{t\}$  by auto
      also have ... = setsum ?f  $\{t\}$  by simp
      also have ... =  $1$  using b-not-t a-not-t by simp
      finally show ?thesis .
qed
next
  fix s t
  assume b-not-t:  $b \neq t$  and a-not-t:  $a \neq t$  and s-not-t:  $s \neq t$ 
  show ( $\sum k \in UNIV. (if s = a then (if a = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) + q * (if b = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) else if s = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = a then (0::'a) + - q * (0::'a) else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (0::'a)$ )
    by (rule setsum-0', auto simp add: b-not-t a-not-t s-not-t)
next

```

```

fix s
assume s-not-b: s ≠ b
let ?f=λk. (if s = a then (if a = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) + q * (if b = k then
1::'a else (0::'a)) else if s = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) *
(if k = a then (0::'a) + - q * (1::'a) else if k = b then 1::'a else (0::'a))
show setsum ?f UNIV = (0::'a)
proof (cases s=a)
  case False
    show ?thesis by (rule setsum-0', auto simp add: False s-not-b a-noteq-b)
next
  case True — This case is different from the other cases
    have univ-eq: UNIV = ((UNIV - {a} - {b}) ∪ ({b} ∪ {a})) by auto
    have setsum-a: setsum ?f {a} = -q unfolding True using s-not-b using
a-noteq-b by auto
    have setsum-b: setsum ?f {b} = q unfolding True using s-not-b using
a-noteq-b by auto
    have setsum-rest: setsum ?f (UNIV - {a} - {b}) = 0 by (rule setsum-0',
auto simp add: True s-not-b a-noteq-b)
    have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV - {a} - {b}) ∪ ({b} ∪ {a}))
using univ-eq by simp
    also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV - {a} - {b}) + setsum ?f ({b} ∪ {a})
by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint, auto)
    also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV - {a} - {b}) + setsum ?f {b} + setsum
?f {a} by (auto simp add: setsum-Un-disjoint a-noteq-b)
    also have ... = 0 unfolding setsum-a setsum-b setsum-rest by simp
    finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed
next
  show row-add (mat (1::'a)) a b (- q) ** row-add (mat (1::'a)) a b q = mat
(1::'a) using a-noteq-b
  proof (unfold matrix-matrix-mult-def, vector, clarify, unfold row-add-def, vector,
unfold mat-1-fun, auto)
    show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if b = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = a then (0::'a) +
q * (1::'a) else if k = b then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (1::'a)
    proof -
      let ?f=λk. (if b = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = a then (0::'a) + q *
(1::'a) else if k = b then 1::'a else (0::'a))
      have univ-eq: UNIV = ((UNIV - {b}) ∪ {b}) by auto
      have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV - {b}) ∪ {b}) using univ-eq
by simp
      also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV - {b}) + setsum ?f {b} by (rule
setsum-Un-disjoint, auto)
      also have ... = 0 + setsum ?f {b} by auto
      also have ... = setsum ?f {b} by simp
      also have ... = 1 using a-noteq-b by simp
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
  next

```

```

show ( $\sum k \in \text{UNIV}. ((\text{if } a = k \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) + - (q * (\text{if } b = k \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)))) * (\text{if } k = a \text{ then } (1::'a) + q * (0::'a) \text{ else if } k = a \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a))) = (1::'a)$ 
proof -
  let  $?f = \lambda k. ((\text{if } a = k \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) + - (q * (\text{if } b = k \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)))) * (\text{if } k = a \text{ then } (1::'a) + q * (0::'a) \text{ else if } k = a \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a))$ 
  have  $\text{univ-eq}: \text{UNIV} = ((\text{UNIV} - \{a\}) \cup \{a\})$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  have  $\text{setsum } ?f \text{ UNIV} = \text{setsum } ?f ((\text{UNIV} - \{a\}) \cup \{a\})$  using  $\text{univ-eq}$ 
by  $\text{simp}$ 
  also have ... =  $\text{setsum } ?f (\text{UNIV} - \{a\}) + \text{setsum } ?f \{a\}$  by ( $\text{rule setsum-Un-disjoint}$ ,  $\text{auto}$ )
  also have ... =  $0 + \text{setsum } ?f \{a\}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  also have ... =  $\text{setsum } ?f \{a\}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
  also have ... =  $1$  using  $a\text{-noteq-}b$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
  finally show  $?thesis$  .
qed
next
  fix  $s$ 
  assume  $s\text{-not-}a: s \neq a$ 
  show ( $\sum k \in \text{UNIV}. (\text{if } s = k \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) * (\text{if } k = a \text{ then } (1::'a) + q * (0::'a) \text{ else if } k = a \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) = (0::'a)$ 
    by ( $\text{rule setsum-0}'$ ,  $\text{auto simp add: s-not-a}$ )
next
  fix  $t$ 
  assume  $b\text{-not-}t: b \neq t$  and  $a\text{-not-}t: a \neq t$ 
  show ( $\sum k \in \text{UNIV}. (\text{if } t = k \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) * (\text{if } k = a \text{ then } (0::'a) + q * (0::'a) \text{ else if } k = t \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) = (1::'a)$ 
  proof -
    let  $?f = \lambda k. (\text{if } t = k \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) * (\text{if } k = a \text{ then } (0::'a) + q * (0::'a) \text{ else if } k = t \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a))$ 
    have  $\text{univ-eq}: \text{UNIV} = ((\text{UNIV} - \{t\}) \cup \{t\})$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
    have  $\text{setsum } ?f \text{ UNIV} = \text{setsum } ?f ((\text{UNIV} - \{t\}) \cup \{t\})$  using  $\text{univ-eq}$ 
by  $\text{simp}$ 
    also have ... =  $\text{setsum } ?f (\text{UNIV} - \{t\}) + \text{setsum } ?f \{t\}$  by ( $\text{rule setsum-Un-disjoint}$ ,  $\text{auto}$ )
    also have ... =  $0 + \text{setsum } ?f \{t\}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
    also have ... =  $\text{setsum } ?f \{t\}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
    also have ... =  $1$  using  $b\text{-not-}t$   $a\text{-not-}t$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
    finally show  $?thesis$  .
qed
next
  fix  $s t$ 
  assume  $b\text{-not-}t: b \neq t$  and  $a\text{-not-}t: a \neq t$  and  $s\text{-not-}t: s \neq t$ 
  show ( $\sum k \in \text{UNIV}. (\text{if } s = a \text{ then } (\text{if } a = k \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) + - q * (\text{if } b = k \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) \text{ else if } s = k \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) * (\text{if } k = a \text{ then } (0::'a) + q * (0::'a) \text{ else if } k = t \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) = (0::'a)$ 
    by ( $\text{rule setsum-0}'$ ,  $\text{auto simp add: b-not-t a-not-t s-not-t}$ )

```

```

next
  fix s
  assume s-not-b:  $s \neq b$ 
  let ?f= $\lambda k.$ (if  $s = a$  then (if  $a = k$  then  $1::'a$  else  $(0::'a)$ ) + -  $q * (\text{if } b = k$ 
then  $1::'a$  else  $(0::'a))$  else if  $s = k$  then  $1::'a$  else  $(0::'a))$ 
    * (if  $k = a$  then  $(0::'a)$  +  $q * (1::'a)$  else if  $k = b$  then  $1::'a$  else  $(0::'a))$ 
  show setsum ?f UNIV = 0
proof (cases s=a)
  case False
  show ?thesis by (rule setsum-0', auto simp add: False s-not-b a-noteq-b)
next
  case True — This case is different from the other cases
  have univ-eq: UNIV =  $((UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\}) \cup (\{b\} \cup \{a\}))$  by auto
  have setsum-a: setsum ?f {a} = q unfolding True using s-not-b using
  a-noteq-b by auto
  have setsum-b: setsum ?f {b} = -q unfolding True using s-not-b using
  a-noteq-b by auto
  have setsum-rest: setsum ?f  $(UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\}) = 0$  by (rule setsum-0',
  auto simp add: True s-not-b a-noteq-b)
  have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f  $((UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\}) \cup (\{b\} \cup \{a\}))$ 
using univ-eq by simp
  also have ... = setsum ?f  $(UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\}) + \text{setsum } ?f (\{b\} \cup \{a\})$ 
by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint, auto)
  also have ... = setsum ?f  $(UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\}) + \text{setsum } ?f \{b\} + \text{setsum }$ 
  ?f {a} by (auto simp add: setsum-Un-disjoint a-noteq-b)
  also have ... = 0 unfolding setsum-a setsum-b setsum-rest by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed
qed

```

7.4 Properties about elementary column operations

7.4.1 Properties about interchanging columns

Properties about *interchange-columns*

```

lemma interchange-columns-mat-1:  $A ** \text{interchange-columns (mat 1)} a b =$ 
interchange-columns A a b
proof (unfold matrix-matrix-mult-def, unfold interchange-columns-def, vector, auto)

```

```

  fix i
  show  $(\sum k \in UNIV. A \$ i \$ k * \text{mat} (1::'a) \$ k \$ a) = A \$ i \$ a$ 
  proof -
    let ?f= $(\lambda k. A \$ i \$ k * \text{mat} (1::'a) \$ k \$ a)$ 
    have univ-rw: UNIV =  $(UNIV - \{a\}) \cup \{a\}$  by auto
    have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f  $((UNIV - \{a\}) \cup \{a\})$  using univ-rw by
    auto
    also have ... = setsum ?f  $(UNIV - \{a\}) + \text{setsum } ?f \{a\}$  by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
    auto)

```

```

also have ... = setsum ?f {a} unfolding mat-def by auto
finally show ?thesis unfolding mat-def by simp
qed
assume a-not-b: a≠b
show (∑ k∈UNIV. A $ i $ k * mat (1::'a) $ k $ b) = A $ i $ b
proof -
  let ?f=(λk. A $ i $ k * mat (1::'a) $ k $ b)
  have univ-rw:UNIV = (UNIV-{b}) ∪ {b} by auto
  have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV-{b}) ∪ {b}) using univ-rw by
auto
  also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV-{b}) + setsum ?f {b} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
  also have ... = setsum ?f {b} unfolding mat-def by auto
finally show ?thesis unfolding mat-def by simp
qed
next
fix i j
assume j-not-b: j ≠ b and j-not-a: j ≠ a
show (∑ k∈UNIV. A $ i $ k * mat (1::'a) $ k $ j) = A $ i $ j
proof -
  let ?f=(λk. A $ i $ k * mat (1::'a) $ k $ j)
  have univ-rw:UNIV = (UNIV-{j}) ∪ {j} by auto
  have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV-{j}) ∪ {j}) using univ-rw by
auto
  also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV-{j}) + setsum ?f {j} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
  also have ... = setsum ?f {j} unfolding mat-def using j-not-b j-not-a by
auto
  finally show ?thesis unfolding mat-def by simp
qed
qed

lemma invertible-interchange-columns: invertible (interchange-columns (mat 1) a
b)
proof (unfold invertible-def, rule exI[of _ interchange-columns (mat 1) a b], simp,
unfold matrix-matrix-mult-def, vector, clarify,
unfold interchange-columns-def, vector, unfold mat-1-fun, auto+)
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if k = b then 1::'a else if k = b then 1::'a else if b = k then
1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = b then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (1::'a)
proof -
  let ?f=(λk. (if k = b then 1::'a else if k = b then 1::'a else if b = k then 1::'a
else (0::'a)) * (if k = b then 1::'a else (0::'a)))
  have univ-rw:UNIV = (UNIV-{b}) ∪ {b} by auto
  have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV-{b}) ∪ {b}) using univ-rw by
auto
  also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV-{b}) + setsum ?f {b} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
  also have ... = setsum ?f {b} by auto
finally show ?thesis by simp

```

```

qed
assume a-not-b: a ≠ b
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if k = a then 0::'a else if k = b then 1::'a else if a = k then
1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = b then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (1::'a)
proof -
  let ?f=λk. (if k = a then 0::'a else if k = b then 1::'a else if a = k then
1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = b then 1::'a else (0::'a))
  have univ-rw:UNIV = (UNIV-{b}) ∪ {b} by auto
  have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV-{b}) ∪ {b}) using univ-rw by
auto
  also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV-{b}) + setsum ?f {b} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
  also have ... = setsum ?f {b} using a-not-b by simp
  finally show ?thesis using a-not-b by auto
qed
next
fix t
assume b-not-t: b ≠ t
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if k = b then 1::'a else if k = b then 1::'a else if b = k then
1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (0::'a)
apply (rule setsum-0') using b-not-t by auto
assume b-not-a: b ≠ a
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if k = a then 1::'a else if k = b then 0::'a else if b = k then
1::'a else (0::'a)) *
(if t = a then if k = b then 1::'a else (0::'a) else if t = b then if k = a then
1::'a else (0::'a) else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a))) =
(0::'a) apply (rule setsum-0') using b-not-t by auto
next
fix t
assume a-not-b: a ≠ b and a-not-t: a ≠ t
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if k = a then 0::'a else if k = b then 1::'a else if a = k then
1::'a else (0::'a)) *
(if t = b then if k = a then 1::'a else (0::'a) else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a)))
= (0::'a)
by (rule setsum-0', auto simp add: a-not-b a-not-t)
next
assume b-not-a: b ≠ a
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if k = a then 1::'a else if k = b then 0::'a else if b = k then
1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = a then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (1::'a)
proof -
  let ?f=λk. (if k = a then 1::'a else if k = b then 0::'a else if b = k then 1::'a
else (0::'a)) * (if k = a then 1::'a else (0::'a))
  have univ-rw:UNIV = (UNIV-{a}) ∪ {a} by auto
  have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV-{a}) ∪ {a}) using univ-rw by
auto
  also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV-{a}) + setsum ?f {a} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
  also have ... = setsum ?f {a} using b-not-a by simp
  finally show ?thesis using b-not-a by auto

```

```

qed
next
fix t
assume t-not-a: t ≠ a and t-not-b: t ≠ b
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if k = a then 0::'a else if k = b then 0::'a else if t = k then
1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (1::'a)
proof -
let ?f=λk. (if k = a then 0::'a else if k = b then 0::'a else if t = k then 1::'a
else (0::'a)) * (if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a))
have univ-rw: UNIV = (UNIV-{t}) ∪ {t} by auto
have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV-{t}) ∪ {t}) using univ-rw by
auto
also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV-{t}) + setsum ?f {t} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
also have ... = setsum ?f {t} using t-not-a t-not-b by simp
also have ... = 1 using t-not-a t-not-b by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed
next
fix s t
assume s-not-a: s ≠ a and s-not-b: s ≠ b and s-not-t: s ≠ t
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if k = a then 0::'a else if k = b then 0::'a else if s = k then
1::'a else (0::'a)) *
(if t = a then if k = b then 1::'a else (0::'a) else if t = b then if k = a then
1::'a else (0::'a) else if k = t then 1::'a else (0::'a))) =
(0::'a)
by (rule setsum-0', auto simp add: s-not-a s-not-b s-not-t)
qed

```

7.4.2 Properties about multiplying a column by a constant

Properties about *mult-column*

```

lemma mult-column-mat-1: A ** mult-column (mat 1) a q = mult-column A a q
proof (unfold matrix-matrix-mult-def, unfold mult-column-def, vector, auto)
fix i
show (∑ k∈UNIV. A $ i $ k * (mat (1::'a) $ k $ a * q)) = A $ i $ a * q
proof -
let ?f=λk. A $ i $ k * (mat (1::'a) $ k $ a * q)
have univ-rw: UNIV = (UNIV-{a}) ∪ {a} by auto
have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV-{a}) ∪ {a}) using univ-rw by
auto
also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV-{a}) + setsum ?f {a} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
also have ... = setsum ?f {a} unfolding mat-def by auto
also have ... = A $ i $ a * q unfolding mat-def by auto
finally show ?thesis .
qed
fix j
show (∑ k∈UNIV. A $ i $ k * mat (1::'a) $ k $ j) = A $ i $ j

```

```

proof -
  let ?f= $\lambda k. A \$ i \$ k * mat (1::'a) \$ k \$ j$ 
  have univ-rw: $UNIV = (UNIV - \{j\}) \cup \{j\}$  by auto
  have setsum ?f  $UNIV = \text{setsum } ?f ((UNIV - \{j\}) \cup \{j\})$  using univ-rw by
  auto
  also have ... = setsum ?f  $(UNIV - \{j\}) + \text{setsum } ?f \{j\}$  by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
  auto)
  also have ... = setsum ?f  $\{j\}$  unfolding mat-def by auto
  also have ... =  $A \$ i \$ j$  unfolding mat-def by auto
  finally show ?thesis .
  qed
qed

lemma invertible-mult-column:
  assumes qk:  $q * k = 1$  and kq:  $k * q = 1$ 
  shows invertible (mult-column (mat 1) a q)
proof (unfold invertible-def, rule exI[of - mult-column (mat 1) a k], rule conjI)
  show mult-column (mat 1) a q ** mult-column (mat 1) a k = mat 1
  proof (unfold matrix-matrix-mult-def, vector, clarify, unfold mult-column-def,
  vector, unfold mat-1-fun, auto)
    fix t
    show  $(\sum_{ka \in UNIV} (\text{if } ka = a \text{ then } (\text{if } t = ka \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) * q \text{ else } if t = ka \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a))) *$ 
       $(\text{if } t = a \text{ then } (\text{if } ka = t \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) * k \text{ else if } ka = t \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) =$ 
       $(1::'a)$ 
    proof -
      let ?f= $\lambda ka. (\text{if } ka = a \text{ then } (\text{if } t = ka \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) * q \text{ else if } t = ka \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) *$ 
         $(\text{if } t = a \text{ then } (\text{if } ka = t \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) * k \text{ else if } ka = t \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a))$ 
      have univ-rw: $UNIV = (UNIV - \{t\}) \cup \{t\}$  by auto
      have setsum ?f  $UNIV = \text{setsum } ?f ((UNIV - \{t\}) \cup \{t\})$  using univ-rw by
      auto
      also have ... = setsum ?f  $(UNIV - \{t\}) + \text{setsum } ?f \{t\}$  by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
      auto)
      also have ... = setsum ?f  $\{t\}$  by auto
      also have ... = 1 using qk by auto
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    fix s
    assume s-not-t:  $s \neq t$ 
    show  $(\sum_{ka \in UNIV} (\text{if } ka = a \text{ then } (\text{if } s = ka \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) * q \text{ else } if s = ka \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a))) *$ 
       $(\text{if } t = a \text{ then } (\text{if } ka = t \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) * k \text{ else if } ka = t \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) =$ 
       $(0::'a)$ 
    apply (rule setsum-0') using s-not-t by auto
  qed

```

```

show mult-column (mat (1::'a)) a k ** mult-column (mat (1::'a)) a q = mat
(1::'a)
proof (unfold matrix-matrix-mult-def, vector, clarify, unfold mult-column-def,
vector, unfold mat-1-fun, auto)
  fix t
  show ( $\sum_{ka \in UNIV}.$  (if  $ka = a$  then (if  $t = ka$  then  $1::'a$  else  $(0::'a)$ ) *  $k$  else
if  $t = ka$  then  $1::'a$  else  $(0::'a)$ ) *
  (if  $t = a$  then (if  $ka = t$  then  $1::'a$  else  $(0::'a)$ ) *  $q$  else if  $ka = t$  then  $1::'a$ 
else  $(0::'a)$ ) =  $(1::'a)$ )
proof -
  let ?f= $\lambda ka.$  (if  $ka = a$  then (if  $t = ka$  then  $1::'a$  else  $(0::'a)$ ) *  $k$  else if  $t =$ 
 $ka$  then  $1::'a$  else  $(0::'a)$ ) *
  (if  $t = a$  then (if  $ka = t$  then  $1::'a$  else  $(0::'a)$ ) *  $q$  else if  $ka = t$  then  $1::'a$ 
else  $(0::'a)$ )
  have univ-rw: $UNIV = (UNIV - \{t\}) \cup \{t\}$  by auto
  have setsum ?f  $UNIV = \text{setsum } ?f ((UNIV - \{t\}) \cup \{t\})$  using univ-rw by
auto
  also have ... = setsum ?f  $(UNIV - \{t\}) + \text{setsum } ?f \{t\}$  by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
  also have ... = setsum ?f  $\{t\}$  by auto
  also have ... = 1 using kq by auto
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
fix s assume s-not-t:  $s \neq t$ 
show ( $\sum_{ka \in UNIV}.$  (if  $ka = a$  then (if  $s = ka$  then  $1::'a$  else  $(0::'a)$ ) *  $k$  else
if  $s = ka$  then  $1::'a$  else  $(0::'a)$ ) *
  (if  $t = a$  then (if  $ka = t$  then  $1::'a$  else  $(0::'a)$ ) *  $q$  else if  $ka = t$  then  $1::'a$ 
else  $(0::'a)$ ) = 0
  apply (rule setsum-0') using s-not-t by auto
qed
qed

corollary invertible-mult-column':
assumes q-not-zero:  $q \neq 0$ 
shows invertible (mult-column (mat (1::'a::{field}))) a q)
by (simp add: invertible-mult-column[of q inverse q] q-not-zero)

```

7.4.3 Properties about adding a column multiplied by a constant to another column

Properties about *column-add*

```

lemma column-add-mat-1:  $A \star \text{column-add} (\text{mat } 1) a b q = \text{column-add } A a b q$ 
proof (unfold matrix-matrix-mult-def,
  unfold column-add-def, vector, auto)
  fix i
  let ?f= $\lambda k.$   $A \$ i \$ k * (\text{mat } (1::'a) \$ k \$ a + \text{mat } (1::'a) \$ k \$ b * q)$ 
  show setsum ?f  $UNIV = A \$ i \$ a + A \$ i \$ b * q$ 
  proof (cases a=b)
    case True

```

```

have univ-rw:  $UNIV = (UNIV - \{a\}) \cup \{a\}$  by auto
have setsum ?f  $UNIV = \text{setsum } ?f ((UNIV - \{a\}) \cup \{a\})$  using univ-rw by
auto
also have ... =  $\text{setsum } ?f (UNIV - \{a\}) + \text{setsum } ?f \{a\}$  by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
also have ... =  $\text{setsum } ?f \{a\}$  unfolding mat-def True by auto
also have ... = ?f a by auto
also have ... =  $A \$ i \$ a + A \$ i \$ b * q$  using True unfolding mat-1-fun
using distrib-left[of  $A \$ i \$ b 1 q$ ] by auto
finally show ?thesis .
next
case False
have univ-rw:  $UNIV = \{a\} \cup (\{b\} \cup (UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\}))$  by auto
have setsum-rw:  $\text{setsum } ?f (\{b\} \cup (UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\})) = \text{setsum } ?f \{b\}$ 
+  $\text{setsum } ?f (UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\})$  by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint, auto simp add:
False)
have setsum ?f  $UNIV = \text{setsum } ?f (\{a\} \cup (\{b\} \cup (UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\})))$ 
using univ-rw by simp
also have ... =  $\text{setsum } ?f \{a\} + \text{setsum } ?f (\{b\} \cup (UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\}))$  by
(rule setsum-Un-disjoint, auto simp add: False)
also have ... =  $\text{setsum } ?f \{a\} + \text{setsum } ?f \{b\} + \text{setsum } ?f (UNIV - \{a\} -$ 
 $\{b\})$  unfolding setsum-rw ab-semigroup-add-class.add-ac(1)[symmetric] ..
also have ... =  $\text{setsum } ?f \{a\} + \text{setsum } ?f \{b\}$  unfolding mat-def by auto

also have ... =  $A \$ i \$ a + A \$ i \$ b * q$  using False unfolding mat-def by
simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed
fix j
assume j-noteq-a:  $j \neq a$ 
show  $(\sum k \in UNIV. A \$ i \$ k * \text{mat} (1::'a) \$ k \$ j) = A \$ i \$ j$ 
proof -
let ?f= $\lambda k. A \$ i \$ k * \text{mat} (1::'a) \$ k \$ j$ 
have univ-rw:  $UNIV = (UNIV - \{j\}) \cup \{j\}$  by auto
have setsum ?f  $UNIV = \text{setsum } ?f ((UNIV - \{j\}) \cup \{j\})$  using univ-rw by
auto
also have ... =  $\text{setsum } ?f (UNIV - \{j\}) + \text{setsum } ?f \{j\}$  by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
also have ... =  $\text{setsum } ?f \{j\}$  unfolding mat-def by auto
also have ... =  $A \$ i \$ j$  unfolding mat-def by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed

```

lemma invertible-column-add:
assumes a-noteq-b: $a \neq b$
shows invertible (column-add (mat (1::'a::{ring-1}))) a b q
proof (unfold invertible-def, rule exI[of - (column-add (mat 1) a b (-q))], rule

```

conjI)
  show column-add (mat (1::'a)) a b q ** column-add (mat (1::'a)) a b (- q) =
  mat (1::'a) using a-noteq-b
  proof (unfold matrix-matrix-mult-def, vector, clarify, unfold column-add-def,
  vector, unfold mat-1-fun, auto)
    show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if k = a then (0::'a) + (1::'a) * q else if b = k then 1::'a
    else (0::'a)) * (if k = b then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (1::'a)
    proof -
      let ?f=λk. (if k = a then (0::'a) + (1::'a) * q else if b = k then 1::'a else
      (0::'a)) * (if k = b then 1::'a else (0::'a))
      have univ-rw:UNIV = (UNIV-{b}) ∪ {b} by auto
      have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV-{b}) ∪ {b}) using univ-rw by
      auto
      also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV-{b}) + setsum ?f {b} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
      auto)
      also have ... = setsum ?f {b} by auto
      also have ... = 1 using a-noteq-b by simp
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if k = a then (1::'a) + (0::'a) * q else if a = k then 1::'a
    else (0::'a)) * ((if k = a then 1::'a else (0::'a)) + - ((if k = b then 1::'a else
    (0::'a)) * q))) =
    (1::'a)
    proof -
      let ?f=λk. (if k = a then (1::'a) + (0::'a) * q else if a = k then 1::'a else
      (0::'a)) * ((if k = a then 1::'a else (0::'a)) + - ((if k = b then 1::'a else (0::'a))
      * q))
      have univ-rw:UNIV = (UNIV-{a}) ∪ {a} by auto
      have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV-{a}) ∪ {a}) using univ-rw by
      auto
      also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV-{a}) + setsum ?f {a} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
      auto)
      also have ... = setsum ?f {a} by auto
      also have ... = 1 using a-noteq-b by simp
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    fix i j
    assume i-not-b: i ≠ b and i-not-a: i ≠ a and i-not-j: i ≠ j
    show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if k = a then (0::'a) + (0::'a) * q else if i = k then 1::'a
    else (0::'a)) *
    (if j = a then (if k = a then 1::'a else (0::'a)) + (if k = b then 1::'a else
    (0::'a)) * - q else if k = j then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (0::'a)
    by (rule setsum-0', auto simp add: i-not-b i-not-a i-not-j)
  next
    fix j
    assume a-not-j: a ≠ j
    show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if k = a then (1::'a) + (0::'a) * q else if a = k then 1::'a
    else (0::'a)) * (if k = j then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (0::'a)
    apply (rule setsum-0') using a-not-j a-noteq-b by auto

```

```

next
  fix  $j$ 
  assume  $j\text{-not-}b: j \neq b$  and  $j\text{-not-}a: j \neq a$ 
  show  $(\sum k \in \text{UNIV}. (\text{if } k = a \text{ then } (0::'a) + (0::'a) * q \text{ else if } j = k \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) * (\text{if } k = j \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a))) = (1::'a)$ 
  proof -
    let  $?f = \lambda k. (\text{if } k = a \text{ then } (0::'a) + (0::'a) * q \text{ else if } j = k \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) * (\text{if } k = j \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a))$ 
    have  $\text{univ-rw: UNIV} = (\text{UNIV} - \{j\}) \cup \{j\}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
    have  $\text{setsum } ?f \text{ UNIV} = \text{setsum } ?f ((\text{UNIV} - \{j\}) \cup \{j\})$  using  $\text{univ-rw}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
    also have ...  $= \text{setsum } ?f (\text{UNIV} - \{j\}) + \text{setsum } ?f \{j\}$  by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint, auto)
    also have ...  $= \text{setsum } ?f \{j\}$  using  $j\text{-not-}b \ j\text{-not-}a$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
    also have ...  $= 1$  using  $j\text{-not-}b \ j\text{-not-}a$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
    finally show  $?thesis$  .
  qed
next
  fix  $j$ 
  assume  $b\text{-not-}j: b \neq j$ 
  show  $(\sum k \in \text{UNIV}. (\text{if } k = a \text{ then } 0 + 1 * q \text{ else if } b = k \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) * (\text{if } j = a \text{ then } (\text{if } k = a \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) + (\text{if } k = b \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) * -q \text{ else if } k = j \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)) = 0$ 
  proof (cases j=a)
    case False
    show  $?thesis$  by (rule setsum-0', auto simp add: False b-not-j)
  next
    case True — This case is different from the other cases
    let  $?f = \lambda k. (\text{if } k = a \text{ then } 0 + 1 * q \text{ else if } b = k \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) * (\text{if } j = a \text{ then } (\text{if } k = a \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) + (\text{if } k = b \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) * -q \text{ else if } k = j \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$ 
    have  $\text{univ-eq: UNIV} = ((\text{UNIV} - \{a\} - \{b\}) \cup (\{b\} \cup \{a\}))$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
    have  $\text{setsum-a: setsum } ?f \{a\} = q$  unfolding True using  $b\text{-not-}j$  using  $a\text{-noteq-}b$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
    have  $\text{setsum-b: setsum } ?f \{b\} = -q$  unfolding True using  $b\text{-not-}j$  using  $a\text{-noteq-}b$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
    have  $\text{setsum-rest: setsum } ?f (\text{UNIV} - \{a\} - \{b\}) = 0$  by (rule setsum-0', auto simp add: True b-not-j a-noteq-b)
    have  $\text{setsum } ?f \text{ UNIV} = \text{setsum } ?f ((\text{UNIV} - \{a\} - \{b\}) \cup (\{b\} \cup \{a\}))$  using  $\text{univ-eq}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
    also have ...  $= \text{setsum } ?f (\text{UNIV} - \{a\} - \{b\}) + \text{setsum } ?f (\{b\} \cup \{a\})$  by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint, auto)
    also have ...  $= \text{setsum } ?f (\text{UNIV} - \{a\} - \{b\}) + \text{setsum } ?f \{b\} + \text{setsum } ?f \{a\}$  by (auto simp add: setsum-Un-disjoint a-noteq-b)
    also have ...  $= 0$  unfolding  $\text{setsum-a setsum-b setsum-rest}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
    finally show  $?thesis$  .
  qed
  qed
next

```

```

show column-add (mat (1::'a)) a b (− q) ** column-add (mat (1::'a)) a b q =
mat (1::'a) using a-noteq-b
proof (unfold matrix-matrix-mult-def, vector, clarify, unfold column-add-def,
vector, unfold mat-1-fun, auto)
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if k = a then (0::'a) + (1::'a) * − q else if b = k then
1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = b then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (1::'a)
proof −
let ?f=λk. (if k = a then (0::'a) + (1::'a) * − q else if b = k then 1::'a else
(0::'a)) * (if k = b then 1::'a else (0::'a))
have univ-rw: UNIV = (UNIV−{b}) ∪ {b} by auto
have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV−{b}) ∪ {b}) using univ-rw by
auto
also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV−{b}) + setsum ?f {b} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
also have ... = setsum ?f {b} by auto
also have ... = 1 using a-noteq-b by auto
finally show ?thesis .
qed
next
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if k = a then (1::'a) + (0::'a) * − q else if a = k then
1::'a else (0::'a)) * ((if k = a then 1::'a else (0::'a)) + (if k = b then 1::'a else
(0::'a)) * q)) =
(1::'a)
proof −
let ?f=λk. (if k = a then (1::'a) + (0::'a) * − q else if a = k then 1::'a else
(0::'a)) * ((if k = a then 1::'a else (0::'a)) + (if k = b then 1::'a else (0::'a)) *
q)
have univ-rw: UNIV = (UNIV−{a}) ∪ {a} by auto
have setsum ?f UNIV = setsum ?f ((UNIV−{a}) ∪ {a}) using univ-rw by
auto
also have ... = setsum ?f (UNIV−{a}) + setsum ?f {a} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
also have ... = setsum ?f {a} by auto
also have ... = 1 using a-noteq-b by auto
finally show ?thesis .
qed
next
fix j
assume a-not-j: a ≠ j show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if k = a then (1::'a) + (0::'a) * −
q else if a = k then 1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = j then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (0::'a)
apply (rule setsum-0') using a-not-j by auto
next
fix j
assume j-not-b: j ≠ b and j-not-a: j ≠ a
show (∑ k∈UNIV. (if k = a then (0::'a) + (0::'a) * − q else if j = k then
1::'a else (0::'a)) * (if k = j then 1::'a else (0::'a))) = (1::'a)
proof −
let ?f=λk.(if k = a then (0::'a) + (0::'a) * − q else if j = k then 1::'a else
(0::'a)) * (if k = j then 1::'a else (0::'a))

```

```

have univ-rw:  $UNIV = (UNIV - \{j\}) \cup \{j\}$  by auto
have setsum ?f  $UNIV = \text{setsum } ?f ((UNIV - \{j\}) \cup \{j\})$  using univ-rw by
auto
also have ... =  $\text{setsum } ?f (UNIV - \{j\}) + \text{setsum } ?f \{j\}$  by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint,
auto)
also have ... =  $\text{setsum } ?f \{j\}$  by auto
also have ... = 1 using a-noteq-b j-not-b j-not-a by auto
finally show ?thesis .
qed
next
fix i j
assume i-not-b:  $i \neq b$  and i-not-a:  $i \neq a$  and i-not-j:  $i \neq j$ 
show  $(\sum k \in UNIV. (\text{if } k = a \text{ then } (0::'a) + (0::'a) * - q \text{ else if } i = k \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) *$ 
 $(\text{if } j = a \text{ then } (\text{if } k = a \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) + (\text{if } k = b \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) * q \text{ else if } k = j \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a))) = (0::'a)$ 
by (rule setsum-0', auto simp add: i-not-b i-not-a i-not-j)
next
fix j
assume b-not-j:  $b \neq j$ 
show  $(\sum k \in UNIV. (\text{if } k = a \text{ then } (0::'a) + (1::'a) * - q \text{ else if } b = k \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) *$ 
 $(\text{if } j = a \text{ then } (\text{if } k = a \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) + (\text{if } k = b \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) * q \text{ else if } k = j \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a))) = 0$ 
proof (cases j=a)
case False
show ?thesis by (rule setsum-0', auto simp add: False b-not-j)
next
case True — This case is different from the other cases
let ?f=λk.  $(\text{if } k = a \text{ then } (0::'a) + (1::'a) * - q \text{ else if } b = k \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) *$ 
 $(\text{if } j = a \text{ then } (\text{if } k = a \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) + (\text{if } k = b \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a)) * q \text{ else if } k = j \text{ then } 1::'a \text{ else } (0::'a))$ 
have univ-eq:  $UNIV = ((UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\}) \cup (\{b\} \cup \{a\}))$  by auto
have setsum-a:  $\text{setsum } ?f \{a\} = -q$  unfolding True using b-not-j using
a-noteq-b by auto
have setsum-b:  $\text{setsum } ?f \{b\} = q$  unfolding True using b-not-j using
a-noteq-b by auto
have setsum-rest:  $\text{setsum } ?f (UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\}) = 0$  by (rule setsum-0',
auto simp add: True b-not-j a-noteq-b)
have setsum ?f  $UNIV = \text{setsum } ?f ((UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\}) \cup (\{b\} \cup \{a\}))$ 
using univ-eq by simp
also have ... =  $\text{setsum } ?f (UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\}) + \text{setsum } ?f (\{b\} \cup \{a\})$ 
by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint, auto)
also have ... =  $\text{setsum } ?f (UNIV - \{a\} - \{b\}) + \text{setsum } ?f \{b\} + \text{setsum } ?f \{a\}$  by (auto simp add: setsum-Un-disjoint a-noteq-b)
also have ... = 0 unfolding setsum-a setsum-b setsum-rest by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

qed
qed

7.5 Relationships amongst the definitions

Relationships between *interchange-rows* and *interchange-columns*

lemma *interchange-rows-transpose*:

shows *interchange-rows* (*transpose A*) *a b* = *transpose* (*interchange-columns A a b*)
 unfolding *interchange-rows-def interchange-columns-def transpose-def by vector*

lemma *interchange-rows-transpose'*:

shows *interchange-rows A a b* = *transpose* (*interchange-columns (transpose A a b)*)
 unfolding *interchange-rows-def interchange-columns-def transpose-def by vector*

lemma *interchange-columns-transpose*:

shows *interchange-columns (transpose A) a b* = *transpose* (*interchange-rows A a b*)
 unfolding *interchange-rows-def interchange-columns-def transpose-def by vector*

lemma *interchange-columns-transpose'*:

shows *interchange-columns A a b* = *transpose* (*interchange-rows (transpose A a b)*)
 unfolding *interchange-rows-def interchange-columns-def transpose-def by vector*

7.6 Code Equations

Code equations for *interchange-rows* $?A ?a ?b = (\chi i j. \text{if } i = ?a \text{ then } ?A \$?b \$ j \text{ else if } i = ?b \text{ then } ?A \$?a \$ j \text{ else } ?A \$ i \$ j)$, *interchange-columns* $?A ?n ?m = (\chi i j. \text{if } j = ?n \text{ then } ?A \$ i \$?m \text{ else if } j = ?m \text{ then } ?A \$ i \$?n \text{ else } ?A \$ i \$ j)$, *row-add* $?A ?a ?b ?q = (\chi i j. \text{if } i = ?a \text{ then } ?A \$?a \$ j + ?q * ?A \$?b \$ j \text{ else } ?A \$ i \$ j)$, *column-add* $?A ?n ?m ?q = (\chi i j. \text{if } j = ?n \text{ then } ?A \$ i \$?n + ?A \$ i \$?m * ?q \text{ else } ?A \$ i \$ j)$, *mult-row* $?A ?a ?q = (\chi i j. \text{if } i = ?a \text{ then } ?q * ?A \$?a \$ j \text{ else } ?A \$ i \$ j)$ and *mult-column* $?A ?n ?q = (\chi i j. \text{if } j = ?n \text{ then } ?A \$ i \$ j * ?q \text{ else } ?A \$ i \$ j)$:

definition *interchange-rows-row*

where *interchange-rows-row A a b i* = *vec-lambda* ($\%j. \text{if } i = a \text{ then } A \$ b \$ j \text{ else if } i = b \text{ then } A \$ a \$ j \text{ else } A \$ i \$ j$)

lemma *interchange-rows-code [code abstract]*:

vec-nth (*interchange-rows-row A a b i*) = ($\%j. \text{if } i = a \text{ then } A \$ b \$ j \text{ else if } i = b \text{ then } A \$ a \$ j \text{ else } A \$ i \$ j$)

unfolding *interchange-rows-row-def by auto*

```

lemma interchange-rows-code-nth [code abstract]: vec-nth (interchange-rows A a b) = interchange-rows-row A a b
  unfolding interchange-rows-def unfolding interchange-rows-row-def[abs-def]
  by auto

definition interchange-columns-row
  where interchange-columns-row A n m i = vec-lambda (%j. if j = n then A $ i $ m else if j = m then A $ i $ n else A $ i $ j)

lemma interchange-columns-code [code abstract]:
  vec-nth (interchange-columns-row A n m i) = (%j. if j = n then A $ i $ m else if j = m then A $ i $ n else A $ i $ j)
  unfolding interchange-columns-def unfolding interchange-columns-row-def[abs-def]
  by auto

lemma interchange-columns-code-nth [code abstract]: vec-nth (interchange-columns A a b) = interchange-columns-row A a b
  unfolding interchange-columns-def unfolding interchange-columns-row-def[abs-def]
  by auto

definition row-add-row
  where row-add-row A a b q i = vec-lambda (%j. if i = a then A $ a $ j + q * A $ b $ j else A $ i $ j)

lemma row-add-code [code abstract]:
  vec-nth (row-add-row A a b q i) = (%j. if i = a then A $ a $ j + q * A $ b $ j else A $ i $ j)
  unfolding row-add-row-def by auto

lemma row-add-code-nth [code abstract]: vec-nth (row-add A a b q) = row-add-row A a b q
  unfolding row-add-def unfolding row-add-row-def[abs-def]
  by auto

definition column-add-row
  where column-add-row A n m q i = vec-lambda (%j. if j = n then A $ i $ n + A $ i $ m * q else A $ i $ j)

lemma column-add-code [code abstract]:
  vec-nth (column-add-row A n m q i) = (%j. if j = n then A $ i $ n + A $ i $ m * q else A $ i $ j)
  unfolding column-add-row-def by auto

lemma column-add-code-nth [code abstract]: vec-nth (column-add A a b q) = column-add-row A a b q
  unfolding column-add-def unfolding column-add-row-def[abs-def]
  by auto

definition mult-row-row
  where mult-row-row A a q i = vec-lambda (%j. if i = a then q * A $ a $ j else

```

$A \$ i \$ j)$

lemma *mult-row-code [code abstract]*:

*vec-nth (mult-row-row A a q i) = (%j. if i = a then q * A \\$ a \\$ j else A \\$ i \\$ j)*
unfolding *mult-row-row-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *mult-row-code-nth [code abstract]*: *vec-nth (mult-row A a q) = mult-row-row A a q*

unfolding *mult-row-def* **unfolding** *mult-row-row-def[abs-def]*
by *auto*

definition *mult-column-row*

where *mult-column-row A n q i = vec-lambda (%j. if j = n then A \\$ i \\$ j * q else A \\$ i \\$ j)*

lemma *mult-column-code [code abstract]*:

*vec-nth (mult-column-row A n q i) = (%j. if j = n then A \\$ i \\$ j * q else A \\$ i \\$ j)*
unfolding *mult-column-def* **unfolding** *mult-column-row-def[abs-def]*
by *auto*

lemma *mult-column-code-nth [code abstract]*: *vec-nth (mult-column A a q) = mult-column-row A a q*

unfolding *mult-column-def* **unfolding** *mult-column-row-def[abs-def]*
by *auto*

end

8 Rank Nullity Theorem of Linear Algebra

theory *Dim-Formula*

imports *Fundamental-Subspaces*

begin

8.1 Previous results

Linear dependency is a monotone property, based on the monotonocity of linear independence:

lemma *dependent-mono*:

assumes *d:dependent A*
and *A-in-B: A ⊆ B*
shows *dependent B*
using *independent-mono [OF - A-in-B] d* **by** *auto*

The negation of

dependent P =

$(\exists S \ u. \text{finite } S \wedge S \subseteq P \wedge (\exists v \in S. \ u \ v \neq 0 \wedge (\sum v \in S. \ u \ v *_R v) = (0 :: 'a)))$

produces the following result:

```
lemma independent-explicit:
  independent A =
   $(\forall S \subseteq A. \text{finite } S \longrightarrow (\forall u. (\sum_{v \in S} u v *_R v) = 0 \longrightarrow (\forall v \in S. u v = 0)))$ 
  unfolding dependent-explicit [of A] by (simp add: disj-not2)
```

A finite set A for which every of its linear combinations equal to zero requires every coefficient being zero, is independent:

```
lemma independent-if-scalars-zero:
  assumes fin-A: finite A
  and sum:  $\forall f. (\sum_{x \in A} f x *_R x) = 0 \longrightarrow (\forall x \in A. f x = 0)$ 
  shows independent A
  proof (unfold independent-explicit, clarify)
    fix S v and u :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  real
    assume S:  $S \subseteq A$  and v:  $v \in S$ 
    let ?g =  $\lambda x. \text{if } x \in S \text{ then } u x \text{ else } 0$ 
    have  $(\sum_{v \in A} ?g v *_R v) = (\sum_{v \in S} u v *_R v)$ 
      using S fin-A by (auto intro!: setsum-mono-zero-cong-right)
    also assume  $(\sum_{v \in S} u v *_R v) = 0$ 
    finally have ?g v = 0 using v S sum by force
    thus u v = 0 unfolding if-P[OF v].
  qed
```

Given a finite independent set, a linear combination of its elements equal to zero is possible only if every coefficient is zero:

```
lemma scalars-zero-if-independent:
  assumes fin-A: finite A
  and ind: independent A
  and sum:  $(\sum_{x \in A} f x *_R x) = 0$ 
  shows  $\forall x \in A. f x = 0$ 
  using assms unfolding independent-explicit by auto
```

In an euclidean space, every set is finite, and thus

$$[\text{finite } A; \text{independent } A; (\sum_{x \in A} f x *_R x) = (0 :: 'a)] \implies \forall x \in A. f x = 0$$

holds:

```
corollary scalars-zero-if-independent-euclidean:
  fixes A::'a::euclidean-space set
  assumes ind: independent A
  and sum:  $(\sum_{x \in A} f x *_R x) = 0$ 
  shows  $\forall x \in A. f x = 0$ 
  by (rule scalars-zero-if-independent,
    rule conjunct1 [OF independent-bound [OF ind]])
  (rule ind, rule sum)
```

The following lemma states that every linear form is injective over the elements which define the basis of the range of the linear form. This property

is applied later over the elements of an arbitrary basis which are not in the basis of the nullifier or kernel set (*i.e.*, the candidates to be the basis of the range space of the linear form).

Thanks to this result, it can be concluded that the cardinal of the elements of a basis which do not belong to the kernel of a linear form f is equal to the cardinal of the set obtained when applying f to such elements.

The application of this lemma is not usually found in the pencil and paper proofs of the “Rank nullity theorem”, but will be crucial to know that, being f a linear form from a finite dimensional vector space V to a vector space V' , and given a basis B of $\ker f$, when B is completed up to a basis of V with a set W , the cardinal of this set is equal to the cardinal of its range set:

lemma inj-on-extended:

assumes $\text{lf: linear } f$

and $f: \text{finite } C$

and $\text{ind-}C: \text{independent } C$

and $C\text{-eq}: C = B \cup W$

and $\text{disj-set}: B \cap W = \{\}$

and $\text{span-}B: \{x. f x = 0\} \subseteq \text{span } B$

shows $\text{inj-on } f W$

— The proof is carried out by reductio ad absurdum

proof (*unfold inj-on-def, rule+, rule ccontr*)

— Some previous consequences of the premises that are used later:

have fin-B: finite B using finite-subset [OF - f] $C\text{-eq}$ by simp

have ind-B: independent B and ind-W: independent W

using independent-mono[*OF ind-C*] $C\text{-eq}$ by simp-all

— The proof starts here; we assume that there exist two different elements

— with the same image:

fix $x::'a$ and $y::'a$

assume $x: x \in W$ and $y: y \in W$ and $f\text{-eq}: f x = f y$ and $x\text{-not-}y: x \neq y$

have fin-yB: finite (*insert y B*) using fin-B by simp

have $f(x - y) = 0$ by (metis diff-self f-eq lf linear-0 linear-sub)

hence $x - y \in \{x. f x = 0\}$ by simp

hence $\exists g. (\sum v \in B. g v *_R v) = (x - y)$ using span-B

unfolding span-finite [*OF fin-B*] by auto

then obtain g where sum: $(\sum v \in B. g v *_R v) = (x - y)$ by blast

— We define one of the elements as a linear combination of the second element and the ones in B

def $h \equiv (\lambda a. \text{if } a = y \text{ then } (1::\text{real}) \text{ else } g a)$

have $x = y + (\sum v \in B. g v *_R v)$ using sum by auto

also have ... = $h y *_R y + (\sum v \in B. g v *_R v)$ unfolding h-def by simp

also have ... = $h y *_R y + (\sum v \in B. h v *_R v)$

by (unfold add-left-cancel, rule setsum-cong2)

(metis (mono-tags) IntI disj-set empty-iff y h-def)

also have ... = $(\sum v \in (\text{insert } y B). h v *_R v)$

by (rule setsum-insert[symmetric], rule fin-B)

(metis (lifting) IntI disj-set empty-iff y)

finally have $x \in \text{span } yB: x \in \text{span } (\text{insert } y B)$

```

unfolding span-finite[OF fin-yB] by auto
— We have that a subset of elements of  $C$  is linearly dependent
have dep: dependent (insert x (insert y B))
by (unfold dependent-def, rule bxI [of - x])
  (metis Diff-insert-absorb Int-iff disj-set empty-iff insert-iff
   x x-in-span-yB x-not-y, simp)
— Therefore, the set  $C$  is also dependent:
hence dependent C using C-eq x y
by (metis Un-commute Un-upper2 dependent-mono insert-absorb insert-subset)
— This yields the contradiction, since  $C$  is independent:
thus False using ind-C by contradiction
qed

```

8.2 The proof

Now the rank nullity theorem can be proved; given any linear form f , the sum of the dimensions of its kernel and range subspaces is equal to the dimension of the source vector space.

It is relevant to note that the source vector space must be finite-dimensional (this restriction is introduced by means of the euclidean space type class), whereas the destination vector space may be finite or infinite dimensional (and thus a real vector space is used); this is the usual way the theorem is stated in the literature.

The statement of the “rank nullity theorem for linear algebra”, as well as its proof, follow the ones on [1]. The proof is the traditional one found in the literature. The theorem is also named “fundamental theorem of linear algebra” in some texts (for instance, in [2]).

```

theorem rank-nullity-theorem:
assumes l: linear (f::('a::{euclidean-space}) => ('b::{real-vector}))
shows DIM ('a::{euclidean-space}) = dim {x. f x = 0} + dim (range f)
proof –
  — For convenience we define abbreviations for the universe set,  $V$ , and the kernel of  $f$ 
  def V == UNIV::'a set
  def ker-f == {x. f x = 0}
  — The kernel is a proper subspace:
  have sub-ker: subspace {x. f x = 0} using subspace-kernel [OF l] .
  — The kernel has its proper basis,  $B$ :
  obtain B where B-in-ker: B  $\subseteq$  {x. f x = 0}
    and independent-B: independent B
    and ker-in-span: {x. f x = 0}  $\subseteq$  span B
    and card-B: card B = dim {x. f x = 0} using basis-exists by blast
  — The space  $V$  has a (finite dimensional) basis,  $C$ :
  obtain C where B-in-C: B  $\subseteq$  C and C-in-V: C  $\subseteq$  V
    and independent-C: independent C
    and span-C: V = span C

```

```

using maximal-independent-subset-extend [OF - independent-B, of V]
unfolding V-def by auto
— The basis of  $V$ ,  $C$ , can be decomposed in the disjoint union of the basis of the kernel,  $B$ , and its complementary set,  $C - B$ 
have C-eq:  $C = B \cup (C - B)$  by (rule Diff-partition [OF B-in-C, symmetric])
have eq-fC:  $f ' C = f ' B \cup f ' (C - B)$ 
by (subst C-eq, unfold image-Un, simp)
— The basis  $C$ , and its image, are finite, since  $V$  is finite-dimensional
have finite-C: finite  $C$ 
using independent-bound-general [OF independent-C] by fast
have finite-fC: finite ( $f ' C$ ) by (rule finite-imageI [OF finite-C])
— The basis  $B$  of the kernel of  $f$ , and its image, are also finite
have finite-B: finite  $B$  by (rule rev-finite-subset [OF finite-C B-in-C])
have finite-fB: finite ( $f ' B$ ) by (rule finite-imageI [OF finite-B])
— The set  $C - B$  is also finite
have finite-CB: finite ( $C - B$ ) by (rule finite-Diff [OF finite-C, of B])
have dim-ker-le-dim-V:dim (ker-f)  $\leq$  dim  $V$ 
using dim-subset [of ker-f V] unfolding V-def by simp
— Here it starts the proof of the theorem: the sets  $B$  and  $C - B$  must be proven to be bases, respectively, of the kernel of  $f$  and its range
show ?thesis
proof —
have DIM ('a::{euclidean-space}) = dim  $V$  unfolding V-def dim-UNIV ..
also have dim  $V$  = dim  $C$  unfolding span-C dim-span ..
also have ... = card  $C$ 
using basis-card-eq-dim [of  $C$  C, OF - span-inc independent-C] by simp
also have ... = card ( $B \cup (C - B)$ ) using C-eq by simp
also have ... = card  $B + \text{card}(C - B)$ 
by (rule card-Un-disjoint[OF finite-B finite-CB], fast)
also have ... = dim ker-f + card ( $C - B$ ) unfolding ker-f-def card-B ..
— Now it has to be proved that the elements of  $C - B$  are a basis of the range of  $f$ 
also have ... = dim ker-f + dim (range  $f$ )
proof (unfold add-left-cancel)
def W ==  $C - B$ 
have finite-W: finite  $W$  unfolding W-def using finite-CB .
have finite-fW: finite ( $f ' W$ ) using finite-imageI [OF finite-W] .
have card W = card ( $f ' W$ )
by (rule card-image [symmetric], rule inj-on-extended [of - C B],
      rule l, rule finite-C)
      (rule independent-C, unfold W-def, subst C-eq, rule refl, simp,
       rule ker-in-span)
also have ... = dim (range  $f$ )
proof (unfold dim-def, rule someI2)
— 1. The image set of  $W$  generates the range of  $f$ :
have range-in-span-fW: range  $f \subseteq \text{span}(f ' W)$ 
proof (unfold span-finite [OF finite-fW], auto)
— Given any element  $v$  in  $V$ , its image can be expressed as a linear combination of elements of the image by  $f$  of  $C$ :

```

```

fix v :: 'a
have fV-span:  $f` V \subseteq \text{span}(f` C)$ 
  using spans-image [OF l] span-C by simp
have  $\exists g. (\sum_{x \in f` C} g x *_R x) = f v$ 
  using fV-span unfolding V-def
  using span-finite [OF finite-fC] by blast
then obtain g where fv:  $f v = (\sum_{x \in f` C} g x *_R x)$  by metis
— We recall that  $C$  is equal to  $B$  union  $(C - B)$ , and  $B$  is the basis of
the kernel; thus, the image of the elements of  $B$  will be equal to zero:
have zero-fB:  $(\sum_{x \in f` B} g x *_R x) = 0$ 
  using B-in-ker by (auto intro!: setsum-0')
have zero-inter:  $(\sum_{x \in (f` B \cap f` W)} g x *_R x) = 0$ 
  using B-in-ker by (auto intro!: setsum-0')
have fv =  $(\sum_{x \in f` C} g x *_R x)$  using fv .
also have ... =  $(\sum_{x \in (f` B \cup f` W)} g x *_R x)$ 
  using eq-fC W-def by simp
also have ... =
   $(\sum_{x \in f` B} g x *_R x) + (\sum_{x \in f` W} g x *_R x) - (\sum_{x \in (f` B \cap f` W)} g x *_R x)$ 
  using setsum-Un [OF finite-fB finite-fW] by simp
also have ... =  $(\sum_{x \in f` W} g x *_R x)$ 
  unfolding zero-fB zero-inter by simp
— We have proved that the image set of  $W$  is a generating set of the
range of  $f$ 
finally show  $\exists s. (\sum_{x \in f` W} s x *_R x) = f v$  by auto
qed
— 2. The image set of  $W$  is linearly independent:
have independent-fW: independent ( $f` W$ )
proof (rule independent-if-scalars-zero [OF finite-fW], rule+)
— Every linear combination (given by  $gx$ ) of the elements of the image set
of  $W$  equal to zero, requires every coefficient to be zero:
fix g :: 'b => real and w :: 'b
assume sum:  $(\sum_{x \in f` W} g x *_R x) = 0$  and w:  $w \in f` W$ 
have 0 =  $(\sum_{x \in f` W} g x *_R x)$  using sum by simp
also have ... = setsum (( $\lambda x. g x *_R x$ ) o f) W
  by (rule setsum-reindex, rule inj-on-extended [of f C B], rule l)
  (unfold W-def, rule finite-C, rule independent-C, rule C-eq, simp,
  rule ker-in-span)
also have ... =  $(\sum_{x \in W} ((g \circ f) x) *_R f x)$  unfolding o-def ..
also have ... =  $f(\sum_{x \in W} ((g \circ f) x))$ 
  using linear-setsum-mul [symmetric, OF l finite-W] .
finally have f-sum-zero:f  $(\sum_{x \in W} ((g \circ f) x) *_R x) = 0$  by (rule sym)
hence  $(\sum_{x \in W} ((g \circ f) x) *_R x) \in \text{ker } f$  unfolding ker-f-def by simp
hence  $\exists h. (\sum_{v \in B} h v *_R v) = (\sum_{x \in W} ((g \circ f) x) *_R x)$ 
  using span-finite[OF finite-B] using ker-in-span
  unfolding ker-f-def by auto
then obtain h where
  sum-h:  $(\sum_{v \in B} h v *_R v) = (\sum_{x \in W} ((g \circ f) x) *_R x)$  by blast
def t ≡ ( $\lambda a. \text{if } a \in B \text{ then } h a \text{ else } -((g \circ f) a)$ )

```

```

have  $0 = (\sum v \in B. h v *_R v) + -(\sum x \in W. (g \circ f) x *_R x)$ 
  using sum-h by simp
also have ... =  $(\sum v \in B. h v *_R v) + (\sum x \in W. -((g \circ f) x *_R x))$ 
  unfolding setsum-negf ..
also have ... =  $(\sum v \in B. t v *_R v) + (\sum x \in W. -((g \circ f) x *_R x))$ 
  unfolding add-right-cancel unfolding t-def by simp
also have ... =  $(\sum v \in B. t v *_R v) + (\sum x \in W. t x *_R x)$ 
  by (unfold add-left-cancel t-def W-def, rule setsum-cong2) simp
also have ... =  $(\sum v \in B \cup W. t v *_R v)$ 
  by (rule setsum-Un-zero [symmetric], rule finite-B, rule finite-W)
  (simp add: W-def)
finally have  $(\sum v \in B \cup W. t v *_R v) = 0$  by simp
hence coef-zero:  $\forall x \in B \cup W. t x = 0$ 
  using C-eq scalars-zero-if-independent [OF finite-C independent-C]
  unfolding W-def by simp
obtain y where w-fy:  $w = f y$  and y-in-W:  $y \in W$  using w by fast
have  $-g w = t y$ 
  unfolding t-def w-fy using y-in-W unfolding W-def by simp
also have ... = 0 using coef-zero y-in-W unfolding W-def by simp
finally show  $g w = 0$  by simp
qed

```

— The image set of W is independent and its span contains the range of f , so it is a basis of the range:

```

show  $\exists B \subseteq \text{range } f. \text{independent } B \wedge \text{range } f \subseteq \text{span } B$ 
   $\wedge \text{card } B = \text{card } (f`W)$ 
  by (rule exI [of -(f`W)],
       simp add: range-in-span-fW independent-fW image-mono)

```

— Now, it has to be proved that any other basis of the subspace range of f has equal cardinality:

```

show  $\bigwedge n:\text{nat}. \exists S \subseteq \text{range } f. \text{independent } S \wedge \text{range } f \subseteq \text{span } S$ 
   $\wedge \text{card } S = n \implies \text{card } (f`W) = n$ 
proof (clarify)
  fix S :: 'b set
  assume S-in-range:  $S \subseteq \text{range } f$  and independent-S:  $\text{independent } S$ 
  and range-in-spanS:  $\text{range } f \subseteq \text{span } S$ 
  have S-le:  $\text{finite } S \wedge \text{card } S \leq \text{card } (f`W)$ 
  by (rule independent-span-bound, rule finite-fW, rule independent-S)
    (rule subset-trans [OF S-in-range range-in-span-fW])
  show  $\text{card } (f`W) = \text{card } S$ 
    by (rule le-antisym) (rule conjunct2, rule independent-span-bound,
      rule conjunct1 [OF S-le], rule independent-fW,
      rule subset-trans [OF - range-in-spanS], auto simp add: S-le)
  qed
  qed
  finally show  $\text{card } (C - B) = \dim (\text{range } f)$  unfolding W-def .
qed
finally show ?thesis unfolding V-def ker-f-def unfolding dim-UNIV .
qed
qed

```

8.3 The rank nullity theorem for matrices

The proof of the theorem for matrices is direct, as a consequence of the “rank nullity theorem”.

```

lemma rank-nullity-theorem-matrices:
  fixes A::realnamb
  shows DIM (realna) = dim (null-space A) + dim (col-space A)
  apply (subst (1 2) matrix-of-matrix-vector-mul [of A, symmetric])
  unfolding null-space-eq-ker[OF matrix-vector-mul-linear]
  unfolding col-space-eq-range [OF matrix-vector-mul-linear]
  using rank-nullity-theorem [OF matrix-vector-mul-linear].

```

end

9 Rank of a matrix

```

theory Rank
imports
  Dim-Formula
begin

```

9.1 Row rank, column rank and rank

Definitions of row rank, column rank and rank

```

definition row-rank :: 'a::{real-vector} ^n ^m => nat
  where row-rank A = dim (row-space A)

```

```

definition col-rank :: 'a::{real-vector} ^n ^m => nat
  where col-rank A = dim (col-space A)

```

```

definition rank :: realnm => nat
  where rank A = row-rank A

```

9.2 Properties

```

lemma norm-mult-vec:
  fixes a::(real,'b::finite) vec
  shows norm (x * x) = norm x * norm x
  by (metis inner-real-def norm-cauchy-schwarz-eq norm-mult)

```

```

lemma norm-equivalence:
  fixes A::realnm
  shows ((transpose A) *v (A *v x) = 0)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (A *v x = 0)
  proof (auto)
    show transpose A *v 0 = 0 unfolding matrix-vector-zero ..
  next
  assume a: transpose A *v (A *v x) = 0

```

```

have eq:  $(x \cdot (A^T)) = (A \cdot x)$ 
  by (metis Cartesian-Euclidean-Space.transpose-transpose transpose-vector)
have eq-0:  $0 = (x \cdot (A^T)) * (A \cdot x)$ 
  by (metis a comm-semiring-1-class.normalize-semiring-rules(7) dot-lmul-matrix
inner-eq-zero-iff inner-zero-left mult-zero-left transpose-vector)
hence  $0 = \text{norm}((x \cdot (A^T)) * (A \cdot x))$  by auto
also have ... =  $\text{norm}((A \cdot x) * (A \cdot x))$  unfolding eq ..
also have ... =  $\text{norm}((A \cdot x) \cdot (A \cdot x))$ 
  by (metis eq-0 a dot-lmul-matrix eq inner-zero-right norm-zero)
also have ... =  $\text{norm}(A \cdot x)^2$  unfolding norm-mult-vec[of  $(A \cdot x)$ ] power2-eq-square
..
finally show  $A \cdot x = 0$ 
  by (metis (lifting) field-power-not-zero norm-eq-0-imp)
qed

```

```

lemma combination-columns:
fixes A::realn*m
assumes x:  $x \in \text{columns}(A^T)$ 
shows  $\exists f. (\sum_{y \in \text{columns}(A^T)} f y \cdot_R y) = x$ 
proof -
obtain j where j:  $j = \text{column } j(A^T)$  using x unfolding columns-def
by auto
let ?g=(λy. {i. y=column i (A^T)}) 
have inj: inj-on ?g (columns (A^T)) unfolding inj-on-def unfolding
columns-def by auto
have union-univ:  $\bigcup \{?g(y) \mid y \in \text{columns}(A^T)\} = \text{UNIV}$  unfolding columns-def
by auto
let ?f=(λy. card {a. y=column a (A^T)} * A $ (SOME a. y=column
a (A^T)) $ j)
have column j (A^T) =  $(\sum_{i \in \text{UNIV}} (A \$ i \$ j) \cdot_R (\text{column } i (A^T)))$ 
unfolding matrix-matrix-mult-def unfolding column-def by (vector, auto,
rule setsum-cong2, auto)
also have ... = setsum (λi. (A \$ i \$ j) * R (column i (A^T))) ( $\bigcup \{?g(y) \mid y \in \text{columns}(A^T)\}$ ) unfolding union-univ ..
also have ... = setsum (setsum (λi. (A \$ i \$ j) * R (column i (A^T)))) (?g(columns (A^T))) by (rule setsum-Union-disjoint, auto)
also have ... = setsum ((setsum (λi. (A \$ i \$ j) * R (column i (A^T)))) o
?g)(columns (A^T)) apply (rule setsum-reindex) using inj by auto
also have ... = setsum (λy. ?f y * R y) (columns (A^T))
proof (rule setsum-cong2, unfold o-def)
fix x assume x:  $x \in \text{columns}(A^T)$ 
obtain b where xb:  $b = \text{column } b(A^T)$  using x unfolding columns-def
by auto
have  $\forall a c. a \in \{i. x = \text{column } i(A^T)\} \wedge c \in \{i. x = \text{column } i(A^T)\} \rightarrow A \$ a \$ j = A \$ c \$ j$ 
  by (unfold column-def transpose-def, auto, metis vec-lambda-inverse vec-nth)
hence rw-b:  $\forall a. a \in \{i. x = \text{column } i(A^T)\} \rightarrow A \$ a \$ j = A \$ b \$ j$ 

```

```

j using xb by fast
  have Abj: A $ b $ j = A $ (SOME a. x = column a (Cartesian-Euclidean-Space.transpose
A)) $ j
    by (metis (lifting, mono-tags) xb mem-Collect-eq rw-b some-eq-ex)
    have ( $\sum i \mid x = \text{column } i (\text{Cartesian-Euclidean-Space.transpose } A)$ ). A $ i $ j
       $*_R \text{column } i (\text{Cartesian-Euclidean-Space.transpose } A)$ )
      = setsum ( $\lambda i. A \$ i \$ j *_R x$ ) {i. x = column i (transpose A)} by auto
    also have ... = ( $\sum i \in \{i. x = \text{column } i (\text{transpose } A)\}$ . A $ b $ j  $*_R x$ ) using
      rw-b by auto
    also have ... = of-nat (card {i. x = column i (transpose A)}) * (A $ b $ j  $*_R$ 
x) unfolding setsum-constant by auto
    also have ... = (real (card {a. x = column a (transpose A)}))  $*_R$  (A $ b $ j  $*_R$ 
x)
      by (metis (no-types) real-of-nat-def setsum-constant setsum-constant-scaleR)
      also have ... = (real (card {a. x = column a (transpose A)})) * A $ b $ j  $*_R$ 
      x by auto
    also have ... = (real (card {a. x = column a (transpose A)})) * A $ (SOME a.
      x = column a (Cartesian-Euclidean-Space.transpose A)) $ j  $*_R$  x
      unfolding Abj ..
    finally show ( $\sum i \mid x = \text{column } i (\text{Cartesian-Euclidean-Space.transpose } A)$ . A
      $ i $ j  $*_R \text{column } i (\text{Cartesian-Euclidean-Space.transpose } A)$ ) =
      (real (card {a. x = column a (Cartesian-Euclidean-Space.transpose A)})) * A
      $ (SOME a. x = column a (Cartesian-Euclidean-Space.transpose A)) $ j  $*_R$  x .
qed
finally show ?thesis unfolding j by auto
qed

```

```

lemma rrk-crk:
  fixes A::real ^'n ^'m
  shows col-rank A ≤ row-rank A
proof -
  have null-space-eq: null-space A = null-space (transpose A ** A) using norm-equivalence
  unfolding matrix-vector-mul-assoc unfolding null-space-def by fastforce
  have col-rank-transpose: col-rank A = col-rank (transpose A ** A)
  using rank-nullity-theorem-matrices[of A] rank-nullity-theorem-matrices[of (transpose
  A ** A)]
  unfolding col-space-eq[symmetric]
  unfolding null-space-eq by (metis col-rank-def nat-add-left-cancel)
  have col-rank (transpose A ** A) ≤ col-rank (transpose A)
  proof (unfold col-rank-def, rule subset-le-dim, unfold col-space-def, unfold span-span,
clarify)
    fix x assume x-in: x ∈ span (columns (transpose A ** A))
    show x ∈ span (columns (transpose A))
    proof (rule span-induct)
      show x ∈ span (columns (transpose A ** A)) using x-in .
      show subspace (span (columns (transpose A))) using subspace-span .
      fix y assume y: y ∈ columns (transpose A ** A)

```

```

show  $y \in \text{span}(\text{columns}(\text{transpose } A))$ 
proof (unfold span-explicit, simp, rule exI[of - columns(transpose A)], auto
intro: combination-columns[OF y])
show  $\text{finite}(\text{columns}(\text{transpose } A))$  unfolding columns-def using finite-Atleast-Atmost-nat
by auto
    qed
    qed
    qed
thus ?thesis
    unfolding col-rank-transpose[symmetric]
    unfolding col-rank-def col-space-def columns-transpose row-rank-def row-space-def
    .
qed

corollary row-rank-eq-col-rank:
fixes  $A::\text{real}^n \times m$ 
shows  $\text{row-rank } A = \text{col-rank } A$ 
using rrk-crk[of A] using rrk-crk[of transpose A]
unfolding col-rank-def row-rank-def row-space-def col-space-def
unfolding rows-transpose columns-transpose by simp

theorem rank-col-rank:
shows  $\text{rank } A = \text{col-rank } A$  unfolding rank-def row-rank-eq-col-rank ..

theorem rank-eq-dim-image:
rank  $A = \dim(\text{range } (\lambda x. A * v (x::\text{real}^n)))$ 
unfolding rank-col-rank col-rank-def col-space-eq' ..

theorem rank-eq-dim-col-space:
rank  $A = \dim(\text{col-space } A)$  using rank-col-rank unfolding col-rank-def .

lemma rank-transpose:  $\text{rank } (\text{transpose } A) = \text{rank } A$ 
by (metis rank-def rank-eq-dim-col-space row-rank-def row-space-eq-col-space-transpose)

lemma rank-le-nrows:  $\text{rank } A \leq \text{nrows } A$ 
unfolding rank-eq-dim-col-space nrows-def using dim-subset-UNIV[of col-space A]
unfolding DIM-cart DIM-real by simp

lemma rank-le-ncols:  $\text{rank } A \leq \text{ncols } A$ 
unfolding rank-def row-rank-def ncols-def using dim-subset-UNIV[of row-space A]
unfolding DIM-cart DIM-real by simp

end

```

10 Linear Maps

```
theory Linear-Maps
imports
  Rank
begin
```

10.1 Properties about ranks and linear maps

```
lemma rank-matrix-dim-range:
assumes lf: linear f
shows rank (matrix f) = dim (range f) unfolding rank-col-rank col-rank-def
unfolding col-space-eq' using matrix-works[OF lf] by metis
```

The following two lemmas are the demonstration of theorem 2.11 that appears the book "Advanced Linear Algebra" by Steven Roman.

```
lemma linear-injective-rank-eq-ncols:
assumes lf: linear f
shows inj f  $\longleftrightarrow$  rank (matrix f) = ncols (matrix f)
proof (rule)
assume inj: inj f
hence {x. f x = 0} = {0} using linear-injective-ker-0[OF lf] by blast
hence dim {x. f x = 0} = 0 using dim-zero-eq' by blast
thus rank (matrix f) = ncols (matrix f) using rank-nullity-theorem[OF lf] unfolding ncols-def
using rank-matrix-dim-range[OF lf] by fastforce
next
assume eq: rank (matrix f) = ncols (matrix f)
have dim {x. f x = 0} = 0 using rank-nullity-theorem[OF lf] unfolding ncols-def
using rank-matrix-dim-range[OF lf] eq
by (metis DIM-cart DIM-real add-eq-self-zero monoid-mult-class.mult.right-neutral
nat-add-commute ncols-def)
hence {x. f x = 0} = {0} using linear-0[OF lf] dim-zero-eq by auto
thus inj f unfolding linear-injective-ker-0[OF lf] .
qed
```

```
lemma linear-surjective-rank-eq-ncols:
assumes lf: linear f
shows surj f  $\longleftrightarrow$  rank (matrix f) = nrows (matrix f)
proof (rule)
assume surj: surj f
have nrows (matrix f) = CARD ('b) unfolding nrows-def ..
also have ... = dim (range f) by (metis surj basis-exists independent-is-basis
is-basis-def top-le)
also have ... = rank (matrix f) unfolding rank-matrix-dim-range[OF lf] ..
finally show rank (matrix f) = nrows (matrix f) ..
next
assume rank (matrix f) = nrows (matrix f)
hence dim (range f) = CARD ('b) unfolding rank-matrix-dim-range[OF lf] nrows-def
```

thus *surj f by (metis (mono-tags) basis-exists dim-UNIV dim-subset-UNIV independent-is-basis is-basis-def lf subspace-UNIV subspace-dim-equal subspace-linear-image top-le)*
qed

```
lemma linear-bij-rank-eq-ncols:
  fixes f::(real^n)=>(real^n)
  assumes lf: linear f
  shows bij f  $\longleftrightarrow$  rank (matrix f) = ncols (matrix f)
  unfolding bij-def
  using linear-injective-imp-surjective[OF lf]
  using linear-surjective-imp-injective[OF lf]
  using linear-injective-rank-eq-ncols[OF lf]
  by auto
```

10.2 Invertible linear maps

We could get rid of the property *linear g* using $\llbracket \text{linear } ?f; ?g \circ ?f = id \rrbracket \implies \text{linear } ?g$

```
definition invertible-lf ::('a::euclidean-space => 'a::euclidean-space)  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  where invertible-lf f = (linear f  $\wedge$  ( $\exists$  g. linear g  $\wedge$  (g  $\circ$  f = id)  $\wedge$  (f  $\circ$  g = id)))
```

```
lemma invertible-lf-intro[intro]:
  assumes linear f and (g  $\circ$  f = id) and (f  $\circ$  g = id)
  shows invertible-lf f
  by (metis assms(1) assms(2) invertible-lf-def left-inverse-linear linear-inverse-left)
```

```
lemma invertible-imp-bijective:
  assumes invertible-lf f
  shows bij f
  by (metis assms bij-betw-comp-iff bij-betw-imp-surj invertible-lf-def inj-on-imageI2
    inj-on-imp-bij-betw inv-id surj-id surj-imp-inj-inv)
```

```
lemma invertible-matrix-imp-invertible-lf:
  fixes A::real^n^n
  assumes invertible-A: invertible A
  shows invertible-lf ( $\lambda$ x. A *v x)
  proof -
    obtain B where AB: A**B=mat 1 and BA: B**A=mat 1 using invertible-A
    unfolding invertible-def by blast
    show ?thesis
    proof (rule invertible-lf-intro [of - ( $\lambda$ x. B *v x)])
      show l: linear (op *v A) using matrix-vector-mul-linear .
      show id1: op *v B  $\circ$  op *v A = id by (metis (lifting) AB BA isomorphism-expand
        matrix-vector-mul-assoc matrix-vector-mul-lid)
      show op *v A  $\circ$  op *v B = id by (metis l id1 left-inverse-linear linear-inverse-left)
```

```
qed
qed
```

```

lemma invertible-lf-imp-invertible-matrix:
  fixes f::realn⇒realn
  assumes invertible-f: invertible-lf f
  shows invertible (matrix f)
proof -
  obtain g where linear-g: linear g and gf: (g ∘ f = id) and fg: (f ∘ g = id)
  using invertible-f unfolding invertible-lf-def by auto
  show ?thesis proof (unfold invertible-def, rule exI[of _ matrix g], rule conjI)
    show matrix f ** matrix g = mat 1
      by (metis (no-types) fg id-def left-inverse-linear linear-g linear-id matrix-compose
matrix-eq matrix-mul-rid matrix-vector-mul matrix-vector-mul-assoc)
    show matrix g ** matrix f = mat 1
      by (metis (matrix f ** matrix g = mat 1) matrix-left-right-inverse)
  qed
qed

lemma invertible-matrix-iff-invertible-lf:
  fixes A::realnn
  shows invertible A ↔ invertible-lf (λx. A *v x)
  by (metis invertible-lf-imp-invertible-matrix invertible-matrix-imp-invertible-lf matrix-of-matrix-vector-mul)

lemma invertible-matrix-iff-invertible-lf':
  fixes f::realn⇒realn
  assumes linear-f: linear f
  shows invertible (matrix f) ↔ invertible-lf f
  by (metis (lifting) assms invertible-matrix-iff-invertible-lf matrix-vector-mul)

lemma invertible-matrix-mult-right-rank:
  fixes A::realnm and Q::realnn
  assumes invertible-Q: invertible Q
  shows rank (A**Q) = rank A
proof -
  def TQ===(λx. Q *v x)
  def TA===(λx. A *v x)
  def TAQ===(λx. (A**Q) *v x)
  have invertible-lf TQ using invertible-matrix-imp-invertible-lf[OF invertible-Q]
  unfolding TQ-def .
  hence bij-TQ: bij TQ using invertible-imp-bijective by auto
  have range TAQ = range (TA ∘ TQ) unfolding TQ-def TA-def TAQ-def o-def
  matrix-vector-mul-assoc ..
  also have ... = TA ` (range TQ) unfolding image-compose ..
  also have ... = TA ` (UNIV) using bij-is-surj[OF bij-TQ] by simp
  finally have range TAQ = range TA .
  thus ?thesis unfolding rank-eq-dim-image TAQ-def TA-def by simp
qed

```

```

lemma subspace-image-invertible-mat:
  fixes P::realnmnm
  assumes inv-P: invertible P
  and sub-W: subspace W
  shows subspace ((λx. P *v x)‘ W)
  by (metis (lifting) matrix-vector-mul-linear sub-W subspace-linear-image)

lemma dim-image-invertible-mat:
  fixes P::realnmnm
  assumes inv-P: invertible P
  and sub-W: subspace W
  shows dim ((λx. P *v x)‘ W) = dim W
proof -
  obtain B where B-in-W: B ⊆ W and ind-B: independent B and W-in-span-B:
  W ⊆ span B and card-B-eq-dim-W: card B = dim W
  using basis-exists by blast
  def L≡(λx. P *v x)
  def C≡L‘B
  have finite-B: finite B using indep-card-eq-dim-span[OF ind-B] by simp
  have linear-L: linear L using matrix-vector-mul-linear unfolding L-def .
  have finite-C: finite C using indep-card-eq-dim-span[OF ind-B] unfolding C-def
  by simp
  have inv-TP: invertible-lf (λx. P *v x) using invertible-matrix-imp-inverse-lf[OF
  inv-P] .
  have inj-on-LW: inj-on L W using invertible-imp-bijective[OF inv-TP] unfold-
  ing bij-def L-def unfolding inj-on-def
  by blast
  hence inj-on-LB: inj-on L B unfolding inj-on-def using B-in-W by auto
  have ind-D: independent C
  proof (rule independent-if-scalars-zero[OF finite-C], clarify)
    fix f x
    assume setsum: (∑ x∈C. f x *R x) = 0 and x: x ∈ C
    obtain y where Ly-eq-x: L y = x and y: y ∈ B using x unfolding C-def
    L-def by auto
    have (∑ x∈C. f x *R x) = setsum ((λx. f x *R x) ∘ L) B unfolding C-def
    by (rule setsum-reindex[OF inj-on-LB])
    also have ... = setsum (λx. f (L x) *R L x) B unfolding o-def ..
    also have ... = setsum (λx. ((f ∘ L) x) *R L x) B using o-def by auto
    also have ... = L (setsum (λx. ((f ∘ L) x) *R x) B) by (rule linear-setsum-mul[OF
    linear-L finite-B,symmetric])
    finally have rw: (∑ x∈C. f x *R x) = L (∑ x∈B. (f ∘ L) x *R x) .
    have (∑ x∈B. (f ∘ L) x *R x) ∈ W by (rule subspace-setsum[OF sub-W
    finite-B], auto simp add: B-in-W set-rev-mp sub-W subspace-mul)
    hence (∑ x∈B. (f ∘ L) x *R x)=0 using setsum rw using linear-injective-on-subspace-0[OF
    linear-L sub-W] using inj-on-LW by auto
    hence (f ∘ L) y = 0 using scalars-zero-if-independent[OF finite-B ind-B, of
    (f ∘ L)] using y by auto

```

```

thus  $f x = 0$  unfolding o-def Ly-eq-x .
qed
have  $L' W \subseteq \text{span } C$ 
proof (unfold span-finite[OF finite-C], clarify)
fix  $xa$  assume  $xa\text{-in-}W: xa \in W$ 
obtain  $g$  where  $\text{setsum-}g: \text{setsum } (\lambda x. g x *_R x) B = xa$  using span-finite[OF finite-B] W-in-span-B xa-in-W by blast
show  $\exists u. (\sum v \in C. u v *_R v) = L xa$ 
proof (rule exI[of - \lambda x. g (THE y. y \in B \wedge x = L y)])
have  $L xa = L (\text{setsum } (\lambda x. g x *_R x) B)$  using setsum-g by simp
also have ... =  $\text{setsum } (\lambda x. g x *_R L x) B$  using linear-setsum-mul[OF linear-L finite-B].
also have ... =  $\text{setsum } (\lambda x. g (\text{THE } y. y \in B \wedge x = L y) *_R x) (L' B)$ 
proof (unfold setsum-reindex[OF inj-on-LB], unfold o-def, rule setsum-cong2)
fix  $x$  assume  $x\text{-in-}B: x \in B$ 
have  $x\text{-eq-the:}x = (\text{THE } y. y \in B \wedge L x = L y)$ 
proof (rule the-equality[symmetric])
show  $x \in B \wedge L x = L x$  using x-in-B by auto
show  $\bigwedge y. y \in B \wedge L x = L y \implies y = x$  using inj-on-LB x-in-B unfolding inj-on-def by fast
qed
show  $g x *_R L x = g (\text{THE } y. y \in B \wedge L x = L y) *_R L x$  using x-eq-the
by simp
qed
finally show  $(\sum v \in C. g (\text{THE } y. y \in B \wedge v = L y) *_R v) = L xa$  unfolding C-def ..
qed
qed
have  $\text{card } C = \text{card } B$  using card-image[OF inj-on-LB] unfolding C-def .
thus ?thesis
by (metis Convex-Euclidean-Space.span-eq L-def dim-image-eq inj-on-LW linear-L sub-W)
qed

```

```

lemma invertible-matrix-mult-left-rank:
fixes  $A::\text{real}^n \times m$  and  $P::\text{real}^m \times m$ 
assumes invertible-P: invertible P
shows rank (P**A) = rank A
proof -
def  $TP == (\lambda x. P *v x)$ 
def  $TA \equiv (\lambda x. A *v x)$ 
def  $TPA == (\lambda x. (P**A) *v x)$ 
have sub: subspace (range (op *v A)) by (metis matrix-vector-mul-linear subspace-UNIV
subspace-linear-image)
have dim (range TPA) = dim (range (TP \circ TA)) unfolding TP-def TA-def
TPA-def o-def matrix-vector-mul-assoc ..
also have ... = dim (range TA) using dim-image-invertible-mat[OF invertible-P sub]
unfolding TP-def TA-def o-def image-compose[symmetric] .

```

```

finally show ?thesis unfolding rank-eq-dim-image TPA-def TA-def .
qed

```

```

corollary invertible-matrices-mult-rank:
fixes A::realnm and P::realmn and Q::realnn
assumes invertible-P: invertible P
and invertible-Q: invertible Q
shows rank (P**A**Q) = rank A
using invertible-matrix-mult-right-rank[OF invertible-Q] using invertible-matrix-mult-left-rank[OF
invertible-P] by metis

```

```

lemma invertible-matrix-mult-left-rank':
fixes A::realnm and P::realmn
assumes invertible-P: invertible P and B-eq-PA: B=P**A
shows rank B = rank A
proof -
  have rank B = rank (P**A) using B-eq-PA by auto
  also have ... = rank A using invertible-matrix-mult-left-rank[OF invertible-P]
by auto
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma invertible-matrix-mult-right-rank':
fixes A::realnm and Q::realnn
assumes invertible-Q: invertible Q and B-eq-PA: B=A**Q
shows rank B = rank A by (metis B-eq-PA invertible-Q invertible-matrix-mult-right-rank)

```

```

lemma invertible-matrices-rank':
fixes A::realnm and P::realmn and Q::realnn
assumes invertible-P: invertible P and invertible-Q: invertible Q and B-eq-PA:
B = P**A**Q
shows rank B = rank A by (metis B-eq-PA invertible-P invertible-Q invertible-matrices-mult-rank)

```

10.3 Definition and properties of the set of a vector

Some definitions:

```

definition set-of-vector :: 'an  $\Rightarrow$  'a set
where set-of-vector A = {A $ i | i. i  $\in$  UNIV}

```

```

definition cart-basis' :: realnn
where cart-basis' = ( $\chi$  i. axis i 1)

```

```

lemma basis-image-linear:
assumes invertible-lf: invertible-lf f
and basis-X: is-basis (set-of-vector X)
shows is-basis (f* (set-of-vector X))
proof (rule iffD1[OF independent-is-basis], rule conjI)
have card (f* set-of-vector X) = card (set-of-vector X)

```

```

    by (rule card-image[of f set-of-vector X], metis invertible-imp-bijective[OF
invertible-lf] bij-def inj-eq inj-on-def)
  also have ... = card (UNIV::'a set) using independent-is-basis basis-X by auto
  finally show card (f ` set-of-vector X) = card (UNIV::'a set) .
  show independent (f ` set-of-vector X)
  proof (rule independent-injective-image)
    show independent (set-of-vector X) using basis-X unfolding is-basis-def by
simp
    show linear f using invertible-lf unfolding invertible-lf-def by simp
    show inj f using invertible-imp-bijective[OF invertible-lf] unfolding bij-def
by simp
qed
qed

```

Properties about $\text{cart-basis}' = (\chi i. \text{axis } i \ 1)$

```

lemma set-of-vector-cart-basis':
  shows (set-of-vector cart-basis') = {axis i 1 :: real^n | i. i ∈ (UNIV :: 'n set)}
  unfolding set-of-vector-def cart-basis'-def by auto

lemma cart-basis'-i: cart-basis' $ i = axis i 1 unfolding cart-basis'-def by simp

lemma finite-cart-basis':
  shows finite (set-of-vector cart-basis')
  unfolding set-of-vector-def using finite-Atleast-Atmost-nat[of λi. (cart-basis':real^n'a^n'a) $ i] .

lemma axis-Basis:{axis i (1::real) | i. i ∈ (UNIV::('a::finite set))} = Basis
proof (auto)
  fix i::'n::finite show axis i (1::real) ∈ Basis proof (rule axis-in-Basis)
    show (1::real) ∈ Basis using Basis-real-def by simp
  qed
next
  fix x::real^n assume x: x ∈ Basis show ∃ i. x = axis i 1 using x unfolding
Basis-vec-def by auto
qed

lemma span-stdbasis:span {axis i 1 :: real^n | i. i ∈ (UNIV :: 'n set)} = UNIV
  unfolding span-Basis[symmetric] unfolding axis-Basis by auto

lemma independent-stdbasis: independent {axis i 1 :: real^n | i. i ∈ (UNIV :: 'n
set)}
  by (rule independent-substdbasis, auto, rule axis-in-Basis, auto)

lemma span-cart-basis':
  shows span (set-of-vector cart-basis') = UNIV
  unfolding set-of-vector-def unfolding cart-basis'-def using span-stdbasis by
auto

lemma is-basis-cart-basis': is-basis (set-of-vector (cart-basis'))

```

```

by (metis (lifting) independent-stdbasis is-basis-def set-of-vector-cart-basis' span-stdbasis)

lemma basis-expansion-cart-basis':setsum ( $\lambda i. x\$i *_R \text{cart-basis}' \$ i$ ) UNIV = x
  unfolding cart-basis'-def using basis-expansion apply auto
proof -
  assume ass:( $\bigwedge x:(\text{real}, 'a) \text{ vec. } (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. x \$ i * s \text{ axis } i 1) = x$ )
  have ( $\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. x \$ i * s \text{ axis } i 1$ ) = x using ass[of x].
  thus ( $\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. x \$ i *_R \text{axis } i 1$ ) = x unfolding scalar-mult-eq-scaleR .
qed

lemma basis-expansion-unique:
  setsum ( $\lambda i. f i * s \text{ axis } (i::'n::finite) 1$ ) UNIV = ( $x::('a::comm-ring-1) ^{'n}$ )  $\leftrightarrow$ 
  ( $\forall i. f i = x\$i$ )
proof (auto simp add: basis-expansion)
  fix i::'n
  have univ-rw: UNIV = (UNIV - {i})  $\cup$  {i} by fastforce
  have ( $\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. f x * \text{axis } x 1 \$ i$ ) = setsum ( $\lambda x. f x * \text{axis } x 1 \$ i$ ) (UNIV
  - {i}  $\cup$  {i}) using univ-rw by simp
  also have ... = setsum ( $\lambda x. f x * \text{axis } x 1 \$ i$ ) (UNIV - {i}) + setsum ( $\lambda x.$ 
   $f x * \text{axis } x 1 \$ i$ ) {i} by (rule setsum-Un-disjoint, auto)
  also have ... = f i unfolding axis-def by auto
  finally show f i = ( $\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. f x * \text{axis } x 1 \$ i$ ) ..
qed

lemma basis-expansion-cart-basis'-unique: setsum ( $\lambda i. f (\text{cart-basis}' \$ i) *_R \text{cart-basis}'$ 
  \$ i) UNIV = x  $\leftrightarrow$  ( $\forall i. f (\text{cart-basis}' \$ i) = x\$i$ )
  using basis-expansion-unique unfolding cart-basis'-def
  by (simp add: vec-eq-iff setsum-delta if-distrib cong del: if-weak-cong)

lemma basis-expansion-cart-basis'-unique': setsum ( $\lambda i. f i *_R \text{cart-basis}' \$ i$ ) UNIV
= x  $\leftrightarrow$  ( $\forall i. f i = x\$i$ )
  using basis-expansion-unique unfolding cart-basis'-def
  by (simp add: vec-eq-iff setsum-delta if-distrib cong del: if-weak-cong)

Properties of is-basis ?S  $\equiv$  independent ?S  $\wedge$  span ?S = UNIV.

lemma setsum-basis-eq:
  fixes X::real^'n ^'n
  assumes is-basis:is-basis (set-of-vector X)
  shows setsum ( $\lambda x. f x *_R x$ ) (set-of-vector X) = setsum ( $\lambda i. f (X\$i) *_R (X\$i)$ )
  UNIV
proof (rule setsum-reindex-cong[of  $\lambda i. X\$i$ ])
  show fact-1: set-of-vector X = range (op \$ X) unfolding set-of-vector-def by
  auto
  have card-set-of-vector:card(set-of-vector X) = CARD('n) using independent-is-basis[of
  set-of-vector X] using is-basis by auto
  show inj (op \$ X)
  proof (rule eq-card-imp-inj-on)
    show finite (UNIV::'n set) using finite-class.finite-UNIV .
    show card (range (op \$ X)) = card (UNIV::'n set) using card-set-of-vector
  qed
qed

```

```

using fact-1 unfolding set-of-vector-def by simp
qed
show  $\bigwedge a. a \in UNIV \implies f(X \$ a) *_R X \$ a = f(X \$ a) *_R X \$ a$  by simp
qed

corollary setsum-basis-eq2:
fixes  $X::real^{'}n^{'}n$ 
assumes is-basis:is-basis (set-of-vector  $X$ )
shows setsum ( $\lambda x. f x *_R x$ ) (set-of-vector  $X$ ) = setsum ( $\lambda i. (f \circ op \$ X) i *_R (X\$i)$ ) UNIV using setsum-basis-eq[OF is-basis] by simp

lemma inj-op-nth:
fixes  $X::real^{'}n^{'}n$ 
assumes is-basis: is-basis (set-of-vector  $X$ )
shows inj (op \$  $X$ )
proof -
have fact-1: set-of-vector  $X = range (op \$ X)$  unfolding set-of-vector-def by auto
have card-set-of-vector:card(set-of-vector  $X) = CARD('n)$  using independent-is-basis[of set-of-vector  $X]$  using is-basis by auto
show inj (op \$  $X$ )
proof (rule eq-card-imp-inj-on)
show finite (UNIV::'n set) using finite-class.finite-UNIV .
show card (range (op \$  $X$ )) = card (UNIV::'n set) using card-set-of-vector
using fact-1 unfolding set-of-vector-def by simp
qed
qed

lemma basis-UNIV:
fixes  $X::real^{'}n^{'}n$ 
assumes is-basis: is-basis (set-of-vector  $X$ )
shows UNIV = { $x. \exists g. (\sum i \in UNIV. g i *_R X\$i) = x$ }
proof -
have UNIV = { $x. \exists g. (\sum i \in (set-of-vector X). g i *_R i) = x$ } using is-basis
unfolding is-basis-def using span-finite[OF basis-finite[OF is-basis]] by simp
also have ...  $\subseteq \{x. \exists g. (\sum i \in UNIV. g i *_R X\$i) = x\}$ 
proof (clarify)
fix  $f$ 
show  $\exists g. (\sum i \in UNIV. g i *_R X \$ i) = (\sum i \in set-of-vector X. f i *_R i)$ 
proof (rule exI[of - (λi. (f ∘ op \$ X) i)], unfold o-def, rule setsum-reindex-cong[symmetric, of op \$ X])
show fact-1: set-of-vector  $X = range (op \$ X)$  unfolding set-of-vector-def
by auto
have card-set-of-vector:card(set-of-vector  $X) = CARD('n)$  using independent-is-basis[of set-of-vector  $X]$  using is-basis by auto
show inj (op \$  $X$ ) using inj-op-nth[OF is-basis] .
show  $\bigwedge a. a \in UNIV \implies f(X \$ a) *_R X \$ a = f(X \$ a) *_R X \$ a$  by simp
qed

```

```

qed
finally show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma scalars-zero-if-basis:
  fixes X::real^'n^'n
  assumes is-basis: is-basis (set-of-vector X) and setsum: (∑ i∈(UNIV::'n set). f i *R X\$i) = 0
    shows ∀ i∈(UNIV::'n set). f i = 0
  proof -
    have ind-X: independent (set-of-vector X) using is-basis unfolding is-basis-def
    by simp
    have finite-X:finite (set-of-vector X) using basis-finite[OF is-basis] .
    have 1: (∀ g. (∑ v∈(set-of-vector X). g v *R v) = 0 → (∀ v∈(set-of-vector X). g v = 0)) using ind-X unfolding independent-explicit using finite-X by auto
    def g≡λv. f (THE i. X \$ i = v)
    have (∑ v∈(set-of-vector X). g v *R v) = 0
    proof -
      have (∑ v∈(set-of-vector X). g v *R v) = (∑ i∈(UNIV::'n set). f i *R X\$i)
      proof (rule setsum-reindex-cong)
        show inj (op \$ X) using inj-op-nth[OF is-basis] .
        show set-of-vector X = range (op \$ X) unfolding set-of-vector-def by auto
        show ∏ a. a ∈ (UNIV::'n set) ⟹ f a *R X \$ a = g (X \$ a) *R X \$ a
        proof (auto)
          fix a
          assume X \$ a ≠ 0
          show f a = g (X \$ a)
            unfolding g-def using inj-op-nth[OF is-basis]
            by (metis (lifting, mono-tags) injD the-equality)
        qed
      qed
      thus ?thesis unfolding setsum .
    qed
    hence 2: ∀ v∈(set-of-vector X). g v = 0 using 1 by auto
    show ?thesis
    proof (clarify)
      fix a
      have g (X\$a) = 0 using 2 unfolding set-of-vector-def by auto
      thus f a = 0 unfolding g-def using inj-op-nth[OF is-basis]
        by (metis (lifting, mono-tags) injD the-equality)
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma basis-combination-unique:
  fixes X::real^'n^'n
  assumes basis-X: is-basis (set-of-vector X) and setsum-eq: (∑ i∈UNIV. g i *R X\$i) = (∑ i∈UNIV. f i *R X\$i)
    shows f=g
  proof (rule ccontr)

```

```

assume  $f \neq g$ 
from this obtain  $x$  where  $fx-gx: f x \neq g x$  by fast
have  $0 = (\sum i \in UNIV. g i *_R X\$i) - (\sum i \in UNIV. f i *_R X\$i)$  using setsum-eq
by simp
also have ...  $= (\sum i \in UNIV. g i *_R X\$i - f i *_R X\$i)$  unfolding setsum-subtractf[symmetric]
 $\dots$ 
also have ...  $= (\sum i \in UNIV. (g i - f i) *_R X\$i)$  by (rule setsum-cong2, simp
add: scaleR-diff-left)
also have ...  $= (\sum i \in UNIV. (g - f) i *_R X\$i)$  by simp
finally have setsum-eq-1:  $0 = (\sum i \in UNIV. (g - f) i *_R X\$i)$  by simp
have  $\forall i \in UNIV. (g - f) i = 0$  by (rule scalars-zero-if-basis[OF basis-X setsum-eq-1 [symmetric]])
hence  $(g - f) x = 0$  by simp
hence  $f x = g x$  by simp
thus False using fx-gx by contradiction
qed

```

10.4 Coordinates of a vector

Definition and properties of the coordinates of a vector (in terms of a particular ordered basis).

```

definition coord ::  $real^{n \times n} \Rightarrow real^n$ 
where coord  $X v = (\chi i. (\text{THE } f. v = \text{setsum} (\lambda x. f x *_R X\$x) UNIV) i)$ 

coord  $X v$  are the coordinates of vector  $v$  with respect to the basis  $X$ 

lemma bij-coord:
fixes  $X :: real^{n \times n}$ 
assumes basis-X: is-basis (set-of-vector  $X$ )
shows bij (coord  $X$ )
proof (unfold bij-def, auto)
show inj: inj (coord  $X$ )
proof (unfold inj-on-def, auto)
fix  $x y$  assume coord-eq: coord  $X x =$  coord  $X y$ 
obtain  $f$  where  $f: (\sum x \in UNIV. f x *_R X \$ x) = x$  using basis-UNIV[OF basis-X] by blast
obtain  $g$  where  $g: (\sum x \in UNIV. g x *_R X \$ x) = y$  using basis-UNIV[OF basis-X] by blast
have the-f: (THE f. x = ( $\sum x \in UNIV. f x *_R X \$ x$ ))  $= f$ 
proof (rule the-equality)
show  $x = (\sum x \in UNIV. f x *_R X \$ x)$  using  $f$  by simp
show  $\lambda fa. x = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x *_R X \$ x) \implies fa = f$  using basis-combination-unique[OF basis-X] f by simp
qed
have the-g: (THE g. y = ( $\sum x \in UNIV. g x *_R X \$ x$ ))  $= g$ 
proof (rule the-equality)
show  $y = (\sum x \in UNIV. g x *_R X \$ x)$  using  $g$  by simp
show  $\lambda ga. y = (\sum x \in UNIV. ga x *_R X \$ x) \implies ga = g$  using basis-combination-unique[OF basis-X] g by simp
qed

```

```

have (THE f. x = ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} f x *_R X \$ x$ ) = (THE g. y = ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} g x *_R X \$ x$ ))
  using coord-eq unfolding coord-def
  using vec-lambda-inject[of (THE f. x = ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} f x *_R X \$ x$ )) (THE f. y = ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} f x *_R X \$ x$ ))]
  by auto
  hence f = g unfolding the-f the-g .
  thus x=y using f g by simp
qed
next
  fix x::(real, 'n) vec
  show x ∈ range (coord X)
  proof (unfold image-def, auto, rule exI[of - setsum (λi. x\$i *_R X\$i) UNIV],
  unfold coord-def)
    def f≡λi. x\$i
    have the-f: (THE f. ( $\sum_{i \in UNIV} x \$ i *_R X \$ i$ ) = ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} f x *_R X \$ x$ )) = f
    proof (rule the-equality)
      show ( $\sum_{i \in UNIV} x \$ i *_R X \$ i$ ) = ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} f x *_R X \$ x$ ) unfolding
      f-def ..
      fix g assume setsum-eq:( $\sum_{i \in UNIV} x \$ i *_R X \$ i$ ) = ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} g x *_R X \$ x$ )
      show g = f using basis-combination-unique[OF basis-X] using setsum-eq
      unfolding f-def by simp
    qed
    show x = vec-lambda (THE f. ( $\sum_{i \in UNIV} x \$ i *_R X \$ i$ ) = ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} f x *_R X \$ x$ )) unfolding the-f unfolding f-def using vec-lambda-eta[of x] by
    simp
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma linear-coord:
  fixes X::real'n'n
  assumes basis-X: is-basis (set-of-vector X)
  shows linear (coord X)
  proof (unfold linear-def additive-def linear-axioms-def coord-def, auto)
    fix x y::(real, 'n) vec
    show vec-lambda (THE f. x + y = ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} f x *_R X \$ x$ )) = vec-lambda
    (THE f. x = ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} f x *_R X \$ x$ )) + vec-lambda (THE f. y = ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} f x *_R X \$ x$ ))
    proof –
      obtain f where f: ( $\sum_{a \in (UNIV::'n set)} f a *_R X \$ a$ ) = x + y using
      basis-UNIV[OF basis-X] by blast
      obtain g where g: ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} g x *_R X \$ x$ ) = x using basis-UNIV[OF
      basis-X] by blast
      obtain h where h: ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} h x *_R X \$ x$ ) = y using basis-UNIV[OF
      basis-X] by blast
      def t≡λi. g i + h i

```

```

have the-f: (THE f.  $x + y = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. fx *_R X \$ x)) = f$ )
proof (rule the-equality)
  show  $x + y = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. fx *_R X \$ x)$  using f by simp
    show  $\bigwedge fa. x + y = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. fa x *_R X \$ x) \implies fa = f$  using
      basis-combination-unique[OF basis-X] f by simp
  qed
have the-g: (THE g.  $x = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. gx *_R X \$ x)) = g$ )
proof (rule the-equality)
  show  $x = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. gx *_R X \$ x)$  using g by simp
    show  $\bigwedge ga. x = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. ga x *_R X \$ x) \implies ga = g$  using
      basis-combination-unique[OF basis-X] g by simp
  qed
have the-h: (THE h.  $y = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. hx *_R X \$ x)) = h$ )
proof (rule the-equality)
  show  $y = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. hx *_R X \$ x)$  using h ..
  show  $\bigwedge ha. y = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. ha x *_R X \$ x) \implies ha = h$  using
    basis-combination-unique[OF basis-X] h by simp
  qed
have ( $\sum a \in (\text{UNIV}::'n \text{ set})$ .  $fa *_R X \$ a) = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. gx *_R X \$ x) +$ 
( $\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. hx *_R X \$ x)$  using f g h by simp
  also have ... = ( $\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. gx *_R X \$ x + hx *_R X \$ x)$  unfolding
    setsum-addf[symmetric] ..
  also have ... = ( $\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. (gx + hx) *_R X \$ x)$  by (rule setsum-cong2,
    simp add: scaleR-left-distrib)
  also have ... = ( $\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. tx *_R X \$ x)$  unfolding t-def ..
  finally have ( $\sum a \in \text{UNIV}. fa *_R X \$ a) = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. tx *_R X \$ x)$  .
  hence  $f = t$  using basis-combination-unique[OF basis-X] by auto
  thus ?thesis
    by (unfold the-f the-g the-h, vector, auto, unfold f g h t-def, simp)
qed
next
fix c x
show vec-lambda (THE f.  $c *_R x = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. fx *_R X \$ x)) = c *_R$ 
vec-lambda (THE f.  $x = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. fx *_R X \$ x))$ )
proof -
  obtain f where  $f: (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. fx *_R X \$ x) = c *_R x$  using basis-UNIV[OF basis-X] by blast
  obtain g where  $g: (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. gx *_R X \$ x) = x$  using basis-UNIV[OF basis-X] by blast
  def  $t \equiv \lambda i. c *_R g i$ 
  have the-f: (THE f.  $c *_R x = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. fx *_R X \$ x)) = f$ )
  proof (rule the-equality)
    show  $c *_R x = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. fx *_R X \$ x)$  using f ..
    show  $\bigwedge fa. c *_R x = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. fa x *_R X \$ x) \implies fa = f$  using
      basis-combination-unique[OF basis-X] f by simp
  qed
  have the-g: (THE g.  $x = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. gx *_R X \$ x)) = g$ )
  proof (rule the-equality)
    show  $x = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. gx *_R X \$ x)$  using g ..
  
```

```

show  $\bigwedge ga. x = (\sum x \in UNIV. ga x *_R X \$ x) \implies ga = g$  using basis-combination-unique[OF basis-X]  $g$  by simp
qed
have  $(\sum x \in UNIV. f x *_R X \$ x) = c *_R (\sum x \in UNIV. g x *_R X \$ x)$  using
 $f g$  by simp
also have ...  $= (\sum x \in UNIV. c *_R g x *_R X \$ x)$  by (rule scaleR-setsum-right)
also have ...  $= (\sum x \in UNIV. t x *_R X \$ x)$  unfolding  $t$ -def by simp
finally have  $(\sum x \in UNIV. f x *_R X \$ x) = (\sum x \in UNIV. t x *_R X \$ x)$ .
hence  $f = t$  using basis-combination-unique[OF basis-X] by auto
thus ?thesis
by (unfold the-f the-g, vector, auto, unfold t-def, auto)
qed
qed

```

lemma coord-eq:

assumes basis-X:is-basis (*set-of-vector X*)
and coord-eq: coord X v = coord X w
shows v = w

proof –

have $\forall i. (\text{THE } f. \forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. f x * X \$ x \$ i)) i = (\text{THE } f. \forall i.$
 $w \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. f x * X \$ x \$ i)) i$ using coord-eq
unfolding coord-eq coord-def vec-eq-iff by simp
hence the-eq: $(\text{THE } f. \forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. f x * X \$ x \$ i)) = (\text{THE } f.$
 $\forall i. w \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. f x * X \$ x \$ i))$ by auto
obtain f where f: $(\sum x \in UNIV. f x *_R X \$ x) = v$ using basis-UNIV[*OF basis-X*] by blast
obtain g where g: $(\sum x \in UNIV. g x *_R X \$ x) = w$ using basis-UNIV[*OF basis-X*] by blast
have the-f: $(\text{THE } f. \forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. f x * X \$ x \$ i)) = f$
proof (rule the-equality)
show $\forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. f x * X \$ x \$ i)$ using f by auto
fix fa assume $\forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x * X \$ x \$ i)$
hence $\forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x *_R X \$ x) \$ i$ unfolding setsum-component
by simp
hence fa: $v = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x *_R X \$ x)$ unfolding vec-eq-iff .
show fa = f using basis-combination-unique[*OF basis-X*] f fa by simp
qed
have the-g: $(\text{THE } g. \forall i. w \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. g x * X \$ x \$ i)) = g$
proof (rule the-equality)
show $\forall i. w \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. g x * X \$ x \$ i)$ using g by auto
fix fa assume $\forall i. w \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x * X \$ x \$ i)$
hence $\forall i. w \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x *_R X \$ x) \$ i$ unfolding setsum-component
by simp
hence fa: $w = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x *_R X \$ x)$ unfolding vec-eq-iff .
show fa = g using basis-combination-unique[*OF basis-X*] g fa by simp
qed
have f=g using the-eq unfolding the-f the-g .
thus v=w using f g by blast

qed

10.5 Matrix of change of basis and coordinate matrix of a linear map

Definitions of matrix of change of basis and matrix of a linear transformation with respect to two bases:

```
definition matrix-change-of-basis :: real^n^n ⇒ real^n^n ⇒ real^n^n
where matrix-change-of-basis X Y = (χ i j. (coord Y (X\$j)) \$ i)
```

There exists in the library the definition $\text{matrix } ?f = (\chi i j. ?f (\text{axis } j (1::?'a)) \$ i)$, which is the coordinate matrix of a linear map with respect to the standard bases. Now we generalise that concept to the coordinate matrix of a linear map with respect to any two bases.

```
definition matrix' :: real^n^n ⇒ real^m^m ⇒ (real^n => real^m) ⇒ real^n^m
where matrix' X Y f = (χ i j. (coord Y (f(X\$j))) \$ i)
```

Properties of $\text{matrix}' ?X ?Y ?f = (\chi i j. \text{coord } ?Y (?f (?X \$ j)) \$ i)$

```
lemma matrix'-eq-matrix:
defines cart-basis-Rn: cart-basis-Rn == (cart-basis')::real^n^n and cart-basis-Rm:cart-basis-Rm
== (cart-basis')::real^m^m
assumes lf: linear f
shows matrix' (cart-basis-Rn) (cart-basis-Rm) f = matrix f
proof (unfold matrix-def matrix'-def coord-def, vector, auto)
fix i j
have basis-Rn:is-basis (set-of-vector cart-basis-Rn) using is-basis-cart-basis' unfolding cart-basis-Rn .
have basis-Rm:is-basis (set-of-vector cart-basis-Rm) using is-basis-cart-basis' unfolding cart-basis-Rm .
obtain g where setsum-g: (∑ x∈UNIV. g x *R (cart-basis-Rm \$ x)) = f
(cart-basis-Rn \$ j) using basis-UNIV[OF basis-Rm] by blast
have the-g: (THE g. ∀ a. f (cart-basis-Rn \$ j) \$ a = (∑ x∈UNIV. g x * cart-basis-Rm \$ x \$ a)) = g
proof (rule the-equality, clarify)
fix a
have f (cart-basis-Rn \$ j) \$ a = (∑ i∈UNIV. g i *R (cart-basis-Rm \$ i)) \$ a
using setsum-g by simp
also have ... = (∑ x∈UNIV. g x * cart-basis-Rm \$ x \$ a) unfolding setsum-component
by simp
finally show f (cart-basis-Rn \$ j) \$ a = (∑ x∈UNIV. g x * cart-basis-Rm \$ x \$ a) .
fix ga assume ∀ a. f (cart-basis-Rn \$ j) \$ a = (∑ x∈UNIV. ga x * cart-basis-Rm \$ x \$ a)
hence setsum-ga: f (cart-basis-Rn \$ j) = (∑ i∈UNIV. ga i *R cart-basis-Rm \$ i) by (vector, auto)
show ga = g
proof (rule basis-combination-unique)
show is-basis (set-of-vector (cart-basis-Rm)) using basis-Rm .
```

```

show ( $\sum_{i \in UNIV} g i *_R \text{cart-basis-Rm } \$ i$ ) = ( $\sum_{i \in UNIV} ga i *_R \text{cart-basis-Rm } \$ i$ ) using setsum-g setsum-ga by simp
  qed
  qed
show ( $\text{THE fa. } \forall i. f(\text{cart-basis-Rn } \$ j) \$ i = (\sum_{x \in UNIV} fa x * \text{cart-basis-Rm } \$ x \$ i)$ )  $i = f(\text{axis } j 1) \$ i$ 
  unfolding the-g using setsum-g unfolding cart-basis-Rm cart-basis-Rn cart-basis'-def
  using basis-expansion-unique[of  $g f(\text{axis } j 1)$ ]
  unfolding scalar-mult-eq-scaleR by auto
qed

lemma matrix':
assumes linear-f: linear f and basis-X: is-basis (set-of-vector X) and basis-Y:
is-basis (set-of-vector Y)
shows  $f(X\$i) = \text{setsum } (\lambda j. (\text{matrix}' X Y f) \$ j \$ i *_R (Y\$j)) \text{ UNIV}$ 
proof (unfold matrix'-def coord-def matrix-mult-vsum column-def, vector, auto)
  fix j
  obtain g where  $g: (\sum_{x \in UNIV} g x *_R Y \$ x) = f(X \$ i)$  using basis-UNIV[OF
basis-Y] by blast
  have the-g: ( $\text{THE fa. } \forall ia. f(X \$ i) \$ ia = (\sum_{x \in UNIV} fa x * Y \$ x \$ ia)$ )
= g
  proof (rule the-equality, clarify)
    fix a
    have  $f(X \$ i) \$ a = (\sum_{x \in UNIV} g x *_R Y \$ x) \$ a$  using g by simp
    also have ... = ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} g x * Y \$ x \$ a$ ) unfolding setsum-component
    by auto
    finally show  $f(X \$ i) \$ a = (\sum_{x \in UNIV} g x * Y \$ x \$ a)$ .
    fix fa
    assume  $\forall ia. f(X \$ i) \$ ia = (\sum_{x \in UNIV} fa x * Y \$ x \$ ia)$ 
    hence  $\forall ia. f(X \$ i) \$ ia = (\sum_{x \in UNIV} fa x *_R Y \$ x) \$ ia$  unfolding
    setsum-component by simp
    hence fa:f(X \$ i) = ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} fa x *_R Y \$ x$ ) unfolding vec-eq-iff .
    show fa = g by (rule basis-combination-unique[OF basis-Y], simp add: fa g)
  qed
  show  $f(X \$ i) \$ j = (\sum_{x \in UNIV} (\text{THE fa. } \forall j. f(X \$ i) \$ j = (\sum_{x \in UNIV} fa x * Y \$ x \$ j)) x * Y \$ x \$ j)$ 
  unfolding the-g unfolding g[symmetric] setsum-component by simp
qed

```

```

corollary matrix'2:
assumes linear-f: linear f and basis-X: is-basis (set-of-vector X) and basis-Y:
is-basis (set-of-vector Y)
and eq-f:  $\forall i. f(X\$i) = \text{setsum } (\lambda j. A \$ j \$ i *_R (Y\$j)) \text{ UNIV}$ 
shows  $\text{matrix}' X Y f = A$ 
proof -
  have eq-f':  $\forall i. f(X\$i) = \text{setsum } (\lambda j. (\text{matrix}' X Y f) \$ j \$ i *_R (Y\$j)) \text{ UNIV}$ 
  using matrix'[OF linear-f basis-X basis-Y] by auto
  show ?thesis

```

```

proof (vector, auto)
  fix i j
  def a $\equiv\lambda x.$  (matrix' X Y f)  $\$ x \$ i$ 
  def b $\equiv\lambda x.$  A  $\$ x \$ i$ 
  have fxi-1:f (X\$i)  $=$  setsum ( $\lambda j.$  a j *R (Y\$j)) UNIV using eq-f' unfolding
a-def by simp
  have fxi-2:f (X\$i)  $=$  setsum ( $\lambda j.$  b j *R (Y\$j)) UNIV using eq-f unfolding
b-def by simp
  have a=b using basis-combination-unique[OF basis-Y] fxi-1 fxi-2 by auto
  thus (matrix' X Y f)  $\$ j \$ i =$  A  $\$ j \$ i$  unfolding a-def b-def by metis
  qed
qed

```

This is the theorem 2.14 in the book "Advanced Linear Algebra" by Steven Roman.

```

lemma coord-matrix':
  fixes X::realnn and Y::realmm
  assumes basis-X: is-basis (set-of-vector X) and basis-Y: is-basis (set-of-vector Y) and linear-f: linear f
  shows coord Y (f v) = (matrix' X Y f) *v (coord X v)
proof (unfold matrix-mult-vsum matrix'-def column-def coord-def, vector, auto)
  fix i
  obtain g where g: (∑ x∈UNIV. g x *R Y \$ x) = f v using basis-UNIV[OF basis-Y] by auto
  obtain s where s: (∑ x∈UNIV. s x *R X \$ x) = v using basis-UNIV[OF basis-X] by auto
  have the-g: (THE fa. ∀ a. f v \$ a = (∑ x∈UNIV. fa x * Y \$ x \$ a)) = g
  proof (rule the-equality)
    have  $\forall a. f v \$ a = (\sum x \in UNIV. g x *_R Y \$ x) \$ a$  using g by simp
    thus  $\forall a. f v \$ a = (\sum x \in UNIV. g x * Y \$ x \$ a)$  unfolding setsum-component
by simp
    fix fa assume  $\forall a. f v \$ a = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x * Y \$ x \$ a)$ 
    hence fa: f v = (∑ x∈UNIV. fa x *R Y \$ x) by (vector, auto)
    show fa=g by (rule basis-combination-unique[OF basis-Y], simp add: fa g)
    qed
  have the-s: (THE f. ∀ i. v \$ i = (∑ x∈UNIV. f x * X \$ x \$ i))=s
  proof (rule the-equality)
    have  $\forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. s x *_R X \$ x) \$ i$  using s by simp
    thus  $\forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. s x * X \$ x \$ i)$  unfolding setsum-component
by simp
    fix fa assume  $\forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x * X \$ x \$ i)$ 
    hence fa: v=(∑ x∈UNIV. fa x *R X \$ x) by (vector, auto)
    show fa=s by (rule basis-combination-unique[OF basis-X], simp add: fa s)
    qed
  def t $\equiv\lambda x.$  ( $\sum i \in UNIV. (s i * (THE fa. f (X \$ i) = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x *_R Y \$ x)) x)$ )
  have  $(\sum x \in UNIV. g x *_R Y \$ x) = f v$  using g by simp
  also have ...  $= f (\sum x \in UNIV. s x *_R X \$ x)$  using s by simp
  also have ...  $= (\sum x \in UNIV. s x *_R f (X \$ x))$  by (rule linear-setsum-mul[OF
```

```

linear-f], simp)
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{i \in UNIV} s i *_R setsum (\lambda j. (matrix' X Y f) \$ j \$ i *_R (Y \$ j))$ )
    UNIV) using matrix'[OF linear-f basis-X basis-Y] by auto
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{i \in UNIV} \sum_{x \in UNIV} s i *_R matrix' X Y f \$ x \$ i *_R Y$ 
    \$ x) unfolding scaleR-setsum-right ..
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{i \in UNIV} \sum_{x \in UNIV} (s i * (THE fa. f (X \$ i)) = (\sum_{x \in UNIV}$ 
    fa x *R Y \$ x) \$ x) *R Y \$ x) unfolding matrix'-def unfolding coord-def by
    auto
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} (\sum_{i \in UNIV} (s i * (THE fa. f (X \$ i)) =$ 
    ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} fa x *_R Y \$ x) \$ x) *R Y \$ x) \$ x) by (rule setsum-commute)
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} (\sum_{i \in UNIV} (s i * (THE fa. f (X \$ i)) =$ 
    ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} fa x *_R Y \$ x) \$ x) *R Y \$ x) \$ x) unfolding scaleR-setsum-left ..
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} t x *_R Y \$ x) \$ x) unfolding t-def ..
  finally have ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} g x *_R Y \$ x) = (\sum_{x \in UNIV} t x *_R Y \$ x) \$ x) .
  hence g=t using basis-combination-unique[OF basis-Y] by simp
  thus (THE fa.  $\forall i. f v \$ i = (\sum_{x \in UNIV} fa x * Y \$ x \$ i)$ ) i =
    ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} (THE f. \forall i. v \$ i = (\sum_{x \in UNIV} f x * X \$ x \$ i)) x * (THE$ 
    fa.  $\forall i. f (X \$ x) \$ i = (\sum_{x \in UNIV} fa x * Y \$ x \$ i)) i$ )
  proof (unfold the-g the-s t-def, auto)
    have ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} s x * (THE fa. \forall i. f (X \$ x) \$ i = (\sum_{x \in UNIV} fa x *$ 
    Y \$ x \$ i)) \$ i) =
      ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} s x * (THE fa. \forall i. f (X \$ x) \$ i = (\sum_{x \in UNIV} fa x *_R Y$ 
    \$ x) \$ i) \$ i) unfolding setsum-component by simp
    also have ... = ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} s x * (THE fa. f (X \$ x) = (\sum_{x \in UNIV} fa x *$ 
     $*_R Y \$ x) \$ i) \$ i) by (rule setsum-cong2, simp add: vec-eq-iff)
    finally show ( $\sum_{ia \in UNIV} s ia * (THE fa. f (X \$ ia) = (\sum_{x \in UNIV} fa x *$ 
     $*_R Y \$ x) \$ i) \$ i) = ( $\sum_{x \in UNIV} s x * (THE fa. \forall i. f (X \$ x) \$ i = (\sum_{x \in UNIV}$ 
    fa x * Y \$ x \$ i)) \$ i) \$ i)
    by auto
  qed
qed$$$$$$ 
```

This is the second part of the theorem 2.15 in the book "Advanced Linear Algebra" by Steven Roman.

```

lemma matrix'-compose:
  fixes X::real^n^n and Y::real^m^m and Z::real^p^p
  assumes basis-X: is-basis (set-of-vector X) and basis-Y: is-basis (set-of-vector
  Y) and basis-Z: is-basis (set-of-vector Z)
  and linear-f: linear f and linear-g: linear g
  shows matrix' X Z (g o f) = (matrix' Y Z g) ** (matrix' X Y f)
  proof (unfold matrix-eq, clarify)
    fix a::(real, 'n) vec
    obtain v where v: a = coord X v using bij-coord[OF basis-X] unfolding bij-iff
    by metis
    have linear-gf: linear (g o f) using linear-compose[OF linear-f linear-g] .
    have matrix' X Z (g o f) *v a = matrix' X Z (g o f) *v (coord X v) unfolding
    v ..
    also have ... = coord Z ((g o f) v) unfolding coord-matrix'[OF basis-X basis-Z
    linear-gf, symmetric] ..

```

```

also have ... = coord Z (g (f v)) unfolding o-def ..
also have ... = (matrix' Y Z g) *v (coord Y (f v)) unfolding coord-matrix'[OF
basis-Y basis-Z linear-g] ..
also have ... = (matrix' Y Z g) *v ((matrix' X Y f) *v (coord X v)) unfolding
coord-matrix'[OF basis-X basis-Y linear-f] ..
also have ... = ((matrix' Y Z g) ** (matrix' X Y f)) *v (coord X v) unfolding
matrix-vector-mul-assoc ..
finally show matrix' X Z (g o f) *v a = matrix' Y Z g ** matrix' X Y f *v a
unfolding v .
qed

```

```

lemma exists-linear-eq-matrix':
fixes A::real^'m^'n and X::real^'m^'m and Y::real^'n^'n
assumes basis-X: is-basis (set-of-vector X) and basis-Y: is-basis (set-of-vector
Y)
shows ∃f. matrix' X Y f = A ∧ linear f
proof -
  def f == λv. setsum (λj. A $ j $ (THE k. v = X $ k) *R Y $ j) UNIV
  obtain g where linear-g: linear g and f-eq-g: (∀x ∈ (set-of-vector X). g x = f x)
  using linear-independent-extend using basis-X unfolding is-basis-def by blast
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule exI[of - g], rule conjI)
    show matrix' X Y g = A
    proof (rule matrix'2)
      show linear g using linear-g .
      show is-basis (set-of-vector X) using basis-X .
      show is-basis (set-of-vector Y) using basis-Y .
      show ∀i. g (X $ i) = (∑j∈UNIV. A $ j $ i *R Y $ j)
      proof (clarify)
        fix i
        have the-k-eq-i: (THE k. X $ i = X $ k) = i
        proof (rule the-equality)
          show X $ i = X $ i ..
        fix k assume Xi-Xk: X $ i = X $ k show k = i using Xi-Xk basis-X
        inj-eq inj-op-nth by metis
        qed
        have Xi-in-X:X$i ∈ (set-of-vector X) unfolding set-of-vector-def by auto
        have g (X$i) = f (X$i) using f-eq-g Xi-in-X by simp
        also have ... = (∑j∈UNIV. A $ j $ (THE k. X $ i = X $ k) *R Y $ j)
        unfolding f-def ..
        also have ... = (∑j∈UNIV. A $ j $ i *R Y $ j) unfolding the-k-eq-i ..
        finally show g (X $ i) = (∑j∈UNIV. A $ j $ i *R Y $ j) .
      qed
      qed
      show linear g using linear-g .
    qed
  qed

```

```

lemma linear-matrix':
  assumes basis-Y: is-basis (set-of-vector Y)
  shows linear (matrix' X Y)
proof (unfold linear-def additive-def linear-axioms-def, auto)
  fix f g
  show matrix' X Y (f + g) = matrix' X Y f + matrix' X Y g
  proof (unfold matrix'-def coord-def, vector, auto)
    fix i j
    obtain a where a:( $\sum x \in UNIV. a x *_R Y \$ x$ ) = f (X \$ j) using basis-UNIV[OF basis-Y] by blast
    obtain b where b:( $\sum x \in UNIV. b x *_R Y \$ x$ ) = g (X \$ j) using basis-UNIV[OF basis-Y] by blast
    obtain c where c: ( $\sum x \in UNIV. c x *_R Y \$ x$ ) = (f + g) (X \$ j) using basis-UNIV[OF basis-Y] by blast
    def d= $\lambda i. a i + b i$ 
    have ( $\sum x \in UNIV. c x *_R Y \$ x$ ) = (f + g) (X \$ j) using c by simp
    also have ... = f (X \$ j) + g (X \$ j) unfolding plus-fun-def ..
    also have ... = ( $\sum x \in UNIV. a x *_R Y \$ x$ ) + ( $\sum x \in UNIV. b x *_R Y \$ x$ )
    unfolding a b ..
    also have ... = ( $\sum x \in UNIV. (a x *_R Y \$ x) + b x *_R Y \$ x$ ) unfolding setsum-addf ..
    also have ... = ( $\sum x \in UNIV. (a x + b x) *_R Y \$ x$ ) unfolding scaleR-add-left
    ..
    also have ... = ( $\sum x \in UNIV. (d x) *_R Y \$ x$ ) unfolding d-def by simp
    finally have ( $\sum x \in UNIV. c x *_R Y \$ x$ ) = ( $\sum x \in UNIV. d x *_R Y \$ x$ ).
    hence c-eq-d: c=d using basis-combination-unique[OF basis-Y] by simp
    have the-a: (THE fa.  $\forall i. f (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x * Y \$ x \$ i)$ ) = a
    proof (rule the-equality)
      have  $\forall i. f (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. a x *_R Y \$ x) \$ i$  using a unfolding vec-eq-iff by simp
      thus  $\forall i. f (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. a x * Y \$ x \$ i)$  unfolding setsum-component by simp
      fix fa assume  $\forall i. f (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x * Y \$ x \$ i)$ 
      hence f (X \$ j) = ( $\sum x \in UNIV. fa x *_R Y \$ x$ ) unfolding vec-eq-iff
      setsum-component by simp
      thus fa = a using basis-combination-unique[OF basis-Y] a by simp
    qed
    have the-b: (THE f.  $\forall i. g (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. f x * Y \$ x \$ i)$ ) = b
    proof (rule the-equality)
      have  $\forall i. g (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. b x *_R Y \$ x) \$ i$  using b unfolding vec-eq-iff by simp
      thus  $\forall i. g (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. b x * Y \$ x \$ i)$  unfolding setsum-component by simp
      fix fa assume  $\forall i. g (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x * Y \$ x \$ i)$ 
      hence g (X \$ j) = ( $\sum x \in UNIV. fa x *_R Y \$ x$ ) unfolding vec-eq-iff
      setsum-component by simp
      thus fa = b using basis-combination-unique[OF basis-Y] b by simp
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

qed
have the-c: (THE fa.  $\forall i. (f + g) (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x * Y \$ x \$ i) = c$ )
proof (rule the-equality)
  have  $\forall i. (f + g) (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. c x *_R Y \$ x) \$ i$  using c
  unfolding vec-eq-iff by simp
  thus  $\forall i. (f + g) (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. c x * Y \$ x \$ i)$  unfolding
    setsum-component by simp
  fix fa assume  $\forall i. (f + g) (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x * Y \$ x \$ i)$ 
  hence  $(f + g) (X \$ j) = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x *_R Y \$ x)$  unfolding vec-eq-iff
    setsum-component by simp
  thus fa = c using basis-combination-unique[OF basis-Y] c by simp
qed
show (THE fa.  $\forall i. (f + g) (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x * Y \$ x \$ i)) i =$ 
   $(THE fa. \forall i. f (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x * Y \$ x \$ i)) i + (THE f.$ 
 $\forall i. g (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. f x * Y \$ x \$ i)) i$ 
  unfolding the-a the-b the-c unfolding a b c using c-eq-d unfolding d-def
  by fast
qed
fix c
show matrix' X Y (c *_R f) = c *_R matrix' X Y f
proof (unfold matrix'-def coord-def, vector, auto)
  fix i j
  obtain a where a:( $\sum x \in UNIV. a x *_R Y \$ x) = f (X \$ j)$  using basis-UNIV[OF
    basis-Y] by blast
  obtain b where b:( $\sum x \in UNIV. b x *_R Y \$ x) = (c *_R f) (X \$ j)$  using
    basis-UNIV[OF basis-Y] by blast
  def d  $\equiv \lambda i. c *_R a i$ 
  have the-a: (THE fa.  $\forall i. f (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x * Y \$ x \$ i)) = a$ 
  proof (rule the-equality)
    have  $\forall i. f (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. a x *_R Y \$ x) \$ i$  using a unfolding
      vec-eq-iff by simp
    thus  $\forall i. f (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. a x * Y \$ x \$ i)$  unfolding
      setsum-component by simp
    fix fa assume  $\forall i. f (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x * Y \$ x \$ i)$ 
    hence  $f (X \$ j) = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x *_R Y \$ x)$  unfolding vec-eq-iff
      setsum-component by simp
    thus fa = a using basis-combination-unique[OF basis-Y] a by simp
  qed
  have the-b: (THE fa.  $\forall i. (c *_R f) (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x * Y \$ x \$ i) = b$ )
  proof (rule the-equality)
    have  $\forall i. (c *_R f) (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. b x *_R Y \$ x) \$ i$  using b
    unfolding vec-eq-iff by simp
    thus  $\forall i. (c *_R f) (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. b x * Y \$ x \$ i)$  unfolding
      setsum-component by simp
    fix fa assume  $\forall i. (c *_R f) (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x * Y \$ x \$ i)$ 
    hence  $(c *_R f) (X \$ j) = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x *_R Y \$ x)$  unfolding vec-eq-iff
      setsum-component by simp
  
```

```

thus  $fa = b$  using basis-combination-unique[OF basis-Y]  $b$  by simp
qed
have  $(\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. b x *_R Y \$ x) = (c *_R f) (X \$ j)$  using  $b$  .
also have ... =  $c *_R f (X \$ j)$  unfolding scaleR-fun-def ..
also have ... =  $c *_R (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. a x *_R Y \$ x)$  unfolding  $a$  ..
also have ... =  $(\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. c *_R (a x *_R Y \$ x))$  unfolding scaleR-setsum-right
..
also have ... =  $(\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. (c *_R a x) *_R Y \$ x)$  by auto
also have ... =  $(\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. d x *_R Y \$ x)$  unfolding  $d$ -def ..
finally have  $(\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. b x *_R Y \$ x) = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. d x *_R Y \$ x)$  .
hence  $b = d$  using basis-combination-unique[OF basis-Y]  $b$  by simp
thus (THE fa.  $\forall i. (c *_R f) (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. fa x * Y \$ x \$ i)$ )  $i$ 
=  $c * (\text{THE fa. } \forall i. f (X \$ j) \$ i = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. fa x * Y \$ x \$ i)) i$ 
unfolding the-a the-b  $d$ -def
by simp
qed
qed

```

lemma matrix'-surj:

assumes basis-X: is-basis (set-of-vector X) **and** basis-Y: is-basis (set-of-vector Y)

shows surj (matrix' X Y)

proof (*unfold surj-def, clarify*)

fix A

show $\exists f. A = \text{matrix}' X Y f$

using exists-linear-eq-matrix'[*OF basis-X basis-Y, of A*] **unfolding** matrix'-def

by auto

qed

Properties of *matrix-change-of-basis* $?X ?Y = (\chi i j. \text{coord} ?Y (?X \$ j) \$ i)$.

This is the first part of the theorem 2.12 in the book "Advanced Linear Algebra" by Steven Roman.

lemma matrix-change-of-basis-works:

fixes $X :: \text{real}^n \times n$ **and** $Y :: \text{real}^m \times m$

assumes basis-X: is-basis (set-of-vector X)

and basis-Y: is-basis (set-of-vector Y)

shows (matrix-change-of-basis X Y) *v (coord X v) = (coord Y v)

proof (*unfold matrix-mult-vsum matrix-change-of-basis-def column-def coord-def, vector, auto*)

fix i

obtain f **where** $f: (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. f x *_R Y \$ x) = v$ **using** basis-UNIV[*OF basis-Y*] **by** blast

obtain g **where** $g: (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. g x *_R X \$ x) = v$ **using** basis-UNIV[*OF basis-X*] **by** blast

def $t \equiv \lambda x. (\text{THE } f. X \$ x = (\sum a \in \text{UNIV}. f a *_R Y \$ a))$

def $w \equiv \lambda i. (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. g x *_R t x i)$

have $\text{the-}f: (\text{THE } f. \forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. f x * Y \$ x \$ i)) = f$
proof (*rule the-equality*)
show $\forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. f x * Y \$ x \$ i)$ **using** f **by** *auto*
fix fa **assume** $\forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. fa x * Y \$ x \$ i)$
hence $\forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. fa x *_R Y \$ x)$ $\$ i$ **unfolding** *setsum-component*
by *simp*
hence $fa: v = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. fa x *_R Y \$ x)$ **unfolding** *vec-eq-iff* .
show $fa = f$
using *basis-combination-unique*[*OF basis-Y*] $fa f$ **by** *simp*
qed
have $\text{the-}g: (\text{THE } f. \forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. f x * X \$ x \$ i)) = g$
proof (*rule the-equality*)
show $\forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. g x * X \$ x \$ i)$ **using** g **by** *auto*
fix fa **assume** $\forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. fa x * X \$ x \$ i)$
hence $\forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. fa x *_R X \$ x)$ $\$ i$ **unfolding** *setsum-component*
by *simp*
hence $fa: v = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. fa x *_R X \$ x)$ **unfolding** *vec-eq-iff* .
show $fa = g$
using *basis-combination-unique*[*OF basis-X*] $fa g$ **by** *simp*
qed
have $(\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. f x *_R Y \$ x) = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. g x *_R X \$ x)$ **unfolding** f
 g ..
also have ... $= (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. g x *_R (\text{setsum } (\lambda i. (t x i) *_R Y \$ i) \text{ UNIV}))$
unfolding *t-def*
proof (*rule setsum-cong2*)
fix x
obtain h **where** $h: (\sum a \in \text{UNIV}. h a *_R Y \$ a) = X \$ x$ **using** *basis-UNIV*[*OF basis-Y*] **by** *blast*
have $\text{the-}h: (\text{THE } f. X \$ x = (\sum a \in \text{UNIV}. f a *_R Y \$ a)) = h$
proof (*rule the-equality*)
show $X \$ x = (\sum a \in \text{UNIV}. h a *_R Y \$ a)$ **using** h **by** *simp*
fix f **assume** $f: X \$ x = (\sum a \in \text{UNIV}. f a *_R Y \$ a)$
show $f = h$ **using** *basis-combination-unique*[*OF basis-Y*] $f h$ **by** *simp*
qed
show $g x *_R X \$ x = g x *_R (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. (\text{THE } f. X \$ x = (\sum a \in \text{UNIV}. f a *_R Y \$ a)) i *_R Y \$ i)$ **unfolding** *the-h* h ..
qed
also have ... $= (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. (\text{setsum } (\lambda i. g x *_R (t x i) *_R Y \$ i) \text{ UNIV}))$
unfolding *scaleR-setsum-right* ..
also have ... $= (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. \sum x \in \text{UNIV}. g x *_R t x i *_R Y \$ i)$ **by** (*rule setsum-commute*)
also have ... $= (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. g x *_R t x i) *_R Y \$ i)$ **unfolding**
scaleR-setsum-left **by** *auto*
finally have $(\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. f x *_R Y \$ x) = (\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. g x *_R t x i) *_R Y \$ i)$.
hence $f = w$ **using** *basis-combination-unique*[*OF basis-Y*] **unfolding** *w-def* **by**
auto
thus $(\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. (\text{THE } f. \forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. f x * X \$ x \$ i))) x *$
 $(\text{THE } f. \forall i. X \$ x \$ i = (\sum x \in \text{UNIV}. f x * Y \$ x \$ i)) i =$

(THE f . $\forall i. v \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. f x * Y \$ x \$ i))$) i unfolding the- f the- g
unfolding $w\text{-def}$ $t\text{-def}$ **unfolding** $vec\text{-eq}\text{-iff}$ **by** $auto$
qed

```

lemma matrix-change-of-basis-mat-1:
  fixes  $X::real^{n^m}$ 
  assumes basis- $X$ : is-basis (set-of-vector  $X$ )
  shows matrix-change-of-basis  $X$   $X = mat 1$ 
  proof (unfold matrix-change-of-basis-def coord-def mat-def, vector, auto)
    fix  $j::n$ 
    def  $f \equiv \lambda i. if i=j then 1::real else 0$ 
    have  $UNIV\text{-rw}: UNIV = insert j (UNIV - \{j\})$  by auto
    have  $(\sum x \in UNIV. f x *_R X \$ x) = (\sum x \in (insert j (UNIV - \{j\})). f x *_R X \$ x)$  using  $UNIV\text{-rw}$  by simp
    also have ...  $= (\lambda x. f x *_R X \$ x) j + (\sum x \in (UNIV - \{j\}). f x *_R X \$ x)$  by (rule setsum-insert, simp+)
    also have ...  $= X\$j + (\sum x \in (UNIV - \{j\}). f x *_R X \$ x)$  unfolding  $f\text{-def}$  by simp
    also have ...  $= X\$j + 0$  unfolding add-left-cancel  $f\text{-def}$  by (rule setsum-0', simp)
    finally have  $f: (\sum x \in UNIV. f x *_R X \$ x) = X\$j$  by simp
    have the- $f$ :  $(THE f. \forall i. X \$ j \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. f x * X \$ x \$ i)) = f$ 
    proof (rule the-equality)
      show  $\forall i. X \$ j \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. f x * X \$ x \$ i)$  using  $f$  unfolding vec-eq-iff unfolding setsum-component by simp
      fix  $fa$  assume  $\forall i. X \$ j \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x * X \$ x \$ i)$ 
      hence  $\forall i. X \$ j \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x *_R X \$ x) \$ i$  unfolding setsum-component by simp
      hence  $fa: X \$ j = (\sum x \in UNIV. fa x *_R X \$ x)$  unfolding vec-eq-iff .
      show  $fa = f$  using basis-combination-unique[OF basis-X]  $fa f$  by simp
    qed
    show  $(THE f. \forall i. X \$ j \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. f x * X \$ x \$ i)) j = 1$  unfolding the- $f f\text{-def}$  by simp
    fix  $i$  assume  $i \neq j$ :  $i \neq j$ 
    show  $(THE f. \forall i. X \$ j \$ i = (\sum x \in UNIV. f x * X \$ x \$ i)) i = 0$  unfolding the- $f f\text{-def}$  using  $i \neq j$  by simp
  qed

```

Relationships between $matrix' ?X ?Y ?f = (\chi_i j. coord ?Y (?f (?X \$ j))) \$ i$ and $matrix\text{-change}\text{-of}\text{-basis} ?X ?Y = (\chi_i j. coord ?Y (?X \$ j) \$ i)$. This is the theorem 2.16 in the book "Advanced Linear Algebra" by Steven Roman.

```

lemma matrix'-matrix-change-of-basis:
  fixes  $B::real^{n^m}$  and  $B'::real^{n^m}$  and  $C::real^{m^m}$  and  $C'::real^{m^m}$ 
  assumes basis- $B$ : is-basis (set-of-vector  $B$ ) and basis- $B'$ : is-basis (set-of-vector  $B'$ )
  and basis- $C$ : is-basis (set-of-vector  $C$ ) and basis- $C'$ : is-basis (set-of-vector  $C'$ )

```

```

and linear-f: linear f
shows matrix' B' C' f = matrix-change-of-basis C C' ** matrix' B C f **
matrix-change-of-basis B' B
proof (unfold matrix-eq, clarify)
  fix x
  obtain v where v: x=coord B' v using bij-coord[OF basis-B'] unfolding bij-iff
  by metis
  have matrix-change-of-basis C C' ** matrix' B C f ** matrix-change-of-basis B'
  B *v (coord B' v)
    = matrix-change-of-basis C C' ** matrix' B C f *v (matrix-change-of-basis B'
  B *v (coord B' v)) unfolding matrix-vector-mul-assoc ..
  also have ... = matrix-change-of-basis C C' ** matrix' B C f *v (coord B v)
  unfolding matrix-change-of-basis-works[OF basis-B' basis-B] ..
  also have ... = matrix-change-of-basis C C' *v (matrix' B C f *v (coord B v))
  unfolding matrix-vector-mul-assoc ..
  also have ... = matrix-change-of-basis C C' *v (coord C (f v)) unfolding
  coord-matrix'[OF basis-B basis-C linear-f] ..
  also have ... = coord C'(f v) unfolding matrix-change-of-basis-works[OF basis-C
  basis-C'] ..
  also have ... = matrix' B' C' f *v coord B' v unfolding coord-matrix'[OF
  basis-B' basis-C' linear-f] ..
  finally show matrix' B' C' f *v x = matrix-change-of-basis C C' ** matrix'
  B C f ** matrix-change-of-basis B' B *v x unfolding v ..
qed

```

```

lemma matrix'-id-eq-matrix-change-of-basis:
  fixes X::real^n^n and Y::real^n^n
  assumes basis-X: is-basis (set-of-vector X) and basis-Y: is-basis (set-of-vector
Y)
  shows matrix' X Y (id) = matrix-change-of-basis X Y
  unfolding matrix'-def matrix-change-of-basis-def unfolding id-def ..

```

Relationships among invertible-lf ?f = (linear ?f \wedge ($\exists g$. linear g \wedge g \circ ?f = id \wedge ?f \circ g = id)), matrix-change-of-basis ?X ?Y = ($\chi i j$. coord ?Y (?X \$ j) \$ i), matrix' ?X ?Y ?f = ($\chi i j$. coord ?Y (?f (?X \$ j)) \$ i) and invertible ?A = ($\exists A'$. ?A ** A' = mat (1::?'a) \wedge A' ** ?A = mat (1::?'a)).

This is the second part of the theorem 2.12 in the book "Advanced Linear Algebra" by Steven Roman.

```

lemma matrix-inv-matrix-change-of-basis:
  fixes X::real^n^n and Y::real^n^n
  assumes basis-X: is-basis (set-of-vector X) and basis-Y: is-basis (set-of-vector
Y)
  shows matrix-change-of-basis Y X = matrix-inv (matrix-change-of-basis X Y)
proof (rule matrix-inv-unique[symmetric])
  have linear-id: linear id by (metis linear-id)
  have (matrix-change-of-basis Y X) ** (matrix-change-of-basis X Y) = (matrix'
  Y X id) ** (matrix' X Y id)
  unfolding matrix'-id-eq-matrix-change-of-basis[OF basis-X basis-Y]

```

```

unfolding matrix'-id-eq-matrix-change-of-basis[OF basis-Y basis-X] ..
also have ... = matrix' X X (id  $\circ$  id) using matrix'-compose[OF basis-X basis-Y
basis-X linear-id linear-id] ..
also have ... = matrix-change-of-basis X X using matrix'-id-eq-matrix-change-of-basis[OF
basis-X basis-X] unfolding o-def id-def .
also have ... = mat 1 using matrix-change-of-basis-mat-1[OF basis-X] .
finally show matrix-change-of-basis Y X ** matrix-change-of-basis X Y = mat
1 .
have (matrix-change-of-basis X Y) ** (matrix-change-of-basis Y X) = (matrix'
X Y id) ** (matrix' Y X id)
unfolding matrix'-id-eq-matrix-change-of-basis[OF basis-X basis-Y]
unfolding matrix'-id-eq-matrix-change-of-basis[OF basis-Y basis-X] ..
also have ... = matrix' Y Y (id  $\circ$  id) using matrix'-compose[OF basis-Y basis-X
basis-Y linear-id linear-id] ..
also have ... = matrix-change-of-basis Y Y using matrix'-id-eq-matrix-change-of-basis[OF
basis-Y basis-Y] unfolding o-def id-def .
also have ... = mat 1 using matrix-change-of-basis-mat-1[OF basis-Y] .
finally show matrix-change-of-basis X Y ** matrix-change-of-basis Y X = mat
1 .
qed

```

The following four lemmas are the proof of the theorem 2.13 in the book "Advanced Linear Algebra" by Steven Roman.

```

corollary invertible-matrix-change-of-basis:
fixes X::realnn and Y::realnn
assumes basis-X: is-basis (set-of-vector X) and basis-Y: is-basis (set-of-vector
Y)
shows invertible (matrix-change-of-basis X Y)
by (metis basis-X basis-Y invertible-left-inverse linear-id matrix'-id-eq-matrix-change-of-basis
matrix'-matrix-change-of-basis matrix-change-of-basis-mat-1)

```

```

lemma invertible-lf-imp-invertible-matrix':
assumes invertible-lff and basis-X: is-basis (set-of-vector X) and basis-Y: is-basis
(set-of-vector Y)
shows invertible (matrix' X Y f)
by (metis (lifting) assms(1) basis-X basis-Y invertible-lf-def invertible-lf-imp-invertible-matrix
invertible-matrix-change-of-basis invertible-mult is-basis-cart-basis' matrix'-eq-matrix
matrix'-matrix-change-of-basis)

```

```

lemma invertible-matrix'-imp-invertible-lf:
assumes invertible (matrix' X Y f) and basis-X: is-basis (set-of-vector X)
and linear-f: linear f and basis-Y: is-basis (set-of-vector Y)
shows invertible-lf f
by (metis assms(1) basis-X basis-Y id-o invertible-matrix-change-of-basis
invertible-matrix-iff-invertible-lf' invertible-mult is-basis-cart-basis' linear-f linear-id
matrix'-compose matrix'-eq-matrix matrix'-id-eq-matrix-change-of-basis o-id)

```

```

lemma invertible-matrix-is-change-of-basis:
  assumes invertible-P: invertible P and basis-X: is-basis (set-of-vector X)
  shows  $\exists! Y$ . matrix-change-of-basis Y X = P  $\wedge$  is-basis (set-of-vector Y)
proof (auto)
  show  $\exists Y$ . matrix-change-of-basis Y X = P  $\wedge$  is-basis (set-of-vector Y)
  proof -
    fix i j
    obtain f where P:  $P = \text{matrix}' X X f$  and linear-f: linear f using exists-linear-eq-matrix'[OF
    basis-X basis-X, of P] by blast
    show ?thesis
    proof (rule exI[of -  $\chi j. f(X\$j)$ ], rule conjI)
    show matrix-change-of-basis ( $\chi j. f(X \$ j)$ ) X = P unfolding matrix-change-of-basis-def
    P matrix'-def by vector
    have invertible-f: invertible-lff using invertible-matrix'-imp-invertible-lf[OF
    - basis-X linear-f basis-X] using invertible-P unfolding P by simp
    have rw: set-of-vector ( $\chi j. f(X \$ j)$ ) = f'(set-of-vector X) unfolding
    set-of-vector-def by auto
    show is-basis (set-of-vector ( $\chi j. f(X \$ j)$ )) unfolding rw using basis-image-linear[OF
    invertible-f basis-X].
    qed
  qed
  fix Y Z
  assume basis-Y:is-basis (set-of-vector Y) and eq: matrix-change-of-basis Z X =
  matrix-change-of-basis Y X and basis-Z: is-basis (set-of-vector Z)
  have ZY-coord:  $\forall i$ . coord X (Z\$i) = coord X (Y\$i) using eq unfolding
  matrix-change-of-basis-def unfolding vec-eq-iff by vector
  show Y=Z by (vector, metis ZY-coord coord-eq[OF basis-X])
  qed

```

10.6 Equivalent Matrices

Next definition follows the one presented in Modern Algebra by Seth Warner.

definition equivalent-matrices A B = ($\exists P Q$. invertible P \wedge invertible Q \wedge B =
 $(\text{matrix-inv } P) ** A ** Q$)

lemma exists-basis: $\exists X :: \text{real}^{n \times n}$. is-basis (set-of-vector X) **using** is-basis-cart-basis'
by auto

lemma equivalent-implies-exist-matrix':
 assumes equivalent: equivalent-matrices A B
 shows $\exists X Y X' Y' f :: \text{real}^{n \times m} \Rightarrow \text{real}^{m \times n}$.
 linear f \wedge matrix' X Y f = A \wedge matrix' X' Y' f = B \wedge is-basis (set-of-vector
 X) \wedge is-basis (set-of-vector Y) \wedge is-basis (set-of-vector X') \wedge is-basis (set-of-vector
 Y')
 proof -
 obtain X::real^n^n **where** X: is-basis (set-of-vector X) **using** exists-basis **by**
 blast

```

obtain Y::real^'m^'m where Y: is-basis (set-of-vector Y) using exists-basis
by blast
obtain P Q where B-PAQ: B=(matrix-inv P)**A**Q and inv-P: invertible P
and inv-Q: invertible Q using equivalent unfolding equivalent-matrices-def by
auto
obtain f where f-A: matrix' X Y f = A and linear-f: linear f using exists-linear-eq-matrix'[OF
X Y] by auto
obtain X'::real^n^n where X': is-basis (set-of-vector X') and Q:matrix-change-of-basis
X' X = Q using invertible-matrix-is-change-of-basis[OF inv-Q X] by fast
obtain Y'::real^'m^'m where Y': is-basis (set-of-vector Y') and P: matrix-change-of-basis
Y' Y = P using invertible-matrix-is-change-of-basis[OF inv-P Y] by fast
have matrix-inv-P: matrix-change-of-basis Y Y' = matrix-inv P using matrix-inv-matrix-change-of-basis[OF
Y' Y] P by simp
have matrix' X' Y' f = matrix-change-of-basis Y Y' ** matrix' X Y f ** matrix-change-of-basis X' X using matrix'-matrix-change-of-basis[OF X X' Y Y'
linear-f].
also have ... = (matrix-inv P) ** A ** Q unfolding matrix-inv-P f-A Q ..
also have ... = B using B-PAQ ..
finally show ?thesis using f-A X X' Y Y' linear-f by fast
qed

```

```

lemma exist-matrix'-implies-equivalent:
assumes A: matrix' X Y f = A
and B: matrix' X' Y' f = B
and X: is-basis (set-of-vector X)
and Y: is-basis (set-of-vector Y)
and X': is-basis (set-of-vector X')
and Y': is-basis (set-of-vector Y')
and linear-f: linear f
shows equivalent-matrices A B
proof (unfold equivalent-matrices-def, rule exI[of - matrix-change-of-basis Y' Y],
rule exI[of - matrix-change-of-basis X' X], auto)
have inv: matrix-change-of-basis Y Y' = matrix-inv (matrix-change-of-basis Y'
Y) using matrix-inv-matrix-change-of-basis[OF Y' Y] .
show invertible (matrix-change-of-basis Y' Y) using invertible-matrix-change-of-basis[OF
Y' Y] .
show invertible (matrix-change-of-basis X' X) using invertible-matrix-change-of-basis[OF
X' X] .
have B = matrix' X' Y' f using B ..
also have ... = matrix-change-of-basis Y Y' ** matrix' X Y f ** matrix-change-of-basis
X' X using matrix'-matrix-change-of-basis[OF X X' Y Y' linear-f] .
finally show B = matrix-inv (matrix-change-of-basis Y' Y) ** A ** matrix-change-of-basis
X' X unfolding inv unfolding A .
qed

```

This is the proof of the theorem 2.18 in the book "Advanced Linear Algebra" by Steven Roman.

corollary equivalent-iff-exist-matrix':

```

shows equivalent-matrices A B  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists X Y X' Y' f::real^{n \times n} \Rightarrow real^{m \times m}$ .
linear f  $\wedge$  matrix' X Y f = A  $\wedge$  matrix' X' Y' f = B  $\wedge$  is-basis (set-of-vector X)  $\wedge$  is-basis (set-of-vector Y)  $\wedge$  is-basis (set-of-vector X')  $\wedge$  is-basis (set-of-vector Y'))
by (rule, auto simp add: exist-matrix'-implies-equivalent equivalent-implies-exist-matrix')

```

10.7 Similar matrices

```

definition similar-matrices :: 'a::{semiring-1}^{n \times n} \Rightarrow 'a::{semiring-1}^{n \times n}
  bool
where similar-matrices A B = ( $\exists P$ . invertible P  $\wedge$  B=(matrix-inv P)**A**P)

lemma similar-implies-exist-matrix':
  fixes A B::real^{n \times n}
  assumes similar: similar-matrices A B
  shows  $\exists X Y f$ . linear f  $\wedge$  matrix' X X f = A  $\wedge$  matrix' Y Y f = B  $\wedge$  is-basis (set-of-vector X)  $\wedge$  is-basis (set-of-vector Y)
proof -
  obtain P where inv-P: invertible P and B-PAP: B=(matrix-inv P)**A**P
  using similar unfolding similar-matrices-def by blast
  obtain X::real^{n \times n} where X: is-basis (set-of-vector X) using exists-basis by blast
  obtain f where linear-f: linear f and A: matrix' X X f = A using exists-linear-eq-matrix'[OF X X] by blast
  obtain Y::real^{n \times n} where Y: is-basis (set-of-vector Y) and P: P = matrix-change-of-basis Y X using invertible-matrix-is-change-of-basis[OF inv-P X] by fast
  have P': matrix-inv P = matrix-change-of-basis X Y by (metis (lifting) P X Y matrix-inv-matrix-change-of-basis)
  have B = (matrix-inv P)**A**P using B-PAP .
  also have ... = matrix-change-of-basis X Y ** matrix' X X f ** P unfolding P' A ..
  also have ... = matrix-change-of-basis X Y ** matrix' X X f ** matrix-change-of-basis Y X unfolding P ..
  also have ... = matrix' Y Y f using matrix'-matrix-change-of-basis[OF X Y X Y linear-f] by simp
  finally show ?thesis using X Y A linear-f by fast
qed

```

```

lemma exist-matrix'-implies-similar:
  fixes A B::real^{n \times n}
  assumes linear-f: linear f and A: matrix' X X f = A and B: matrix' Y Y f = B and X: is-basis (set-of-vector X) and Y: is-basis (set-of-vector Y)
  shows similar-matrices A B
proof (unfold similar-matrices-def, rule exI[of - matrix-change-of-basis Y X], rule conjI)
  have B=matrix' Y Y f using B ..
  also have ... = matrix-change-of-basis X Y ** matrix' X X f ** matrix-change-of-basis Y X using matrix'-matrix-change-of-basis[OF X Y X Y linear-f] by simp

```

```

also have ... = matrix-inv (matrix-change-of-basis Y X) ** A ** matrix-change-of-basis
Y X unfolding A matrix-inv-matrix-change-of-basis[OF Y X] ..
finally show B = matrix-inv (matrix-change-of-basis Y X) ** A ** matrix-change-of-basis
Y X .
show invertible (matrix-change-of-basis Y X) using invertible-matrix-change-of-basis[OF
Y X] .
qed

```

This is the proof of the theorem 2.19 in the book "Advanced Linear Algebra" by Steven Roman.

```

corollary similar-iff-exist-matrix':
fixes A B::real^'n^'n
shows similar-matrices A B  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists X Y f. \text{linear } f \wedge \text{matrix}' X X f = A \wedge$ 
 $\text{matrix}' Y Y f = B \wedge \text{is-basis}(\text{set-of-vector } X) \wedge \text{is-basis}(\text{set-of-vector } Y)$ )
by (rule, auto simp add: exist-matrix'-implies-similar similar-implies-exist-matrix')

```

end

11 Gauss Jordan algorithm over abstract matrices

```

theory Gauss-Jordan
imports
  Rref
  Elementary-Operations
  Linear-Maps
begin

```

11.1 The Gauss-Jordan Algorithm

Now, a computable version of the Gauss-Jordan algorithm is presented. The output will be a matrix in reduced row echelon form. We present an algorithm in which the reduction is applied by columns

Using this definition, zeros are made in the column j of a matrix A placing the pivot entry (a nonzero element) in the position (i,j) . For that, a suitable row interchange is made to achieve a non-zero entry in position (i,j) . Then, this pivot entry is multiplied by its inverse to make the pivot entry equals to 1. After that, are other entries of the j -th column are eliminated by subtracting suitable multiples of the i -th row from the other rows.

```

definition Gauss-Jordan-in-ij :: 'a::{semiring-1, inverse, one, uminus} ^'m ^'n::{finite,
ord}=> 'n=>'m=>'a ^'m ^'n::{finite, ord}
where Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A i j = (let n = (LEAST n. A $ n $ j  $\neq$  0  $\wedge$  i  $\leq$  n);
interchange-A = (interchange-rows A i n);
A' = mult-row interchange-A i (1/interchange-A\$i\$j) in
vec-lambda(% s. if s=i then A' \$ s else (row-add A' s i
(-(interchange-A\$s\$j))) \$ s))

```

The following definition makes the step of Gauss-Jordan in a column. This function receives two input parameters: the column k where the step of Gauss-Jordan must be applied and a pair (which consists of the row where the pivot should be placed in the column k and the original matrix).

```
definition Gauss-Jordan-column-k :: (nat × ('a:{zero,inverse,uminus,semiring-1} ^'m:{mod-type}) ^'n:{mod-type})  
=> nat => (nat × ('a ^'m:{mod-type}) ^'n:{mod-type}))  
where Gauss-Jordan-column-k A' k = (let i=fst A'; A=(snd A'); from-nat-i=(from-nat i:{n}); from-nat-k=(from-nat k:{m}) in  
if (∀ m≥(from-nat-i). A $ m $(from-nat-k)=0) ∨ (i = nrows A) then (i,A)  
else (i+1, (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A (from-nat-i) (from-nat-k))))
```

The following definition applies the Gauss-Jordan step from the first column up to the k one (included).

```
definition Gauss-Jordan-upt-k :: 'a:{inverse,uminus,semiring-1} ^'columns:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}  
=> nat  
=> 'a ^'columns:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}  
where Gauss-Jordan-upt-k A k = snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0,A) [0..<Suc k])
```

Gauss-Jordan is to apply the *Gauss-Jordan-column-k* in all columns.

```
definition Gauss-Jordan :: 'a:{inverse,uminus,semiring-1} ^'columns:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}  
=> 'a ^'columns:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}  
where Gauss-Jordan A = Gauss-Jordan-upt-k A ((ncols A) - 1)
```

11.2 Properties about rref and the greatest nonzero row.

```
lemma greatest-plus-one-eq-0:  
  fixes A:'a:{field} ^'columns:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type} and k:nat  
  assumes Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A)) = nrows A  
  shows (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1 = 0  
proof –  
  have to-nat (GREATEST' R. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k R k A) + 1 = card (UNIV::'rows set)  
    using assms unfolding nrows-def by fastforce  
  thus (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + (1::'rows) = (0::'rows)  
    using to-nat-plus-one-less-card by fastforce  
qed
```

```
lemma from-nat-to-nat-greatest:  
  fixes A:'a:{zero} ^'columns:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}  
  shows from-nat (Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A))) =  
(GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1  
  unfolding Suc-eq-plus1  
  unfolding to-nat-1[where ?'a='rows, symmetric]  
  unfolding add-to-nat-def ..
```

```

lemma greatest-less-zero-row:
  fixes A::'a::{one, zero} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'m::{finite,one,plus,linorder}
  assumes r: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k
  and zero-i: is-zero-row-upt-k i k A
  and not-all-zero:  $\neg (\forall a. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } a k A)$ 
  shows (GREATEST' m.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m k A) < i$ 
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume not-less-i:  $\neg (\text{GREATEST}' m. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m k A) < i$ 
  have i-less-greatest:  $i < (\text{GREATEST}' m. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m k A)$ 
    by (metis not-less-i dual-linorder.neq_if Greatest'I not-all-zero zero-i)
  have is-zero-row-upt-k (GREATEST' m.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m k A) k A$ 
    using r zero-i i-less-greatest unfolding reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k-def by
blast
thus False using Greatest'I-ex not-all-zero by fast
qed

lemma rref-suc-if-zero-below-greatest:
  fixes A::'a::{one, zero} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'m::{finite,one,plus,linorder}
  assumes r: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k
  and not-all-zero:  $\neg (\forall a. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } a k A)$ 
  and all-zero-below-greatest:  $\forall a. a > (\text{GREATEST}' m. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m k A) \longrightarrow \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } a (\text{Suc } k) A$ 
  shows reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A (Suc k)
proof (rule reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k-intro, auto)
  fix i j assume zero-i-suc: is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) A and i-le-j:  $i < j$ 
  have zero-i: is-zero-row-upt-k i k A using zero-i-suc unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def
  by simp
  have i> (GREATEST' m.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m k A) \text{ by (rule greatest-less-zero-row[OF}\\ r zero-i not-all-zero])$ 
  hence j> (GREATEST' m.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m k A) \text{ using i-le-j by simp}$ 
  thus is-zero-row-upt-k j (Suc k) A using all-zero-below-greatest by fast
next
  fix i assume not-zero-i:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i (\text{Suc } k) A$ 
  show A $ i $ (LEAST k. A $ i $ k  $\neq 0) = 1$ 
    using greatest-less-zero-row[OF r - not-all-zero] not-zero-i r all-zero-below-greatest
    unfolding reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k-def
    by fast
next
  fix i
  assume i:  $i < i + 1$  and not-zero-i:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i (\text{Suc } k) A$  and
not-zero-suc-i:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } (i + 1) (\text{Suc } k) A$ 
  have not-zero-i-k:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i k A$ 
    using all-zero-below-greatest greatest-less-zero-row[OF r - not-all-zero] not-zero-i
  by blast
  have not-zero-suc-i:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } (i+1) k A$ 
    using all-zero-below-greatest greatest-less-zero-row[OF r - not-all-zero] not-zero-suc-i
  by blast
  have aux:( $\forall i j. i + 1 = j \wedge i < j \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i k A \wedge \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } j k A \longrightarrow (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ j \$ n \neq 0))$ 

```

```

using r unfolding reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k-def by fast
show (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0) < (LEAST n. A $ (i + 1) $ n ≠ 0) using
aux not-zero-i-k not-zero-suc-i i by simp
next
fix i j assume ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) A and i ≠ j
thus A $ j $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0) = 0
using all-zero-below-greatest greatest-less-zero-row not-all-zero r rref-upt-condition4
by blast
qed

lemma rref-suc-if-all-rows-not-zero:
fixes A::'a::{one, zero} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'m::{finite, one, plus, linorder}
assumes r: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k
and all-not-zero: ∀ n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A
shows reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A (Suc k)
proof (rule rref-suc-if-zero-below-greatest)
show reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k using r .
show ¬ (∀ a. is-zero-row-upt-k a k A) using all-not-zero by auto
show ∀ a>GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A. is-zero-row-upt-k a (Suc k) A
using all-not-zero not-greater-Greatest' by blast
qed

lemma greatest-ge-nonzero-row:
fixes A::'a::{zero} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'m::{finite, linorder}
assumes ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k i k A
shows i ≤ (GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A) using Greatest'-ge[of
(λm. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A), OF assms] .

lemma greatest-ge-nonzero-row':
fixes A::'a::{zero, one} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'m::{finite, linorder, one, plus}
assumes r: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k
and i: i ≤ (GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A)
and not-all-zero: ¬ (∀ a. is-zero-row-upt-k a k A)
shows ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k i k A
using greatest-less-zero-row[OF r] i not-all-zero by fastforce

corollary row-greater-greatest-is-zero:
fixes A::'a::{zero} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'m::{finite, linorder}
assumes (GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A) < i
shows is-zero-row-upt-k i k A using greatest-ge-nonzero-row assms by fastforce

```

11.3 The proof of its correctness

Properties of *Gauss-Jordan-in-ij*

```

lemma Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-1:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'m ^'n::{finite, ord, wellorder}
assumes ex: ∃ n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n

```

```

shows (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A i j) $ i $ j = 1
proof (unfold Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-def Let-def mult-row-def interchange-rows-def,
vector, rule divide-self)
  obtain n where Anj: A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n using ex by blast
  show A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ j ≠ 0 using LeastI[of λn. A
$ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n n, OF Anj] by simp
qed

lemma Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-0:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'m ^'n::{finite, ord, wellorder}
  assumes ex: ∃ n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n and a: a ≠ i
  shows (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A i j) $ a $ j = 0
proof (unfold Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-def Let-def mult-row-def interchange-rows-def row-add-def,
auto simp add: a)
  obtain n where Anj: A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n using ex by blast
  have A-least: A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ j ≠ 0 using LeastI[of
λn. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n n, OF Anj] by simp
  thus A $ i $ j + - (A $ i $ j * A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ j) / A
$ (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ j = 0 by fastforce
  assume a ≠ (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)
  thus A $ a $ j + - (A $ a $ j * A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ j) / A
$ (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ j = 0
    using A-least by fastforce
qed

corollary Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-0':
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'m ^'n::{finite, ord, wellorder}
  assumes ex: ∃ n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n
  shows ∀ a. a ≠ i → (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A i j) $ a $ j = 0 using assms
Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-0 by blast

lemma Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
  assumes r: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k
  and not-zero-a: ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k a k A
  and exists-m: ∃ m. A $ m $ (from-nat k) ≠ 0 ∧ (GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k
m k A) + 1 ≤ m
  and Greatest-plus-1: (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1 ≠ 0
  and j_le_k: to-nat j < k
  shows Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A) + 1)
(from-nat k) $ i $ j = A $ i $ j
proof (unfold Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-def Let-def interchange-rows-def mult-row-def row-add-def,
auto)
  def last-nonzero-row == (GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A)
  have last-nonzero-row < (last-nonzero-row + 1) by (rule Suc-le'[of last-nonzero-row],
auto simp add: last-nonzero-row-def Greatest-plus-1)
  hence zero-row: is-zero-row-upt-k (last-nonzero-row + 1) k A
    using not-le greatest-ge-nonzero-row last-nonzero-row-def by fastforce
  hence A-greatest-0: A $ (last-nonzero-row + 1) $ j = 0 unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def

```

```

last-nonzero-row-def using j-le-k by auto
thus A $(last-nonzero-row + 1) $ j / A $(last-nonzero-row + 1) $ from-nat
k = A $(last-nonzero-row + 1) $ j
by simp
have zero: A $(LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ (GREATEST' m. ¬
is-zero-row-upt-k m k A) + 1 ≤ n) $ j = 0
proof -
def least-n ≡ (LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ (GREATEST' m. ¬
is-zero-row-upt-k m k A) + 1 ≤ n)
have ∃n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ (GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m
k A) + 1 ≤ n by (metis exists-m)
from this obtain n where n1: A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 and n2: (GREATEST'
m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A) + 1 ≤ n by blast
have (GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A) + 1 ≤ least-n
by (metis (lifting, full-types) LeastI-ex least-n-def n1 n2)
hence is-zero-row-upt-k least-n k A using last-nonzero-row-def less-le rref-upt-condition1 [OF
r] zero-row by metis
thus A $ least-n $ j = 0 unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def using j-le-k by simp
qed
show A $(last-nonzero-row + 1) $ j + - (A $(last-nonzero-row + 1) $ from-nat
k *
A $(LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ (last-nonzero-row + 1) ≤ n) $ j /
A $(LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ (last-nonzero-row + 1) ≤ n) $ from-nat k) =
A $(LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ (last-nonzero-row + 1) ≤ n) $ j
unfolding last-nonzero-row-def[symmetric] unfolding A-greatest-0 unfolding
last-nonzero-row-def unfolding zero by fastforce
show A $(LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ (GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k
m k A) + 1 ≤ n) $ j /
A $(LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ (GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k
m k A) + 1 ≤ n) $ from-nat k =
A $ ((GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A) + 1) $ j unfolding zero
using A-greatest-0 unfolding last-nonzero-row-def by simp
show A $ i $ from-nat k * A $(LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧
(GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A) + 1 ≤ n) $ j /
A $(LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ (GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k
m k A) + 1 ≤ n) $ from-nat k =
0 unfolding zero by auto
qed

```

lemma Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements':
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
assumes all-zero: ∀ n. is-zero-row-upt-k n k A
and j-le-k: to-nat j < k
and A-nk-not-zero: A \$ n \$ (from-nat k) ≠ 0
shows Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k) \$ i \$ j = A \$ i \$ j
proof (unfold Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-def Let-def mult-row-def interchange-rows-def row-add-def,

```

auto)
have A-0-j: A $ 0 $ j = 0 using all-zero is-zero-row-upt-k-def j-le-k by blast
thus A $ 0 $ j / A $ 0 $ from-nat k = A $ 0 $ j by simp
have A-least-j: A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ n) $ j = 0 using
all-zero is-zero-row-upt-k-def j-le-k by blast
show A $ 0 $ j +
  - (A $ 0 $ from-nat k * A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ n) $ j /
    A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ n) $ from-nat k) =
    A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ n) $ j unfolding A-0-j A-least-j
by fastforce
show A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ n) $ j / A $ (LEAST n.
A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ n) $ from-nat k = A $ 0 $ j
  unfolding A-least-j A-0-j by simp
show A $ i $ from-nat k * A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ n) $ j /
  A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ n) $ from-nat k = 0
  unfolding A-least-j by simp
qed

```

lemma *is-zero-after-Gauss*:

```

fixes A::'a::{field} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'m::{mod-type}
assumes zero-a: is-zero-row-upt-k a k A
and not-zero-m: ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A
and r: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k
and greatest-less-ma: (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1 ≤ ma
and A-ma-k-not-zero: A $ ma $ from-nat k ≠ 0
shows is-zero-row-upt-k a k (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k
m k A) + 1) (from-nat k))
proof (subst is-zero-row-upt-k-def, clarify)
fix j::'n assume j-less-k: to-nat j < k
have not-zero-g: (GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A) + 1 ≠ 0
proof (rule econtr, simp)
assume (GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A) + 1 = 0
hence (GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A) = -1 using a-eq-minus-1
by blast
hence a≤(GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A) using Greatest-is-minus-1
by auto
hence ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k a k A using greatest-less-zero-row[OF r] not-zero-m
by fastforce
thus False using zero-a by contradiction
qed
have Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A) + 1)
(from-nat k) $ a $ j = A $ a $ j
by (rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements[OF r not-zero-m -
not-zero-g j-less-k], auto intro!: A-ma-k-not-zero greatest-less-ma)
also have ... = 0
using zero-a j-less-k unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def by blast
finally show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k

```

```

A) + 1) (from-nat k) $ a $ j = 0 .
qed

```

```

lemma all-zero-imp-Gauss-Jordan-column-not-zero-in-row-0:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
  defines ia:ia≡(if ∀ m. is-zero-row-upk m k A then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST'
    n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1)
  defines B:B≡(snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
  assumes all-zero: ∀ n. is-zero-row-upk n k A
  and not-zero-i: ¬ is-zero-row-upk i (Suc k) B
  and Amk-zero: A $ m $ from-nat k ≠ 0
  shows i=0
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume i-not-0: i ≠ 0
  have ia2: ia = 0 using ia all-zero by simp
  have B-eq-Gauss: B = Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k)
    unfolding B Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv ia2
    using all-zero Amk-zero least-mod-type unfolding from-nat-0 nrows-def by
  auto
  also have ...$ i $ (from-nat k) = 0 proof (rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-0)
    show ∃ n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ n using Amk-zero least-mod-type by
  blast
    show i ≠ 0 using i-not-0 .
  qed
  finally have B $ i $ from-nat k = 0 .
  hence is-zero-row-upk i (Suc k) B
    unfolding B-eq-Gauss
    using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements'[OF all-zero - Amk-zero]
    by (metis all-zero is-zero-row-upk-def less-SucE to-nat-from-nat)
  thus False using not-zero-i by contradiction
qed

```

Here we start to prove that the output of *Gauss Jordan A* is a matrix in reduced row echelon form.

```

lemma condition-1-part-1:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
  assumes zero-column-k: ∀ m ≥ from-nat 0. A $ m $ from-nat k = 0
  and all-zero: ∀ m. is-zero-row-upk m k A
  shows is-zero-row-upk j (Suc k) A
  unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def apply clarify
proof -
  fix ja:'columns assume ja-less-suc-k: to-nat ja < Suc k
  show A $ j $ ja = 0
  proof (cases to-nat ja < k)
    case True thus ?thesis using all-zero unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def by blast
  next
    case False hence ja-eq-k: k = to-nat ja using ja-less-suc-k by simp
    show ?thesis using zero-column-k unfolding ja-eq-k from-nat-to-nat-id from-nat-0
  qed

```

```

using least-mod-type by blast
qed
qed

lemma condition-1-part-2:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
assumes j-not-zero: j ≠ 0
and all-zero: ∀ m. is-zero-row-up-k m k A
and Amk-not-zero: A $ m $ from-nat k ≠ 0
shows is-zero-row-up-k j (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A (from-nat 0) (from-nat k))
proof (unfold is-zero-row-up-k-def, clarify)
fix ja:'columns
assume ja-less-suc-k: to-nat ja < Suc k
show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A (from-nat 0) (from-nat k) $ j $ ja = 0
proof (cases to-nat ja < k)
case True
have Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A (from-nat 0) (from-nat k) $ j $ ja = A $ j $ ja
unfolding from-nat-0 using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements'[OF
all-zero True Amk-not-zero] .
also have ... = 0 using all-zero True unfolding is-zero-row-up-k-def by blast
finally show ?thesis .
next
case False hence k-eq-ja: k = to-nat ja
using ja-less-suc-k by simp
show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A (from-nat 0) (from-nat k) $ j $ ja = 0
unfolding k-eq-ja from-nat-to-nat-id
proof (rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-0)
show ∃ n. A $ n $ ja ≠ 0 ∧ from-nat 0 ≤ n
using least-mod-type Amk-not-zero
unfolding k-eq-ja from-nat-to-nat-id from-nat-0 by blast
show j ≠ from-nat 0 using j-not-zero unfolding from-nat-0 .
qed
qed
qed

lemma condition-1-part-3:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
defines ia:ia≡(if ∀ m. is-zero-row-up-k m k A then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST'
n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) + 1)
defines B:B≡(snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form-up-k A k
and i-less-j: i < j
and not-zero-m: ¬ is-zero-row-up-k m k A
and zero-below-greatest: ∀ m≥(GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) + 1.
A $ m $ from-nat k = 0
and zero-i-suc-k: is-zero-row-up-k i (Suc k) B
shows is-zero-row-up-k j (Suc k) A
proof (unfold is-zero-row-up-k-def, auto)

```

```

fix ja::'columns
assume ja-less-suc-k: to-nat ja < Suc k
have ia2: ia=to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1 unfolding
ia using not-zero-m by presburger
have B-eq-A: B=A
  unfolding B Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv ia2
  apply simp
  unfolding from-nat-to-nat-greatest using zero-below-greatest by blast
  have zero-ikA: is-zero-row-upk i k A using zero-i-suc-k unfolding B-eq-A
is-zero-row-upk-def by fastforce
hence zero-jkA: is-zero-row-upk j k A using rref-upk-condition1[OF rref] i-less-j
by blast
show A $ j $ ja = 0
proof (cases to-nat ja < k)
  case True
  thus ?thesis using zero-jkA unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def by blast
next
  case False
  hence k-eq-ja:k = to-nat ja using ja-less-suc-k by auto
  have (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1 ≤ j
  proof (rule le-Suc, rule Greatest'I2)
    show ¬ is-zero-row-upk m k A using not-zero-m .
    fix x assume not-zero-xkA: ¬ is-zero-row-upk x k A show x < j
      using rref-upk-condition1[OF rref] not-zero-xkA zero-jkA neq-iff by blast
  qed
  thus ?thesis using zero-below-greatest unfolding k-eq-ja from-nat-to-nat-id
is-zero-row-upk-def by blast
qed

```

lemma condition-1-part-4:

```

fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
defines ia:ia≡(if ∀ m. is-zero-row-upk m k A then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST'
n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1)
defines B:B≡(snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form-upk A k
and zero-i-suc-k: is-zero-row-upk i (Suc k) B
and i-less-j: i<j
and not-zero-m: ¬ is-zero-row-upk m k A
and greatest-eq-card: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n k A)) =
nrows A
shows is-zero-row-upk j (Suc k) A
proof -
  have ia2: ia=to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1 unfolding
ia using not-zero-m by presburger
  have B-eq-A: B=A
    unfolding B Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv ia2
    unfolding from-nat-to-nat-greatest using greatest-eq-card nrows-def by force
  have rref-Suc: reduced-row-echelon-form-upk A (Suc k)

```

```

proof (rule rref-suc-if-zero-below-greatest[OF rref])
  show  $\forall a > \text{GREATEST}' m. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m k A. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } a (\text{Suc } k) A$ 
    using greatest-eq-card not-less-eq to-nat-less-card to-nat-mono nrows-def by metis
    show  $\neg (\forall a. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } a k A)$  using not-zero-m by fast
    qed
    show ?thesis using zero-i-suc-k unfolding B-eq-A using rref-upt-condition1[OF rref-Suc] i-less-j by fast
  qed

```

```

lemma condition-1-part-5:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
  defines ia:ia $\equiv$ (if  $\forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m k A$  then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A$ ) + 1)
  defines B:B $\equiv$ (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
  assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k
  and zero-i-suc-k: is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) B
  and i-less-j: i<j
  and not-zero-m:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m k A$ 
  and greatest-not-card: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A$ ))  $\neq$  nrows A
  and greatest-less-ma: (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A$ ) + 1  $\leq$  ma
  and A-ma-k-not-zero: A $ ma $ from-nat k  $\neq$  0
  shows is-zero-row-upt-k j (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A$ ) + 1) (from-nat k))
  proof (subst (1) is-zero-row-upt-k-def, clarify)
    fix ja::'columns assume ja-less-suc-k: to-nat ja < Suc k
    have ia2: ia=to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A$ ) + 1 unfolding ia using not-zero-m by presburger
    have B-eq-Gauss-ij: B = Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A$ ) + 1) (from-nat k)
      unfolding B Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def
      unfolding ia2 Let-def fst-conv snd-conv
      using greatest-not-card greatest-less-ma A-ma-k-not-zero
      by (auto simp add: from-nat-to-nat-greatest nrows-def)
    have zero-ikA: is-zero-row-upt-k i k A
    proof (unfold is-zero-row-upt-k-def, clarify)
      fix a::'columns
      assume a-less-k: to-nat a < k
      have A $ i $ a = Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A$ ) + 1) (from-nat k) $ i $ a
      proof (rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements[symmetric])
        show reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k using rref .
        show  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m k A$  using not-zero-m .
        show  $\exists n. A \$ n \$ \text{from-nat } k \neq 0 \wedge (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A) + 1 \leq n$  using A-ma-k-not-zero greatest-less-ma by blast
        show (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A$ ) + 1  $\neq 0$  using suc-not-zero
      
```

```

greatest-not-card unfolding nrows-def by simp
  show to-nat a < k using a-less-k .
qed
also have ... = 0 unfolding B-eq-Gauss-ij[symmetric] using zero-i-suc-k
a-less-k unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def by simp
  finally show A $ i $ a = 0 .
qed
hence zero-jkA: is-zero-row-upk j k A using rref-upk-condition1[OF rref] i-less-j
by blast
show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1)
(from-nat k) $ j $ ja = 0
proof (cases to-nat ja < k)
  case True
    have Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1)
    (from-nat k) $ j $ ja = A $ j $ ja
    proof (rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements)
      show reduced-row-echelon-form-upk A k using rref .
      show ¬ is-zero-row-upk m k A using not-zero-m .
      show ∃ n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n
      k A) + 1 ≤ n using A-ma-k-not-zero greatest-less-ma by blast
      show (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1 ≠ 0 using suc-not-zero
      greatest-not-card unfolding nrows-def by simp
        show to-nat ja < k using True .
      qed
    also have ... = 0 using zero-jkA True unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def by fast
    finally show ?thesis .
  next
    case False hence k-eq-ja: k = to-nat ja using ja-less-suc-k by simp
    show ?thesis
    proof (unfold k-eq-ja from-nat-to-nat-id, rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-0)
      show ∃ n. A $ n $ ja ≠ 0 ∧ (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n (to-nat
      ja) A) + 1 ≤ n
        using A-ma-k-not-zero greatest-less-ma k-eq-ja to-nat-from-nat by auto
      show j ≠ (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n (to-nat ja) A) + 1
      proof (unfold k-eq-ja[symmetric], rule ccontr)
        assume ¬ j ≠ (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1
        hence j-eq: j = (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1 by fast
        hence i < (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1 using i-less-j
      by force
      hence i-le-greatest: i ≤ (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n k A) using
      le-Suc dual-linorder.not-less by auto
      hence ¬ is-zero-row-upk i k A using greatest-ge-nonzero-row'[OF rref]
      not-zero-m by fast
      thus False using zero-ikA by contradiction
    qed
    qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

lemma condition-1:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
  defines ia:ia $\equiv$ (if  $\forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upk } m k A$  then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST'
n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ) + 1)
  defines B:B $\equiv$ (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
  assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form-upk A k
  and zero-i-suc-k: is-zero-row-upk i (Suc k) B and i-less-j: i < j
  shows is-zero-row-upk j (Suc k) B
proof (unfold B Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def ia Let-def fst-conv snd-conv, auto,
unfold from-nat-to-nat-greatest)
  assume zero-k:  $\forall m \geq \text{from-nat } 0. A \$ m \$ \text{from-nat } k = 0$  and all-zero:  $\forall m.$ 
  is-zero-row-upk m k A
  show is-zero-row-upk j (Suc k) A
  using condition-1-part-1[OF zero-k all-zero] .
next
  fix m
  assume all-zero:  $\forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upk } m k A$  and Amk-not-zero:  $A \$ m \$$ 
  from-nat k  $\neq 0$ 
  have j-not-0:  $j \neq 0$  using i-less-j least-mod-type not-le by blast
  show is-zero-row-upk j (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A (from-nat 0) (from-nat
k))
  using condition-1-part-2[OF j-not-0 all-zero Amk-not-zero] .
next
  fix m assume not-zero-mkA:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } m k A$ 
  and zero-below-greatest:  $\forall m \geq (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A) +$ 
  1. A \$ m \$ from-nat k = 0
  show is-zero-row-upk j (Suc k) A using condition-1-part-3[OF rref i-less-j
  not-zero-mkA zero-below-greatest] zero-i-suc-k
  unfolding B ia .
next
  fix m assume not-zero-m:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } m k A$ 
  and greatest-eq-card: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A))$ 
= nrows A
  show is-zero-row-upk j (Suc k) A
  using condition-1-part-4[OF rref - i-less-j not-zero-m greatest-eq-card] zero-i-suc-k
  unfolding B ia nrows-def .
next
  fix m ma
  assume not-zero-m:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } m k A$ 
  and greatest-not-card: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A)) \neq nrows A$ 
  and greatest-less-ma: ( $\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A) + 1 \leq ma$ 
  and A-ma-k-not-zero: A \$ ma \$ from-nat k  $\neq 0$ 
  show is-zero-row-upk j (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg$ 
  is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1) (from-nat k))
  using condition-1-part-5[OF rref - i-less-j not-zero-m greatest-not-card greatest-less-ma
  A-ma-k-not-zero]
  using zero-i-suc-k

```

```

  unfolding B ia .
qed

```

```

lemma condition-2-part-1:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
  defines ia:ia≡(if ∀ m. is-zero-row-upk m k A then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST'
n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1)
  defines B:B≡(snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
  assumes not-zero-i-suc-k: ¬ is-zero-row-upk i (Suc k) B
  and all-zero: ∀ m. is-zero-row-upk m k A
  and all-zero-k: ∀ m. A $ m $ from-nat k = 0
  shows A $ i $ (LEAST k. A $ i $ k ≠ 0) = 1
proof -
  have ia2: ia = 0 using ia all-zero by simp
  have B-eq-A: B=A unfolding B Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def fst-conv
  snd-conv ia2 using all-zero-k by fastforce
  show ?thesis using all-zero-k condition-1-part-1[OF - all-zero] not-zero-i-suc-k
  unfolding B-eq-A by presburger
qed

```

```

lemma condition-2-part-2:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
  defines ia:ia≡(if ∀ m. is-zero-row-upk m k A then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST'
n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1)
  defines B:B≡(snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
  assumes not-zero-i-suc-k: ¬ is-zero-row-upk i (Suc k) B
  and all-zero: ∀ m. is-zero-row-upk m k A
  and Amk-not-zero: A $ m $ from-nat k ≠ 0
  shows Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k) $ i $ (LEAST ka. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij
A 0 (from-nat k) $ i $ ka ≠ 0) = 1
proof -
  have ia2: ia = 0 unfolding ia using all-zero by simp
  have B-eq: B = Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k) unfolding B Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def
  unfolding ia2 Let-def fst-conv snd-conv
  using Amk-not-zero least-mod-type unfolding from-nat-0 nrows-def by auto
  have i-eq-0: i=0 using Amk-not-zero B-eq all-zero condition-1-part-2 from-nat-0
  not-zero-i-suc-k by metis
  have Least-eq: (LEAST ka. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k) $ i $ ka ≠ 0)
  = from-nat k
  proof (rule Least-equality)
    have Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k) $ 0 $ from-nat k = 1 using
    Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-1 Amk-not-zero least-mod-type by blast
    thus Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k) $ i $ from-nat k ≠ 0 unfolding
    i-eq-0 by simp
    fix y assume not-zero-gauss: Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k) $ i $ y ≠ 0
    show from-nat k ≤ y
  qed

```

```

proof (rule ccontr)
  assume  $\neg \text{from-nat } k \leq y$  hence  $y : y < \text{from-nat } k$  by force
  have Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k) $ 0 $ y = A $ 0 $ y
  by (rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements' [OF all-zero to-nat-le[OF y] Amk-not-zero])
  also have ... = 0 using all-zero to-nat-le[OF y] unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def
  by blast
    finally show False using not-zero-gauss unfolding i-eq-0 by contradiction
  qed
  qed
  show ?thesis unfolding Least-eq unfolding i-eq-0 by (rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-1,
auto intro!: Amk-not-zero least-mod-type)
  qed

```

```

lemma condition-2-part-3:
  fixes  $A : a : \{\text{field}\}^{\wedge \{\text{columns}\} : \{\text{mod-type}\}}^{\wedge \{\text{rows}\} : \{\text{mod-type}\}}$  and  $k : \text{nat}$ 
  defines  $ia : ia \equiv (\text{if } \forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m k A \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{to-nat}(\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A) + 1)$ 
  defines  $B : B \equiv (\text{snd}(\text{Gauss-Jordan-column-k } (ia, A) k))$ 
  assumes  $rref : \text{reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k } A k$ 
  and  $\text{not-zero-i-suc-k} : \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i (\text{Suc } k) B$ 
  and  $\text{not-zero-m} : \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m k A$ 
  and  $\text{zero-below-greatest} : \forall m \geq (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A) + 1.$ 
 $A \$ m \$ \text{from-nat } k = 0$ 
  shows  $A \$ i \$ (\text{LEAST } k. A \$ i \$ k \neq 0) = 1$ 
proof –
  have  $ia2 : ia = \text{to-nat}(\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A) + 1$  unfolding
  ia using not-zero-m by presburger
  have  $B = A$ 
    unfolding B Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv ia2
    apply simp
    unfolding from-nat-to-nat-greatest using zero-below-greatest by blast
    show ?thesis
  proof (cases to-nat (GREATEST' n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1 < CARD('rows))
    case True
    have  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i k A$ 
    proof –
      have  $i < (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A) + 1$ 
      proof (rule ccontr)
        assume  $\neg i < (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A) + 1$ 
        hence  $i : (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A) + 1 \leq i$  by simp
        hence  $(\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A) < i$  using le-Suc' True
      by simp
        hence zero-i: is-zero-row-upt-k i k A using not-greater-Greatest' by blast
        hence is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) A
        proof (unfold is-zero-row-upt-k-def, clarify)
    
```

```

fix j::'columns
assume to-nat j < Suc k
thus A $ i $ j = 0
  using zero-i unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def using zero-below-greatest
i
  by (metis from-nat-to-nat-id le-neq-implies-less not-le not-less-eq-eq)
qed
thus False using not-zero-i-suc-k unfolding B-eq-A by contradiction
qed
hence i≤(GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) using dual-linorder.not-le
le-Suc by metis
  thus ?thesis using greatest-ge-nonzero-row'[OF rref] not-zero-m by fast
qed
thus ?thesis using rref-upt-condition2[OF rref] by blast
next
case False
have greatest-plus-one-eq-0: (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1
= 0
  using to-nat-plus-one-less-card False by blast
have ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k i k A
proof (rule not-is-zero-row-upt-suc)
  show ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) A using not-zero-i-suc-k unfolding
B-eq-A .
  show ∀ i. A $ i $ from-nat k = 0
    using zero-below-greatest
    unfolding greatest-plus-one-eq-0 using least-mod-type by blast
qed
thus ?thesis using rref-upt-condition2[OF rref] by blast
qed
qed

lemma condition-2-part-4:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k
and not-zero-m: ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A
and greatest-eq-card: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A))
= nrows A
shows A $ i $ (LEAST k. A $ i $ k ≠ 0) = 1
proof -
  have ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k i k A
  proof (rule ccontr, simp)
    assume zero-i: is-zero-row-upt-k i k A
    hence zero-minus-1: is-zero-row-upt-k (-1) k A
      using rref-upt-condition1[OF rref]
      using Greatest-is-minus-1 neq-le-trans by metis
    have (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1 = 0 using greatest-plus-one-eq-0[OF
greatest-eq-card] .
      hence greatest-eq-minus-1: (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) = -1
      using a-eq-minus-1 by fast
  qed

```

```

have  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} n k A) k A$ 
  by (rule greatest-ge-nonzero-row'[OF rref -], auto intro!: not-zero-m)
thus False using zero-minus-1 unfolding greatest-eq-minus-1 by contradiction
qed
thus ?thesis using rref-upk-condition2[OF rref] by blast
qed

```

```

lemma condition-2-part-5:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
defines ia:ia $\equiv$ (if  $\forall m.$  is-zero-row-upk m k A then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST'
n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} n k A) + 1)$ 
defines B:B $\equiv$ (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form-upk A k
and not-zero-i-suc-k:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} i (\text{Suc } k) B$ 
and not-zero-m:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} m k A$ 
and greatest-noteq-card: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} n k$ 
A))  $\neq$  nrows A
and greatest-less-ma: (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} n k A) + 1 \leq ma$ 
and A-ma-k-not-zero: A $ ma $ from-nat k  $\neq 0$ 
shows Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} n k A) + 1)$ 
(from-nat k) $ i $ (LEAST ka. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} n k A)$ 
+ 1) (from-nat k) $ i $ ka  $\neq 0) = 1$ 
proof -
have ia2: ia=to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} n k A) + 1 unfolding
ia using not-zero-m by presburger
have B-eq-Gauss: B=Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk}$ 
n k A) + 1) (from-nat k)
unfolding B Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv ia2
apply simp
unfolding from-nat-to-nat-greatest using greatest-noteq-card A-ma-k-not-zero
greatest-less-ma by blast
have greatest-plus-one-not-zero: (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} n k A) +$ 
1  $\neq 0$ 
using suc-not-zero greatest-noteq-card unfolding nrows-def by auto
show ?thesis
proof (cases is-zero-row-upk i k A)
case True
  hence not-zero-iB: is-zero-row-upk i k B unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def
unfolding B-eq-Gauss
    using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements[OF rref not-zero-m -
greatest-plus-one-not-zero]
    using A-ma-k-not-zero greatest-less-ma by fastforce
  hence Gauss-Jordan-i-not-0: Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk}$ 
n k A) + 1) (from-nat k) $ i $ (from-nat k)  $\neq 0$ 
    using not-zero-i-suc-k unfolding B-eq-Gauss unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def
using from-nat-to-nat-id less-Suc-eq by (metis (lifting, no-types))
    have i = ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} n k A) + 1)$$ 
```

```

proof (rule ccontr)
  assume i-not-greatest:  $i \neq (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k} n k A) + 1$ 
  have Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ( $(\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k} n k A) + 1$ ) (from-nat k) $ i $ (from-nat k) = 0
    proof (rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-0)
      show  $\exists n. A \$ n \$ \text{from-nat} k \neq 0 \wedge (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k} n k A) + 1 \leq n$  using A-ma-k-not-zero greatest-less-ma by blast
        show  $i \neq (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k} n k A) + 1$  using i-not-greatest.
    qed
    thus False using Gauss-Jordan-i-not-0 by contradiction
  qed
  hence Gauss-Jordan-i-1: Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ( $(\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k} n k A) + 1$ ) (from-nat k) $ i $ (from-nat k) = 1
    using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-1 using A-ma-k-not-zero greatest-less-ma by blast
    have Least-eq-k: (LEAST ka. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ( $(\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k} n k A) + 1$ ) (from-nat k) $ i $ ka ≠ 0) = from-nat k
      proof (rule Least-equality)
        show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ( $(\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k} n k A) + 1$ ) (from-nat k) $ i $ from-nat k ≠ 0 using Gauss-Jordan-i-not-0.
        show  $\bigwedge y. \text{Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A} ((\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k} n k A) + 1) (\text{from-nat k}) \$ i \$ y \neq 0 \implies \text{from-nat k} \leq y$ 
          using B-eq-Gauss is-zero-row-upt-k-def not-less not-zero-iB to-nat-le by fast
      qed
      show ?thesis using Gauss-Jordan-i-1 unfolding Least-eq-k.
  next
    case False
    obtain j where Aij-not-0:  $A \$ i \$ j \neq 0$  and j-le-k: to-nat j < k using False
    unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def by auto
    have least-le-k: to-nat (LEAST ka. A \$ i \$ ka ≠ 0) < k
    by (metis (lifting, mono-tags) Aij-not-0 j-le-k less-trans linorder-cases not-less-Least to-nat-mono)
    have least-le-j: (LEAST ka. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ( $(\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k} n k A) + 1$ ) (from-nat k) $ i $ ka ≠ 0) ≤ j
      using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements[OF rref not-zero-m - greatest-plus-one-not-zero j-le-k] using A-ma-k-not-zero greatest-less-ma
      using Aij-not-0 False dual-linorder.not-leE not-less-Least by (metis (mono-tags))
    have Least-eq: (LEAST ka. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ( $(\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k} n k A) + 1$ ) (from-nat k) $ i $ ka ≠ 0)
      = (LEAST ka. A \$ i \$ ka ≠ 0)
    proof (rule Least-equality)
      show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ( $(\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k} n k A) + 1$ ) (from-nat k) $ i $ (LEAST ka. A \$ i \$ ka ≠ 0) ≠ 0
      using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements[OF rref False - greatest-plus-one-not-zero]
      least-le-k False rref-upt-condition2[OF rref]
      using A-ma-k-not-zero B-eq-Gauss greatest-less-ma zero-neq-one by fastforce
      fix y assume Gauss-Jordan-y: Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ( $(\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k} n k A) + 1$ ) (from-nat k) $ i $ y ≠ 0
      show (LEAST ka. A \$ i \$ ka ≠ 0) ≤ y

```

```

proof (cases to-nat y < k)
  case False
  thus ?thesis
    using least-le-k less-trans not-leE to-nat-from-nat to-nat-le by metis
next
  case True
  have A $ i $ y ≠ 0 using Gauss-Jordan-y using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements[OF
rref not-zero-m - greatest-plus-one-not-zero True]
    using A-ma-k-not-zero greatest-less-ma by fastforce
    thus ?thesis using Least-le by fastforce
  qed
  qed
  have A $ i $ (LEAST ka. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k
n k A) + 1) (from-nat k) $ i $ ka ≠ 0) = 1
    using False using rref-upt-condition2[OF rref] unfolding Least-eq by blast
  thus ?thesis unfolding Least-eq using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements[OF
rref False - greatest-plus-one-not-zero]
    using least-le-k A-ma-k-not-zero greatest-less-ma by fastforce
  qed
  qed

lemma condition-2:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
  defines ia:ia≡(if ∀ m. is-zero-row-upt-k m k A then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST'
n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1)
  defines B:B≡(snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
  assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k
  and not-zero-i-suc-k: ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) B
  shows B $ i $ (LEAST k. B $ i $ k ≠ 0) = 1
proof (unfold B Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def ia Let-def fst-conv snd-conv, auto,
unfold from-nat-to-nat-greatest from-nat-0)
  assume all-zero: ∀ m. is-zero-row-upt-k m k A and all-zero-k: ∀ m≥0. A $ m $ from-nat k = 0
  show A $ i $ (LEAST k. A $ i $ k ≠ 0) = 1
  using condition-2-part-1[OF - all-zero] not-zero-i-suc-k all-zero-k least-mod-type
unfolding B ia by blast
next
  fix m assume all-zero: ∀ m. is-zero-row-upt-k m k A
  and Amk-not-zero: A $ m $ from-nat k ≠ 0
  show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k) $ i $ (LEAST ka. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij
A 0 (from-nat k) $ i $ ka ≠ 0) = 1
  using condition-2-part-2[OF - all-zero Amk-not-zero] not-zero-i-suc-k unfold-
ing B ia .
next
  fix m
  assume not-zero-m: ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A
  and zero-below-greatest: ∀ m≥(GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) +

```

```

1. A $ m $ from-nat k = 0
  show A $ i $ (LEAST k. A $ i $ k ≠ 0) = 1 using condition-2-part-3[OF rref
- not-zero-m zero-below-greatest] not-zero-i-suc-k unfolding B ia .
next
fix m
assume not-zero-m: ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A
and greatest-eq-card: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A))
= nrows A
show A $ i $ (LEAST k. A $ i $ k ≠ 0) = 1 using condition-2-part-4[OF rref
not-zero-m greatest-eq-card] .
next
fix m ma
assume not-zero-m: ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A
and greatest-noteq-card: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k
A)) ≠ nrows A
and greatest-less-ma: (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1 ≤ ma
and A-ma-k-not-zero: A $ ma $ from-nat k ≠ 0
show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1)
(from-nat k) $ i
$ (LEAST ka. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k
A) + 1) (from-nat k) $ i $ ka ≠ 0) = 1
using condition-2-part-5[OF rref - not-zero-m greatest-noteq-card greatest-less-ma
A-ma-k-not-zero] not-zero-i-suc-k unfolding B ia .
qed

```

lemma condition-3-part-1:

```

fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
defines ia:ia≡(if ∀ m. is-zero-row-upt-k m k A then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST'
n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1)
defines B:B≡(snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
assumes not-zero-i-suc-k: ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) B
and all-zero: ∀ m. is-zero-row-upt-k m k A
and all-zero-k: ∀ m. A $ m $ from-nat k = 0
shows (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0) < (LEAST n. A $ (i + 1) $ n ≠ 0)
proof –
have ia2: ia = 0 using ia all-zero by simp
have B-eq-A: B=A unfolding B Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def fst-conv
snd-conv ia2 using all-zero-k by fastforce
have is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) B using all-zero all-zero-k unfolding B-eq-A
is-zero-row-upt-k-def by (metis less-SucE to-nat-from-nat)
thus ?thesis using not-zero-i-suc-k by contradiction
qed

```

lemma condition-3-part-2:

```

fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
defines ia:ia≡(if ∀ m. is-zero-row-upt-k m k A then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST'

```

```

n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n \ k \ A) + 1)$ 
defines  $B:B\equiv(\text{snd} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-column-k} (ia,A) k))$ 
assumes  $i\text{-le}: i < i + 1$ 
and  $\text{not-zero-i-suc-k}: \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i \ (\text{Suc } k) \ B$ 
and  $\text{not-zero-suc-i-suc-k}: \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } (i + 1) \ (\text{Suc } k) \ B$ 
and  $\text{all-zero}: \forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m \ k \ A$ 
and  $\text{Amk-notzero}: A \$ m \$ \text{from-nat } k \neq 0$ 
shows  $(\text{LEAST } n. \text{Gauss-Jordan-in-ij } A \ 0 \ (\text{from-nat } k) \$ i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. \text{Gauss-Jordan-in-ij } A \ 0 \ (\text{from-nat } k) \$ (i + 1) \$ n \neq 0)$ 
proof -
have  $ia2: ia = 0$  using  $ia$  all-zero by simp
have  $B\text{-eq-Gauss}: B = \text{Gauss-Jordan-in-ij } A \ 0 \ (\text{from-nat } k)$ 
unfolding  $B$  Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv  $ia2$ 
using all-zero Amk-notzero least-mod-type unfolding from-nat-0 by auto
have  $i=0$  using all-zero-imp-Gauss-Jordan-column-not-zero-in-row-0[ $OF$  all-zero
- Amk-notzero] not-zero-i-suc-k unfolding  $B$   $ia$  .
moreover have  $i+1=0$  using all-zero-imp-Gauss-Jordan-column-not-zero-in-row-0[ $OF$ 
all-zero - Amk-notzero] not-zero-suc-i-suc-k unfolding  $B$   $ia$  .
ultimately show ?thesis using i-le by auto
qed

```

```

lemma condition-3-part-3:
fixes  $A::'a::\{\text{field}\}^{\wedge'\text{columns}}\cdot\{\text{mod-type}\}^{\wedge'\text{rows}}\cdot\{\text{mod-type}\}$  and  $k::nat$ 
defines  $ia:ia\equiv(\text{if } \forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m \ k \ A \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{to-nat } (\text{GREATEST}'$ 
 $n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n \ k \ A) + 1)$ 
defines  $B:B\equiv(\text{snd} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-column-k} (ia,A) k))$ 
assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k  $A \ k$ 
and i-le:  $i < i + 1$ 
and not-zero-i-suc-k:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i \ (\text{Suc } k) \ B$ 
and not-zero-suc-i-suc-k:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } (i + 1) \ (\text{Suc } k) \ B$ 
and not-zero-m:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m \ k \ A$ 
and zero-below-greatest:  $\forall m\geq(\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n \ k \ A) + 1.$ 
 $A \$ m \$ \text{from-nat } k = 0$ 
shows  $(\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ (i + 1) \$ n \neq 0)$ 
proof -
have  $ia2: ia=\text{to-nat } (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n \ k \ A) + 1$  unfolding
ia using not-zero-m by presburger
have  $B\text{-eq-A}: B=A$ 
unfolding  $B$  Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv  $ia2$ 
apply simp
unfolding from-nat-to-nat-greatest using zero-below-greatest by blast
have rref-suc: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k  $A \ (\text{Suc } k)$ 
proof (rule rref-suc-if-zero-below-greatest)
show reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k  $A \ k$  using rref .
show  $\neg (\forall a. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } a \ k \ A)$  using not-zero-m by fast
show  $\forall a>\text{GREATEST}' m. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m \ k \ A. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } a \ (\text{Suc } k) \ A$ 

```

```

proof (clarify)
  fix  $a::'rows$  assume  $\text{greatest-less-a} : (\text{GREATEST}' m. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m$ 
 $k A) < a$ 
    show  $\text{is-zero-row-upt-k } a (\text{Suc } k) A$ 
    proof (rule is-zero-row-upt-k-suc)
      show  $\text{is-zero-row-upt-k } a k A$  using  $\text{greatest-less-a row-greater-greatest-is-zero}$ 
      by fast
      show  $A \$ a \$ \text{from-nat } k = 0$  using  $\text{le-Suc}[OF \text{ greatest-less-a}] \text{ zero-below-greatest}$ 
      by fast
      qed
      qed
      qed
      show ?thesis using  $\text{rref-upt-condition3}[OF \text{ rref-suc}] i\text{-le not-zero-i-suc-k not-zero-suc-i-suc-k}$ 
      unfolding  $B\text{-eq-}A$  by blast
      qed

```

```

lemma condition-3-part-4:
  fixes  $A::'a::\{\text{field}\} ^\text{'columns} :: \{\text{mod-type}\} ^\text{'rows} :: \{\text{mod-type}\}$  and  $k::\text{nat}$ 
  defines  $ia:ia\equiv(\text{if } \forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m k A \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{to-nat } (\text{GREATEST}'$ 
 $n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A) + 1)$ 
  defines  $B:B\equiv(\text{snd } (\text{Gauss-Jordan-column-}k (ia,A) k))$ 
  assumes  $\text{rref}: \text{reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k } A k$  and  $i\text{-le}: i < i + 1$ 
  and  $\text{not-zero-i-suc-k}: \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } i (\text{Suc } k) B$ 
  and  $\text{not-zero-suc-i-suc-k}: \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } (i + 1) (\text{Suc } k) B$ 
  and  $\text{not-zero-m}: \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } m k A$ 
  and  $\text{greatest-eq-card}: \text{Suc } (\text{to-nat } (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A))$ 
   $= \text{nrows } A$ 
  shows  $(\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0) < (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ (i + 1) \$ n \neq 0)$ 
proof –
  have  $ia2: ia=\text{to-nat } (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A) + 1$  unfolding
   $ia$  using  $\text{not-zero-m}$  by presburger
  have  $B\text{-eq-}A: B=A$ 
  unfolding  $B$   $\text{Gauss-Jordan-column-}k\text{-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv } ia2$ 
  unfolding  $\text{from-nat-to-nat-greatest}$  using  $\text{greatest-eq-card}$  by simp
  have  $\text{greatest-eq-minus-1}: (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A) = -1$ 
  using  $\text{a-eq-minus-1 greatest-eq-card to-nat-plus-one-less-card}$  unfolding  $\text{nrows-def}$ 
  by fastforce
  have  $\text{rref-suc}: \text{reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k } A (\text{Suc } k)$ 
  proof (rule rref-suc-if-all-rows-not-zero)
    show  $\text{reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k } A k$  using rref .
    show  $\forall n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } n k A$  using  $\text{Greatest-is-minus-1 greatest-eq-minus-1}$ 
     $\text{greatest-ge-nonzero-row}'[OF \text{ rref -}] \text{ not-zero-m}$  by metis
    qed
    show ?thesis using  $\text{rref-upt-condition3}[OF \text{ rref-suc}] i\text{-le not-zero-i-suc-k not-zero-suc-i-suc-k}$ 
    unfolding  $B\text{-eq-}A$  by blast
    qed

```

```

lemma condition-3-part-5:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
  defines ia:ia $\equiv$ (if  $\forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upk } m k A$  then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST'
n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ) + 1)
  defines B:B $\equiv$ (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
  assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form-upk A k
  and i-le:  $i < i + 1$ 
  and not-zero-i-suc-k:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } i (\text{Suc } k) B$ 
  and not-zero-suc-i-suc-k:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } (i + 1) (\text{Suc } k) B$ 
  and not-zero-m:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } m k A$ 
  and greatest-not-card: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ))
 $\neq$  n rows A
  and greatest-less-ma: (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ) + 1  $\leq$  ma
  and A-ma-k-not-zero: A $ ma $ from-nat k  $\neq$  0
  shows (LEAST n. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ) + 1) (from-nat k) $ i $ n  $\neq$  0)
    < (LEAST n. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ) + 1) (from-nat k) $ (i + 1) $ n  $\neq$  0)
  proof -
    have ia2: ia=to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ) + 1 unfolding
    ia using not-zero-m by presburger
    have B-eq-Gauss: B = Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ) + 1) (from-nat k)
      unfolding B Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def
      unfolding ia2 Let-def fst-conv snd-conv
      using greatest-not-card greatest-less-ma A-ma-k-not-zero
      by (auto simp add: from-nat-to-nat-greatest)
    have suc-greatest-not-zero: (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ) + 1  $\neq$ 
    0
      using Suc-eq-plus1 suc-not-zero greatest-not-card unfolding n rows-def by auto
      show ?thesis
    proof (cases is-zero-row-upk (i + 1) k A)
      case True
      have zero-i-plus-one-k-B: is-zero-row-upk (i+1) k B
        by (unfold B-eq-Gauss, rule is-zero-after-Gauss[OF True not-zero-m rref
greatest-less-ma A-ma-k-not-zero])
      hence Gauss-Jordan-i-not-0: Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ) + 1) (from-nat k) $ (i+1) $ (from-nat k)  $\neq$  0
        using not-zero-suc-i-suc-k unfolding B-eq-Gauss using is-zero-row-upk-suc
        by blast
        have i-plus-one-eq: i + 1 = ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ) +
        1)
        proof (rule ccontr)
          assume i-not-greatest: i + 1  $\neq$  (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ )
        + 1
          have Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ) +
        1) (from-nat k) $ (i + 1) $ (from-nat k) = 0
          proof (rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-0)
            show  $\exists n. A \$ n \$ \text{from-nat } k \neq 0 \wedge (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A)$ 

```

$n \ k \ A) + 1 \leq n$ **using** greatest-less-ma A-ma-k-not-zero **by** blast
show $i + 1 \neq (\text{GREATEST}' \ n. \ \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} \ n \ k \ A) + 1$ **using**
i-not-greatest .
qed
thus False **using** Gauss-Jordan-i-not-0 **by** contradiction
qed
hence *i-eq-greatest*: $i = (\text{GREATEST}' \ n. \ \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} \ n \ k \ A)$ **using**
add-right-cancel **by** simp
have Least-eq-k: ($\text{LEAST} \ ka. \ \text{Gauss-Jordan-in-ij} \ A ((\text{GREATEST}' \ n. \ \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} \ n \ k \ A) + 1) (\text{from-nat} \ k) \$ (i+1) \$ ka \neq 0$) = $\text{from-nat} \ k$
proof (rule Least-equality)
show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ($(\text{GREATEST}' \ n. \ \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} \ n \ k \ A) + 1$) ($\text{from-nat} \ k) \$ (i+1) \$ \text{from-nat} \ k \neq 0$ **by** (metis Gauss-Jordan-i-not-0)
fix y **assume** Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ($(\text{GREATEST}' \ n. \ \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} \ n \ k \ A) + 1$) ($\text{from-nat} \ k) \$ (i+1) \$ y \neq 0$
thus $\text{from-nat} \ k \leq y$ **using** zero-i-plus-one-k-B **unfolding** *i-eq-greatest*
B-eq-Gauss **by** (metis is-zero-row-upk-def not-less to-nat-le)
qed
have not-zero-i-A: $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} \ i \ k \ A$ **using** greatest-less-zero-row[*OF rref*] not-zero-m **unfolding** *i-eq-greatest* **by** fast
from this obtain j **where** $A_{ij}\text{-not-0}: A \$ i \$ j \neq 0$ **and** $j\text{-le-}k: \text{to-nat} \ j < k$
unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def **by** blast
have least-le-k: to-nat ($\text{LEAST} \ ka. \ A \$ i \$ ka \neq 0$) $< k$
by (metis (lifting, mono-tags) $A_{ij}\text{-not-0} \ j\text{-le-}k$ less-trans linorder-cases not-less-Least to-nat-mono)
have Least-eq: ($\text{LEAST} \ n. \ \text{Gauss-Jordan-in-ij} \ A ((\text{GREATEST}' \ n. \ \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} \ n \ k \ A) + 1) (\text{from-nat} \ k) \$ i \$ n \neq 0$) =
 $(\text{LEAST} \ n. \ A \$ i \$ n \neq 0)$
proof (rule Least-equality)
show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ($(\text{GREATEST}' \ n. \ \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} \ n \ k \ A) + 1$) ($\text{from-nat} \ k) \$ i \$ (\text{LEAST} \ ka. \ A \$ i \$ ka \neq 0) \neq 0$
using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements[*OF rref* not-zero-i-A - suc-greatest-not-zero least-le-k] greatest-less-ma A-ma-k-not-zero
using rref-upk-condition2[*OF rref*] not-zero-i-A **by** fastforce
fix y **assume** Gauss-Jordan-y: Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ($(\text{GREATEST}' \ n. \ \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} \ n \ k \ A) + 1$) ($\text{from-nat} \ k) \$ i \$ y \neq 0$
show ($\text{LEAST} \ ka. \ A \$ i \$ ka \neq 0$) $\leq y$
proof (cases to-nat $y < k$)
case False **thus** ?thesis **by** (metis dual-linorder.not-le least-le-k less-trans to-nat-mono)
next
case True
have $A \$ i \$ y \neq 0$ **using** Gauss-Jordan-y **using** Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements[*OF rref* not-zero-m - suc-greatest-not-zero True]
using A-ma-k-not-zero greatest-less-ma **by** fastforce
thus ?thesis **using** Least-le **by** fastforce
qed
qed
also have ... $< \text{from-nat} \ k$ **by** (metis is-zero-row-upk-def is-zero-row-upk-suc

le-less-linear le-less-trans least-le-k not-zero-suc-i-suc-k to-nat-mono' zero-i-plus-one-k-B)

```

finally show ?thesis unfolding Least-eq-k .
next
  case False
    have not-zero-i-A:  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upt-k i k A using rref-upt-condition1[OF rref]
    False i-le by blast
      from this obtain j where Aij-not-0: A $ i $ j  $\neq 0$  and j-le-k: to-nat j < k
      unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def by blast
        have least-le-k: to-nat (LEAST ka. A $ i $ ka  $\neq 0$ ) < k
        by (metis (lifting, mono-tags) Aij-not-0 j-le-k less-trans linorder-cases not-less-Least
          to-nat-mono)
        have Least-i-eq: (LEAST n. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upt-k
          n k A) + 1) (from-nat k) $ i $ n  $\neq 0$ )
          = (LEAST n. A $ i $ n  $\neq 0$ )
        proof (rule Least-equality)
          show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) +
            1) (from-nat k) $ i $ (LEAST ka. A $ i $ ka  $\neq 0$ )  $\neq 0$ 
            using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements[OF rref not-zero-i-A
              - suc-greatest-not-zero least-le-k] greatest-less-ma A-ma-k-not-zero
            using rref-upt-condition2[OF rref] not-zero-i-A by fastforce
            fix y assume Gauss-Jordan-y: Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg$ 
              is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1) (from-nat k) $ i $ y  $\neq 0$ 
            show (LEAST ka. A $ i $ ka  $\neq 0$ )  $\leq$  y
            proof (cases to-nat y < k)
            case False thus ?thesis by (metis dual-linorder.not-le dual-linorder.not-less-iff-gr-or-eq
              le-less-trans least-le-k to-nat-mono)
            next
              case True
                have A $ i $ y  $\neq 0$  using Gauss-Jordan-y using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements[OF
                  rref not-zero-m - suc-greatest-not-zero True]
                  using A-ma-k-not-zero greatest-less-ma by fastforce
                  thus ?thesis using Least-le by fastforce
                qed
                qed
                from False obtain s where Ais-not-0: A $ (i+1) $ s  $\neq 0$  and s-le-k: to-nat
                  s < k unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def by blast
                  have least-le-k: to-nat (LEAST ka. A $ (i+1) $ ka  $\neq 0$ ) < k
                  by (metis (lifting, mono-tags) Ais-not-0 s-le-k dual-linorder.neq-iff less-trans
                    not-less-Least to-nat-mono)
                  have Least-i-plus-one-eq: (LEAST n. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.
                     $\neg$  is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1) (from-nat k) $ (i+1) $ n  $\neq 0$ )
                    = (LEAST n. A $ (i+1) $ n  $\neq 0$ )
                  proof (rule Least-equality)
                    show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) +
                      1) (from-nat k) $ (i+1) $ (LEAST ka. A $ (i+1) $ ka  $\neq 0$ )  $\neq 0$ 
                      using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements[OF rref not-zero-i-A
                        - suc-greatest-not-zero least-le-k] greatest-less-ma A-ma-k-not-zero
                      using rref-upt-condition2[OF rref] False by fastforce

```

```

fix y assume Gauss-Jordan-y:Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. ⊥
is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1) (from-nat k) $ (i+1) $ y ≠ 0
show (LEAST ka. A $ (i+1) $ ka ≠ 0) ≤ y
proof (cases to-nat y < k)
  case False thus ?thesis by (metis (mono-tags) dual-linorder.le-less-linear
least-le-k less-trans to-nat-mono)
next
  case True
  have A $ (i+1) $ y ≠ 0 using Gauss-Jordan-y using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements[OF
rref not-zero-m - suc-greatest-not-zero True]
    using A-ma-k-not-zero greatest-less-ma by fastforce
    thus ?thesis using Least-le by fastforce
qed
qed
show ?thesis unfolding Least-i-plus-one-eq Least-i-eq using rref-upt-condition3[OF
rref] i-le False not-zero-i-A by blast
qed
qed

lemma condition-3:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
defines ia:ia≡(if ∀ m. is-zero-row-upt-k m k A then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST'
n. ⊥ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1)
defines B:B≡(snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k
and i-le: i < i + 1
and not-zero-i-suc-k: ⊥ is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) B
and not-zero-suc-i-suc-k: ⊥ is-zero-row-upt-k (i + 1) (Suc k) B
shows (LEAST n. B $ i $ n ≠ 0) < (LEAST n. B $ (i + 1) $ n ≠ 0)
proof (unfold B Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def ia Let-def fst-conv snd-conv, auto,
unfold from-nat-to-nat-greatest from-nat-0)
assume all-zero: ∀ m. is-zero-row-upt-k m k A
  and all-zero-k: ∀ m≥0. A $ m $ from-nat k = 0
show (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0) < (LEAST n. A $ (i + 1) $ n ≠ 0)
  using condition-3-part-1[OF - all-zero] using all-zero-k least-mod-type not-zero-i-suc-k
unfolding B ia by fast
next
fix m assume all-zero: ∀ m. is-zero-row-upt-k m k A
  and Amk-notzero: A $ m $ from-nat k ≠ 0
show (LEAST n. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k) $ i $ n ≠ 0) < (LEAST
n. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k) $ (i + 1) $ n ≠ 0)
  using condition-3-part-2[OF i-le - - all-zero Amk-notzero] using not-zero-i-suc-k
not-zero-suc-i-suc-k unfolding B ia .
next
fix m
assume not-zero-m: ⊥ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A
  and zero-below-greatest: ∀ m≥(GREATEST' n. ⊥ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) +
1. A $ m $ from-nat k = 0
show (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0) < (LEAST n. A $ (i + 1) $ n ≠ 0)

```

```

using condition-3-part-3[OF rref i-le -- not-zero-m zero-below-greatest] using
not-zero-i-suc-k not-zero-suc-i-suc-k unfolding B ia .

next
fix m
assume not-zero-m:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } m k A$ 
and greatest-eq-card: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ))
= nrows A
show (LEAST n. A $ i $ n  $\neq 0$ ) < (LEAST n. A $ (i + 1) $ n  $\neq 0$ )
using condition-3-part-4[OF rref i-le -- not-zero-m greatest-eq-card] using
not-zero-i-suc-k not-zero-suc-i-suc-k unfolding B ia .

next
fix m ma
assume not-zero-m:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } m k A$ 
and greatest-not-card: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ))
 $\neq \text{nrows A}$ 
and greatest-less-ma: (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ) + 1  $\leq ma$ 
and A-ma-k-not-zero: A $ ma $ from-nat k  $\neq 0$ 
show (LEAST n. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ) + 1) (from-nat k) $ i $ n  $\neq 0$ )
< (LEAST n. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ) + 1) (from-nat k) $ (i + 1) $ n  $\neq 0$ )
using condition-3-part-5[OF rref i-le -- not-zero-m greatest-not-card greatest-less-ma
A-ma-k-not-zero]
using not-zero-i-suc-k not-zero-suc-i-suc-k unfolding B ia .

qed

```

```

lemma condition-4-part-1:
fixes A:'a::{field} ^'columns:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type} and k::nat
defines ia:ia $\equiv$ (if  $\forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upk } m k A$  then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST'
n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ) + 1)
defines B:B $\equiv$ (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
assumes not-zero-i-suc-k:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } i (\text{Suc } k) B$ 
and all-zero:  $\forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upk } m k A$ 
and all-zero-k:  $\forall m. A \$ m \$ \text{from-nat } k = 0$ 
shows A $ j $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n  $\neq 0$ ) = 0

proof –
have ia2: ia = 0 using ia all-zero by simp
have B-eq-A: B=A unfolding B Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def fst-conv
snd-conv ia2 using all-zero-k by fastforce
show ?thesis using B-eq-A all-zero all-zero-k is-zero-row-upk-suc not-zero-i-suc-k
by blast
qed

```

```

lemma condition-4-part-2:
fixes A:'a::{field} ^'columns:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type} and k::nat
defines ia:ia $\equiv$ (if  $\forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upk } m k A$  then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST'
n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n k A$ ) + 1)

```

```

defines B:B≡(snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
assumes not-zero-i-suc-k: ¬ is-zero-row-up-k i (Suc k) B
and i-not-j: i ≠ j
and all-zero: ∀ m. is-zero-row-up-k m k A
and Amk-not-zero: A $ m $ from-nat k ≠ 0
shows Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k) $ j $ (LEAST n. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij
A 0 (from-nat k) $ i $ n ≠ 0) = 0
proof -
  have i-eq-0: i=0 using all-zero-imp-Gauss-Jordan-column-not-zero-in-row-0[OF
all-zero - Amk-not-zero] not-zero-i-suc-k unfolding B ia .
  have least-eq-k: (LEAST n. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k) $ i $ n ≠ 0)
= from-nat k
  proof (rule Least-equality)
    show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k) $ i $ from-nat k ≠ 0 unfolding
i-eq-0 using Amk-not-zero Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-1 least-mod-type zero-neq-one by
fastforce
    fix y assume Gauss-Jordan-y-not-0: Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k) $ i
$ y ≠ 0
    show from-nat k ≤ y
    proof (rule ccontr)
      assume ¬ from-nat k ≤ y
      hence y < (from-nat k) by simp
      hence to-nat-y-less-k: to-nat y < k using to-nat-le by auto
      have Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k) $ i $ y = 0
      using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements'[OF all-zero to-nat-y-less-k
Amk-not-zero] all-zero to-nat-y-less-k
      unfolding is-zero-row-up-k-def by fastforce
      thus False using Gauss-Jordan-y-not-0 by contradiction
    qed
    show ?thesis unfolding least-eq-k apply (rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-0) using
i-eq-0 i-not-j Amk-not-zero least-mod-type by blast+
  qed

```

```

lemma condition-4-part-3:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
defines ia:ia≡(if ∀ m. is-zero-row-up-k m k A then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST'
n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) + 1)
defines B:B≡(snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form-up-k A k
and not-zero-i-suc-k: ¬ is-zero-row-up-k i (Suc k) B
and i-not-j: i ≠ j
and not-zero-m: ¬ is-zero-row-up-k m k A
and zero-below-greatest: ∀ m≥(GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) + 1.
A $ m $ from-nat k = 0
shows A $ j $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0) = 0
proof -
  have ia2: ia=to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) + 1 unfolding

```

```

ia using not-zero-m by presburger
have B-eq-A: B=A
  unfolding B Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv ia2
  apply simp
  unfolding from-nat-to-nat-greatest using zero-below-greatest by blast
have rref-suc: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A (Suc k)
proof (rule rref-suc-if-zero-below-greatest[OF rref], auto intro!: not-zero-m)
  fix a
  assume greatest-less-a: (GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A) < a
  show is-zero-row-upt-k a (Suc k) A
  proof (rule is-zero-row-upt-k-suc)
    show is-zero-row-upt-k a k A using row-greater-greatest-is-zero[OF greatest-less-a]

    show A $ a $ from-nat k = 0 using zero-below-greatest le-Suc[OF greatest-less-a]
  by blast
  qed
qed
show ?thesis using rref-upt-condition4[OF rref-suc] not-zero-i-suc-k i-not-j unfolding B-eq-A by blast
qed

lemma condition-4-part-4:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
defines ia:ia≡(if ∀ m. is-zero-row-upt-k m k A then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1)
defines B:B≡(snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k
and not-zero-i-suc-k: ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) B
and i-not-j: i ≠ j
and not-zero-m: ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A
and greatest-eq-card: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A)) = nrows A
shows A $ j $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0) = 0
proof –
have ia2: ia=to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1 unfolding ia
  using not-zero-m by presburger
have B-eq-A: B=A
  unfolding B Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv ia2
  unfolding from-nat-to-nat-greatest using greatest-eq-card by simp
have greatest-eq-minus-1: (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) = -1
  using a-eq-minus-1 greatest-eq-card to-nat-plus-one-less-card unfolding nrows-def by fastforce
have rref-suc: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A (Suc k)
proof (rule rref-suc-if-all-rows-not-zero)
  show reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k using rref .
  show ∀ n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A using Greatest-is-minus-1 greatest-eq-minus-1 greatest-ge-nonzero-row'[OF rref -] not-zero-m by metis
qed
show ?thesis using rref-upt-condition4[OF rref-suc] using not-zero-i-suc-k i-not-j

```

```

unfolding B-eq-A i-not-j by blast
qed

```

```

lemma condition-4-part-5:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
  defines ia:ia≡(if ∀ m. is-zero-row-up-k m k A then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST'
n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) + 1)
  defines B:B≡(snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
  assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form-up-k A k
  and not-zero-i-suc-k: ¬ is-zero-row-up-k i (Suc k) B
  and i-not-j: i ≠ j
  and not-zero-m: ¬ is-zero-row-up-k m k A
  and greatest-not-card: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A)) ≠ nrows A
  and greatest-less-ma: (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) + 1 ≤ ma
  and A-ma-k-not-zero: A $ ma $ from-nat k ≠ 0
  shows Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) + 1)
  (from-nat k) $ j $ $
    (LEAST n. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A)
+ 1) (from-nat k) $ i $ n ≠ 0) = 0
proof -
  have ia2: ia=to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) + 1 unfolding
  ia using not-zero-m by presburger
  have B-eq-Gauss: B = Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k
n k A) + 1) (from-nat k)
    unfolding B Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def
    unfolding ia2 Let-def fst-conv snd-conv
    using greatest-not-card greatest-less-ma A-ma-k-not-zero
    by (auto simp add: from-nat-to-nat-greatest)
  have suc-greatest-not-zero: (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) + 1 ≠
  0
    using Suc-eq-plus1 suc-not-zero greatest-not-card unfolding nrows-def by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases is-zero-row-up-k i k A)
    case True
    have zero-i-k-B: is-zero-row-up-k i k B unfolding B-eq-Gauss by (rule is-zero-after-Gauss[OF
True not-zero-m rref greatest-less-ma A-ma-k-not-zero])
    hence Gauss-Jordan-i-not-0: Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k
n k A) + 1) (from-nat k) $ (i) $ (from-nat k) ≠ 0
      using not-zero-i-suc-k unfolding B-eq-Gauss using is-zero-row-up-k-suc by
      blast
    have i-eq-greatest: i = ((GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) + 1)
    proof (rule ccontr)
      assume i-not-greatest: i ≠ (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) + 1
      have Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) +
      1) (from-nat k) $ i $ (from-nat k) = 0
      proof (rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-0)
        show ∃ n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k
n k A) + 1 ≤ n using greatest-less-ma A-ma-k-not-zero by blast
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

show  $i \neq (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k} n k A) + 1$  using
i-not-greatest .
qed
thus False using Gauss-Jordan-i-not-0 by contradiction
qed
have Gauss-Jordan-i-1: Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k
n k A) + 1) (from-nat k) $ i $ (from-nat k) = 1
  unfolding i-eq-greatest using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-1 greatest-less-ma A-ma-k-not-zero
by blast
have Least-eq-k: (LEAST ka. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k
n k A) + 1) (from-nat k) $ i $ ka  $\neq 0$ ) = from-nat k
proof (rule Least-equality)
show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) +
1) (from-nat k) $ i $ from-nat k  $\neq 0$  using Gauss-Jordan-i-not-0 .
fix y assume Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n
k A) + 1) (from-nat k) $ i $ y  $\neq 0$ 
thus from-nat k  $\leq$  y using zero-i-k-B unfolding i-eq-greatest B-eq-Gauss
by (metis is-zero-row-upt-k-def not-less to-nat-le)
qed
show ?thesis using A-ma-k-not-zero Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-0' Least-eq-k greatest-less-ma
i-eq-greatest i-not-j by force
next
case False
obtain n where Ain-not-0: A $ i $ n  $\neq 0$  and j-le-k: to-nat n < k using
False unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def by auto
have least-le-k: to-nat (LEAST ka. A $ i $ ka  $\neq 0$ ) < k
  by (metis (lifting, mono-tags) Ain-not-0 dual-linorder.neq-iff j-le-k less-trans
not-less-Least to-nat-mono)
have Least-eq: (LEAST ka. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k
n k A) + 1) (from-nat k) $ i $ ka  $\neq 0$ )
= (LEAST ka. A $ i $ ka  $\neq 0$ )
proof (rule Least-equality)
show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) +
1) (from-nat k) $ i $ (LEAST ka. A $ i $ ka  $\neq 0$ )  $\neq 0$ 
using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements[OF rref False - suc-greatest-not-zero
least-le-k] using greatest-less-ma A-ma-k-not-zero
using rref-upt-condition2[OF rref] False by fastforce
fix y assume Gauss-Jordan-y: Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. \neg
is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1) (from-nat k) $ i $ y  $\neq 0$ 
show (LEAST ka. A $ i $ ka  $\neq 0$ )  $\leq$  y
proof (cases to-nat y < k)
  case False show ?thesis by (metis (mono-tags) False least-le-k less-trans
not-leE to-nat-from-nat to-nat-le)
next
case True
have A $ i $ y  $\neq 0$ 
using Gauss-Jordan-y using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements[OF
rref not-zero-m - suc-greatest-not-zero True]
using A-ma-k-not-zero greatest-less-ma by fastforce

```

```

thus ?thesis by (rule Least-le)
qed
qed
have Gauss-Jordan-eq-A: Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k
n k A) + 1) (from-nat k) $ j $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0) =
A $ j $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0)
using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements[OF rref not-zero-m -
suc-greatest-not-zero least-le-k]
using A-ma-k-not-zero greatest-less-ma by fastforce
show ?thesis unfolding Least-eq using rref-upt-condition4[OF rref]
using False Gauss-Jordan-eq-A i-not-j by presburger
qed
qed

```

lemma condition-4:

```

fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
defines ia:ia≡(if ∀ m. is-zero-row-upt-k m k A then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST'
n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1)
defines B:B≡(snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k
and not-zero-i-suc-k: ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) B
and i-not-j: i ≠ j
shows B $ j $ (LEAST n. B $ i $ n ≠ 0) = 0
proof (unfold B Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def ia Let-def fst-conv snd-conv, auto,
unfold from-nat-to-nat-greatest from-nat-0)
assume all-zero: ∀ m. is-zero-row-upt-k m k A
and all-zero-k: ∀ m≥0. A $ m $ from-nat k = 0
show A $ j $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0) = 0 using condition-4-part-1[OF -
all-zero] using all-zero-k not-zero-i-suc-k least-mod-type unfolding B ia by blast
next
fix m
assume all-zero: ∀ m. is-zero-row-upt-k m k A
and Amk-not-zero: A $ m $ from-nat k ≠ 0
show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k) $ j $ (LEAST n. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij
A 0 (from-nat k) $ i $ n ≠ 0) = 0
using condition-4-part-2[OF - i-not-j all-zero Amk-not-zero] using not-zero-i-suc-k
unfolding B ia .
next
fix m assume not-zero-m: ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A
and zero-below-greatest: ∀ m≥(GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) +
1. A $ m $ from-nat k = 0
show A $ j $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0) = 0
using condition-4-part-3[OF rref - i-not-j not-zero-m zero-below-greatest] using
not-zero-i-suc-k unfolding B ia .
next
fix m
assume not-zero-m: ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A
and greatest-eq-card: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A))

```

```

= nrows A
  show A $ j $ (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0) = 0
    using condition-4-part-4[OF rref - i-not-j not-zero-m greatest-eq-card] using
not-zero-i-suc-k unfolding B ia .
next
fix m ma
assume not-zero-m: ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m k A
  and greatest-not-card: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k
A)) ≠ nrows A
  and greatest-less-ma: (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1 ≤ ma
  and A-ma-k-not-zero: A $ ma $ from-nat k ≠ 0
show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1)
(from-nat k) $ j $
  (LEAST n. Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A)
+ 1) (from-nat k) $ i $ n ≠ 0) = 0
using condition-4-part-5[OF rref - i-not-j not-zero-m greatest-not-card greatest-less-ma
A-ma-k-not-zero] using not-zero-i-suc-k unfolding B ia .
qed

```

```

lemma reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k-Gauss-Jordan-column-k:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
defines ia:ia≡(if ∀ m. is-zero-row-upt-k m k A then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST'
n. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1)
defines B:B≡(snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (ia,A) k))
assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k
shows reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k B (Suc k)
proof (rule reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k-intro, auto)
show ∀ i j. is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) B ⇒ i < j ⇒ is-zero-row-upt-k j (Suc
k) B using condition-1 assms by blast
show ∀ i. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) B ⇒ B $ i $ (LEAST k. B $ i $ k ≠
0) = 1 using condition-2 assms by blast
show ∀ i. i < i + 1 ⇒ ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) B ⇒ ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k
(i + 1) (Suc k) B ⇒ (LEAST n. B $ i $ n ≠ 0) < (LEAST n. B $ (i + 1) $
n ≠ 0) using condition-3 assms by blast
show ∀ i j. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) B ⇒ i ≠ j ⇒ B $ j $ (LEAST n.
B $ i $ n ≠ 0) = 0 using condition-4 assms by blast
qed

```

```

lemma foldl-Gauss-condition-1:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
assumes ∀ m. is-zero-row-upt-k m k A
and ∀ m≥0. A $ m $ from-nat k = 0
shows is-zero-row-upt-k m (Suc k) A
by (rule is-zero-row-upt-k-suc, auto simp add: assms least-mod-type)

```

```

lemma foldl-Gauss-condition-2:

```

```

fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
assumes k: k < ncols A
and all-zero: ∀ m. is-zero-row-upk m k A
and Amk-not-zero: A $ m $ from-nat k ≠ 0
shows ∃ m. ¬ is-zero-row-upk m (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k))
proof -
  have to-nat-from-nat-k-suc: to-nat (from-nat k:'columns) < (Suc k) using
  to-nat-from-nat-id[OF k[unfolded ncols-def]] by simp
  have A0k-eq-1: (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k)) $ 0 $ (from-nat k) = 1
    by (rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-1, auto intro!: Amk-not-zero least-mod-type)
  have ¬ is-zero-row-upk 0 (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k))
    unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def
    using A0k-eq-1 to-nat-from-nat-k-suc by force
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma foldl-Gauss-condition-3:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
assumes k: k < ncols A
and all-zero: ∀ m. is-zero-row-upk m k A
and Amk-not-zero: A $ m $ from-nat k ≠ 0
and ¬ is-zero-row-upk ma (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k))
shows to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-upk n (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij
A 0 (from-nat k))) = 0
proof (unfold to-nat-eq-0, rule Greatest'-equality)
  have to-nat-from-nat-k-suc: to-nat (from-nat k:'columns) < Suc (k) using
  to-nat-from-nat-id[OF k[unfolded ncols-def]] by simp
  have A0k-eq-1: (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k)) $ 0 $ (from-nat k) = 1
    by (rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-1, auto intro!: Amk-not-zero least-mod-type)
  show ¬ is-zero-row-upk 0 (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k))
    unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def
    using A0k-eq-1 to-nat-from-nat-k-suc by force
  fix y
  assume not-zero-y: ¬ is-zero-row-upk y (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat
k))
  have y-eq-0: y=0
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume y-not-0: y ≠ 0
    have is-zero-row-upk y (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k)) un-
folding is-zero-row-upk-def
      proof (clarify)
        fix j::'columns assume j: to-nat j < Suc k
        show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A 0 (from-nat k) $ y $ j = 0
        proof (cases to-nat j = k)
          case True show ?thesis unfolding to-nat-from-nat[OF True]
            by (rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-0[OF - y-not-0], unfold to-nat-from-nat[OF
True, symmetric], auto intro!: y-not-0 least-mod-type Amk-not-zero)
        next
      
```

```

case False hence j-less-k: to-nat j < k by (metis j less-SucE)
  show ?thesis using Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements'[OF
all-zero j-less-k Amk-not-zero]
  using all-zero j-less-k unfolding is-zero-row-up-k-def by presburger
qed
qed
thus False using not-zero-y by contradiction
qed
thus y≤0 using least-mod-type by simp
qed

```

```

lemma foldl-Gauss-condition-5:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
  assumes rref-A: reduced-row-echelon-form-up-k A k
  and not-zero-a:¬ is-zero-row-up-k a k A
  and all-zero-below-greatest: ∀ m≥(GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) +
  1. A $ m $ from-nat k = 0
  shows (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) = (GREATEST' n. ¬
  is-zero-row-up-k n (Suc k) A)
  proof –
    have ⋀n. (is-zero-row-up-k n (Suc k) A) = (is-zero-row-up-k n k A)
    proof
      fix n assume is-zero-row-up-k n (Suc k) A
      thus is-zero-row-up-k n k A using is-zero-row-up-k-le by fast
    next
      fix n assume zero-n-k: is-zero-row-up-k n k A
      have n>(GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) by (rule greatest-less-zero-row[OF
rref-A zero-n-k], auto intro!: not-zero-a)
      hence n-ge-gratest: n ≥ (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) + 1 using
      le-Suc by blast
      hence A-nk-zero: A $ n $ (from-nat k) = 0 using all-zero-below-greatest by
      fast
      show is-zero-row-up-k n (Suc k) A by (rule is-zero-row-up-k-suc[OF zero-n-k
A-nk-zero])
      qed
      thus (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) = (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k
n (Suc k) A) by simp
    qed

```

```

lemma foldl-Gauss-condition-6:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
  assumes not-zero-m: ¬ is-zero-row-up-k m k A
  and eq-card: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n k A)) = nrows
A
  shows nrows A = Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n. ¬ is-zero-row-up-k n (Suc k)
A))
  proof –

```

```

have (GREATEST' n.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1 = 0 using greatest-plus-one-eq-0[OF eq-card] .
hence greatest-k-eq-minus-1: (GREATEST' n.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upk n k A) = -1
using a-eq-minus-1 by blast
have (GREATEST' n.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upk n (Suc k) A) = -1
proof (rule Greatest'-equality)
show  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upk -1 (Suc k) A
using Greatest'I-ex greatest-k-eq-minus-1 is-zero-row-upk-le not-zero-m by
force
show  $\bigwedge y. \neg$  is-zero-row-upk y (Suc k) A  $\implies$  y  $\leq$  -1 using Greatest-is-minus-1
by fast
qed
thus nrows A = Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upk n (Suc k) A))
using eq-card greatest-k-eq-minus-1 by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *foldl-Gauss-condition-8*:

```

fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
assumes k: k < ncols A
and not-zero-m:  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upk m k A
and A-ma-k: A $ ma $ from-nat k  $\neq$  0
and ma: (GREATEST' n.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1  $\leq$  ma
shows  $\exists m. \neg$  is-zero-row-upk m (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A ((GREATEST'
n.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1) (from-nat k))
proof -
def Greatest-plus-one $\equiv$ ((GREATEST' n.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1)
have to-nat-from-nat-k-suc: to-nat (from-nat k::'columns) < (Suc k) using
to-nat-from-nat-id[OF k[unfolded ncols-def]] by simp
have Gauss-eq-1: (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A Greatest-plus-one (from-nat k)) $ Greatest-plus-one
$ (from-nat k) = 1
by (unfold Greatest-plus-one-def, rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-1, auto intro!: A-ma-k
ma)
show  $\exists m. \neg$  is-zero-row-upk m (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A (Greatest-plus-one)
(from-nat k))
by (rule exI[of - Greatest-plus-one], unfold is-zero-row-upk-def, auto, rule
exI[of - from-nat k], simp add: Gauss-eq-1 to-nat-from-nat-k-suc)
qed

```

lemma *foldl-Gauss-condition-9*:

```

fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
assumes k: k < ncols A
and rref-A: reduced-row-echelon-form-upk A k
assumes not-zero-m:  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upk m k A
and suc-greatest-not-card: Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upk n k
A))  $\neq$  nrows A
and greatest-less-ma: (GREATEST' n.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upk n k A) + 1  $\leq$  ma
and A-ma-k: A $ ma $ from-nat k  $\neq$  0

```

```

shows Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n. ⊢ is-zero-row-up-k n k A)) =
  to-nat(GREATEST' n. ⊢ is-zero-row-up-k n (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A
((GREATEST' n. ⊢ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) + 1) (from-nat k)))
proof -
  def Greatest-plus-one==((GREATEST' n. ⊢ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) + 1)
  have to-nat-from-nat-k-suc: to-nat (from-nat k::'columns) < (Suc k) using
    to-nat-from-nat-id[OF k[unfolded ncols-def]] by simp
  have greatest-plus-one-not-zero: Greatest-plus-one ≠ 0
  proof -
    have to-nat (GREATEST' n. ⊢ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) < nrows A using
      to-nat-less-card unfolding nrows-def by blast
    hence to-nat (GREATEST' n. ⊢ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) + 1 < nrows A
    using suc-greatest-not-card by linarith
    show ?thesis unfolding Greatest-plus-one-def by (rule suc-not-zero[OF suc-greatest-not-card[unfolded
      Suc-eq-plus1 nrows-def]])
    qed
    have greatest-eq: Greatest-plus-one = (GREATEST' n. ⊢ is-zero-row-up-k n
      (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A Greatest-plus-one (from-nat k)))
    proof (rule Greatest'-equality[symmetric])
      have (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A Greatest-plus-one (from-nat k)) $ (Greatest-plus-one)
        $ (from-nat k) = 1
      by (unfold Greatest-plus-one-def, rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-1, auto intro!: greatest-less-ma A-ma-k)
      thus ⊢ is-zero-row-up-k Greatest-plus-one (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A
        Greatest-plus-one (from-nat k))
        using to-nat-from-nat-k-suc
        unfolding is-zero-row-up-k-def by fastforce
      fix y
      assume not-zero-y: ⊢ is-zero-row-up-k y (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A Greatest-plus-one
        (from-nat k))
      show y ≤ Greatest-plus-one
      proof (cases y < Greatest-plus-one)
        case True thus ?thesis by simp
      next
        case False hence y-ge-greatest: y ≥ Greatest-plus-one by simp
        have y=Greatest-plus-one
        proof (rule ccontr)
          assume y-not-greatest: y ≠ Greatest-plus-one
          have (GREATEST' n. ⊢ is-zero-row-up-k n k A) < y using greatest-plus-one-not-zero
            using Suc-le' less-le-trans y-ge-greatest unfolding Greatest-plus-one-def
            by auto
          hence zero-row-y-up-k: is-zero-row-up-k y k A using not-greater-Greatest'[of
            λn. ⊢ is-zero-row-up-k n k A y] unfolding Greatest-plus-one-def by fast
          have is-zero-row-up-k y (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A Greatest-plus-one
            (from-nat k)) unfolding is-zero-row-up-k-def
          proof (clarify)
            fix j::'columns assume j: to-nat j < Suc k
            show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A Greatest-plus-one (from-nat k) $ y $ j = 0

```

```

proof (cases j=from-nat k)
  case True
    show ?thesis
    proof (unfold True, rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-0[OF - y-not-greatest], rule exI[of - ma], rule conjI)
      show A $ ma $ from-nat k  $\neq 0$  using A-ma-k .
      show Greatest-plus-one  $\leq$  ma using greatest-less-ma unfolding Greatest-plus-one-def .
    qed
  next
    case False hence j-le-suc-k: to-nat j < Suc k using j by simp
    have Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A Greatest-plus-one (from-nat k) $ y $ j = A
    $ y $ j unfolding Greatest-plus-one-def
    proof (rule Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-preserves-previous-elements)
      show reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A k using rref-A .
      show  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upt-k m k A using not-zero-m .
      show  $\exists n. A \$ n \$ \text{from-nat } k \neq 0 \wedge (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upt-k}$ 
      n k A) + 1  $\leq n$  using A-ma-k greatest-less-ma by blast
      show (GREATEST' n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1  $\neq 0$  using
      greatest-plus-one-not-zero unfolding Greatest-plus-one-def .
      show to-nat j < k using False from-nat-to-nat-id j-le-suc-k less-antisym
      by fastforce
      qed
      also have ... = 0 using zero-row-y-upt-k unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def
      using False le-imp-less-or-eq from-nat-to-nat-id j-le-suc-k less-Suc-eq-le
      by fastforce
      finally show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A Greatest-plus-one (from-nat k) $ y $
      j = 0 .
      qed
      qed
      thus False using not-zero-y by contradiction
      qed
      thus y  $\leq$  Greatest-plus-one using y-ge-greatest by blast
      qed
      qed
      show Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A)) =
        to-nat (GREATEST' n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A
        ((GREATEST' n. \neg is-zero-row-upt-k n k A) + 1) (from-nat k)))
        unfolding greatest-eq[unfolded Greatest-plus-one-def, symmetric]
        unfolding add-to-nat-def
        unfolding to-nat-1
        using to-nat-from-nat-id to-nat-plus-one-less-card
        using greatest-plus-one-not-zero[unfolded Greatest-plus-one-def]
        by force
      qed

```

The following lemma is one of most important ones in the verification of the Gauss-Jordan algorithm. The aim is to prove two statements about *Gauss-Jordan-upt-k ?A ?k = snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, ?A)*

$[0..<\text{Suc } ?k])$ (one about the result is on rref and another about the index). The reason of doing that way is because both statements need them mutually to be proved. As the proof is made using induction, two base cases and two induction steps appear.

```

lemma rref-and-index-Gauss-Jordan-up $t$ -k:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} and k::nat
  assumes k < ncols A
  shows rref-Gauss-Jordan-up $t$ -k: reduced-row-echelon-form-up $t$ -k (Gauss-Jordan-up $t$ -k
A k) (Suc k)
  and snd-Gauss-Jordan-up $t$ -k:
    foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k] =
      (if  $\forall m. \text{is-zero-row-up $t$ -k } m (\text{Suc } k) (\text{snd } (\text{foldl } \text{Gauss-Jordan-column-k } (0, A)
[0..<\text{Suc } k)))$  then 0
      else to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-up $t$ -k } n (\text{Suc } k) (\text{snd } (\text{foldl } \text{Gauss-Jordan-column-k } (0, A)
[0..<\text{Suc } k]))) + 1,
      snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k]))
  using assms
  proof (induct k)
    — Two base cases, one for each show
    — The first one
    show reduced-row-echelon-form-up $t$ -k (Gauss-Jordan-up $t$ -k A 0) (Suc 0)
      unfolding Gauss-Jordan-up $t$ -k-def apply auto
      using reduced-row-echelon-form-up $t$ -k-Gauss-Jordan-column-k[OF rref-up $t$ -0, of
A] using is-zero-row-up $t$ -0[of A] by simp
      — The second base case
      have rw-up $t$ : [0..<Suc 0] = [0] by simp
      show foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc 0] =
        (if  $\forall m. \text{is-zero-row-up $t$ -k } m (\text{Suc } 0) (\text{snd } (\text{foldl } \text{Gauss-Jordan-column-k } (0, A)
[0..<\text{Suc } 0)))$  then 0
        else to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-up $t$ -k } n (\text{Suc } 0) (\text{snd } (\text{foldl } \text{Gauss-Jordan-column-k } (0, A)
[0..<\text{Suc } 0]))) + 1,
        snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc 0]))
      unfolding rw-up $t$ 
      unfolding foldl.simps
      unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def from-nat-0 fst-conv snd-conv
      unfolding is-zero-row-up $t$ -k-def
      apply (auto simp add: least-mod-type to-nat-eq-0)
      apply (metis Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-1 least-mod-type zero-neq-one)
      by (metis (lifting, mono-tags) Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-0 Greatest'I-ex least-mod-type)
  next
    — Now we begin with the proof of the induction step of the first show. We will
    make use the induction hypothesis of the second show
    fix k
    assume (k < ncols A  $\implies$  reduced-row-echelon-form-up $t$ -k (Gauss-Jordan-up $t$ -k
A k) (Suc k))
    and (k < ncols A  $\implies$ 
      foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k] =
      (if  $\forall m. \text{is-zero-row-up $t$ -k } m (\text{Suc } k) (\text{snd } (\text{foldl } \text{Gauss-Jordan-column-k } (0, A)
[0..<\text{Suc } k)))$  then 0$$ 
```

```

else to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upk n (Suc k) (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k
(0, A) [0..<Suc k]))) + 1,
  snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k]))
  and k: Suc k < ncols A
  hence hyp-rref: reduced-row-echelon-form-upk (Gauss-Jordan-upk A k) (Suc
k)
  and hyp-foldl: foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k] =
    (if  $\forall m.$  is-zero-row-upk m (Suc k) (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A)
[0..<Suc k])) then 0
    else to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upk n (Suc k) (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k
(0, A) [0..<Suc k]))) + 1,
      snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k]))
      by simp+
    have rw: [0..<Suc (Suc k)] = [0..<(Suc k)] @ [(Suc k)] by auto
    have rw2: (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k]) =
      (if  $\forall m.$  is-zero-row-upk m (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-upk A k) then 0 else to-nat
(GREATEST' n.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upk n (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan-upk A k)) + 1,
      Gauss-Jordan-upk A k) unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upk-def using hyp-foldl
by fast
  show reduced-row-echelon-form-upk (Gauss-Jordan-upk A (Suc k)) (Suc (Suc
k))
  unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upk-def unfolding rw unfolding foldl-append un-
folding foldl.simps unfolding rw2
  by (rule reduced-row-echelon-form-upk-Gauss-Jordan-column-k[OF hyp-rref])
— Making use of the same hypotheses of above proof, we begin with the proof
of the induction step of the second show.
  have fst-foldl: fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k]) =
    fst (if  $\forall m.$  is-zero-row-upk m (Suc k) (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0,
A) [0..<Suc k]))) then 0
    else to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upk n (Suc k) (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k
(0, A) [0..<Suc k]))) + 1,
      snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k])) using hyp-foldl by simp
  show foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)] =
    (if  $\forall m.$  is-zero-row-upk m (Suc (Suc k)) (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k
(0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]))) then 0
    else to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upk n (Suc (Suc k)) (snd (foldl
Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]))) + 1,
      snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]))
  proof (rule prod-eqI)
    show snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]) =
      snd (if  $\forall m.$  is-zero-row-upk m (Suc (Suc k)) (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k
(0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]))) then 0
      else to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upk n (Suc (Suc k)) (snd (foldl
Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]))) + 1,
        snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]))
    unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upk-def by force
  def A' ≡ (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k]))
  have ncols-eq: ncols A = ncols A' unfolding A'-def ncols-def ..
  have rref-A': reduced-row-echelon-form-upk A' (Suc k) using hyp-rref un-

```

```

folding A'-def Gauss-Jordan-up-k-def .
  show fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]) =
    fst (if  $\forall m. \text{is-zero-row-up-k } m (\text{Suc} (\text{Suc } k))$  (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]))) then 0
      else to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } n (\text{Suc} (\text{Suc } k))$  (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]))) + 1,
        snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]))
  unfolding rw unfolding foldl-append unfolding foldl.simps unfolding
  Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def fst-foldl unfolding A'-def[symmetric]
  proof (auto, unfold from-nat-0 from-nat-to-nat-greatest)
    fix m assume  $\forall m. \text{is-zero-row-up-k } m (\text{Suc } k) A'$  and  $\forall m \geq 0. A' \$ m \$$ 
    from-nat (Suc k) = 0
    thus is-zero-row-up-k m (Suc (Suc k)) A' using foldl-Gauss-condition-1 by
    blast
  next
    fix m
    assume  $\forall m. \text{is-zero-row-up-k } m (\text{Suc } k) A'$ 
    and  $A' \$ m \$ \text{from-nat} (\text{Suc } k) \neq 0$ 
    thus  $\exists m. \neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } m (\text{Suc} (\text{Suc } k)) (\text{Gauss-Jordan-in-ij } A' 0$ 
    (from-nat (Suc k)))
      using foldl-Gauss-condition-2 k ncols-eq by simp
  next
    fix m ma
    assume  $\forall m. \text{is-zero-row-up-k } m (\text{Suc } k) A'$ 
    and  $A' \$ m \$ \text{from-nat} (\text{Suc } k) \neq 0$ 
    and  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } ma (\text{Suc} (\text{Suc } k)) (\text{Gauss-Jordan-in-ij } A' 0$ 
    (from-nat (Suc k)))
    thus to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } n (\text{Suc} (\text{Suc } k))$  (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij
    A' 0 (from-nat (Suc k)))) = 0
      using foldl-Gauss-condition-3 k ncols-eq by simp
  next
    fix m assume  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } m (\text{Suc } k) A'$ 
    thus  $\exists m. \neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } m (\text{Suc} (\text{Suc } k)) A'$  and  $\exists m. \neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k }$ 
    m (Suc (Suc k)) A' using is-zero-row-up-k-le by blast+
  next
    fix m
    assume not-zero-m:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } m (\text{Suc } k) A'$ 
    and zero-below-greatest:  $\forall m \geq (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } n (\text{Suc } k) A') + 1. A' \$ m \$ \text{from-nat} (\text{Suc } k) = 0$ 
    show (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } n (\text{Suc } k) A')$  = (GREATEST'
    n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } n (\text{Suc } k) A')$ 
      by (rule foldl-Gauss-condition-5[OF rref-A' not-zero-m zero-below-greatest])
  next
    fix m assume  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } m (\text{Suc } k) A'$  and Suc (to-nat (GREATEST'
    n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } n (\text{Suc } k) A')) = \text{nrows } A'$ 
    thus nrows A' = Suc (to-nat (GREATEST' n.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-up-k } n (\text{Suc } k) A'))$ 
      using foldl-Gauss-condition-6 by blast
  next

```

```

fix m ma
assume  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } m (\text{Suc } k) A'$ 
and ( $\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n (\text{Suc } k) A') + 1 \leq ma$ 
and  $A' \$ ma \$ \text{from-nat} (\text{Suc } k) \neq 0$ 
thus  $\exists m. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } m (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)) (\text{Gauss-Jordan-in-ij } A'$ 
(( $\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n (\text{Suc } k) A') + 1) ( $\text{from-nat} (\text{Suc } k) ) )$ 
using foldl-Gauss-condition-8 using k ncols-eq by simp
next
fix m ma mb
assume  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } m (\text{Suc } k) A'$  and
 $\text{Suc} (\text{to-nat} (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n (\text{Suc } k) A')) \neq \text{nrows } A'$ 
and ( $\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n (\text{Suc } k) A') + 1 \leq ma$ 
and  $A' \$ ma \$ \text{from-nat} (\text{Suc } k) \neq 0$ 
and  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } mb (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)) (\text{Gauss-Jordan-in-ij } A' ((\text{GREATEST}'$ 
 $n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n (\text{Suc } k) A') + 1) (\text{from-nat} (\text{Suc } k)))$ 
thus  $\text{Suc} (\text{to-nat} (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n (\text{Suc } k) A')) =$ 
 $\text{to-nat} (\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)) (\text{Gauss-Jordan-in-ij } A'$ 
(( $\text{GREATEST}' n. \neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n (\text{Suc } k) A') + 1) ( $\text{from-nat} (\text{Suc } k) ) ) )$ 
using foldl-Gauss-condition-9[ $\text{OF } k [\text{unfolded ncols-eq}] \text{ rref-}A]$  unfolding
nrows-def by blast
qed
qed
qed$$ 
```

corollary rref-Gauss-Jordan:

```

fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
shows reduced-row-echelon-form (Gauss-Jordan A)
proof -
have  $\text{CARD}(\text{'columns}) - 1 < \text{CARD}(\text{'columns})$  by fastforce
thus reduced-row-echelon-form (Gauss-Jordan A)
unfolding reduced-row-echelon-form-def Gauss-Jordan-def
using rref-Gauss-Jordan-upk unfolding ncols-def by fastforce
qed

```

lemma independent-not-zero-rows-rref:

```

fixes A::real ^'m::{mod-type} ^'n::{finite,one,plus,ord}
assumes rref-A: reduced-row-echelon-form A
shows independent {row i A | i. row i A  $\neq 0$ }
proof
def R  $\equiv$  {row i A | i. row i A  $\neq 0$ }
assume dep: dependent R
from this obtain a where a-in-R: a $\in$ R and a-in-span: a  $\in$  span (R - {a})
unfolding dependent-def by fast
from a-in-R obtain i where a-eq-row-i-A: a=row i A unfolding R-def by blast
hence a-eq-Ai: a = A \$ i unfolding row-def unfolding vec-nth-inverse .
have row-i-A-not-zero:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row } i A$  using a-in-R
unfolding R-def is-zero-row-def is-zero-row-upk ncols row-def vec-nth-inverse

```

```

unfolding vec-lambda-unique zero-vec-def mem-Collect-eq using a-eq-Ai by force
def least-n == (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0)
have span-rw: span (R - {a}) = {y. ∃ u. (∑ v∈(R - {a}). u v *R v) = y}
proof (rule span-finite)
  show finite (R - {a}) using finite-rows[of A] unfolding rows-def R-def by
simp
qed
from this obtain f where f: (∑ v∈(R - {a}). f v *R v) = a using a-in-span
by fast
have 1 = a $ least-n using rref-condition2[OF rref-A] row-i-A-not-zero unfolding
least-n-def a-eq-Ai by presburger
also have... = (∑ v∈(R - {a}). f v *R v) $ least-n using f by auto
also have ... = (∑ v∈(R - {a}). (f v *R v) $ least-n) unfolding setsum-component
..
also have ... = (∑ v∈(R - {a}). f v *R (v $ least-n)) unfolding vector-scaleR-component
..
also have ... = (∑ v∈(R - {a}). 0)
proof (rule setsum-cong2)
  fix x assume x: x ∈ R - {a}
  from this obtain j where x-eq-row-j-A: x = row j A unfolding R-def by auto
  hence i-not-j: i ≠ j by (metis a-eq-row-i-A mem-delete x)
  have x-least-is-zero: x $ least-n = 0 using rref-condition4[OF rref-A] i-not-j
row-i-A-not-zero
  unfolding x-eq-row-j-A least-n-def row-def vec-nth-inverse by blast
  show f x *R x $ least-n = 0 unfolding x-least-is-zero scaleR-zero-right ..
qed
also have ... = 0 unfolding setsum-0 ..
finally show False by simp
qed

lemma rref-rank:
fixes A::real^'m::{mod-type} ^'n::{finite,one,plus,ord}
assumes rref-A: reduced-row-echelon-form A
shows rank A = card {row i A | i. row i A ≠ 0}
unfolding rank-def row-rank-def
proof (rule dim-unique[of {row i A | i. row i A ≠ 0}])
show {row i A | i. row i A ≠ 0} ⊆ row-space A
proof (auto, unfold row-space-def rows-def)
  fix i assume row i A ≠ 0 show row i A ∈ span {row i A | i. i ∈ UNIV} by
(rule span-superset, auto)
qed
show row-space A ⊆ span {row i A | i. row i A ≠ 0}
proof (unfold row-space-def rows-def, cases ∃ i. row i A = 0)
  case True
  have set-rw: {row i A | i. i ∈ UNIV} = insert 0 {row i A | i. row i A ≠ 0}
using True by auto
  have span {row i A | i. i ∈ UNIV} = span {row i A | i. row i A ≠ 0} unfolding
set-rw using span-insert-0 .
  thus span {row i A | i. i ∈ UNIV} ⊆ span {row i A | i. row i A ≠ 0} by simp

```

```

next
  case False show span {row i A | i ∈ UNIV} ⊆ span {row i A | i. row i A ≠ 0} using False by simp
    qed
  show independent {row i A | i. row i A ≠ 0} by (rule independent-not-zero-rows-rref[OF rref-A])
  show card {row i A | i. row i A ≠ 0} = card {row i A | i. row i A ≠ 0} ..
qed

```

Here we start to prove that the transformation from the original matrix to its reduced row echelon form has been carried out by means of elementary operations.

The following function eliminates all entries of the *j*-th column using the non-zero element situated in the position (*i,j*). It is introduced to make easier the proof that each Gauss-Jordan step consists in applying suitable elementary operations.

```

primrec row-add-iterate :: 'a::{'semiring-1, uminus} ^'n ^'m::{'mod-type} => nat
=> 'm => 'n => 'a ^'n ^'m::{'mod-type}
  where row-add-iterate A 0 i j = (if i=0 then A else row-add A 0 i (-A $ 0 $ j))
  | row-add-iterate A (Suc n) i j = (if (Suc n = to-nat i) then row-add-iterate A n i j
  else row-add-iterate (row-add A (from-nat (Suc n)) i (- A $ (from-nat (Suc n)) $ j)) n i j)

```



```

lemma invertible-row-add-iterate:
  fixes A::'a::{'ring-1} ^'n ^'m::{'mod-type}
  assumes n: n < nrows A
  shows  $\exists P$ . invertible P  $\wedge$  row-add-iterate A n i j = P**A
  using n
  proof (induct n arbitrary: A)
    fix A::'a::{'ring-1} ^'n ^'m::{'mod-type}
    show  $\exists P$ . invertible P  $\wedge$  row-add-iterate A 0 i j = P ** A
    proof (cases i=0)
      case True show ?thesis
        unfolding row-add-iterate.simps by (metis True invertible-def matrix-mul-lid)
    next
      case False
      show ?thesis by (metis False invertible-row-add row-add-iterate.simps(1) row-add-mat-1)
    qed
    fix n and A::'a::{'ring-1} ^'n ^'m::{'mod-type}
    def A'=(row-add A (from-nat (Suc n)) i (- A $ from-nat (Suc n) $ j))
    assume hyp:  $\bigwedge A$ ::'a::{'ring-1} ^'n ^'m::{'mod-type}. n < nrows A  $\implies \exists P$ . invertible P  $\wedge$  row-add-iterate A n i j = P ** A and Suc-n: Suc n < nrows A
    hence  $\exists P$ . invertible P  $\wedge$  row-add-iterate A' n i j = P ** A' unfolding nrows-def
    by auto
    from this obtain P where inv-P: invertible P and P: row-add-iterate A' n i j

```

```

= P ** A' by auto
  show ∃ P. invertible P ∧ row-add-iterate A (Suc n) i j = P ** A
    unfolding row-add-iterate.simps
  proof (cases Suc n = to-nat i)
    case True
    show ∃ P. invertible P ∧
      (if Suc n = to-nat i then row-add-iterate A n i j
       else row-add-iterate (row-add A (from-nat (Suc n)) i (- A $ from-nat (Suc
n) $ j)) n i j) =
      P ** A
      unfolding if-P[OF True] using hyp Suc-n by simp
  next
    case False
    show ∃ P. invertible P ∧
      (if Suc n = to-nat i then row-add-iterate A n i j
       else row-add-iterate (row-add A (from-nat (Suc n)) i (- A $ from-nat (Suc
n) $ j)) n i j) =
      P ** A
      unfolding if-not-P[OF False]
      unfolding P[unfolded A'-def]
      proof (rule exI[of - P ** (row-add (mat 1) (from-nat (Suc n)) i (- A $ from-nat (Suc n) $ j))], rule conjI)
        show invertible (P ** row-add (mat 1) (from-nat (Suc n)) i (- A $ from-nat (Suc n) $ j))
          by (metis False Suc-n inv-P invertible-mult invertible-row-add to-nat-from-nat-id
nrows-def)
        show P ** row-add A (from-nat (Suc n)) i (- A $ from-nat (Suc n) $ j) =
          P ** row-add (mat 1) (from-nat (Suc n)) i (- A $ from-nat (Suc n) $ j)
        ** A
        using matrix-mul-assoc row-add-mat-1[of from-nat (Suc n) i (- A $ from-nat (Suc n) $ j)]
          by metis
      qed
    qed
  qed

lemma row-add-iterate-preserves-greater-than-n:
  fixes A::'a::{ring-1} ^'n ^'m::{mod-type}
  assumes n: n < nrows A
  and a: to-nat a > n
  shows (row-add-iterate A n i j) $ a $ b = A $ a $ b
  using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: A)
  case 0
  show ?case unfolding row-add-iterate.simps
  proof (auto)
    assume i ≠ 0
    hence a ≠ 0 by (metis 0.preds(2) less-numeral-extra(3) to-nat-0)
    thus row-add A 0 i (- A $ 0 $ j) $ a $ b = A $ a $ b unfolding row-add-def
  qed
qed

```

```

by auto
qed
next
fix n and A::'a::{ring-1} ^'n ^'m::{mod-type}
assume hyp: ( $\bigwedge A::'a::{ring-1} ^'n ^'m::{mod-type}$ ).  $n < \text{nrows } A \implies n < \text{to-nat } a$ 
 $a \implies \text{row-add-iterate } A \ n \ i \ j \$ a \$ b = A \$ a \$ b$ 
and suc-n-less-card:  $\text{Suc } n < \text{nrows } A$  and suc-n-kess-a:  $\text{Suc } n < \text{to-nat } a$ 
hence row-add-iterate-A:  $\text{row-add-iterate } A \ n \ i \ j \$ a \$ b = A \$ a \$ b$  by auto
show row-add-iterate A (Suc n) i j \$ a \$ b = A \$ a \$ b
proof (cases Suc n = to-nat i)
  case True
  show row-add-iterate A (Suc n) i j \$ a \$ b = A \$ a \$ b unfolding row-add-iterate.simps
if-P[OF True] using row-add-iterate-A .
next
case False
def A' ≡ row-add A (from-nat (Suc n)) i (– A \$ from-nat (Suc n) \$ j)
have row-add-iterate-A':  $\text{row-add-iterate } A' \ n \ i \ j \$ a \$ b = A' \$ a \$ b$  using
hyp suc-n-less-card suc-n-kess-a unfolding nrows-def by auto
have from-nat-not-a: from-nat (Suc n) ≠ a by (metis less-not-refl suc-n-kess-a
suc-n-less-card to-nat-from-nat-id nrows-def)
show row-add-iterate A (Suc n) i j \$ a \$ b = A \$ a \$ b unfolding row-add-iterate.simps
if-not-P[OF False] row-add-iterate-A'[unfolded A'-def]
  unfolding row-add-def using from-nat-not-a by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma row-add-iterate-preserves-pivot-row:
fixes A::'a::{ring-1} ^'n ^'m::{mod-type}
assumes n:  $n < \text{nrows } A$ 
and a:  $\text{to-nat } i \leq n$ 
shows (row-add-iterate A n i j) \$ i \$ b = A \$ i \$ b
using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: A)
  case 0
  show ?case by (metis 0.prems(2) le-0-eq least-mod-type row-add-iterate.simps(1)
to-nat-eq to-nat-mono')
next
fix n and A::'a::{ring-1} ^'n ^'m::{mod-type}
assume hyp:  $\bigwedge A::'a::{ring-1} ^'n ^'m::{mod-type}$ .  $n < \text{nrows } A \implies \text{to-nat } i \leq n \implies \text{row-add-iterate } A \ n \ i \ j \$ i \$ b = A \$ i \$ b$ 
and Suc-n-less-card:  $\text{Suc } n < \text{nrows } A$  and i-less-suc:  $\text{to-nat } i \leq \text{Suc } n$ 
show row-add-iterate A (Suc n) i j \$ i \$ b = A \$ i \$ b
proof (cases Suc n = to-nat i)
  case True
  show ?thesis unfolding row-add-iterate.simps if-P[OF True] apply (rule
row-add-iterate-preserves-greater-than-n) using Suc-n-less-card True lessI by linar-
ith+
next

```

```

case False
def A' ≡ (row-add A (from-nat (Suc n)) i (− A $ from-nat (Suc n) $ j))
have row-add-iterate-A': row-add-iterate A' n i j $ i $ b = A' $ i $ b using
hyp Suc-n-less-card i-less-suc False unfolding nrows-def by auto
have from-nat-noteq-i: from-nat (Suc n) ≠ i using False Suc-n-less-card
from-nat-not-eq unfolding nrows-def by blast
show ?thesis unfolding row-add-iterate.simps if-not-P[OF False] row-add-iterate-A'[unfolded
A'-def]
unfolding row-add-def using from-nat-noteq-i by simp
qed
qed

lemma row-add-iterate-eq-row-add:
fixes A::'a::{ring-1} ^'n ^'m::{mod-type}
assumes a-not-i: a ≠ i
and n: n < nrows A
and to-nat a ≤ n
shows (row-add-iterate A n i j) $ a $ b = (row-add A a i (− A $ a $ j)) $ a $ b
using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: A)
case 0
show ?case unfolding row-add-iterate.simps using 0.prems(3) a-not-i to-nat-eq-0
least-mod-type by force
next
fix n and A::'a::{ring-1} ^'n ^'m::{mod-type}
assume hyp: (A::'a::{ring-1} ^'n ^'m::{mod-type}). a ≠ i ⇒ n < nrows A ⇒
to-nat a ≤ n
⇒ row-add-iterate A n i j $ a $ b = row-add A a i (− A $ a $ j) $ a $ b
and a-not-i: a ≠ i
and suc-n-less-card: Suc n < nrows A
and a-le-suc-n: to-nat a ≤ Suc n
show row-add-iterate A (Suc n) i j $ a $ b = row-add A a i (− A $ a $ j) $ a $ b
proof (cases Suc n = to-nat i)
case True
show row-add-iterate A (Suc n) i j $ a $ b = row-add A a i (− A $ a $ j) $ a $ b
unfolding row-add-iterate.simps if-P[OF True]
apply (rule hyp[OF a-not-i], auto simp add: Suc-lessD suc-n-less-card) by
(metis True a-le-suc-n a-not-i le-SucE to-nat-eq)
next
case False note Suc-n-not-i=False
show ?thesis unfolding row-add-iterate.simps if-not-P[OF False]
proof (cases to-nat a = Suc n) case True
show row-add-iterate (row-add A (from-nat (Suc n)) i (− A $ from-nat (Suc
n) $ j)) n i j $ a $ b = row-add A a i (− A $ a $ j) $ a $ b
by (metis Suc-le-lessD True dual-order.order-refl less-imp-le row-add-iterate-preserves-greater-than-n
suc-n-less-card to-nat-from-nat nrows-def)
next

```

```

case False
def A'≡(row-add A (from-nat (Suc n)) i (− A $ from-nat (Suc n) $ j))
have rw: row-add-iterate A' n i j $ a $ b = row-add A' a i (− A' $ a $ j) $ a $ b
proof (rule hyp)
  show a ≠ i using a-not-i .
  show n < nrows A' using suc-n-less-card unfolding nrows-def by auto
  show to-nat a ≤ n using False a-le-suc-n by simp
qed
have rw1: row-add A (from-nat (Suc n)) i (− A $ from-nat (Suc n) $ j) $ a
$ b = A $ a $ b
  unfolding row-add-def using False suc-n-less-card unfolding nrows-def
by (auto simp add: to-nat-from-nat-id)
have rw2: row-add A (from-nat (Suc n)) i (− A $ from-nat (Suc n) $ j) $ a
$ j = A $ a $ j
  unfolding row-add-def using False suc-n-less-card unfolding nrows-def
by (auto simp add: to-nat-from-nat-id)
have rw3: row-add A (from-nat (Suc n)) i (− A $ from-nat (Suc n) $ j) $ i
$ b = A $ i $ b
  unfolding row-add-def using Suc-n-not-i suc-n-less-card unfolding nrows-def
by (auto simp add: to-nat-from-nat-id)
show row-add-iterate A' n i j $ a $ b = row-add A a i (− A $ a $ j) $ a $ b
  unfolding rw row-add-def apply simp
  unfolding A'-def rw1 rw2 rw3 ..
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma row-add-iterate-eq-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'n ^'m::{mod-type} and i::'m and j::'n
  defines A': A'== mult-row (interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧
i ≤ n)) i (1 / (interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)) $ i $ j)
  shows row-add-iterate A' (nrows A − 1) i j = Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A i j
  proof (unfold Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-def Let-def, vector, auto)
    fix ia
    have interchange-rw: A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ j = interchange-rows
A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ i $ j
      using interchange-rows-j[symmetric, of A (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)]
by auto
      show row-add-iterate A' (nrows A − Suc 0) i j $ i $ ia =
        mult-row (interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)) i (1 / A
$ (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)) $ j $ ia
        unfolding interchange-rw unfolding A'
        proof (rule row-add-iterate-preserves-pivot-row, unfold nrows-def)
        show CARD('m) − Suc 0 < CARD('m) by simp
        have to-nat i < CARD('m) using bij-to-nat[where ?'a='m] unfolding
bij-betw-def by auto
        thus to-nat i ≤ CARD('m) − Suc 0 by auto

```

```

qed
next
fix ia iaa
have interchange-rw: A $(LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ j = interchange-rows
A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ i $ j
  using interchange-rows-j[symmetric, of A (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)]
by auto
assume ia-not-i: ia ≠ i
have rw: (–interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ ia $ j)
  = –mult-row (interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)) i (1
/ interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ i $ j) $ ia $ j
  unfolding interchange-rows-def mult-row-def using ia-not-i by auto
show row-add-iterate A' (nrows A – Suc 0) i j $ ia $ iaa =
  row-add (mult-row (interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧
i ≤ n)) i (1 / A $(LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ j)) ia i
  (–interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ ia $ j) $
ia $
iaa
  unfolding interchange-rw A' rw
proof (rule row-add-iterate-eq-row-add[of ia i (nrows A – Suc 0) - j iaa], unfold
nrows-def)
show ia ≠ i using ia-not-i .
show CARD('m) – Suc 0 < CARD('m) by simp
have to-nat ia < CARD('m) using bij-to-nat[where ?'a='m] unfolding
bij-betw-def by auto
thus to-nat ia ≤ CARD('m) – Suc 0 by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma invertible-Gauss-Jordan-column-k:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'m::{mod-type} and k::nat
shows ∃P. invertible P ∧ (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (i,A) k)) = P**A
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def
proof (auto)
show ∃P. invertible P ∧ A = P ** A and ∃P. invertible P ∧ A = P ** A
using invertible-mat-1 matrix-mul-lid[of A] by auto
next
fix m
assume i: i ≠ nrows A
and i-le-m: from-nat i ≤ m and Amk-not-zero: A $ m $ from-nat k ≠ 0
def A-interchange ≡ (interchange-rows A (from-nat i) (LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠ 0 ∧ (from-nat i) ≤ n))
def A-mult ≡ (mult-row A-interchange (from-nat i) (1 / (A-interchange $ (from-nat i) $ from-nat k)))
obtain P where inv-P: invertible P and PA: A-interchange = P**A
unfolding A-interchange-def
using interchange-rows-mat-1[from-nat i (LEAST n. A $ n $ from-nat k ≠

```

```

 $0 \wedge \text{from-nat } i \leq n) A]$ 
  using invertible-interchange-rows[of from-nat  $i$  (LEAST  $n$ .  $A \$ n \$ \text{from-nat } k$   

 $\neq 0 \wedge \text{from-nat } i \leq n)]$ 
  by fastforce
def  $Q \equiv (\text{mult-row} (\text{mat } 1) (\text{from-nat } i) (1 / (\text{A-interchange } \$ (\text{from-nat } i) \$$   

 $\text{from-nat } k)))::'a ^'m::\{\text{mod-type}\} ^'m::\{\text{mod-type}\}$ 
have  $Q\text{-A-interchange: } A\text{-mult} = Q**A\text{-interchange}$  unfolding  $A\text{-mult-def } A\text{-interchange-def}$   

 $Q\text{-def}$  unfolding mult-row-mat-1 ..
have  $\text{inv-}Q: \text{invertible } Q$ 
proof (unfold  $Q\text{-def}$ , rule invertible-mult-row', unfold  $A\text{-interchange-def}$ , rule  

LeastI2-ex)
show  $\exists a. A \$ a \$ \text{from-nat } k \neq 0 \wedge (\text{from-nat } i) \leq a$  using i-le-m Amk-not-zero  

by blast
show  $\bigwedge x. A \$ x \$ \text{from-nat } k \neq 0 \wedge (\text{from-nat } i) \leq x \implies 1 / \text{interchange-rows}$   

 $A (\text{from-nat } i) x \$ (\text{from-nat } i) \$ \text{from-nat } k \neq 0$ 
using interchange-rows-i mult-zero-left nonzero-divide-eq-eq zero-neq-one by  

fastforce
qed
obtain  $Pa$  where  $\text{inv-}Pa: \text{invertible } Pa$  and  $Pa: \text{row-add-iterate } (Q ** (P **$   

 $A)) (\text{nrows } A - 1) (\text{from-nat } i) (\text{from-nat } k) = Pa ** (Q ** (P ** A))$ 
using invertible-row-add-iterate by (metis (full-types) diff-less nrows-def zero-less-card-finite  

zero-less-one)
show  $\exists P. \text{invertible } P \wedge \text{Gauss-Jordan-in-ij } A (\text{from-nat } i) (\text{from-nat } k) = P$   

**  $A$ 
proof (rule exI[of -  $Pa ** Q ** P$ ], rule conjI)
show invertible ( $Pa ** Q ** P$ ) using inv-P inv- $Pa$  inv- $Q$  invertible-mult by  

auto
have Gauss-Jordan-in-ij  $A (\text{from-nat } i) (\text{from-nat } k) = \text{row-add-iterate } A\text{-mult}$   

( $\text{nrows } A - 1$ ) ( $\text{from-nat } i$ ) ( $\text{from-nat } k$ )
unfolding row-add-iterate-eq-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij[symmetric]  $A\text{-mult-def } A\text{-interchange-def}$ 
..
also have ... =  $Pa ** (Q ** (P ** A))$  using  $Pa$  unfolding PA[symmetric]  

 $Q\text{-A-interchange}[symmetric]$  .
also have ... =  $Pa ** Q ** P ** A$  unfolding matrix-mul-assoc ..
finally show Gauss-Jordan-in-ij  $A (\text{from-nat } i) (\text{from-nat } k) = Pa ** Q ** P$   

**  $A$  .
qed
qed

```

```

lemma invertible-Gauss-Jordan-up-to-k:
fixes  $A::'a::\{\text{field}\} ^'n::\{\text{mod-type}\} ^'m::\{\text{mod-type}\}$ 
shows  $\exists P. \text{invertible } P \wedge (\text{Gauss-Jordan-upt-}k A k) = P**A$ 
proof (induct k)
case 0
have rw:  $[0.. < \text{Suc } 0] = [0]$  by fastforce
show ?case
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-def rw foldl.simps
using invertible-Gauss-Jordan-column-k .

```

```

case (Suc k)
have rw2: [ $0..<\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)$ ] = [ $0..< \text{Suc } k$ ] @ [(Suc k)] by simp
obtain P' where inv-P': invertible P' and Gk-eq-P'A: Gauss-Jordan-upt-k A k
= P' ** A using Suc.hyps by force
have g: Gauss-Jordan-upt-k A k = snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A)
[ $0..<\text{Suc } k$ ] unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-def by auto
show ?case unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-def unfolding rw2 foldl-append foldl.simps
apply (subst pair-collapse[symmetric, of (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A)
[ $0..<\text{Suc } k$ ]), unfolded g[symmetric]])
using invertible-Gauss-Jordan-column-k
using Suc.hyps using invertible-matrix-mult matrix-mult-assoc by metis
qed

```

```

lemma inj-index-independent-rows:
fixes A::real'm::{mod-type} ^'n::{finite,one,plus,ord}
assumes rref-A: reduced-row-echelon-form A
and x: row x A ∈ {row i A | i. row i A ≠ 0}
and eq: A $ x = A $ y
shows x = y
proof (rule ccontr)
assume x-not-y: x ≠ y
have not-zero-x: ¬ is-zero-row x A using x unfolding is-zero-row-def unfolding
is-zero-row-upt-k-def unfolding row-def vec-eq-iff ncols-def by auto
hence not-zero-y: ¬ is-zero-row y A using eq unfolding is-zero-row-def' by
simp
have Ax: A $ x $ (LEAST k. A $ x $ k ≠ 0) = 1 using not-zero-x rref-condition2[OF
rref-A] by simp
have Ay: A $ x $ (LEAST k. A $ y $ k ≠ 0) = 0 using not-zero-y x-not-y
rref-condition4[OF rref-A] by fast
show False using Ax Ay unfolding eq by simp
qed

```

The final results:

```

lemma invertible-Gauss-Jordan:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'m::{mod-type}
shows  $\exists P.$  invertible P  $\wedge$  (Gauss-Jordan A) = P**A unfolding Gauss-Jordan-def
using invertible-Gauss-Jordan-up-to-k .

```

```

lemma rank-Gauss-Jordan:
fixes A::real'n::{mod-type} ^'m::{mod-type}
shows rank A = rank (Gauss-Jordan A) by (metis invertible-Gauss-Jordan
invertible-matrix-mult-left-rank)

```

Other interesting properties:

```

lemma A-0-imp-Gauss-Jordan-0:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'m::{mod-type}
assumes A=0
shows Gauss-Jordan A = 0

```

```

proof -
obtain P where PA: Gauss-Jordan A = P ** A using invertible-Gauss-Jordan
by blast
also have ... = 0 unfolding assms by (metis eq-add-iff matrix-add-l distrib)
finally show Gauss-Jordan A = 0 .
qed

lemma rank-0: rank 0 = 0
unfolding rank-def row-rank-def row-space-def rows-def row-def
by (simp add: dim-span dim-zero-eq' vec-nth-inverse)

lemma rank-greater-zero:
assumes A ≠ 0
shows rank A > 0
proof (rule ccontr, simp)
assume rank A = 0
hence row-space A = {} ∨ row-space A = {0} unfolding rank-def row-rank-def
using dim-zero-eq by blast
hence row-space A = {0} unfolding row-space-def using span-0 by blast
hence rows A = {} ∨ rows A = {0} unfolding row-space-def using span-0-imp-set-empty-or-0
by blast
hence rows A = {0} unfolding rows-def row-def by force
hence A = 0 unfolding rows-def row-def vec-nth-inverse
by (auto, metis (mono-tags) mem-Collect-eq singleton-iff vec-lambda-unique
zero-index)
thus False using assms by contradiction
qed

lemma Gauss-Jordan-not-0:
fixes A::realcols:{mod-type}rows:{mod-type}
assumes A ≠ 0
shows Gauss-Jordan A ≠ 0
by (metis assms less-not-refl3 rank-0 rank-Gauss-Jordan rank-greater-zero)

lemma rank-eq-suc-to-nat-greatest:
assumes A-not-0: A ≠ 0
shows rank A = to-nat (GREATEST' a. ¬ is-zero-row a (Gauss-Jordan A)) + 1
proof -
have rref: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k (Gauss-Jordan A) (ncols (Gauss-Jordan A)) using rref-Gauss-Jordan unfolding reduced-row-echelon-form-def .
have not-all-zero: ¬ (∀ a. is-zero-row-upt-k a (ncols (Gauss-Jordan A))) (Gauss-Jordan A))
unfolding is-zero-row-def[symmetric] using Gauss-Jordan-not-0[OF A-not-0] unfolding is-zero-row-def' by (metis vec-eq-iff zero-index)
have rank A = card {row i (Gauss-Jordan A) | i. row i (Gauss-Jordan A) ≠ 0}
unfolding rank-Gauss-Jordan[of A] unfolding rref-rank[OF rref-Gauss-Jordan]

```

```

..
also have ... = card {i. i≤(GREATEST' a. ¬ is-zero-row a (Gauss-Jordan A))}

proof (rule bij-betw-same-card[symmetric, of λi. row i (Gauss-Jordan A)], unfold
bij-betw-def, rule conjI)
show inj-on (λi. row i (Gauss-Jordan A)) {i. i ≤ (GREATEST' a. ¬ is-zero-row
a (Gauss-Jordan A))}
proof (unfold inj-on-def, auto, rule ccontr)
fix x y
assume x: x ≤ (GREATEST' a. ¬ is-zero-row a (Gauss-Jordan A)) and
y: y ≤ (GREATEST' a. ¬ is-zero-row a (Gauss-Jordan A))
and xy-eq-row: row x (Gauss-Jordan A) = row y (Gauss-Jordan A) and
x-not-y: x ≠ y
show False
proof (cases x<y)
case True
have (LEAST n. (Gauss-Jordan A) $ x $ n ≠ 0) < (LEAST n.
(Gauss-Jordan A) $ y $ n ≠ 0)
proof (rule rref-condition3-equiv[OF rref-Gauss-Jordan True])
show ¬ is-zero-row x (Gauss-Jordan A)
by (unfold is-zero-row-def, rule greatest-ge-nonzero-row'[OF rref
x[unfolded is-zero-row-def] not-all-zero])
show ¬ is-zero-row y (Gauss-Jordan A) by (unfold is-zero-row-def,
rule greatest-ge-nonzero-row'[OF rref y[unfolded is-zero-row-def] not-all-zero])
qed
thus ?thesis by (metis less-irrefl row-def vec-nth-inverse xy-eq-row)
next
case False
hence x-ge-y: x>y using x-not-y by simp
have (LEAST n. (Gauss-Jordan A) $ y $ n ≠ 0) < (LEAST n.
(Gauss-Jordan A) $ x $ n ≠ 0)
proof (rule rref-condition3-equiv[OF rref-Gauss-Jordan x-ge-y])
show ¬ is-zero-row x (Gauss-Jordan A)
by (unfold is-zero-row-def, rule greatest-ge-nonzero-row'[OF rref
x[unfolded is-zero-row-def] not-all-zero])
show ¬ is-zero-row y (Gauss-Jordan A) by (unfold is-zero-row-def,
rule greatest-ge-nonzero-row'[OF rref y[unfolded is-zero-row-def] not-all-zero])
qed
thus ?thesis by (metis dual-order.less-irrefl row-def vec-nth-inverse
xy-eq-row)
qed
qed
show (λi. row i (Gauss-Jordan A)) ‘ {i. i ≤ (GREATEST' a. ¬ is-zero-row a
(Gauss-Jordan A))} = {row i (Gauss-Jordan A) | i. row i (Gauss-Jordan A) ≠ 0}
proof (unfold image-def, auto)
fix xa
assume xa: xa ≤ (GREATEST' a. ¬ is-zero-row a (Gauss-Jordan A))
show ∃i. row xa (Gauss-Jordan A) = row i (Gauss-Jordan A) ∧ row i
(Gauss-Jordan A) ≠ 0
proof (rule exI[of - xa], simp)

```

```

have  $\neg \text{is-zero-row } xa$  (Gauss-Jordan A)
  by (unfold is-zero-row-def, rule greatest-ge-nonzero-row'[OF rref
xa[unfolded is-zero-row-def] not-all-zero])
  thus row xa (Gauss-Jordan A)  $\neq 0$  unfolding row-def is-zero-row-def'
by (metis vec-nth-inverse zero-index)
qed

next
fix i
assume row i (Gauss-Jordan A)  $\neq 0$ 
hence  $\neg \text{is-zero-row } i$  (Gauss-Jordan A) unfolding row-def is-zero-row-def' by
(metis vec-eq-iff vec-nth-inverse zero-index)
hence  $i \leq (\text{GREATEST}' a. \neg \text{is-zero-row } a)$  (Gauss-Jordan A) using Great-
est'-ge by fast
thus  $\exists x \leq \text{GREATEST}' a. \neg \text{is-zero-row } a$  (Gauss-Jordan A). row i (Gauss-Jordan
A) = row x (Gauss-Jordan A)
  by blast
qed
qed

also have ... = card {i. i  $\leq \text{to-nat} (\text{GREATEST}' a. \neg \text{is-zero-row } a)$  (Gauss-Jordan
A)}}
proof (rule bij-btw-same-card[of  $\lambda i. \text{to-nat } i$ ], unfold bij-btw-def, rule conjI)
show inj-on to-nat {i. i  $\leq (\text{GREATEST}' a. \neg \text{is-zero-row } a)$  (Gauss-Jordan A)}
using bij-to-nat by (metis bij-btw-imp-inj-on subset-inj-on top-greatest)
show to-nat ' {i. i  $\leq (\text{GREATEST}' a. \neg \text{is-zero-row } a)$  (Gauss-Jordan A)} =
{i. i  $\leq \text{to-nat} (\text{GREATEST}' a. \neg \text{is-zero-row } a)$  (Gauss-Jordan A)}
  proof (unfold image-def, auto simp add: to-nat-mono')
fix x
assume x:  $x \leq \text{to-nat} (\text{GREATEST}' a. \neg \text{is-zero-row } a)$  (Gauss-Jordan A)
hence from-nat x  $\leq (\text{GREATEST}' a. \neg \text{is-zero-row } a)$  (Gauss-Jordan A)
by (metis (full-types) leD not-leE to-nat-le)
moreover have x  $< \text{CARD}' b$  using x bij-to-nat[where ?'a='b] unfolding
bij-btw-def by (metis less-le-trans not-le to-nat-less-card)
ultimately show  $\exists xa \leq \text{GREATEST}' a. \neg \text{is-zero-row } a$  (Gauss-Jordan A). x
= to-nat xa using to-nat-from-nat-id by fastforce
qed
qed
also have ... = to-nat (GREATEST' a.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row } a$ ) +
1 unfolding card-Collect-le-nat by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma rank-less-row-i-imp-i-is-zero:
assumes rank-less-i: to-nat i  $\geq \text{rank } A$ 
shows Gauss-Jordan A $ i = 0
proof (cases A=0)
case True thus ?thesis by (metis A-0-imp-Gauss-Jordan-0 zero-index)
next
case False

```

```

have to-nat  $i \geq \text{to-nat} (\text{GREATEST}' a. \neg \text{is-zero-row} a (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A)) + 1$ 
using rank-less-i unfolding rank-eq-suc-to-nat-greatest[OF False] .
hence  $i > (\text{GREATEST}' a. \neg \text{is-zero-row} a (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A))$ 
by (metis add-strict-increasing leI leD nat-add-commute to-nat-mono' zero-less-one)
hence is-zero-row  $i (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A)$  using not-greater-Greatest' by auto
thus ?thesis unfolding is-zero-row-def' vec-eq-iff by auto
qed

```

lemma rank-Gauss-Jordan-eq:
fixes $A::\text{real}^n \times \text{mod-type}^m$:
shows $\text{rank } A = (\text{let } A' = (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A) \text{ in } \text{card} \{ \text{row } i A' \mid i. \text{row } i A' \neq 0 \})$
by (metis (mono-tags) rank-Gauss-Jordan rref-Gauss-Jordan rref-rank)

11.4 Lemmas for code generation and rank computation

lemma [code abstract]:
shows $\text{vec-nth} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-in-ij } A i j) = (\text{let } n = (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n);$
 $\text{interchange-}A = (\text{interchange-rows } A i n);$
 $A' = \text{mult-row interchange-}A i (1/\text{interchange-}A\$i\$j) \text{ in}$
 $(\% s. \text{if } s=i \text{ then } A' \$ s \text{ else } (\text{row-add } A' s i (-(\text{interchange-}A\$s\$j))) \$ s))$
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-def Let-def by fastforce

lemma rank-Gauss-Jordan-code[code]:
fixes $A::\text{real}^n \times \text{mod-type}^m$:
shows $\text{rank } A = (\text{if } A = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\text{let } A' = (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A) \text{ in } \text{to-nat} (\text{GREATEST}' a. \text{row } a A' \neq 0) + 1))$
proof (cases $A = 0$)
case True **show** ?thesis unfolding if-P[*OF True*] unfolding True rank-0 ..
next
case False
show ?thesis unfolding if-not-P[*OF False*]
unfolding rank-eq-suc-to-nat-greatest[*OF False*] Let-def is-zero-row-eq-row-zero
..
qed

lemma dim-null-space[code-unfold]:
fixes $A::\text{real}^n \times \text{mod-type}^m$:
shows $\text{dim} (\text{null-space } A) = \text{DIM} (\text{real}^n) - \text{rank} (A)$
apply (rule add-implies-diff)
using rank-nullity-theorem-matrices
unfolding col-space-eq[symmetric] rank-eq-dim-col-space[symmetric] ..

lemma rank-eq-dim-col-space'[code-unfold]:
 $\text{dim} (\text{col-space } A) = \text{rank } A$ unfolding rank-eq-dim-col-space ..

lemma dim-left-null-space[code-unfold]:
fixes $A::\text{real}^n \times \text{mod-type}^m$:
shows $\text{dim} (\text{left-null-space } A) = \text{DIM} (\text{real}^n) - \text{rank} (A)$

```

unfolding left-null-space-eq-null-space-transpose
unfolding dim-null-space unfolding rank-transpose ..

lemmas rank-col-rank[symmetric, code-unfold]
lemmas rank-def[symmetric, code-unfold]
lemmas row-rank-def[symmetric, code-unfold]
lemmas col-rank-def[symmetric, code-unfold]
lemmas DIM-cart[code-unfold]
lemmas DIM-real[code-unfold]

end

```

12 Obtaining explicitly the invertible matrix which transforms a matrix to its reduced row echelon form

```

theory Gauss-Jordan-PA
imports
  Gauss-Jordan
  Miscellaneous
begin

```

12.1 Definitions

The following algorithm is similar to *Gauss-Jordan*, but in this case we will also return the P matrix which makes *Gauss-Jordan* $A = P \star\star A$. If A is invertible, this matrix P will be the inverse of it.

```

definition Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA :: (('a::semiring-1, inverse, one, uminus) ^'rows::{finite,
ord} ^'rows::{finite, ord}) × ('a ^'cols ^'rows::{finite, ord})) => 'rows=>'cols
=>((('a ^'rows::{finite, ord}) ^'rows::{finite, ord}) × ('a ^'cols ^'rows::{finite, ord}))
where Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA A' i j = (let P=fst A'; A=snd A';

```

$n = (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n);$

$\text{interchange-}A = (\text{interchange-rows } A i n);$

$\text{interchange-}P = (\text{interchange-rows } P i n);$

$P' = \text{mult-row interchange-}P i (1 / \text{interchange-}A\$i\$j)$
in

$(\text{vec-lambda}(\% s. \text{if } s=i \text{ then } P' \$ s \text{ else } (\text{row-add}$
 $P' s i (-(\text{interchange-}A\$s\$j))) \$ s), \text{Gauss-Jordan-in-ij } A i j))$

```

definition Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA A' k =

```

$(\text{let } P = \text{fst } A';$

$i = \text{fst } (\text{snd } A');$

$A = \text{snd } (\text{snd } A');$

$\text{from-nat-}i = \text{from-nat } i;$

$\text{from-nat-}k = \text{from-nat } k$

in

if ($\forall m \geq from\text{-}nat\text{-}i. A \$ m \$ from\text{-}nat\text{-}k = 0$) $\vee i = nrows A$ *then* (P, i, A)

else (*let* $Gauss = Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}in\text{-}ij\text{-}PA (P, A)$ ($from\text{-}nat\text{-}i$) ($from\text{-}nat\text{-}k$)
in (*fst* $Gauss, i + 1, snd Gauss$)))

definition $Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}upt\text{-}k\text{-}PA A k = (let foldl=(foldl Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}column\text{-}k\text{-}PA (mat 1,0, A) [0..<Suc k]) in (fst foldl, snd (snd foldl)))$

definition $Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}PA A = Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}upt\text{-}k\text{-}PA A (ncols A - 1)$

12.2 Proofs

12.2.1 Properties about $Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}in\text{-}ij\text{-}PA$

The following lemmas are very important in order to improve the efficiency of the code

We define the following function to obtain an efficient code for $Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}in\text{-}ij\text{-}PA A i j$.

definition $Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}wrapper i j A B = vec\text{-}lambda(\%s. if s=i then A \$ s else (row\text{-}add A s i (-(B\$s\$j))) \$ s)$

lemma $Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}wrapper\text{-}code[code abstract]:$

$vec\text{-}nth (Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}wrapper i j A B) = (\%s. if s=i then A \$ s else (row\text{-}add A s i (-(B\$s\$j))) \$ s)$

unfolding $Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}wrapper\text{-}def$ **by force**

lemma $Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}in\text{-}ij\text{-}PA\text{-}def'[code]:$

$Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}in\text{-}ij\text{-}PA A' i j = (let P=fst A'; A=snd A';$

$n = (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n);$

$interchange\text{-}A = (interchange\text{-}rows A i n);$

$A' = mult\text{-}row interchange\text{-}A i (1 / interchange\text{-}A\$i\$j);$

$interchange\text{-}P = (interchange\text{-}rows P i n);$

$P' = mult\text{-}row interchange\text{-}P i (1 / interchange\text{-}A\$i\$j)$

in

$(Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}wrapper i j P' interchange\text{-}A,$

$Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}wrapper i j A' interchange\text{-}A))$

unfolding $Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}in\text{-}ij\text{-}PA\text{-}def$ $Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}in\text{-}ij\text{-}def$ $Let\text{-}def$ $Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}wrapper\text{-}def$
by auto

The second component is equal to $Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}in\text{-}ij$

lemma $snd\text{-}Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}in\text{-}ij\text{-}PA\text{-}eq[code-unfold]:$ $snd (Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}in\text{-}ij\text{-}PA (P, A) i j) = Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}in\text{-}ij A i j$

unfolding $Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}in\text{-}ij\text{-}PA\text{-}def$ $Let\text{-}def$ $snd\text{-conv ..}$

lemma $fst\text{-}Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}in\text{-}ij\text{-}PA:$

fixes $A::'a::\{field\} ^\prime cols::\{mod\text{-}type\} ^\prime rows::\{mod\text{-}type\}$

assumes $PB\text{-}A: P ** B = A$

shows $fst (Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}in\text{-}ij\text{-}PA (P, A) i j) ** B = snd (Gauss\text{-}Jordan\text{-}in\text{-}ij\text{-}PA (P, A) i j)$

```

proof (unfold Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA-def' Gauss-Jordan-wrapper-def Let-def fst-conv
snd-conv, subst (1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10) interchange-rows-mat-1[symmetric], subst
vec-eq-iff, auto)
show (( $\chi s. \text{if } s = i \text{ then mult-row (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** P) i (1 / (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ i \$ j) \$ s$ 
 $\text{else row-add (mult-row (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** P) i (1 / (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ i \$ j)) s i$ 
 $\quad (- (\text{interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ s \$ j) \$ s) ** B) \$ i =$ 
 $\quad \text{mult-row (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) i (1 / (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ i \$ j) \$ i$ 
proof (unfold matrix-matrix-mult-def, vector, auto)
fix ia
have mult-row (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n)
** P) i (1 / (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ i \$ j)
** B = mult-row (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) i (1 / (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ i \$ j)
by(subst (5) PB-A[symmetric], subst (1 2) mult-row-mat-1[symmetric], unfold
matrix-mul-assoc, rule refl)
thus ( $\sum_{k \in \text{UNIV}} \text{mult-row } (\chi ia ja. \sum_{k \in \text{UNIV}} \text{interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) \$ ia \$ k * P \$ k \$ ja) i$ 
 $\quad (1 / (\sum_{k \in \text{UNIV}} \text{mat 1 \$ (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) \$ k * A \$ k \$ j)) \$ i \$ k * B \$ k \$ ia) =$ 
 $\quad \text{mult-row } (\chi ia ja. \sum_{k \in \text{UNIV}} \text{interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) \$ ia \$ k * A \$ k \$ ja) i$ 
 $\quad (1 / (\sum_{k \in \text{UNIV}} \text{mat 1 \$ (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) \$ k * A \$ k \$ j)) \$ i \$ ia$ 
unfolding matrix-matrix-mult-def
unfolding vec-lambda-beta unfolding interchange-rows-i using setsum-cong2
by (metis (lifting, no-types) vec-lambda-beta)
qed
next
fix ia assume ia-not-i: ia \neq i
have (( $\chi s. \text{if } s = i \text{ then mult-row (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** P) i (1 / (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ i \$ j) \$$ 
 $\quad s \text{ else row-add (mult-row (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** P) i (1 / (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ i \$ j)) s$ 
 $\quad i (- (\text{interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ s \$ j) \$ s) ** B) \$ ia =$ 
 $\quad ((\chi s. \text{row-add (mult-row (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** P) i (1 / (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ i \$ j))) s$ 
```

```

 $i \left( -(\text{interchange-rows} (\text{mat } 1) i (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ s \$ j \right) \$ s) ** B) \$ ia$ 
unfolding row-matrix-matrix-mult[symmetric]
using ia-not-i by auto
also have ... = row-add (mult-row (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** P) i (1 / (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ i \$ j)) ia i
 $\left( -(\text{interchange-rows} (\text{mat } 1) i (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ ia \$ j \right) \$ ia v* B$ 
by (subst (3) row-matrix-matrix-mult[symmetric], simp)
also have ... = row-add (mult-row (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) i (1 / (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ i \$ j)) ia i
 $\left( -(\text{interchange-rows} (\text{mat } 1) i (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ ia \$ j \right) \$ ia$ 
apply (subst (7) PB-A[symmetric])
apply (subst (1 2) mult-row-mat-1[symmetric])
apply (subst (1 2) row-add-mat-1[symmetric])
unfolding matrix-mul-assoc
unfolding row-matrix-matrix-mult ..
finally show (( $\chi$  s. if  $s = i$  then mult-row (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** P) i (1 / (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ i \$ j) \$ s
else row-add (mult-row (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** P) i (1 / (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ i \$ j)) s i
 $\left( -(\text{interchange-rows} (\text{mat } 1) i (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ s \$ j \right) \$ s) ** B) \$ ia =$ 
row-add (mult-row (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) i (1 / (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ i \$ j)) ia i
 $\left( -(\text{interchange-rows} (\text{mat } 1) i (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) ** A) \$ ia \$ j \right) \$ ia .$ 
qed

```

12.2.2 Properties about Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA

```

lemma fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-k:
assumes  $i \leq \text{nrows } A$ 
shows fst (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (i, A) k)  $\leq \text{nrows } A$ 
using assms unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def by auto

lemma fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA:
fixes  $A :: 'a :: \{\text{field}\} ^\wedge \text{cols} :: \{\text{mod-type}\} ^\wedge \text{rows} :: \{\text{mod-type}\}$ 
assumes PB-A:  $P ** B = A$ 
shows fst (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (P, i, A) k) ** B = snd (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (P, i, A) k))
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-def unfolding Let-def
unfolding fst-conv snd-conv by (auto intro: assms fst-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA)

```

```

lemma snd-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-eq:
shows snd (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (P,i,A) k)) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (i,A) k)
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def unfolding
Let-def snd-conv fst-conv unfolding snd-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA-eq by auto

```

```

lemma fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-eq:
shows fst (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (P,i,A) k)) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (i,A) k)
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def unfolding
Let-def snd-conv fst-conv by auto

```

12.2.3 Properties about *Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA*

```

lemma fst-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
shows fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA A k) ** A = snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA A k)
proof (induct k)
show fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA A 0) ** A = snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA A 0)
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv
apply auto unfolding snd-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-eq by (metis fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA matrix-mul-lid snd-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-eq)
next
case (Suc k)
have suc-rw: [0..<Suc (Suc k)] = [0..<Suc k] @ [Suc k] by simp
show ?case
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv
unfolding suc-rw unfolding foldl-append unfolding List.foldl.simps using Suc.hyps[unfolded Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv]
by (metis fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA pair-collapse)
qed

```

```

lemma snd-foldl-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-eq:
snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (mat 1, 0, A) [0..<k]) = foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<k]
proof (induct k)
case 0
show ?case by simp
case (Suc k)
have suc-rw: [0..<Suc k] = [0..<k] @ [k] by simp
show ?case
unfolding suc-rw foldl-append unfolding List.foldl.simps by (metis Suc.hyps fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-eq snd-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-eq surjective-pairing)
qed

```

```

lemma snd-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA:
shows snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA A k) = (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k A k)

```

unfolding *Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-def Let-def*
using *snd-foldl-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-eq[of A Suc k]* **by** *simp*

12.2.4 Properties about *Gauss-Jordan-PA*

```
lemma fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA:  

fixes A::'a::{field} ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}  

shows fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A) ** A = snd (Gauss-Jordan-PA A)  

unfolding Gauss-Jordan-PA-def using fst-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA by simp
```

```
lemma Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq:  

shows snd (Gauss-Jordan-PA A) = (Gauss-Jordan A)  

by (metis Gauss-Jordan-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-def snd-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA)
```

12.2.5 Proving that the transformation has been carried out by means of elementary operations

This function is very similar to *row-add-iterate* one. It allows us to prove that *fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A)* is an invertible matrix. Concretely, it has been defined to demonstrate that *fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A)* has been obtained by means of elementary operations applied to the identity matrix

```
fun row-add-iterate-PA :: (('a::semiring-1, uminus) ^'m::mod-type ^'m::mod-type)  

  × ('a ^'n ^'m::mod-type) => nat => 'm => 'n =>  

  (('a ^'m::mod-type ^'m::mod-type) × ('a ^'n ^'m::mod-type))  

where row-add-iterate-PA (P,A) 0 i j = (if i=0 then (P,A) else (row-add P 0 i (-A $ 0 $ j), row-add A 0 i (-A $ 0 $ j)))  

| row-add-iterate-PA (P,A) (Suc n) i j = (if (Suc n = to-nat i) then  

row-add-iterate-PA (P,A) n i j  

else row-add-iterate-PA ((row-add P (from-nat (Suc n)) i (- A $ (from-nat (Suc n)) $ j)), (row-add A (from-nat (Suc n)) i (- A $ (from-nat (Suc n)) $ j))) n i j)
```

```
lemma fst-row-add-iterate-PA-preserves-greater-than-n:  

assumes n: n < nrows A  

and a: to-nat a > n  

shows fst (row-add-iterate-PA (P,A) n i j) $ a $ b = P $ a $ b  

using assms  

proof (induct n arbitrary: A P)  

case 0  

show ?case unfolding row-add-iterate.simps  

proof (auto)  

assume i ≠ 0  

hence a ≠ 0 by (metis 0.prems(2) less-numeral-extra(3) to-nat-0)  

thus row-add P 0 i (- A $ 0 $ j) $ a $ b = P $ a $ b unfolding row-add-def  

by auto  

qed  

next  

case (Suc n)
```

```

have row-add-iterate-A: fst (row-add-iterate-PA (P,A) n i j) $ a $ b = P $ a $ b
  using Suc.hyps Suc.preds by auto
  show ?case
  proof (cases Suc n = to-nat i)
    case True
      show fst (row-add-iterate-PA (P, A) (Suc n) i j) $ a $ b = P $ a $ b unfolding
        row-add-iterate-PA.simps if-P[OF True] using row-add-iterate-A .
    next
    case False
      def A' ≡ row-add A (from-nat (Suc n)) i (- A $ from-nat (Suc n) $ j)
      def P' ≡ row-add P (from-nat (Suc n)) i (- A $ from-nat (Suc n) $ j)
      have row-add-iterate-A': fst (row-add-iterate-PA (P',A') n i j) $ a $ b = P' $ a $ b
        using Suc.hyps Suc.preds unfolding nrows-def by auto
      have from-nat-not-a: from-nat (Suc n) ≠ a by (metis less-not-refl Suc.preds
        to-nat-from-nat-id nrows-def)
      show fst (row-add-iterate-PA (P, A) (Suc n) i j) $ a $ b = P $ a $ b unfolding
        row-add-iterate-PA.simps if-not-P[OF False] row-add-iterate-A'[unfolded
          A'-def P'-def]
        unfolding row-add-def using from-nat-not-a by simp
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma snd-row-add-iterate-PA-eq-row-add-iterate:
shows snd (row-add-iterate-PA (P,A) n i j) = row-add-iterate A n i j
proof (induct n arbitrary: P A)
  case 0
  show ?case unfolding row-add-iterate-PA.simps row-add-iterate.simps by simp
  next
  case (Suc n)
  show ?case unfolding row-add-iterate-PA.simps row-add-iterate.simps by (simp
    add: Suc.hyps)
  qed

lemma row-add-iterate-PA-preserves-pivot-row:
assumes n: n < nrows A
and a: to-nat i ≤ n
shows fst (row-add-iterate-PA (P,A) n i j) $ i $ b = P $ i $ b
using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: P A)
  case 0
  show ?case by (metis 0.preds(2) fst-conv le-0-eq row-add-iterate-PA.simps(1)
    to-nat-eq-0)
  next
  case (Suc n)
  show ?case
  proof (cases Suc n = to-nat i)
    case True show ?thesis unfolding row-add-iterate-PA.simps if-P[OF True]
  
```

```

proof (rule fst-row-add-iterate-PA-preserves-greater-than-n)
  show  $n < \text{nrows } A$  by (metis Suc.prems(1) Suc-lessD)
  show  $n < \text{to-nat } i$  by (metis True lessI)
  qed
next
case False
def  $P' == \text{row-add } P (\text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } n)) i (- A \$ \text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } n) \$ j)$ 
def  $A' == \text{row-add } A (\text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } n)) i (- A \$ \text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } n) \$ j)$ 
have  $\text{from-nat-noteq-}i : \text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } n) \neq i$  using False Suc.prems(1) from-nat-not-eq
unfolding nrows-def by blast
have hyp: fst (row-add-iterate-PA (P', A') n i j) $ i $ b = P' $ i $ b
proof (rule Suc.hyps)
  show  $n < \text{nrows } A'$  using Suc.prems(1) unfolding nrows-def by simp
  show  $\text{to-nat } i \leq n$  using Suc.prems(2) False by simp
  qed
  show ?thesis unfolding row-add-iterate-PA.simps unfolding if-not-P[OF False]
  unfolding hyp[unfolded A'-def P'-def]
  unfolding row-add-def using from-nat-noteq-i by auto
  qed
  qed

lemma fst-row-add-iterate-PA-eq-row-add:
  fixes  $A :: a :: \{\text{ring-1}\}^n m :: \{\text{mod-type}\}$ 
  assumes  $a \neq i$ 
  and  $n :: n < \text{nrows } A$ 
  and  $\text{to-nat } a \leq n$ 
  shows fst (row-add-iterate-PA (P, A) n i j) $ a $ b = (row-add P a i (- A \$ a \$ j)) $ a $ b
  using assms
proof (induct n arbitrary: A P)
case 0 show ?case by (metis 0.prems(3) a-not-i fst-conv le-0-eq row-add-iterate-PA.simps(1) to-nat-eq-0)
next
case (Suc n)
show ?case
proof (cases Suc n = to-nat i)
case True
show ?thesis
unfolding row-add-iterate-PA.simps if-P[OF True]
proof (rule Suc.hyps[OF a-not-i])
show  $n < \text{nrows } A$  by (metis Suc.prems(2) Suc-lessD)
show  $\text{to-nat } a \leq n$  by (metis Suc.prems(3) True a-not-i le-SucE to-nat-eq)
qed
next
case False note Suc-n-not-i=False
show ?thesis
proof (cases to-nat a = Suc n)
case True

```

```

show fst (row-add-iterate-PA (P, A) (Suc n) i j) $ a $ b = row-add P a i (- A
$ a $ j) $ a $ b
unfolding row-add-iterate-PA.simps if-not-P[OF False]
by (metis Suc-le-lessD True dual-order.order-refl less-imp-le fst-row-add-iterate-PA-preserves-greater-than-n
Suc.prems(2) to-nat-from-nat nrows-def)
next
case False
def A'≡(row-add A (from-nat (Suc n)) i (- A $ from-nat (Suc n) $ j))
def P'≡(row-add P (from-nat (Suc n)) i (- A $ from-nat (Suc n) $ j))
have rw: fst (row-add-iterate-PA (P',A') n i j) $ a $ b = row-add P' a i (-
A' $ a $ j) $ a $ b
proof (rule Suc.hyps)
  show a ≠ i using Suc.prems(1) by simp
  show n < nrows A' using Suc.prems(2) unfolding nrows-def by auto
  show to-nat a ≤ n using False Suc.prems(3) by simp
qed

have rw1: P' $ a $ b = P $ a $ b
  unfolding P'-def row-add-def using False Suc.prems unfolding nrows-def
by (auto simp add: to-nat-from-nat-id)
have rw2: A' $ a $ j = A $ a $ j
  unfolding A'-def row-add-def using False Suc.prems unfolding nrows-def
by (auto simp add: to-nat-from-nat-id)
have rw3: P' $ i $ b = P $ i $ b
  unfolding P'-def row-add-def using False Suc.prems Suc-n-not-i unfolding
  nrows-def by (auto simp add: to-nat-from-nat-id)
show fst (row-add-iterate-PA (P, A) (Suc n) i j) $ a $ b = row-add P a i (- A
$ a $ j) $ a $ b
unfolding row-add-iterate-PA.simps if-not-P[OF Suc-n-not-i] unfolding rw[unfolded
P'-def A'-def]
  unfolding A'-def[symmetric] P'-def[symmetric] unfolding row-add-def apply
auto
unfolding rw1 rw2 rw3 ..
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *fst-row-add-iterate-PA-eq-fst-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA*:

fixes $A::'a::\{field\} ^\wedge cols::\{mod-type\} ^\wedge rows::\{mod-type\}$

and $i::'rows$ **and** $j::'cols$

and $P::'a::\{field\} ^\wedge rows::\{mod-type\} ^\wedge rows::\{mod-type\}$

defines $A': A' == mult_row (interchange_rows A i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)) i (1 / (interchange_rows A i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)) \$ i \$ j)$

defines $P': P' == mult_row (interchange_rows P i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)) i (1 / (interchange_rows A i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)) \$ i \$ j)$

shows $fst (row-add-iterate-PA (P',A') (nrows A - 1) i j) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA$

```

(P,A) i j)
proof (unfold Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA-def Let-def, vector, auto)
fix ia
have interchange-rw: interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ i $ j = A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ j
using interchange-rows-j[symmetric, of A (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)] by auto
show fst (row-add-iterate-PA (P', A') (nrows A - Suc 0) i j) $ i $ ia =
      mult-row (interchange-rows P i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)) i (1 / A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ j) $ i $ ia
unfolding A' P' interchange-rw
proof (rule row-add-iterate-PA-preserves-pivot-row, unfold nrows-def)
show CARD('rows) - Suc 0 < CARD('rows) by auto
show to-nat i ≤ CARD('rows) - Suc 0 by (metis Suc-pred leD not-less-eq-eq to-nat-less-card zero-less-card-finite)
qed
next
fix ia iaa
have interchange-rw: A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ j = interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ i $ j
using interchange-rows-j[symmetric, of A (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)] by auto
assume ia-not-i: ia ≠ i
have rw: (– interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ ia $ j) = – mult-row (interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)) i (1 / interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ i $ j) $ ia $ j
unfolding interchange-rows-def mult-row-def using ia-not-i by auto
show fst (row-add-iterate-PA (P', A') (nrows A - Suc 0) i j) $ ia $ iaa
      = row-add (mult-row (interchange-rows P i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)) i (1 / A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ j)) ia i
      (– interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ ia $ j) $ ia $ iaa
unfolding interchange-rw unfolding A' P' unfolding rw
proof (rule fst-row-add-iterate-PA-eq-row-add, unfold nrows-def)
show ia ≠ i using ia-not-i .
show CARD('rows) - Suc 0 < CARD('rows) using zero-less-card-finite by auto
show to-nat ia ≤ CARD('rows) - Suc 0 by (metis Suc-pred leD not-less-eq-eq to-nat-less-card zero-less-card-finite)
qed
qed

```

lemma invertible-fst-row-add-iterate-PA:

```

fixes A::'a::{ring-1} ^'n ^'m::{mod-type}
assumes n: n < nrows A
and inv-P: invertible P
shows invertible (fst (row-add-iterate-PA (P,A) n i j))
using n inv-P
proof (induct n arbitrary: A P)
case 0

```

```

show ?case
  proof (unfold row-add-iterate-PA.simps, auto simp add: 0.prems)
    assume i-not-0:  $i \neq 0$ 
    have row-add  $P 0 i (- A \$ 0 \$ j) = \text{row-add} (\text{mat } 1) 0 i (- A \$ 0 \$ j)$  **
   $P$  unfolding row-add-mat-1 ..
    show invertible (row-add  $P 0 i (- A \$ 0 \$ j)$ )
      by (subst row-add-mat-1[symmetric], rule invertible-mult, auto simp add:
invertible-row-add[of 0 i (- A \$ 0 \$ j)] i-not-0 0.prems)
    qed
  next
    case (Suc n)
    show ?case
      proof (cases Suc n = to-nat i)
        case True
        show ?thesis unfolding row-add-iterate-PA.simps if-P[OF True] using
Suc.hyps Suc.prems by simp
        next
        case False
        show ?thesis
          proof (unfold row-add-iterate-PA.simps if-not-P[OF False], rule Suc.hyps,
unfold nrows-def)
            show  $n < \text{CARD}'(m)$  using Suc.prems(1) unfolding nrows-def by
simp
            show invertible (row-add  $P (\text{from-nat} (\text{Suc } n)) i (- A \$ \text{from-nat} (\text{Suc } n) \$ j)$ )
              proof (subst row-add-mat-1[symmetric], rule invertible-mult, rule
invertible-row-add)
                show from-nat (Suc n)  $\neq i$  using False Suc.prems(1) from-nat-not-eq
unfolding nrows-def by blast
                show invertible  $P$  using Suc.prems(2) .
              qed
            qed
          qed
        qed
      qed

```

```

lemma invertible-fst-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA:
  fixes  $A::'a::\{\text{field}\}^n::\{\text{mod-type}\}^m::\{\text{mod-type}\}$ 
  assumes inv-P: invertible  $P$ 
  and not-all-zero:  $\neg (\forall m \geq i. A \$ m \$ j = 0)$ 
  shows invertible (fst (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA (P,A) i j))
  proof (unfold fst-row-add-iterate-PA-eq-fst-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA[symmetric], rule
invertible-fst-row-add-iterate-PA, simp add: nrows-def,
subst interchange-rows-mat-1[symmetric], subst mult-row-mat-1[symmetric], rule
invertible-mult)
  show invertible (mult-row (mat 1) i (1 / interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A \$ n
\$ j  $\neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) \$ i \$ j)) )
  proof (rule invertible-mult-row')
    have interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j  $\neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) \$ i \$ j = A$$ 
```

```

\$ (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) \$ j by simp
  also have ... ≠ 0 by (metis (lifting, mono-tags) LeastI-ex not-all-zero)
  finally show 1 / interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)
\$ i \$ j ≠ 0
  unfolding inverse-eq-divide[symmetric] using nonzero-imp-inverse-nonzero
by blast
qed
show invertible (interchange-rows (mat 1) i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)
** P)
  by (rule invertible-mult, rule invertible-interchange-rows, rule inv-P)
qed

```

```

lemma invertible-fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'m::{mod-type}
assumes inv-P: invertible P
shows invertible (fst (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (P,i,A) k))
proof (unfold Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-def Let-def snd-conv fst-conv, auto simp
add: inv-P)
fix m
assume i-less-m: from-nat i ≤ m and Amk-not-0: A \$ m \$ from-nat k ≠ 0
show invertible (fst (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA (P, A) (from-nat i) (from-nat k)))
  by (rule invertible-fst-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA[OF inv-P], auto intro!: i-less-m Amk-not-0)
qed

```

```

lemma invertible-fst-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'m::{mod-type}
shows invertible (fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA A k))
proof (induct k)
case 0
show ?case unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA-def Let-def fst-conv by (simp add:
invertible-fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA invertible-mat-1)
next
case (Suc k)
have list-rw: [0..

```

```

lemma invertible-fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'m::{mod-type}
shows invertible (fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A))
by (unfold Gauss-Jordan-PA-def, rule invertible-fst-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA)

```

```

definition P-Gauss-Jordan A = fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A)

```

```
end
```

13 Computing determinants of matrices using the Gauss Jordan algorithm

```
theory Determinants2
```

```
imports
```

```
Gauss-Jordan-PA
```

```
begin
```

13.1 Some previous properties

13.1.1 Relationships between determinants and elementary row operations

```
lemma det-interchange-rows:
```

```
shows det (interchange-rows A i j) = of-int (if i = j then 1 else -1) * det A
```

```
proof -
```

```
have (interchange-rows A i j) = ( $\chi$  a. A $ (Fun.swap i j id) a) unfolding  
interchange-rows-def Fun.swap-def by vector
```

```
hence det(interchange-rows A i j) = det( $\chi$  a. A$(Fun.swap i j id) a) by simp
```

```
also have ... = of-int (sign (Fun.swap i j id)) * det A by (rule det-permute-rows[of  
Fun.swap i j id A], simp add: permutes-swap-id)
```

```
finally show ?thesis unfolding sign-swap-id .
```

```
qed
```

```
corollary det-interchange-different-rows:
```

```
assumes i-not-j: i ≠ j
```

```
shows det (interchange-rows A i j) = - det A unfolding det-interchange-rows  
using i-not-j by simp
```

```
corollary det-interchange-same-rows:
```

```
assumes i-eq-j: i = j
```

```
shows det (interchange-rows A i j) = det A unfolding det-interchange-rows using  
i-eq-j by simp
```

```
lemma det-mult-row:
```

```
shows det (mult-row A a k) = k * det A
```

```
proof -
```

```
have A-rw: ( $\chi$  i. if i = a then A$a else A$i) = A by vector
```

```
have (mult-row A a k) = ( $\chi$  i. if i = a then k *s A $ a else A $ i) unfolding  
mult-row-def by vector
```

```
hence det(mult-row A a k) = det( $\chi$  i. if i = a then k *s A $ a else A $ i) by  
simp
```

```
also have ... = k * det( $\chi$  i. if i = a then A$a else A$i) unfolding det-row-mul
```

```
..
```

```
also have ... = k * det A unfolding A-rw ..
```

```
finally show ?thesis .
```

qed

```
lemma det-row-add':
fixes A::'a::{linordered-idom} ^'n ^'n
assumes i-not-j: i ≠ j
shows det (row-add A i j q) = det A
proof -
have (row-add A i j q) = (χ k. if k = i then row i A + q *s row j A else row k A)
unfolding row-add-def row-def by vector
hence det(row-add A i j q) = det(χ k. if k = i then row i A + q *s row j A else
row k A) by simp
also have ... = det A unfolding det-row-operation[OF i-not-j] ..
finally show ?thesis .
qed
```

13.1.2 Relationships between determinants and elementary column operations

```
lemma det-interchange-columns:
shows det (interchange-columns A i j) = of-int (if i = j then 1 else -1) * det A
proof -
have (interchange-columns A i j) = (χ a b. A $ a $ (Fun.swap i j id) b) unfolding
interchange-columns-def Fun.swap-def by vector
hence det(interchange-columns A i j) = det(χ a b. A $ a $ (Fun.swap i j id) b)
by simp
also have ... = of-int (sign (Fun.swap i j id)) * det A by (rule det-permute-columns[of
Fun.swap i j id A], simp add: permutes-swap-id)
finally show ?thesis unfolding sign-swap-id .
qed
```

```
corollary det-interchange-different-columns:
assumes i-not-j: i ≠ j
shows det (interchange-columns A i j) = - det A unfolding det-interchange-columns
using i-not-j by simp
```

```
corollary det-interchange-same-columns:
assumes i-eq-j: i = j
shows det (interchange-columns A i j) = det A unfolding det-interchange-columns
using i-eq-j by simp
```

```
lemma det-mult-columns:
shows det (mult-column A a k) = k * det A
proof -
have mult-column A a k = transpose (mult-row (transpose A) a k) unfolding
transpose-def mult-row-def mult-column-def by vector
hence det (mult-column A a k) = det (transpose (mult-row (transpose A) a k))
by simp
also have ... = det (mult-row (transpose A) a k) unfolding det-transpose ..
```

```

also have ... =  $k * \det(\text{transpose } A)$  unfolding det-mult-row ..
also have ... =  $k * \det A$  unfolding det-transpose ..
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma det-column-add:
fixes  $A :: 'a :: \{\text{linordered-idom}\}^n^n$ 
assumes i-not-j:  $i \neq j$ 
shows  $\det(\text{column-add } A i j q) = \det A$ 
proof -
have  $(\text{column-add } A i j q) = (\text{transpose } (\text{row-add } (\text{transpose } A) i j q))$  unfolding
transpose-def column-add-def row-add-def by vector
hence  $\det(\text{column-add } A i j q) = \det(\text{transpose } (\text{row-add } (\text{transpose } A) i j q))$ 
by simp
also have ... =  $\det(\text{row-add } (\text{transpose } A) i j q)$  unfolding det-transpose ..
also have ... =  $\det A$  unfolding det-row-add'[OF i-not-j] det-transpose ..
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

13.2 Proving that the determinant can be computed by means of the Gauss Jordan algorithm

13.2.1 Previous properties

```

lemma det-row-add-iterate-up-n:
fixes  $A :: 'a :: \{\text{linordered-idom}\}^n :: \{\text{mod-type}\}^n :: \{\text{mod-type}\}$ 
assumes n:  $n < \text{nrows } A$ 
shows  $\det(\text{row-add-iterate } A n i j) = \det A$ 
using n
proof (induct n arbitrary: A)
case 0
show ?case unfolding row-add-iterate.simps using det-row-add'[of 0 i A] by auto
next
case (Suc n)
show ?case unfolding row-add-iterate.simps
proof (auto)
show  $\det(\text{row-add-iterate } A n i j) = \det A$  using Suc.hyps Suc.prems by simp
assume Suc-n-not-i:  $\text{Suc } n \neq \text{to-nat } i$ 
have  $\det(\text{row-add-iterate } (\text{row-add } A (\text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } n)) i (- A \$ \text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } n) \$ j)) n i j)$ 
=  $\det(\text{row-add } A (\text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } n)) i (- A \$ \text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } n) \$ j))$ 
proof (rule Suc.hyps, unfold nrows-def)
show  $n < \text{CARD}'(n)$  using Suc.prems unfolding nrows-def by auto
qed
also have ... =  $\det A$ 
proof (rule det-row-add', rule ccontr, simp)
assume from-nat (Suc n) = i
hence to-nat (from-nat (Suc n)) = to-nat i by simp
hence (Suc n) = to-nat i unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id[OF Suc.prems[unfolded
nrows-def]] .

```

```

thus False using Suc-n-not-i by contradiction
qed
finally show det (row-add-iterate (row-add A (from-nat (Suc n)) i (- A $ from-nat
(Suc n) $ j)) n i j) = det A .
qed
qed

```

corollary *det-row-add-iterate*:

```

fixes A::'a::{linordered-idom} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}
shows det (row-add-iterate A (nrows A - 1) i j) = det A
by (metis det-row-add-iterate-upd-n diff-less neq0-conv nrows-not-0 zero-less-one)

```

lemma *det-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij*:

```

fixes A::'a::{field, linordered-idom} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type} and i j::'n
defines A': A' == mult-row (interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) i (1 / (interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)) $ i $ j))
shows det (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A i j) = det A'
proof –
have nrows-eq: nrows A' = nrows A unfolding nrows-def by simp
have row-add-iterate A' (nrows A - 1) i j = Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A i j using
row-add-iterate-eq-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij unfolding A'.
hence det (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A i j) = det (row-add-iterate A' (nrows A - 1) i j) by simp
also have ... = det A' by (rule det-row-add-iterate[of A', unfolded nrows-eq])
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

lemma *det-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-1*:

```

fixes A::'a::{field, linordered-idom} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type} and i j::'n
defines A': A' == mult-row (interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) i (1 / (interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)) $ i $ j))
assumes i: (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) = i
shows det (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A i j) = 1/(A$i$j) * det A
proof –
have det (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A i j) = det A' using det-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij unfolding A' by auto
also have ... = 1/(A$i$j) * det A unfolding A' det-mult-row unfolding i det-interchange-rows by auto
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

lemma *det-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-2*:

```

fixes A::'a::{field, linordered-idom} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type} and i j::'n
defines A': A' == mult-row (interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) i (1 / (interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)) $ i $ j))

```

```

 $\leq n)) i (1 / (interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n)) \$ i \$ j)$ 
assumes  $i : (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) \neq i$ 
shows  $\det(\text{Gauss-Jordan-in-ij } A i j) = -1/(A \$ (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) \$ j) * \det A$ 
proof -
  have  $\det(\text{Gauss-Jordan-in-ij } A i j) = \det A'$  using det-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij unfolding  $A'$  by auto
  also have ...  $= -1/(A \$ (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) \$ j) * \det A$  unfolding
     $A'$  det-mult-row unfolding det-interchange-rows using i by auto
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

13.2.2 Definitions

The following definitions allow the computation of the determinant of a matrix using the Gauss-Jordan algorithm. In the first component the determinant of each transformation is accumulated and the second component contains the matrix transformed into a reduced row echelon form matrix

```

definition Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P :: 'a::semiring-1, inverse, one, uminus} ^'m ^'n::finite, ord}=> 'n=>'m=>('a × ('a ^'m ^'n::finite, ord)))
  where Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P A i j = (let n = (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) in (if i = n then 1/(A \$ i \$ j) else -1/(A \$ n \$ j), Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A i j))

```

```

definition Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P A' k =
  (let det-P = fst A'; i = fst (snd A'); A = snd (snd A'); from-nat-i = from-nat i;
   from-nat-k = from-nat k
   in if ( $\forall m \geq from-nat-i. A \$ m \$ from-nat-k = 0$ )  $\vee i = nrows A$  then (det-P, i, A)
   else let gauss = Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P A (from-nat-i) (from-nat-k) in (fst gauss * det-P, i + 1, snd gauss))

```

```

definition Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P A k = (let foldl = foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P
  (1, 0, A) [0..<Suc k] in (fst foldl, snd (snd foldl)))
definition Gauss-Jordan-det-P A = Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P A (ncols A - 1)

```

13.2.3 Proofs

This is an equivalent definition created to achieve a more efficient computation.

```

lemma Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-code[code]:
shows Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P A i j =
  (let n = (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n);
   interchange-A = interchange-rows A i n;
   A' = mult-row interchange-A i (1 / interchange-A \$ i \$ j) in (if i = n then
     1/(A \$ i \$ j) else -1/(A \$ n \$ j), Gauss-Jordan-wrapper i j A' interchange-A))
  unfolding Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-def Gauss-Jordan-wrapper-def
  Let-def by auto

```

```

lemma det-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P:
fixes A::'a::{field, linordered-idom} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type} and i j::'n
shows (fst (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P A i j)) * det A = det (snd (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P
A i j))
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv
using det-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-1[of A j i]
using det-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-2[of A j i] by auto

lemma det-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P:
fixes A::'a::{field, linordered-idom} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}
assumes det: det-P * det B = det A
shows (fst (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (det-P,i,A) k)) * det B = det (snd (snd
(Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (det-P,i,A) k)))
proof (unfold Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-def Let-def, auto simp add: assms)
fix m
assume i-not-nrows: i ≠ nrows A
and i-less-m: from-nat i ≤ m
and Amk-not-0: A $ m $ from-nat k ≠ 0
show fst (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P A (from-nat i) (from-nat k)) * det-P * det B
=
det (snd (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P A (from-nat i) (from-nat k))) unfolding
mult-assoc det
unfolding det-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P ..
qed

lemma det-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P:
fixes A::'a::{field, linordered-idom} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}
shows (fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P A k)) * det A = det (snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P
A k))
proof (induct k)
case 0
show ?case
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-def Let-def unfolding fst-conv snd-conv by
(simp add:det-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P)
next
case (Suc k)
have suc-rw: [0..

```

qed

```
lemma det-Gauss-Jordan-det-P:
fixes A::'a::{field, linordered-idom} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}
shows (fst (Gauss-Jordan-det-P A)) * det A = det (snd (Gauss-Jordan-det-P A))
using det-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P unfolding Gauss-Jordan-det-P-def by simp

definition upper-triangular-upt-k A k = ( $\forall i j. j < i \wedge \text{to-nat } j < k \longrightarrow A \$ i \$ j = 0$ )
definition upper-triangular A = ( $\forall i j. j < i \longrightarrow A \$ i \$ j = 0$ )

lemma upper-triangular-upt-imp-upper-triangular:
assumes upper-triangular-upt-k A (nrows A)
shows upper-triangular A
using assms unfolding upper-triangular-upt-k-def upper-triangular-def nrows-def
using to-nat-less-card[where ?'a='b] by blast

lemma rref-imp-upper-triangular-upt:
fixes A::'a::{one, zero} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}
assumes reduced-row-echelon-form A
shows upper-triangular-upt-k A k
proof (induct k)
case 0
show ?case unfolding upper-triangular-upt-k-def by simp
next
case (Suc k)
show ?case unfolding upper-triangular-upt-k-def proof (clarify)
fix i j::'n
assume j-less-i: j < i and j-less-suc-k: to-nat j < Suc k
show A \$ i \$ j = 0
proof (cases to-nat j < k)
case True
thus ?thesis using Suc.hyps unfolding upper-triangular-upt-k-def using j-less-i
True by auto
next
case False
hence j-eq-k: to-nat j = k using j-less-suc-k by simp
have rref-suc: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k A (Suc k) by (metis assms rref-implies-rref-upt)

show ?thesis
proof (cases A \$ i \$ from-nat k = 0)
case True
have from-nat k = j by (metis from-nat-to-nat-id j-eq-k)
thus ?thesis using True by simp
next
case False
have zero-i-k: is-zero-row-upt-k i k A unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def
```

```

by (metis (hide-lams, mono-tags) Suc.hyps dual-linorder.leD dual-linorder.le-less-linear
dual-order.less-imp-le j-eq-k j-less-i le-trans to-nat-mono' upper-triangular-upt-k-def)
have not-zero-i-suc-k:  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upt-k i (Suc k) A unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def
using False by (metis j-eq-k lessI to-nat-from-nat)
have Least-eq: (LEAST n. A $ i $ n  $\neq$  0) = from-nat k
proof (rule Least-equality)
show A $ i $ from-nat k  $\neq$  0 using False by simp
show  $\bigwedge y$ . A $ i $ y  $\neq$  0  $\implies$  from-nat k  $\leq$  y by (metis (full-types)
is-zero-row-upt-k-def not-leE to-nat-le zero-i-k)
qed
have i-not-k: i  $\neq$  from-nat k by (metis less-irrefl from-nat-to-nat-id j-eq-k
j-less-i)
show ?thesis using rref-upt-condition4-explicit[OF rref-suc not-zero-i-suc-k
i-not-k] unfolding Least-eq
using rref-upt-condition1-explicit[OF rref-suc]
using Suc.hyps unfolding upper-triangular-upt-k-def
by (metis (mono-tags) leD dual-linorder.not-leE is-zero-row-upt-k-def is-zero-row-upt-k-suc
j-eq-k j-less-i not-zero-i-suc-k to-nat-from-nat to-nat-mono')
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma rref-imp-upper-triangular:
assumes reduced-row-echelon-form A
shows upper-triangular A
by (metis assms rref-imp-upper-triangular-upt upper-triangular-upt-imp-upper-triangular)

```

```

lemma det-Gauss-Jordan[code-unfold]:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}
shows det (Gauss-Jordan A) = setprod (λi. (Gauss-Jordan A)$i$i) (UNIV:: 'n
set)
using det-upperdiagonal rref-imp-upper-triangular[OF rref-Gauss-Jordan[of A]] un-
foldng upper-triangular-def by blast

```

```

lemma snd-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-is-snd-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA:
shows snd (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P A i j) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA (P,A)
i j)
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA-def
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-def Let-def snd-conv fst-conv ..

```

```

lemma snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-is-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA:
shows snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (n,i,A) k) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA
(P,i,A) k)
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-def Let-def
snd-conv unfolding fst-conv

```

using *snd-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-is-snd-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA* **by** *auto*

```

lemma det-fst-row-add-iterate-PA:
  fixes  $A::'a::\{linordered-idom\}^n::\{mod-type\}^n::\{mod-type\}$ 
  assumes  $n: n < \text{nrows } A$ 
  shows  $\det(\text{fst}(\text{row-add-iterate-PA}(P, A) n i j)) = \det P$ 
  using  $n$ 
  proof (induct n arbitrary: P A)
    case  $0$ 
      show ?case unfolding row-add-iterate-PA.simps using det-row-add'[of 0 i P] by
        simp
    next
    case  $(\text{Suc } n)$ 
      have  $n: n < \text{nrows } A$  using Suc.prems by simp
      show ?case
      proof (cases Suc n = to-nat i)
        case True show ?thesis unfolding row-add-iterate-PA.simps if-P[OF True] using
          Suc.hyps[OF n] .
      next
      case False
        def  $P' == \text{row-add } P (\text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } n)) i (- A \$ \text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } n) \$ j)$ 
        def  $A' == \text{row-add } A (\text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } n)) i (- A \$ \text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } n) \$ j)$ 
        have  $n2: n < \text{nrows } A'$  using  $n$  unfolding nrows-def .
        have  $\det(\text{fst}(\text{row-add-iterate-PA}(P, A) (\text{Suc } n) i j)) = \det(\text{fst}(\text{row-add-iterate-PA}(P', A') n i j))$  unfolding row-add-iterate-PA.simps if-not-P[OF False]  $P'\text{-def}$   $A'\text{-def}$  ..
        also have ... =  $\det P'$  using Suc.hyps[OF n2] .
        also have ... =  $\det P$  unfolding  $P'\text{-def}$ 
        proof (rule det-row-add', rule ccontr, simp)
          assume  $\text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } n) = i$ 
          hence  $\text{to-nat } (\text{from-nat } (\text{Suc } n)::'n) = \text{to-nat } i$  by simp
          hence  $(\text{Suc } n) = \text{to-nat } i$  unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id[OF Suc.prems[unfolded nrows-def]] .
          thus False using False by contradiction
          qed
        finally show ?thesis .
      qed
      qed

```

```

lemma det-fst-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA-eq-fst-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P:
  fixes  $A::'a::\{\text{field}, linordered-idom\}^n::\{mod-type\}^n::\{mod-type\}$ 
  shows  $\text{fst}(\text{Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P } A i j) * \det P = \det(\text{fst}(\text{Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA}(P, A) i j))$ 
  proof -
    def  $P' \equiv \text{mult-row } (\text{interchange-rows } P i (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n)) i (1 / \text{interchange-rows } A i (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) \$ i \$ j)$ 

```

```

def A'≡mult-row (interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)) i (1
/ interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ i $ j)
have det (fst (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA (P,A) i j)) = det (fst (row-add-iterate-PA
(P',A') (nrows A - 1) i j))
unfolding fst-row-add-iterate-PA-eq-fst-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA[symmetric] A'-def
P'-def ..
also have ... = det P' by (rule det-fst-row-add-iterate-PA, simp add: nrows-def)
also have ... = (if i = (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) then 1 / A $ i $ j else
- 1 / A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ j) * det P
proof (cases i = (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n))
case True show ?thesis
unfolding if-P[OF True] P'-def unfolding True[symmetric] unfolding interchange-same-rows
unfolding det-mult-row ..
next
case False
show ?thesis unfolding if-not-P[OF False] P'-def unfolding det-mult-row un-
folding det-interchange-different-rows[OF False] by simp
qed
also have ... = fst (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P A i j) * det P
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-def by simp
finally show ?thesis ..
qed

```

```

lemma det-fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-eq-fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P:
fixes A::'a::{field,linordered-idom} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}
shows fst (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (det P,i,A) k) = det (fst (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA
(P,i,A) k))
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-def Let-def
snd-conv fst-conv
using det-fst-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA-eq-fst-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P by auto

```

```

lemma fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-eq-fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA:
shows fst (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (n,i,A) k)) = fst (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA
(P,i,A) k))
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-def Let-def
snd-conv fst-conv
by auto

```

The way of proving the following lemma is very similar to the demonstration of $?k < ncols ?A \implies \text{reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k } (\text{Gauss-Jordan-upk } ?A ?k) (\text{Suc } ?k)$

$?k < ncols ?A \implies \text{foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k } (0, ?A) [0..<\text{Suc } ?k] =$
 $(\text{if } \forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upk } m (\text{Suc } ?k) (\text{snd } (\text{foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k } (0, ?A) [0..<\text{Suc } ?k])) \text{ then } 0 \text{ else mod-type-class.to-nat } (\text{GREATEST}' n.$
 $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } n (\text{Suc } ?k) (\text{snd } (\text{foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k } (0, ?A) [0..<\text{Suc } ?k]))) + 1,$
 $\text{snd } (\text{foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k } (0, ?A) [0..<\text{Suc } ?k]))$

?k]).

```

lemma foldl-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P:
  fixes A::'a::{field,linordered-idom} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}
  shows det-fst-Gauss-Jordan-upk-PA-eq-fst-Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P: fst (Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P
  A k) = det (fst (Gauss-Jordan-upk-PA A k))
  and snd-Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P-is-snd-Gauss-Jordan-upk-PA: snd (Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P
  A k) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-upk-PA A k)
  and fst-snd-foldl-Gauss-det-P-PA: fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (1,
  0, A) [0.. $<$ Suc k])) = fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (mat 1, 0, A)
  [0.. $<$ Suc k]))
  proof (induct k)
  case 0
  show fst (Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P A 0) = det (fst (Gauss-Jordan-upk-PA A
  0))
  unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-upk-PA-def Let-def
  by (simp, metis det-fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-eq-fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P
  det-I)
  show snd (Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P A 0) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-upk-PA A 0)
  unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-upk-PA-def Let-def snd-conv
  apply auto using snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-is-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA
  by metis
  show fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (1, 0, A) [0.. $<$ Suc 0])) = fst
  (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (mat 1, 0, A) [0.. $<$ Suc 0]))
  unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-def ap-
  ply auto
  using fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-eq-fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA
  by metis
  next
  fix k
  assume hyp1: fst (Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P A k) = det (fst (Gauss-Jordan-upk-PA
  A k))
  and hyp2: snd (Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P A k) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-upk-PA A
  k)
  and hyp3: fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (1, 0, A) [0.. $<$ Suc k])) =
  fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (mat 1, 0, A) [0.. $<$ Suc k]))
  have list-rw: [0.. $<$ Suc (Suc k)] = [0.. $<$ Suc k] @ [Suc k] by simp
  have det-mat-nn: det (mat 1::'a ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}) = 1 using det-I
  by simp
  def f==foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (1, 0, A) [0.. $<$ Suc k]
  def g==foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (mat 1, 0, A) [0.. $<$ Suc k]
  have f-rw: f = (fst f, fst (snd f), snd(snd f)) by simp
  have g-rw: g = (fst g, fst (snd g), snd(snd g)) by simp
  have fst-snd: fst (snd f) = fst (snd g) unfolding f-def g-def using hyp3 un-
  folding Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-upk-PA-def Let-def fst-conv
  snd-conv .
  have snd-snd: snd (snd f) = snd (snd g) unfolding f-def g-def using hyp2 un-
  folding Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-upk-PA-def Let-def fst-conv
  snd-conv .
  have fst-det: fst f = det (fst g) unfolding f-def g-def using hyp1 unfolding
```

```

Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA-def Let-def fst-conv by simp
show fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (1, 0, A) [0..<Suc k])) = fst
(snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (mat 1, 0, A) [0..<Suc k])) ==>
fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P A (Suc k)) = det (fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA
A (Suc k)))
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-def
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA-def Let-def fst-conv
unfolding list-rw foldl-append unfolding List.foldl.simps
unfolding f-def[symmetric] g-def[symmetric]
apply (subst f-rw)
apply (subst g-rw)
unfolding fst-snd snd-snd fst-det
by (rule det-fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-eq-fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P)
show snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P A (Suc k)) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA
A (Suc k))
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-def
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA-def Let-def fst-conv
unfolding list-rw foldl-append unfolding List.foldl.simps
unfolding f-def[symmetric] g-def[symmetric]
apply (subst f-rw)
apply (subst g-rw)
unfolding fst-snd snd-snd fst-det
by (metis fst-snd pair-collapse snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-is-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA
snd-eqD snd-snd)
show fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (1, 0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)])) =
= fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (mat 1, 0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]))
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-def
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA-def Let-def fst-conv
unfolding list-rw foldl-append unfolding List.foldl.simps
unfolding f-def[symmetric] g-def[symmetric]
apply (subst f-rw)
apply (subst g-rw)
unfolding fst-snd snd-snd fst-det by (rule fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-eq-fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA)
qed

```

```

lemma snd-Gauss-Jordan-det-P-is-Gauss-Jordan:
fixes A::'a::{field, linordered-idom} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}
shows snd (Gauss-Jordan-det-P A) = (Gauss-Jordan A)
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-def unfolding snd-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-is-snd-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA ..

```

```

lemma det-snd-Gauss-Jordan-det-P[code-unfold]:
fixes A::'a::{field, linordered-idom} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}
shows det (snd (Gauss-Jordan-det-P A)) = setprod (λi. (snd (Gauss-Jordan-det-P
A))$i$i) (UNIV:: 'n set)

```

unfolding *snd-Gauss-Jordan-det-P-is-Gauss-Jordan det-Gauss-Jordan ..*

lemma *det-fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq-fst-Gauss-Jordan-det-P*:
fixes *A::'a::{field,linordered-idom} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}*
shows *fst (Gauss-Jordan-det-P A) = det (fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A))*
by (*unfold Gauss-Jordan-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-PA-def, rule det-fst-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA-eq-fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA ..*)

lemma *fst-Gauss-Jordan-det-P-not-0*:
fixes *A::'a::{field,linordered-idom} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}*
shows *fst (Gauss-Jordan-det-P A) ≠ 0*
unfolding *det-fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq-fst-Gauss-Jordan-det-P*
by (*metis (mono-tags) det-I det-mul invertible-fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA matrix-inv-right mult-zero-left zero-neq-one*)

lemma *det-code-equation[code-unfold]*:
fixes *A::'a::{field,linordered-idom} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}*
shows *det A = (let A' = Gauss-Jordan-det-P A in setprod (λi. (snd (A'))\$i\$i) (UNIV::'n set)/(fst (A')))*
unfolding *Let-def using det-Gauss-Jordan-det-P[of A]*
unfolding *det-snd-Gauss-Jordan-det-P*
by (*metis comm-semiring-1-class.normalize-semiring-rules(7) fst-Gauss-Jordan-det-P-not-0 nonzero-eq-divide-eq*)

end

14 Inverse of a matrix using the Gauss Jordan algorithm

theory *Inverse*
imports
Gauss-Jordan-PA
begin

14.1 Several properties

Properties about Gauss Jordan algorithm, reduced row echelon form, rank, identity matrix and invertibility

lemma *rref-id-implies-invertible*:
fixes *A::'a::{field} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}*
assumes *Gauss-mat-1: Gauss-Jordan A = mat 1*
shows *invertible A*
proof –

```

obtain P where P: invertible P and PA: Gauss-Jordan A = P ** A using
invertible-Gauss-Jordan[of A] by blast
have A = mat 1 ** A unfolding matrix-mul-lid ..
also have ... = (matrix-inv P ** P) ** A using P invertible-def matrix-inv-unique
by metis
also have ... = (matrix-inv P) ** (P ** A) by (metis PA assms calculation
matrix-eq matrix-vector-mul-assoc matrix-vector-mul-lid)
also have ... = (matrix-inv P) ** mat 1 unfolding PA[symmetric] Gauss-mat-1
..
also have ... = (matrix-inv P) unfolding matrix-mul-rid ..
finally have A = (matrix-inv P) .
thus ?thesis using P unfolding invertible-def using matrix-inv-unique by blast
qed

```

In the following case, nrows is equivalent to ncols due to we are working with a square matrix

```

lemma full-rank-implies-invertible:
fixes A::real^'n ^'n
assumes rank-n: rank A = nrows A
shows invertible A
proof (unfold invertible-left-inverse[of A] matrix-left-invertible-ker, clarify)
fix x
assume Ax: A *v x = 0
have rank-eq-card-n: rank A = CARD('n) using rank-n unfolding nrows-def .
have dim (null-space A)=0 unfolding dim-null-space rank-eq-card-n by simp
hence null-space A = {0} using dim-zero-eq using Ax null-space-def by auto
thus x = 0 unfolding null-space-def using Ax by blast
qed

```

```

lemma invertible-implies-full-rank:
fixes A::real^'n ^'n
assumes inv-A: invertible A
shows rank A = nrows A
proof -
have (forall x. A *v x = 0 --> x = 0) using inv-A unfolding invertible-left-inverse[unfolded
matrix-left-invertible-ker] .
hence null-space-eq-0: (null-space A) = {0} unfolding null-space-def using matrix-vector-zero
by fast
have dim-null-space: dim (null-space A) = 0 unfolding dim-def
by (rule someI2[of -0], rule exI[of - {}], simp add: independent-empty null-space-eq-0,
metis card-empty empty-subsetI null-space-eq-0 span-empty spanning-subset-independent)
show ?thesis using rank-nullity-theorem-matrices[of A] unfolding dim-null-space
rank-eq-dim-col-space nrows-def
unfolding col-space-eq unfolding DIM-real DIM-cart by simp
qed

```

```

definition id-upr-k :: 'a:{zero, one} ^'n:{mod-type} ^'n:{mod-type} ⇒ nat =>

```

```

bool
where id-upt-k A k = ( $\forall i j. \text{to-nat } i < k \wedge \text{to-nat } j < k \longrightarrow ((i = j \longrightarrow A \$ i \$ j = 1) \wedge (i \neq j \longrightarrow A \$ i \$ j = 0))$ )
lemma id-upt-nrows-mat-1:
assumes id-upt-k A (nrows A)
shows A = mat 1
unfolding mat-def apply vector using assms unfolding id-upt-k-def nrows-def
using to-nat-less-card[where ?'a='b]
by presburger

```

14.2 Computing the inverse of a matrix using the Gauss Jordan algorithm

This lemma is essential to demonstrate that the Gauss Jordan form of an invertible matrix is the identity. The proof is made by induction.

```

lemma id-upt-k-Gauss-Jordan:
fixes A::real'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}
assumes inv-A: invertible A
shows id-upt-k (Gauss-Jordan A) k
proof (induct k)
case 0
show ?case unfolding id-upt-k-def by fast
next
case (Suc k)
note id-k=Suc.hyps
have rref-k: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k (Gauss-Jordan A) k using rref-implies-rref-upt[OF rref-Gauss-Jordan] .
have rref-suc-k: reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k (Gauss-Jordan A) (Suc k) using rref-implies-rref-upt[OF rref-Gauss-Jordan] .
have inv-gj: invertible (Gauss-Jordan A) by (metis inv-A invertible-Gauss-Jordan invertible-mult)
show id-upt-k (Gauss-Jordan A) (Suc k)
proof (unfold id-upt-k-def, auto)
fix j::'n
assume j-less-suc: to-nat j < Suc k
— First of all we prove a property which will be useful later
have greatest-prop: j ≠ 0  $\Longrightarrow$  to-nat j = k  $\Longrightarrow$  (GREATEST' m.  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upt-k m k (Gauss-Jordan A)) = j – 1
proof (rule Greatest'-equality)
assume j-not-zero: j ≠ 0 and j-eq-k: to-nat j = k
have j-minus-1: to-nat (j – 1) < k by (metis (full-types) Suc-le' diff-add-cancel j-eq-k j-not-zero to-nat-mono)
show  $\neg$  is-zero-row-upt-k (j – 1) k (Gauss-Jordan A)
unfolding is-zero-row-upt-k-def
proof (auto, rule exI[of - j – 1], rule conjI)
show to-nat (j – 1) < k using j-minus-1 .
show Gauss-Jordan A \$ (j – 1) \$ (j – 1) ≠ 0 using id-k unfolding

```

```

id-upk-def using j-minus-1 by simp
    qed
    fix a::'n
    assume not-zero-a:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } a k$  (Gauss-Jordan A)
    show a  $\leq j - 1$ 
        proof (rule ccontr)
            assume  $\neg a \leq j - 1$ 
            hence a-greater-i-minus-1:  $a > j - 1$  by simp
            have is-zero-row-upk a k (Gauss-Jordan A)
                unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def
                proof (clarify)
                    fix b::'n assume a: to-nat b  $< k$ 
                    have Least-eq: (LEAST n. Gauss-Jordan A $ b $ n  $\neq 0$ ) = b
                        proof (rule Least-equality)
                            show Gauss-Jordan A $ b $ b  $\neq 0$  by (metis a id-k id-upk-def zero-neq-one)
                                show  $\bigwedge y. \text{Gauss-Jordan A } \$ b \$ y \neq 0 \implies b \leq y$ 
                                by (metis (hide-lams, no-types) a dual-linorder.not-less-iff-gr-or-eq id-k id-upk-def less-trans not-less to-nat-mono)
                                    qed
                                moreover have  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } b k$  (Gauss-Jordan A)
                                    unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def apply auto apply (rule exI[of - b]) using a id-k unfolding id-upk-def by simp
                                    moreover have a  $\neq b$ 
                                    proof -
                                        have b < from-nat k by (metis a from-nat-to-nat-id j-eq-k not-less-iff-gr-or-eq to-nat-le)
                                        also have ... = j using j-eq-k to-nat-from-nat by auto
                                        also have ...  $\leq a$  using a-greater-i-minus-1 by (metis diff-add-cancel le-Suc)
                                            finally show ?thesis by simp
                                            qed
                                        ultimately show Gauss-Jordan A $ a $ b = 0 using rref-upk-condition4[OF rref-k] by auto
                                        qed
                                    thus False using not-zero-a by contradiction
                                    qed
                                    qed

show Gauss-jj-1: Gauss-Jordan A $ j $ j = 1
proof (cases j=0)
— In case that j be zero, the result is trivial
case True show ?thesis
    proof (unfold True, rule rref-first-element)
        show reduced-row-echelon-form (Gauss-Jordan A) by (rule rref-Gauss-Jordan)
            show column 0 (Gauss-Jordan A)  $\neq 0$  by (metis det-zero-column inv-gj invertible-det-nz)
        qed
next

```

```

case False note j-not-zero = False
show ?thesis
proof (cases to-nat j < k)
  case True thus ?thesis using id-k unfolding id-upk-def by presburger —
    Easy due to the inductive hypothesis
    next
    case False
      hence j-eq-k: to-nat j = k using j-less-suc by auto
      have j-minus-1: to-nat (j - 1) < k by (metis (full-types) Suc-le' diff-add-cancel
        j-eq-k j-not-zero to-nat-mono)
      have (GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upk m k (Gauss-Jordan A)) = j - 1 by
        (rule greatest-prop[OF j-not-zero j-eq-k])
      hence zero-j-k: is-zero-row-upk j k (Gauss-Jordan A)
        by (metis not-le greatest-ge-nonzero-row j-eq-k j-minus-1 to-nat-mono')
      show ?thesis
        proof (rule ccontr, cases Gauss-Jordan A $ j $ j = 0)
        case False
          note gauss-jj-not-0 = False
          assume gauss-jj-not-1: Gauss-Jordan A $ j $ j ≠ 1
          have (LEAST n. Gauss-Jordan A $ j $ n ≠ 0) = j
            proof (rule Least-equality)
              show Gauss-Jordan A $ j $ j ≠ 0 using gauss-jj-not-0 .
              show ∃y. Gauss-Jordan A $ j $ y ≠ 0 ⇒ j ≤ y by (metis
                le-less-linear is-zero-row-upk-def j-eq-k to-nat-mono zero-j-k)
            qed
            hence Gauss-Jordan A $ j $ (LEAST n. Gauss-Jordan A $ j $ n ≠
              0) ≠ 1 using gauss-jj-not-1 by auto — Contradiction with the second condition
              of rref
            thus False by (metis gauss-jj-not-0 is-zero-row-upk-def j-eq-k lessI
              rref-suc-k rref-upk-condition2)
        next
        case True
          note gauss-jj-0 = True
          have zero-j-suc-k: is-zero-row-upk j (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan A)
            by (rule is-zero-row-upk-suc[OF zero-j-k], metis gauss-jj-0 j-eq-k
              to-nat-from-nat)
          have ¬ (∃B. B ** (Gauss-Jordan A) = mat 1) — This will be a
            contradiction
            proof (unfold matrix-left-invertible-independent-columns, simp,
              rule exI[of - λi. (if i < j then column j (Gauss-Jordan A) $ i
                else if i=j then -1 else 0)], rule conjI)
              show (∑ i∈UNIV. (if i < j then column j (Gauss-Jordan A) $ i
                else if i=j then -1 else 0) *s column i (Gauss-Jordan A)) = 0
                proof (unfold vec-eq-iff setsum-component, auto)
                  — We write the column j in a linear combination of the
                  previous ones, which is a contradiction (the matrix wouldn't be invertible)
                  let ?f=λi. (if i < j then column j (Gauss-Jordan A) $ i
                    else if i=j then -1 else 0)
                  fix i

```

```

let ?g=(λx. ?f x * column x (Gauss-Jordan A) $ i)
show setsum ?g UNIV = 0
proof (cases i<j)
  case True note i-less-j = True
    have setsum-rw: setsum ?g (UNIV - {i}) = ?g j +
    setsum ?g ((UNIV - {i}) - {j})
    proof (rule Big-Operators.comm-monoid-add-class.setsum.remove)
      show finite (UNIV - {i}) using finite-code by simp
      show j ∈ UNIV - {i} using True by blast
    qed
    have setsum-g0: setsum ?g (UNIV - {i} - {j}) = 0
    proof (rule setsum-0', auto)
      fix a
      assume a-not-j: a ≠ j and a-not-i: a ≠ i and a-less-j:
      a < j and column-a-not-zero: column a (Gauss-Jordan A) $ i ≠ 0
      have Gauss-Jordan A $ i $ a = 0 using id-k unfolding
      id-upk-def using a-less-j j-eq-k using i-less-j a-not-i to-nat-mono by blast
      thus column j (Gauss-Jordan A) $ a = 0 using
      column-a-not-zero unfolding column-def by simp — Contradiction
    qed
    have setsum ?g UNIV = ?g i + setsum ?g (UNIV - {i})
  by (rule Big-Operators.comm-monoid-add-class.setsum.remove, simp-all)
  also have ... = ?g i + ?g j + setsum ?g (UNIV - {i} - {j}) unfolding setsum-rw by linarith
  also have ... = ?g i + ?g j unfolding setsum-g0 by simp
  also have ... = 0 using True unfolding column-def by
  (simp, metis id-k id-upk-def j-eq-k to-nat-mono)
  finally show ?thesis .
next
case False
show ?thesis
proof (rule setsum-0', auto)
  have zero-i-suc-k: is-zero-row-upk i (Suc k)
  (Gauss-Jordan A)
  by (metis False zero-j-suc-k linorder-cases rref-suc-k
  rref-upk-condition1)
  thus column j (Gauss-Jordan A) $ i = 0 unfolding
  column-def is-zero-row-upk-def by (metis j-eq-k lessI vec-lambda-beta)
  fix a
  assume a-not-j: a ≠ j and a-less-j: a < j and
  column-a-i: column a (Gauss-Jordan A) $ i ≠ 0
  have Gauss-Jordan A $ i $ a = 0 using zero-i-suc-k
  unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def
  by (metis (full-types) a-less-j j-eq-k less-SucI
  to-nat-mono)
  thus column j (Gauss-Jordan A) $ a = 0 using
  column-a-i unfolding column-def by simp
qed
qed

```

```

qed
next
show  $\exists i. (\text{if } i < j \text{ then column } j \text{ (Gauss-Jordan } A) \$ i \text{ else if } i = j \text{ then } -1 \text{ else } 0) \neq 0$ 
      by (metis dual-order.less-irrefl zero-neq-neg-numeral)
qed
thus False using inv-gj unfolding invertible-def by simp
qed
qed

qed
fix i::'n
assume i-less-suc: to-nat i < Suc k and i-not-j: i ≠ j
show Gauss-Jordan A \$ i \$ j = 0 — This result is proved making
use of the 4th condition of rref
proof (cases to-nat i < k ∧ to-nat j < k)
case True thus ?thesis using id-k i-not-j unfolding id-upk-def
by blast — Easy due to the inductive hypothesis
next
case False note i-or-j-ge-k = False
show ?thesis
proof (cases to-nat i < k)
case True
hence j-eq-k: to-nat j = k using i-or-j-ge-k j-less-suc by simp
have j-noteq-0: j ≠ 0 by (metis True j-eq-k less-nat-zero-code
to-nat-0)
have j-minus-1: to-nat (j - 1) < k by (metis (full-types)
Suc-le' diff-add-cancel j-eq-k j-noteq-0 to-nat-mono)
have (GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upk m k (Gauss-Jordan
A)) = j - 1 by (rule greatest-prop[OF j-noteq-0 j-eq-k])
hence zero-j-k: is-zero-row-upk j k (Gauss-Jordan A)
      by (metis (lifting, mono-tags) dual-linorder.less-linear
dual-order.less-asym j-eq-k j-minus-1 not-greater-Greatest' to-nat-mono)
have Least-eq-j: (LEAST n. Gauss-Jordan A \$ j \$ n ≠ 0) = j
proof (rule Least-equality)
show Gauss-Jordan A \$ j \$ j ≠ 0 using Gauss-jj-1 by
simp
show  $\bigwedge y. \text{Gauss-Jordan } A \$ j \$ y \neq 0 \implies j \leq y$ 
      by (metis True dual-linorder.le-cases from-nat-to-nat-id
i-or-j-ge-k is-zero-row-upk-def j-less-suc less-Suc-eq-le less-le to-nat-le zero-j-k)
qed
moreover have ¬ is-zero-row-upk j (Suc k) (Gauss-Jordan
A) unfolding is-zero-row-upk-def by (metis Gauss-jj-1 j-less-suc zero-neq-one)

ultimately show ?thesis using rref-upk-condition4[OF
rref-suc-k] i-not-j by fastforce
next
case False
hence i-eq-k: to-nat i = k by (metis <to-nat i < Suc k>
less-SucE)

```

```

hence j-less-k: to-nat j < k by (metis i-not-j j-less-suc
less-SucE to-nat-from-nat)
have (LEAST n. Gauss-Jordan A $ j $ n ≠ 0) = j
proof (rule Least-equality)
show Gauss-Jordan A $ j $ j ≠ 0 by (metis Gauss-jj-1
zero-neq-one)
show  $\bigwedge y. \text{Gauss-Jordan } A \$ j \$ y \neq 0 \implies j \leq y$ 
by (metis dual-linorder.le-cases id-k id-upk-def j-less-k
less-trans not-less to-nat-mono)
qed
moreover have  $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk } j k (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A)$  by
(metis (full-types) Gauss-jj-1 is-zero-row-upk-def j-less-k zero-neq-one)
ultimately show ?thesis using rref-upk-condition4[OF
rref-k] i-not-j by fastforce
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma invertible-implies-rref-id:
fixes A::real^n:{mod-type} ^n:{mod-type}
assumes inv-A: invertible A
shows Gauss-Jordan A = mat 1
using id-upk-Gauss-Jordan[OF inv-A, of nrows (Gauss-Jordan A)]
using id-upk-nrows-mat-1
by fast

```

```

lemma matrix-inv-Gauss:
fixes A::real^n:{mod-type} ^n:{mod-type}
assumes inv-A: invertible A and Gauss-eq: Gauss-Jordan A = P ** A
shows matrix-inv A = P
proof (unfold matrix-inv-def, rule some1-equality)
show  $\exists! A'. A ** A' = \text{mat 1} \wedge A' ** A = \text{mat 1}$  by (metis inv-A invertible-def
matrix-inv-unique matrix-left-right-inverse)
show A ** P = mat 1  $\wedge P ** A = \text{mat 1}$  by (metis Gauss-eq inv-A invertible-implies-rref-id
matrix-left-right-inverse)
qed

```

We have to assume that *A* is a real matrix to make use of the theorem
 $[\text{invertible } ?A; \text{Gauss-Jordan } ?A = ?P ** ?A] \implies \text{matrix-inv } ?A = ?P$.

```

lemma matrix-inv-Gauss-Jordan-PA:
fixes A::real^n:{mod-type} ^n:{mod-type}
assumes inv-A: invertible A
shows matrix-inv A = fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A)
by (metis Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA inv-A matrix-inv-Gauss)

```

```

lemma invertible-eq-full-rank[code-unfold]:
  fixes A::realnn
  shows invertible A = (rank A = nrows A)
  by (metis full-rank-implies-invertible invertible-implies-full-rank)

definition inverse-matrix A = (if invertible A then Some (matrix-inv A) else None)

lemma the-inverse-matrix:
  fixes A::realn::{mod-type}n::{mod-type}
  assumes invertible A
  shows the (inverse-matrix A) = P-Gauss-Jordan A
  by (metis P-Gauss-Jordan-def assms inverse-matrix-def matrix-inv-Gauss-Jordan-PA
the.simps)

lemma inverse-matrix-real:
  fixes A::realn::{mod-type}n::{mod-type}
  shows inverse-matrix A = (if invertible A then Some (P-Gauss-Jordan A) else None)
  by (metis (full-types) inverse-matrix-def the.simps the-inverse-matrix)

lemma inverse-matrix-real-code[code-unfold]:
  fixes A::realn::{mod-type}n::{mod-type}
  shows inverse-matrix A = (let GJ = Gauss-Jordan-PA A;
                           rank-A = (if A = 0 then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST' a.
row a (snd GJ) ≠ 0) + 1) in
                           if nrows A = rank-A then Some (fst(GJ)) else None)
  unfolding inverse-matrix-real
  unfolding invertible-eq-full-rank
  unfolding rank-Gauss-Jordan-code
  unfolding P-Gauss-Jordan-def
  unfolding Let-def Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq by presburger

end

```

15 Bases of the four fundamental subspaces

```

theory Bases-Of-Fundamental-Subspaces
imports
  Gauss-Jordan-PA
begin

```

15.1 Computation of the bases of the fundamental subspaces

```
definition basis-null-space A = {row i (P-Gauss-Jordan (transpose A)) | i. to-nat
i ≥ rank A}
definition basis-row-space A = {row i (Gauss-Jordan A) | i. row i (Gauss-Jordan
A) ≠ 0}
definition basis-col-space A = {row i (Gauss-Jordan (transpose A)) | i. row i
(Gauss-Jordan (transpose A)) ≠ 0}
definition basis-left-null-space A = {row i (P-Gauss-Jordan A) | i. to-nat i ≥
rank A}
```

15.2 Relationships amongst the bases

```
lemma basis-null-space-eq-basis-left-null-space-transpose:
basis-null-space A = basis-left-null-space (transpose A)
unfolding basis-null-space-def
unfolding basis-left-null-space-def
unfolding rank-transpose[of A, symmetric] ..

lemma basis-null-space-transpose-eq-basis-left-null-space:
shows basis-null-space (transpose A) = basis-left-null-space A
by (metis transpose-transpose basis-null-space-eq-basis-left-null-space-transpose)

lemma basis-col-space-eq-basis-row-space-transpose:
basis-col-space A = basis-row-space (transpose A)
unfolding basis-col-space-def basis-row-space-def ..
```

15.3 Code equations

Code equations to make more efficient the computations.

```
lemma basis-null-space-code[code]: basis-null-space A = (let GJ = Gauss-Jordan-PA
(transpose A);
rank-A = (if A = 0 then 0 else
to-nat (GREATEST' a. row a (snd GJ) ≠ 0) + 1)
in {row i (fst GJ) | i. to-nat i ≥
rank-A})
unfolding basis-null-space-def Let-def P-Gauss-Jordan-def
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq
unfolding rank-transpose[symmetric, of A]
unfolding rank-Gauss-Jordan-code[of transpose A]
unfolding Let-def
unfolding transpose-zero ..

lemma basis-row-space-code[code]: basis-row-space A = (let A' = Gauss-Jordan A
in {row i A' | i. row i A' ≠ 0})
unfolding basis-row-space-def Let-def ..

lemma basis-col-space-code[code]: basis-col-space A = (let A' = Gauss-Jordan (transpose
A) in {row i A' | i. row i A' ≠ 0})
```

```

unfolding basis-col-space-def Let-def ..
lemma basis-left-null-space-code[code]: basis-left-null-space A = (let GJ = Gauss-Jordan-PA
A;

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{rank-}A = (\text{if } A = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else} \\ & \text{to-nat (GREATEST' a. row a (snd GJ) } \neq 0 \text{)} + 1) \\ & \quad \text{in } \{\text{row } i \text{ (fst GJ)} \mid i. \text{to-nat } i \geq \\ & \quad \text{rank-}A\}) \\ & \text{unfolding basis-left-null-space-def Let-def P-Gauss-Jordan-def} \\ & \text{unfolding Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq} \\ & \text{unfolding rank-Gauss-Jordan-code[of A]} \\ & \text{unfolding Let-def} \\ & \text{unfolding transpose-zero ..} \end{aligned}$$


```

15.4 Demonstrations that they are bases

We prove that we have obtained a basis for each subspace

```

lemma independent-basis-left-null-space:
shows independent (basis-left-null-space A)
proof (unfold basis-left-null-space-def, rule independent-mono)
show independent (rows (P-Gauss-Jordan A)) by (metis P-Gauss-Jordan-def det-dependent-rows
invertible-det-nz invertible-fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA)
show {row i (P-Gauss-Jordan A) | i. rank A  $\leq$  to-nat i}  $\subseteq$  (rows (P-Gauss-Jordan
A)) unfolding rows-def by fast
qed

lemma card-basis-left-null-space-eq-dim:
fixes A::real'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
shows card (basis-left-null-space A) = dim (left-null-space A)
proof -
let ?f= $\lambda n.$  row (from-nat (n + (rank A))) (P-Gauss-Jordan A)
have card (basis-left-null-space A) = card {row i (P-Gauss-Jordan A) | i. to-nat i
 $\geq$  rank A} unfolding basis-left-null-space-def ..
also have ... = card {.. $<$ DIM (real'rows::{mod-type}) - rank A}
proof (rule bij-betw-same-card[symmetric, of ?f], unfold bij-betw-def, rule conjI)
show inj-on ?f {.. $<$ DIM (real'rows::{mod-type}) - rank A} unfolding
inj-on-def
proof (auto, rule ccontr)
fix x y
assume x: x  $<$ CARD('rows) - rank A
and y: y  $<$ CARD('rows) - rank A
and eq: row (from-nat (x + rank A)) (P-Gauss-Jordan A) = row (from-nat
(y + rank A)) (P-Gauss-Jordan A)
and x-not-y: x  $\neq$  y
have det (P-Gauss-Jordan A) = 0
proof (rule det-identical-rows[OF - eq])
have (x + rank A)  $\neq$  (y + rank A) using x-not-y x y by simp
thus (from-nat (x + rank A)::'rows)  $\neq$  from-nat (y + rank A) by
(metis (mono-tags) from-nat-eq-imp-eq less-diff-conv x y)

```

```

qed
moreover have invertible (P-Gauss-Jordan A) by (metis P-Gauss-Jordan-def
invertible-fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA)
ultimately show False unfolding invertible-det-nz by contradiction
qed
show ?f ' {..

```

```

lemma basis-left-null-space-in-left-null-space:
fixes A::real^'cols::{ mod-type } ^'rows::{ mod-type }
shows basis-left-null-space A ⊆ left-null-space A
proof (unfold basis-left-null-space-def left-null-space-def, auto)
fix i::'rows
assume rank-le-i: rank A ≤ to-nat i
have row i (P-Gauss-Jordan A) v* A = ((P-Gauss-Jordan A) $ i) v* A
unfolding row-def vec-nth-inverse ..
also have ... = ((P-Gauss-Jordan A) ** A) $ i unfolding row-matrix-matrix-mult
by simp
also have ... = (Gauss-Jordan A) $ i unfolding P-Gauss-Jordan-def Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq[symmetric]
using fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA by metis
also have ... = 0 by (rule rank-less-row-i-imp-i-is-zero[OF rank-le-i])
finally show row i (P-Gauss-Jordan A) v* A = 0 .
qed

```

```

lemma left-null-space-subset-span-basis:
fixes A::real'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
shows left-null-space A ⊆ span (basis-left-null-space A)
proof (rule card-ge-dim-independent)
show basis-left-null-space A ⊆ left-null-space A by (rule basis-left-null-space-in-left-null-space)
show independent (basis-left-null-space A) by (rule independent-basis-left-null-space)
show dim (left-null-space A) ≤ card (basis-left-null-space A)

```

proof –

```

have {x. x v* A = 0} = {x. (transpose A) *v x = 0} by (metis transpose-vector)
thus ?thesis using card-basis-left-null-space-eq-dim by (metis order-refl)

```

qed

qed

corollary basis-left-null-space:

```

fixes A::real'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}

```

shows independent (basis-left-null-space A) ∧

left-null-space A = span (basis-left-null-space A)

```

by (metis basis-left-null-space-in-left-null-space independent-basis-left-null-space left-null-space-subset-span-basis-
span-subspace subspace-left-null-space)

```

corollary basis-null-space:

```

fixes A::real'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}

```

shows independent (basis-null-space A) ∧

null-space A = span (basis-null-space A)

unfolding basis-null-space-eq-basis-left-null-space-transpose

unfolding null-space-eq-left-null-space-transpose

by (rule basis-left-null-space)

lemma basis-row-space-subset-row-space:

```

fixes A::real'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}

```

shows basis-row-space A ⊆ row-space A

proof –

```

have basis-row-space A = {row i (Gauss-Jordan A) | i. row i (Gauss-Jordan A)
≠ 0} unfolding basis-row-space-def ..

```

also have ... ⊆ row-space (Gauss-Jordan A)

proof (unfold row-space-def, clarify)

fix i **assume** row i (Gauss-Jordan A) ≠ 0

```

show row i (Gauss-Jordan A) ∈ span (rows (Gauss-Jordan A)) by (rule
span-superset, auto simp add: rows-def)

```

qed

also have ... = row-space A **unfolding** Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq[symmetric]

unfolding fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA[symmetric]

by (rule row-space-is-preserved[OF invertible-fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA])

finally show ?thesis .

qed

```

lemma row-space-subset-span-basis-row-space:
  fixes A::real'cols::{mod-type} 'rows::{mod-type}
  shows row-space A ⊆ span (basis-row-space A)
  proof (rule card-ge-dim-independent)
    show basis-row-space A ⊆ row-space A by (rule basis-row-space-subset-row-space)
    show independent (basis-row-space A) unfolding basis-row-space-def by (rule
      independent-not-zero-rows-rref[OF rref-Gauss-Jordan])
    show dim (row-space A) ≤ card (basis-row-space A)
    unfolding basis-row-space-def
    using rref-rank[OF rref-Gauss-Jordan, of A] unfolding row-rank-def[symmetric]
    rank-def[symmetric] rank-Gauss-Jordan[symmetric] by fastforce
  qed

```

```

lemma basis-row-space:
  fixes A::real'cols::{mod-type} 'rows::{mod-type}
  shows independent (basis-row-space A)
    ∧ span (basis-row-space A) = row-space A
  proof (rule conjI)
    show independent (basis-row-space A) unfolding basis-row-space-def using independent-not-zero-rows-rref[O
      rref-Gauss-Jordan].
    show span (basis-row-space A) = row-space A
    proof (rule span-subspace)
      show basis-row-space A ⊆ row-space A by (rule basis-row-space-subset-row-space)
      show row-space A ⊆ span (basis-row-space A) by (rule row-space-subset-span-basis-row-space)
        show subspace (row-space A) by (rule subspace-row-space)
    qed
  qed

corollary basis-col-space:
  fixes A::real'cols::{mod-type} 'rows::{mod-type}
  shows independent (basis-col-space A)
    ∧ span (basis-col-space A) = col-space A
  unfolding col-space-eq-row-space-transpose basis-col-space-eq-basis-row-space-transpose
  by (rule basis-row-space)

end

```

16 Solving systems of equations using the Gauss Jordan algorithm

```

theory System-Of-Equations
imports
  Gauss-Jordan-PA
  Bases-Of-Fundamental-Subspaces
begin

```

16.1 Definitions

Given a system of equations $A *v x = b$, the following function returns the pair $(P ** A, P *v b)$, where P is the matrix which states *Gauss-Jordan A* $= P ** A$. That matrix is computed by means of *Gauss-Jordan-PA*.

```
definition solve-system :: ('a::{field} ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type})  $\Rightarrow$  ('a ^'rows::{mod-type})  

 $\Rightarrow$  (('a ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type})  $\times$  ('a ^'rows::{mod-type}))  

where solve-system A b = (let A' = Gauss-Jordan-PA A in (snd A', (fst A') *v  

b))
```

```
definition is-solution x A b = (A *v x = b)
```

16.2 Relationship between *is-solution-def* and *solve-system-def*

```
lemma is-solution-imp-solve-system:  

fixes A::'a::{field} ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}  

assumes xAb:is-solution x A b  

shows is-solution x (fst (solve-system A b)) (snd (solve-system A b))  

proof –  

have (fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A) *v (A *v x) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A) *v b)  

using xAb unfolding is-solution-def by fast  

hence (snd (Gauss-Jordan-PA A) *v x = fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A) *v b)  

unfolding matrix-vector-mul-assoc  

unfolding fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA[of A].  

thus is-solution x (fst (solve-system A b)) (snd (solve-system A b))  

unfolding is-solution-def solve-system-def Let-def by simp  

qed
```

```
lemma solve-system-imp-is-solution:  

fixes A::'a::{field} ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}  

assumes xAb: is-solution x (fst (solve-system A b)) (snd (solve-system A b))  

shows is-solution x A b  

proof –  

have fst (solve-system A b) *v x = snd (solve-system A b) using xAb unfolding  

is-solution-def .  

hence snd (Gauss-Jordan-PA A) *v x = fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A) *v b unfolding  

solve-system-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv .  

hence (fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A) ** A) *v x = fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A) *v b  

unfolding fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA .  

hence fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A) *v (A *v x) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A) *v b  

unfolding matrix-vector-mul-assoc .  

hence matrix-inv (fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A)) *v (fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A) *v (A  

*v x)) = matrix-inv (fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A)) *v (fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A) *v  

b) by simp  

hence (A *v x) = b  

unfolding matrix-vector-mul-assoc[of matrix-inv (fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A))]  

unfolding matrix-inv-left[OF invertible-fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA]
```

```

unfolding matrix-vector-mul-lid .
thus ?thesis unfolding is-solution-def .
qed

lemma is-solution-solve-system:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
shows is-solution x A b = is-solution x (fst (solve-system A b)) (snd (solve-system
A b))
using solve-system-imp-is-solution is-solution-imp-solve-system by blast

```

16.3 Consistent and inconsistent systems of equations

```

definition consistent :: real ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} ⇒ real ^'rows::{mod-type}
⇒ bool
where consistent A b = (exists x. is-solution x A b)

```

```
definition inconsistent A b = (not (consistent A b))
```

```

lemma inconsistent: inconsistent A b = (not (exists x. is-solution x A b))
unfolding inconsistent-def consistent-def by simp

```

The following function will be used to solve consistent systems which are already in the reduced row echelon form.

```

definition solve-consistent-rref :: real ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type} ⇒ real ^'rows::{mod-type}
⇒ real ^'cols::{mod-type}
where solve-consistent-rref A b = (χ j. if (exists i. A $ i $ j = 1 ∧ j = (LEAST n. A
$ i $ n ≠ 0)) then b $ (THE i. A $ i $ j = 1) else 0)

```

```

lemma solve-consistent-rref-code[code abstract]:
shows vec-nth (solve-consistent-rref A b) = (% j. if (exists i. A $ i $ j = 1 ∧
j = (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0)) then b $ (THE i. A $ i $ j = 1) else 0)
unfolding solve-consistent-rref-def by auto

```

```

lemma rank-ge-imp-is-solution:
fixes A::real ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
assumes con: rank A ≥ (if (exists a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) $ a ≠ 0)
then (to-nat (GREATEST' a. (P-Gauss-Jordan
A *v b) $ a ≠ 0) + 1) else 0)
shows is-solution (solve-consistent-rref (Gauss-Jordan A) (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v
b)) A b
proof –
have is-solution (solve-consistent-rref (Gauss-Jordan A) (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v
b)) (Gauss-Jordan A) (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b)
proof (unfold is-solution-def solve-consistent-rref-def, subst matrix-vector-mult-def,
vector, auto)
fix a
let ?f=λj. Gauss-Jordan A $ a $ j *
(b) if ∃ i. Gauss-Jordan A $ i $ j = 1 ∧ j = (LEAST n. Gauss-Jordan
A $ i $ n ≠ 0) then b $ (THE i. Gauss-Jordan A $ i $ j = 1) else 0

```

$A \$ i \$ n \neq 0)$ then $(P\text{-Gauss-Jordan } A *v b) \$ (\text{THE } i. \text{ Gauss-Jordan } A \$ i \$ j = 1)$ else $0)$

```

show setsum ?f UNIV = (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) \$ a
proof (cases A=0)
case True
hence rank-A-eq-0:rank A = 0 using rank-0 by simp
have (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) = 0
proof (rule ccontr)
assume not-zero: P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b ≠ 0
hence ex-a: ∃ a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) \$ a ≠ 0 by (metis vec-eq-iff zero-index)
show False using con unfolding if-P[OF ex-a] unfolding rank-A-eq-0 by auto
qed

thus ?thesis unfolding A-0-imp-Gauss-Jordan-0[OF True] by force
next
case False note A-not-zero=False
def not-zero-positions-row-a≡{j. Gauss-Jordan A \$ a \$ j ≠ 0}
def zero-positions-row-a≡{j. Gauss-Jordan A \$ a \$ j = 0}
have UNIV-rw: UNIV = not-zero-positions-row-a ∪ zero-positions-row-a unfolding zero-positions-row-a-def
by auto
have disj: not-zero-positions-row-a ∩ zero-positions-row-a = {} unfolding zero-positions-row-a-def
not-zero-positions-row-a-def by fastforce
have setsum-zero: (setsum ?f zero-positions-row-a) = 0 by (unfold zero-positions-row-a-def,
rule setsum-0', fastforce)
have setsum ?f (UNIV::'cols set)=setsum ?f (not-zero-positions-row-a ∪ zero-positions-row-a)
unfolding UNIV-rw ..
also have ... = setsum ?f (not-zero-positions-row-a) + (setsum ?f zero-positions-row-a)
by (rule setsum.union-disjoint[OF _ _ disj], simp+)
also have ... = setsum ?f (not-zero-positions-row-a) unfolding setsum-zero by
simp
also have ... = (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) \$ a
proof (cases not-zero-positions-row-a = {})
case True note zero-row-a=True
show ?thesis
proof (cases ∃ a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) \$ a ≠ 0)
case False hence (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) \$ a = 0 by simp
thus ?thesis unfolding True by auto
next
case True
have rank-not-0: rank A ≠ 0 by (metis A-not-zero less-not-refl3 rank-Gauss-Jordan
rank-greater-zero)
have greatest-less-a: (GREATEST' a. ¬ is-zero-row a (Gauss-Jordan A)) <
a
proof (unfold is-zero-row-def, rule greatest-less-zero-row)
show reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k (Gauss-Jordan A) (ncols (Gauss-Jordan
A)) using rref-Gauss-Jordan unfolding reduced-row-echelon-form-def .
show is-zero-row-upt-k a (ncols (Gauss-Jordan A)) (Gauss-Jordan A)
unfolding is-zero-row-def[symmetric] by (metis (mono-tags) zero-row-a

```

```

emptyE is-zero-row-def' mem-Collect-eq not-zero-positions-row-a-def)
  show  $\neg (\forall a. \text{is-zero-row-upr-k } a (\text{ncols } (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A)) (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A))$ 
    unfolding is-zero-row-def[symmetric] using A-not-zero
    by (metis Gauss-Jordan-not-0 is-zero-row-def' vec-eq-iff zero-index)
  qed
  hence to-nat (GREATEST' a.  $\neg \text{is-zero-row } a (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A) < \text{to-nat } a$ 
  using to-nat-mono by fast
  hence rank-le-to-nat-a: rank A  $\leq \text{to-nat } a$  unfolding rank-eq-suc-to-nat-greatest[OF
  A-not-zero] by simp
  have to-nat (GREATEST' a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) \$ a  $\neq 0) < \text{to-nat } a$  us-
  ing con unfolding consistent-def unfolding if-P[OF True] using rank-le-to-nat-a
  by simp
  hence (GREATEST' a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) \$ a  $\neq 0) < a$  by (metis
  not-le to-nat-mono')
  hence (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) \$ a = 0 using not-greater-Greatest' by blast
  thus ?thesis unfolding zero-row-a by simp
  qed
  next
  case False note not-empty=False
  have not-zero-positions-row-a-rw: not-zero-positions-row-a = {LEAST j. (Gauss-Jordan
  A) \$ a \$ j  $\neq 0} \cup (\text{not-zero-positions-row-a} - \{\text{LEAST } j. (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A) \$ a
  \$ j \neq 0\})$ 
    unfolding not-zero-positions-row-a-def
    by (metis (mono-tags) Collect-cong False LeastI-ex bot-set-def empty-iff insert-Diff-single
    insert-absorb insert-is-Un mem-Collect-eq not-zero-positions-row-a-def)
    have setsum-zero': setsum ?f (not-zero-positions-row-a - {LEAST j. (Gauss-Jordan
  A) \$ a \$ j  $\neq 0\}) = 0$ 
      by (rule setsum-0', auto, metis is-zero-row-def' rref-Gauss-Jordan rref-condition4-explicit
      zero-neq-one)
    have setsum ?f (not-zero-positions-row-a) = setsum ?f {LEAST j. (Gauss-Jordan
  A) \$ a \$ j  $\neq 0} + \text{setsum } ?f (\text{not-zero-positions-row-a} - \{\text{LEAST } j. (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A) \$ a \$ j \neq 0\})$ 
      by (subst not-zero-positions-row-a-rw, rule setsum.union-disjoint[OF - - -],
      simp+)
    also have ... = ?f (LEAST j. (Gauss-Jordan A) \$ a \$ j  $\neq 0)$  using setsum-zero'
    by force
    also have ... = (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) \$ a
      proof (cases  $\exists i. (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A) \$ i \$ (\text{LEAST } j. (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A) \$ a \$ j \neq 0) = 1 \wedge (\text{LEAST } j. (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A) \$ a \$ j \neq 0) = (\text{LEAST } n. (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A) \$ i \$ n \neq 0))$ )
        case True
        have A-least-eq-1: ( $\text{Gauss-Jordan } A$ ) \$ a \$ (LEAST j. (Gauss-Jordan A) \$ a
        \$ j  $\neq 0) = 1$ 
          by (metis (mono-tags) empty-Collect-eq is-zero-row-def' not-empty not-zero-positions-row-a-def
          rref-Gauss-Jordan rref-condition2-explicit)
        moreover have (THE i. (Gauss-Jordan A) \$ i \$ (LEAST j. (Gauss-Jordan
        A) \$ a \$ j  $\neq 0) = 1) = a
          proof (rule the-equality)$ 
```

```

show (Gauss-Jordan A) $ a $ (LEAST j. (Gauss-Jordan A) $ a $ j ≠ 0)
= 1 using A-least-eq-1 .
  show ∃i. (Gauss-Jordan A) $ i $ (LEAST j. (Gauss-Jordan A) $ a $ j ≠
0) = 1 ==> i = a
    by (metis calculation is-zero-row-def' rref-Gauss-Jordan rref-condition4-explicit
zero-neq-one)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis unfolding if-P[OF True] by simp
next
case False
have is-zero-row a (Gauss-Jordan A) using False rref-Gauss-Jordan rref-condition2
by blast
  hence (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) $ a = 0
  by (metis (mono-tags) IntI disj empty-iff insert-compr insert-is-Un is-zero-row-def'
mem-Collect-eq not-zero-positions-row-a-rw zero-positions-row-a-def)
  thus ?thesis unfolding if-not-P[OF False] by fastforce
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed
finally show setsum ?f UNIV = (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) $ a .
qed
qed
thus ?thesis apply (subst is-solution-solve-system)
unfolding solve-system-def Let-def snd-conv fst-conv unfolding Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq
P-Gauss-Jordan-def .
qed

corollary rank-ge-imp-consistent:
fixes A::real^'cols:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}
assumes rank A ≥ (if (∃ a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) $ a ≠ 0) then (to-nat
(GREATEST' a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) $ a ≠ 0) + 1) else 0)
shows consistent A b
using rank-ge-imp-is-solution assms unfolding consistent-def by auto

lemma inconsistent-imp-rank-less:
fixes A::real^'cols:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}
assumes inc: inconsistent A b
shows rank A < (if (∃ a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) $ a ≠ 0) then (to-nat (GREATEST'
a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) $ a ≠ 0) + 1) else 0)
proof (rule ccontr)
assume ¬ rank A < (if (∃ a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) $ a ≠ 0) then to-nat
(GREATEST' a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) $ a ≠ 0) + 1 else 0)
hence (if (∃ a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) $ a ≠ 0) then to-nat (GREATEST' a.
(P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) $ a ≠ 0) + 1 else 0) ≤ rank A by simp
hence consistent A b using rank-ge-imp-consistent by auto
thus False using inc unfolding inconsistent-def by contradiction
qed

```

```

lemma rank-less-imp-inconsistent:
fixes A::real^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
assumes inc: rank A < (if ( $\exists a. (P\text{-Gauss-Jordan } A *v b) \$ a \neq 0$ ) then (to-nat (GREATEST' a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) \$ a \neq 0) + 1) else 0)
shows inconsistent A b
proof (rule ccontr)
def i\equiv(GREATEST' a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) \$ a \neq 0)
def j\equiv(GREATEST' a. \neg is-zero-row a (Gauss-Jordan A))
assume \neg inconsistent A b
hence ex-solution:  $\exists x. is\text{-solution } x A b$  unfolding inconsistent-def consistent-def
by auto
from this obtain x where is-solution x A b by auto
hence is-solution-solve: is-solution x (Gauss-Jordan A) (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b)
using is-solution-solve-system
by (metis Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq P-Gauss-Jordan-def fst-conv snd-conv solve-system-def)
show False
proof (cases A=0)
case True
hence rank-eq-0: rank A = 0 using rank-0 by simp
hence exists-not-0:( $\exists a. (P\text{-Gauss-Jordan } A *v b) \$ a \neq 0$ )
using inc unfolding inconsistent
using to-nat-plus-1-set[of (GREATEST' a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) \$ a \neq 0)]
by presburger
show False
by (metis True exists-not-0 ex-solution is-solution-def matrix-vector-zero norm-equivalence
transpose-vector vector-matrix-zero' zero-index)
next
case False
have j-less-i: j < i
proof -
have rank-less-greatest-i: rank A < to-nat i + 1
using inc unfolding i-def inconsistent by presburger
moreover have rank-eq-greatest-A: rank A = to-nat j + 1 unfolding j-def by
(rule rank-eq-suc-to-nat-greatest[OF False])
ultimately have to-nat j + 1 < to-nat i + 1 by simp
hence to-nat j < to-nat i by auto
thus j < i by (metis (full-types) not-le to-nat-mono')
qed
have is-zero-i: is-zero-row i (Gauss-Jordan A) by (metis (full-types) j-def j-less-i
not-greater-Greatest')
have (Gauss-Jordan A *v x) \$ i = 0
proof (unfold matrix-vector-mult-def, auto, rule setsum-0', clarify)
fix a::'cols
show Gauss-Jordan A \$ i \$ a * x \$ a = 0 using is-zero-i unfolding
is-zero-row-def' by simp
qed
moreover have (Gauss-Jordan A *v x) \$ i \neq 0
proof -

```

```

have Gauss-Jordan A *v x = P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b using is-solution-def
is-solution-solve by blast
also have ... $ i ≠ 0
unfolding i-def
proof (rule Greatest'I-ex)
show ∃x. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) $ x ≠ 0 using inc unfolding i-def
inconsistent by presburger
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed
ultimately show False by contradiction
qed
qed

```

```

corollary consistent-imp-rank-ge:
fixes A::real ^'cols:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}
assumes consistent A b
shows rank A ≥ (if (∃a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) $ a ≠ 0) then (to-nat (GREATEST'
a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) $ a ≠ 0) + 1) else 0)
using rank-less-imp-inconsistent by (metis assms inconsistent-def not-less)

```

```

lemma inconsistent-eq-rank-less:
fixes A::real ^'cols:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}
shows inconsistent A b = (rank A < (if (∃a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) $ a ≠ 0)
then (to-nat (GREATEST' a. (P-Gauss-Jordan
A *v b) $ a ≠ 0) + 1) else 0))
using inconsistent-imp-rank-less rank-less-imp-inconsistent by blast

```

```

lemma consistent-eq-rank-ge:
fixes A::real ^'cols:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}
shows consistent A b = (rank A ≥ (if (∃a. (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v b) $ a ≠ 0)
then (to-nat (GREATEST' a. (P-Gauss-Jordan
A *v b) $ a ≠ 0) + 1) else 0))
using consistent-imp-rank-ge rank-ge-imp-consistent by blast

```

```

corollary consistent-imp-is-solution:
fixes A::real ^'cols:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}
assumes consistent A b
shows is-solution (solve-consistent-rref (Gauss-Jordan A) (P-Gauss-Jordan A *v
b)) A b
by (rule rank-ge-imp-is-solution[OF assms[unfolded consistent-eq-rank-ge]])

```

```

corollary consistent-imp-is-solution':
fixes A::real ^'cols:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}
assumes consistent A b
shows is-solution (solve-consistent-rref (fst (solve-system A b)) (snd (solve-system
A b))) A b

```

```

using consistent-imp-is-solution[OF assms] unfolding solve-system-def Let-def snd-conv
fst-conv
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq P-Gauss-Jordan-def .

```

Code equations optimized using Lets

```

lemma inconsistent-eq-rank-less-code[code]:
fixes A::real'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
shows inconsistent A b = (let GJ-P=Gauss-Jordan-PA A;
                           P-mult-b = (fst(GJ-P) *v b);
                           rank-A = (if A = 0 then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST' a.
                           row a (snd GJ-P) ≠ 0) + 1) in (rank-A < (if (∃ a. P-mult-b $ a ≠ 0)
                           then (to-nat (GREATEST' a. P-mult-b $ a ≠
                           0) + 1) else 0)))
unfolding inconsistent-eq-rank-less Let-def rank-Gauss-Jordan-code
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq P-Gauss-Jordan-def ..

```

```

lemma consistent-eq-rank-ge-code[code]:
fixes A::real'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
shows consistent A b = (let GJ-P=Gauss-Jordan-PA A;
                           P-mult-b = (fst(GJ-P) *v b);
                           rank-A = (if A = 0 then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST' a.
                           row a (snd GJ-P) ≠ 0) + 1) in (rank-A ≥ (if (∃ a. P-mult-b $ a ≠ 0)
                           then (to-nat (GREATEST' a. P-mult-b $ a ≠
                           0) + 1) else 0)))
unfolding consistent-eq-rank-ge Let-def rank-Gauss-Jordan-code
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq P-Gauss-Jordan-def ..

```

16.4 Solution set of a system of equations. Dependent and independent systems.

```
definition solution-set A b = {x. is-solution x A b}
```

```

lemma null-space-eq-solution-set:
shows null-space A = solution-set A 0 unfolding null-space-def solution-set-def
is-solution-def ..

```

```

corollary dim-solution-set-homogeneous-eq-dim-null-space[code-unfold]:
shows dim (solution-set A 0) = dim (null-space A) using null-space-eq-solution-set[of
A] by simp

```

```

lemma zero-is-solution-homogeneous-system:
shows 0 ∈ (solution-set A 0)
unfolding solution-set-def is-solution-def
using matrix-vector-zero by fast

```

```

lemma homogeneous-solution-set-subspace:
fixes A::'a::{real-algebra,semiring-1} ^'n ^'rows
shows subspace (solution-set A 0)

```

```
using subspace-null-space[of A] unfolding null-space-eq-solution-set .
```

```
lemma solution-set-rel:
fixes A::'a::{real-vector, semiring-1} ^'n ^'rows
assumes p: is-solution p A b
shows solution-set A b = {p} + (solution-set A 0)
proof (unfold set-plus-def, auto)
fix ba
assume ba: ba ∈ solution-set A 0
have A *v (p + ba) = (A *v p) + (A *v ba) unfolding matrix-vector-right-distrib
..
also have ... = b using p ba unfolding solution-set-def is-solution-def by simp
finally show p + ba ∈ solution-set A b unfolding solution-set-def is-solution-def
by simp
next
fix x
assume x: x ∈ solution-set A b
show ∃ b∈solution-set A 0. x = p + b
proof (rule bexI[of - x-p], simp)
have A *v (x - p) = (A *v x) - (A *v p) by (metis (no-types) add-diff-cancel
diff-add-cancel matrix-vector-right-distrib)
also have ... = 0 using x p unfolding solution-set-def is-solution-def by simp
finally show x - p ∈ solution-set A 0 unfolding solution-set-def is-solution-def
by simp
qed
qed
```

```
lemma independent-and-consistent-imp-uniqueness-solution:
fixes A::real ^'n::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
assumes dim-0: dim (solution-set A 0) = 0
and con: consistent A b
shows ∃!x. is-solution x A b
proof -
obtain p where p: is-solution p A b using con unfolding consistent-def by blast
have solution-set-0: solution-set A 0 = {0}
using dim-zero-eq[OF dim-0] zero-is-solution-homogeneous-system by blast
show ∃!x. is-solution x A b
proof (rule ex-exII)
show ∃x. is-solution x A b using p by auto
fix x y assume x: is-solution x A b and y: is-solution y A b
have solution-set A b = {p} + (solution-set A 0) unfolding solution-set-rel[OF
p] ..
also have ... = {p} unfolding solution-set-0 set-plus-def by force
finally show x = y using x y unfolding solution-set-def by (metis (full-types)
mem-Collect-eq singleton-iff)
qed
qed
```

```

corollary independent-and-consistent-imp-card-1:
  fixes A::realn::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
  assumes dim-0: dim (solution-set A 0) = 0
  and con: consistent A b
  shows card (solution-set A b) = 1
  using independent-and-consistent-imp-uniqueness-solution[OF assms] unfolding
  solution-set-def using card-1-exists by auto

lemma uniqueness-solution-imp-independent:
  fixes A::'a::{euclidean-space, semiring-1} ^'n ^'rows
  assumes ex1-sol:  $\exists !x$ . is-solution x A b
  shows dim (solution-set A 0) = 0
  proof -
    obtain x where x: is-solution x A b using ex1-sol by blast
    have solution-set-homogeneous-zero: solution-set A 0 = {0}
    proof (rule ccontr)
      assume not-zero-set: solution-set A 0 ≠ {0}
      have homogeneous-not-empty: solution-set A 0 ≠ {} by (metis empty-iff
      zero-is-solution-homogeneous-system)
      obtain y where y: y ∈ solution-set A 0 and y-not-0: y ≠ 0 using not-zero-set
      homogeneous-not-empty by blast
      have {x} = solution-set A b unfolding solution-set-def using x ex1-sol by
      blast
      also have ... = {x} + solution-set A 0 unfolding solution-set-rel[OF x] ..
      finally show False
      by (metis (hide-lams, mono-tags) add-left-cancel comm-monoid-add-class.add.right-neutral
      empty-iff insert-iff set-plus-intro y y-not-0)
    qed
    thus ?thesis using dim-zero-eq' by blast
  qed

corollary uniqueness-solution-eq-independent-and-consistent:
  fixes A::realn::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
  shows ( $\exists !x$ . is-solution x A b) = (consistent A b ∧ dim (solution-set A 0) = 0)
  using independent-and-consistent-imp-uniqueness-solution uniqueness-solution-imp-independent
  consistent-def
  by metis

lemma consistent-homogeneous:
  shows consistent A 0 unfolding consistent-def is-solution-def using matrix-vector-zero
  by fast

lemma dim-solution-set-0:
  fixes A::realn::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
  shows (dim (solution-set A 0) = 0) = (solution-set A 0 = {0})
  proof (auto)

```

```

show dim {0::real^'n::{mod-type}} = 0 using dim-zero-eq'[of {0::real^'n::{mod-type}}]
by fast
fix x assume dim0: dim (solution-set A 0) = 0
show 0 ∈ solution-set A 0 using zero-is-solution-homogeneous-system .
assume x: x ∈ solution-set A 0
show x = 0
using independent-and-consistent-imp-uniqueness-solution[OF dim0 consistent-homogeneous]
zero-is-solution-homogeneous-system x unfolding solution-set-def by blast
qed

lemma dim-solution-set-not-zero-imp-infinite-solutions-homogeneous:
fixes A::real^'n::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
assumes dim-not-zero: dim (solution-set A 0) > 0
shows infinite (solution-set A 0)
proof –
have solution-set A 0 ≠ {0} using dim-zero-subspace-eq[of solution-set A 0]
dim-not-zero by (metis less-numeral-extra(3) subspace-trivial)
from this obtain x where x: x ∈ solution-set A 0 and x-not-0: x ≠ 0 using
subspace-0[OF homogeneous-solution-set-subspace, of A] by auto
def f ≡ λn:nat. n *R x
show ?thesis
proof (unfold infinite-iff-countable-subset, rule exI[of - f], rule conjI)
show inj f unfolding inj-on-def unfolding f-def using x-not-0 by force
show range f ⊆ solution-set A 0 using homogeneous-solution-set-subspace
using x unfolding subspace-def image-def f-def by fast
qed
qed

lemma infinite-solutions-homogeneous-imp-dim-solution-set-not-zero:
fixes A::real^'n::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
assumes i: infinite (solution-set A 0)
shows dim (solution-set A 0) > 0
proof (rule ccontr, simp)
assume dim (solution-set A 0) = 0
hence solution-set A 0 = {0} using dim-solution-set-0 by auto
hence finite (solution-set A 0) by simp
thus False using i by contradiction
qed

corollary infinite-solution-set-homogeneous-eq:
fixes A::real^'n::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
shows infinite (solution-set A 0) = (dim (solution-set A 0) > 0)
using infinite-solutions-homogeneous-imp-dim-solution-set-not-zero
using dim-solution-set-not-zero-imp-infinite-solutions-homogeneous by metis

corollary infinite-solution-set-homogeneous-eq':
fixes A::real^'n::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}

```

shows $(\exists \infty x. \text{is-solution } x A 0) = (\dim(\text{solution-set } A 0) > 0)$
unfolding infinite-solution-set-homogeneous-eq[symmetric] INFM-iff-infinite unfolding solution-set-def ..

```

lemma infinite-solution-set-imp-consistent:
fixes A::real^n:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}
assumes i: infinite (solution-set A b)
shows consistent A b
proof -
  have  $(\exists \infty x. \text{is-solution } x A b)$  using i unfolding solution-set-def INFM-iff-infinite .
  thus ?thesis unfolding consistent-def by (metis (full-types) INFM-MOST-simps(1)
  INFM-mono)
qed
```

```

lemma dim-solution-set-not-zero-imp-infinite-solutions-no-homogeneous:
fixes A::real^n:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}
assumes dim-not-0:  $\dim(\text{solution-set } A 0) > 0$ 
and con: consistent A b
shows infinite (solution-set A b)
proof -
  have  $\text{solution-set } A 0 \neq \{0\}$  using dim-zero-subspace-eq[of solution-set A 0]
  dim-not-0 by (metis less-numeral-extra(3) subspace-trivial)
  from this obtain x where x:  $x \in \text{solution-set } A 0$  and x-not-0:  $x \neq 0$  using
  subspace-0[OF homogeneous-solution-set-subspace, of A] by auto
  obtain y where y: is-solution y A b using con unfolding consistent-def by blast
  def f $\equiv\lambda n:\text{nat}. y + n *_R x$ 
  show ?thesis
    proof (unfold infinite-iff-countable-subset, rule exI[of - f], rule conjI)
      show inj f unfolding inj-on-def unfolding f-def using x-not-0 by force
      show range f  $\subseteq$  solution-set A b
        unfolding solution-set-rel[OF y]
        using homogeneous-solution-set-subspace using x unfolding subspace-def
        image-def f-def by fast
      qed
    qed
```

```

lemma infinite-solutions-no-homogeneous-imp-dim-solution-set-not-zero-imp:
fixes A::real^n:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}
assumes i: infinite (solution-set A b)
shows dim (solution-set A 0) > 0
proof (rule ccontr, simp)
  have  $(\exists \infty x. \text{is-solution } x A b)$  using i unfolding solution-set-def INFM-iff-infinite .
  from this obtain x where x: is-solution x A b by (metis (full-types) INFM-MOST-simps(1)
  INFM-mono)
  assume dim (solution-set A 0) = 0
```

```

hence solution-set A 0 = {0} using dim-solution-set-0 by auto
hence solution-set A b = {x} + {0} unfolding solution-set-rel[OF x] by simp
also have ... = {x} unfolding set-plus-def by force
finally show False using i by simp
qed

corollary infinite-solution-set-no-homogeneous-eq:
fixes A::real^'n::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
shows infinite (solution-set A b) = (consistent A b ∧ dim (solution-set A 0) > 0)
using dim-solution-set-not-zero-imp-infinite-solutions-no-homogeneous
using infinite-solutions-no-homogeneous-imp-dim-solution-set-not-zero-imp
using infinite-solution-set-imp-consistent by blast

corollary infinite-solution-set-no-homogeneous-eq':
fixes A::real^'n::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
shows (∃∞x. is-solution x A b) = (consistent A b ∧ dim (solution-set A 0) > 0)
unfolding infinite-solution-set-no-homogeneous-eq[symmetric] INFM-iff-infinite unfolding solution-set-def ..

definition independent-and-consistent A b = (consistent A b ∧ dim (solution-set A 0) = 0)
definition dependent-and-consistent A b = (consistent A b ∧ dim (solution-set A 0) > 0)

```

16.5 Solving systems of linear equations

The following function will solve any system of linear equations. Given a matrix A and a vector b , Firstly it makes use of the function *solve-system* to transform the original matrix A and the vector b into another ones in reduced row echelon form. Then, that system will have the same solution than the original one but it is easier to be solved. So we make use of the function *solve-consistent-rref* to obtain one solution of the system.

We will prove that any solution of the system can be rewritten as a linear combination of elements of a basis of the null space plus a particular solution of the system. So the function *solve* will return an option type, depending on the consistency of the system:

- If the system is consistent (so there exists at least one solution), the function will return the *Some* of a pair. In the first component of that pair will be one solution of the system and the second one will be a basis of the null space of the matrix. Hence:
 1. If the system is consistent and independent (so there exists one and only one solution), the pair will consist of the solution and the empty set (this empty set is the basis of the null space).
 2. If the system is consistent and dependent (so there exists an infinite number of solutions), the pair will consist of one particular

solution and a basis of the null space (which will not be the empty set).

- If the system is inconsistent (so there exists no solution), the function will return *None*.

definition *solve A b = (if consistent A b then Some (solve-consistent-rref (fst (solve-system A b)) (snd (solve-system A b)), basis-null-space A) else None)*

```

lemma solve-code[code]:
shows solve A b = (let GJ-P=Gauss-Jordan-PA A;
                     P-times-b=fst(GJ-P) *v b;
                     rank-A = (if A = 0 then 0 else to-nat (GREATEST' a. row a
                     (snd GJ-P) ≠ 0) + 1);
                     consistent-Ab = (rank-A ≥ (if (∃ a. (P-times-b) $ a ≠ 0) then
                     (to-nat (GREATEST' a. (P-times-b) $ a ≠ 0) + 1) else 0));
                     GJ-transpose = Gauss-Jordan-PA (transpose A);
                     basis = {row i (fst GJ-transpose) | i. to-nat i ≥ rank-A}
                     in (if consistent-Ab then Some (solve-consistent-rref (snd GJ-P)
                     P-times-b,basis) else None))
unfolding Let-def solve-def
unfolding consistent-eq-rank-ge-code[unfolded Let-def,symmetric]
unfolding basis-null-space-def Let-def
unfolding P-Gauss-Jordan-def
unfolding rank-Gauss-Jordan-code Let-def Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq
unfolding solve-system-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq ..

lemma consistent-imp-is-solution-solve:
fixes A::real'cols::{mod-type} 'rows::{mod-type}
assumes con: consistent A b
shows is-solution (fst (the (solve A b))) A b
unfolding solve-def unfolding if-P[OF con] the.simps fst-conv using consistent-imp-is-solution'[OF
con] .

corollary consistent-eq-solution-solve:
fixes A::real'cols::{mod-type} 'rows::{mod-type}
shows consistent A b = is-solution (fst (the (solve A b))) A b
by (metis consistent-def consistent-imp-is-solution-solve)

lemma inconsistent-imp-solve-eq-none:
fixes A::real'cols::{mod-type} 'rows::{mod-type}
assumes con: inconsistent A b
shows solve A b = None unfolding solve-def unfolding if-not-P[OF con[unfolded
inconsistent-def]] ..

corollary inconsistent-eq-solve-eq-none:
fixes A::real'cols::{mod-type} 'rows::{mod-type}
shows inconsistent A b = (solve A b = None)

```

unfolding solve-def unfolding inconsistent-def by force

We demonstrate that all solutions of a system of linear equations can be expressed as a linear combination of the basis of the null space plus a particular solution obtained. The basis and the particular solution are obtained by means of the function *solve A b*

```

lemma solution-set-rel-solve:
fixes A::real'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
assumes con: consistent A b
shows solution-set A b = {fst (the (solve A b))} + span (snd (the (solve A b)))
proof –
have s: is-solution (fst (the (solve A b))) A b using consistent-imp-is-solution-solve[OF
con] by simp
have solution-set A b = {fst (the (solve A b))} + solution-set A 0 using solution-set-rel[OF
s].
also have ... = {fst (the (solve A b))} + span (snd (the (solve A b))) unfolding
set-plus-def solve-def unfolding if-P[OF con] the.simps snd-conv fst-conv
proof (auto)
fix b assume b ∈ solution-set A 0
thus b ∈ span (basis-null-space A) unfolding null-space-eq-solution-set[symmetric]
using basis-null-space by fast
next
fix b
assume b: b ∈ span (basis-null-space A)
thus b ∈ solution-set A 0 unfolding null-space-eq-solution-set[symmetric]
using basis-null-space by blast
qed
finally show solution-set A b = {fst (the (solve A b))} + span (snd (the (solve
A b))).
qed

lemma is-solution-eq-in-span-solve:
fixes A::real'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
assumes con: consistent A b
shows (is-solution x A b) = (x ∈ {fst (the (solve A b))} + span (the (solve
A b))))
using solution-set-rel-solve[OF con] unfolding solution-set-def by auto
end

```

17 The Field of Integers mod 2

```

theory Bit
imports Main
begin

```

17.1 Bits as a datatype

```
typedef bit = UNIV :: bool set
morphisms set Bit
..

instantiation bit :: {zero, one}
begin

definition zero-bit-def:
  0 = Bit False

definition one-bit-def:
  1 = Bit True

instance ..

end

rep-datatype 0::bit 1::bit
proof -
  fix P and x :: bit
  assume P (0::bit) and P (1::bit)
  then have  $\forall b. P(\text{Bit } b)$ 
    unfolding zero-bit-def one-bit-def
    by (simp add: all-bool-eq)
  then show P x
    by (induct x) simp
next
  show (0::bit)  $\neq$  (1::bit)
    unfolding zero-bit-def one-bit-def
    by (simp add: Bit-inject)
qed

lemma Bit-set-eq [simp]:
  Bit (set b) = b
  by (fact set-inverse)

lemma set-Bit-eq [simp]:
  set (Bit P) = P
  by (rule Bit-inverse) rule

lemma bit-eq-iff:
   $x = y \longleftrightarrow (\text{set } x \longleftrightarrow \text{set } y)$ 
  by (auto simp add: set-inject)

lemma Bit-inject [simp]:
  Bit P = Bit Q  $\longleftrightarrow (P \longleftrightarrow Q)$ 
  by (auto simp add: Bit-inject)
```

```

lemma set [iff]:
   $\neg \text{set } 0$ 
   $\text{set } 1$ 
  by (simp-all add: zero-bit-def one-bit-def Bit-inverse)

lemma [code]:
   $\text{set } 0 \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$ 
   $\text{set } 1 \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$ 
  by simp-all

lemma set-iff:
   $\text{set } b \longleftrightarrow b = 1$ 
  by (cases b) simp-all

lemma bit-eq-iff-set:
   $b = 0 \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{set } b$ 
   $b = 1 \longleftrightarrow \text{set } b$ 
  by (simp-all add: bit-eq-iff)

lemma Bit [simp, code]:
  Bit False = 0
  Bit True = 1
  by (simp-all add: zero-bit-def one-bit-def)

lemma bit-not-0-iff [iff]:
   $(x::\text{bit}) \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow x = 1$ 
  by (simp add: bit-eq-iff)

lemma bit-not-1-iff [iff]:
   $(x::\text{bit}) \neq 1 \longleftrightarrow x = 0$ 
  by (simp add: bit-eq-iff)

lemma [code]:
  HOL.equal 0 b  $\longleftrightarrow \neg \text{set } b$ 
  HOL.equal 1 b  $\longleftrightarrow \text{set } b$ 
  by (simp-all add: equal set-iff)

```

17.2 Type *bit* forms a field

instantiation bit :: field-inverse-zero
begin

```

definition plus-bit-def:
   $x + y = \text{bit-case } y (\text{bit-case } 1 0 y) x$ 

definition times-bit-def:
   $x * y = \text{bit-case } 0 y x$ 

definition uminus-bit-def [simp]:

```

```

 $- x = (x :: \text{bit})$ 

definition minus-bit-def [simp]:
 $x - y = (x + y :: \text{bit})$ 

definition inverse-bit-def [simp]:
 $\text{inverse } x = (x :: \text{bit})$ 

definition divide-bit-def [simp]:
 $x / y = (x * y :: \text{bit})$ 

lemmas field-bit-defs =
plus-bit-def times-bit-def minus-bit-def uminus-bit-def
divide-bit-def inverse-bit-def

instance proof
qed (unfold field-bit-defs, auto split: bit.split)

end

lemma bit-add-self:  $x + x = (0 :: \text{bit})$ 
unfolding plus-bit-def by (simp split: bit.split)

lemma bit-mult-eq-1-iff [simp]:  $x * y = (1 :: \text{bit}) \longleftrightarrow x = 1 \wedge y = 1$ 
unfolding times-bit-def by (simp split: bit.split)

```

Not sure whether the next two should be simp rules.

```

lemma bit-add-eq-0-iff:  $x + y = (0 :: \text{bit}) \longleftrightarrow x = y$ 
unfolding plus-bit-def by (simp split: bit.split)

lemma bit-add-eq-1-iff:  $x + y = (1 :: \text{bit}) \longleftrightarrow x \neq y$ 
unfolding plus-bit-def by (simp split: bit.split)

```

17.3 Numerals at type *bit*

All numerals reduce to either 0 or 1.

```

lemma bit-minus1 [simp]:  $-1 = (1 :: \text{bit})$ 
by (simp only: minus-one [symmetric] uminus-bit-def)

lemma bit-neg-numeral [simp]:  $(\text{neg-numeral } w :: \text{bit}) = \text{numeral } w$ 
by (simp only: neg-numeral-def uminus-bit-def)

lemma bit-numeral-even [simp]:  $\text{numeral } (\text{Num.Bit0 } w) = (0 :: \text{bit})$ 
by (simp only: numeral-Bit0 bit-add-self)

lemma bit-numeral-odd [simp]:  $\text{numeral } (\text{Num.Bit1 } w) = (1 :: \text{bit})$ 
by (simp only: numeral-Bit1 bit-add-self add-0-left)

```

17.4 Conversion from bit

```
context zero-neq-one
begin

definition of-bit :: bit ⇒ 'a
where
  of-bit b = bit-case 0 1 b

lemma of-bit-eq [simp, code]:
  of-bit 0 = 0
  of-bit 1 = 1
  by (simp-all add: of-bit-def)

lemma of-bit-eq-iff:
  of-bit x = of-bit y ⟷ x = y
  by (cases x) (cases y, simp-all) +
end

context semiring-1
begin

lemma of-nat-of-bit-eq:
  of-nat (of-bit b) = of-bit b
  by (cases b) simp-all

end

context ring-1
begin

lemma of-int-of-bit-eq:
  of-int (of-bit b) = of-bit b
  by (cases b) simp-all

end

hide-const (open) set
end
```

18 Code Generation for Bits

```
theory Code-Bit
imports $ISABELLE-HOME/src/HOL/Library/Bit
begin
```

Implementation for the field of integer numbers module 2. Experimentally we have checked that this implementation is faster than using booleans or implementing the operations by tables.

```

code-datatype 0::bit (1::bit)

code-printing
type-constructor bit → (SML) IntInf.int
| constant 0::bit → (SML) 0
| constant 1::bit → (SML) 1
| constant op + :: bit => bit => bit → (SML) IntInf.rem ((IntInf.+ ((-), (-))), 2)
| constant op * :: bit => bit => bit → (SML) IntInf.* ((-), (-))
| constant op / :: bit => bit => bit → (SML) IntInf.* ((-), (-))

code-printing
type-constructor bit → (Haskell) Integer
| constant 0::bit → (Haskell) 0
| constant 1::bit → (Haskell) 1
| constant op + :: bit => bit => bit → (Haskell) Prelude.rem ((-) + (-)) 2
| constant op * :: bit => bit => bit → (Haskell) (-) * (-)
| constant op / :: bit => bit => bit → (Haskell) (-) * (-)
| class-instance bit :: HOL.equal => (Haskell) –

end
```

19 Examples of computations over abstract matrices

```

theory Examples-Gauss-Jordan-Abstract
imports
  Determinants2
  Inverse
  System-Of-Equations
  Code-Bit
  ∽/src/HOL/Library/Code-Target-Numeral
begin
```

19.1 Transforming a list of lists to an abstract matrix

Definitions to transform a matrix to a list of list and vice versa

```

definition vec-to-list :: 'a ^'n::{finite, enum} => 'a list
  where vec-to-list A = map (op $ A) (enum-class.enum::'n list)

definition matrix-to-list-of-list :: 'a ^'n::{finite, enum} ^'m::{finite, enum} => 'a list list
```

```
where matrix-to-list-of-list A = map (vec-to-list) (map (op $ A) (enum-class.enum::'m
list))
```

This definition should be equivalent to *vector-def* (in suitable types)

```
definition list-to-vec :: 'a list => 'a ^'n::{finite, enum, mod-type}
where list-to-vec xs = vec-lambda (% i. xs ! (to-nat i))
```

```
lemma [code abstract]: vec-nth (list-to-vec xs) = (%i. xs ! (to-nat i))
unfolding list-to-vec-def by fastforce
```

```
definition list-of-list-to-matrix :: 'a list list => 'a ^'n::{finite, enum, mod-type} ^'m::{finite,
enum, mod-type}
where list-of-list-to-matrix xs = vec-lambda (%i. list-to-vec (xs ! (to-nat i)))
```

```
lemma [code abstract]: vec-nth (list-of-list-to-matrix xs) = (%i. list-to-vec (xs !
(to-nat i)))
unfolding list-of-list-to-matrix-def by auto
```

19.2 Examples

19.2.1 Ranks and dimensions

Examples on computing ranks, dimensions of row space, null space and col space and the Gauss Jordan algorithm

```
value[code] matrix-to-list-of-list (Gauss-Jordan (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,0,0,0,0,0],[0,1,0,0,0,0],[0,0,0,0,0,0],
value[code] matrix-to-list-of-list (Gauss-Jordan (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,-2,1,-3,0],[3,-6,2,-7,0]]):rat^5
value[code] matrix-to-list-of-list (Gauss-Jordan (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,0,0,1,1],[1,0,1,1,1]]):bit^5^2))
value[code] (reduced-row-echelon-form-upr-k (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,0,8],[0,1,9],[0,0,0]]):real^3^3))
3
value[code] matrix-to-list-of-list (Gauss-Jordan (list-of-list-to-matrix [[Complex 1
1,Complex 1 - 1, Complex 0 0],[Complex 2 - 1,Complex 1 3, Complex 7 3]]:complex^3^2))
value[code] DIM(real^5)
value[code] DIM(real^5^4)
value[code] row-rank (list-of-list-to-matrix [[1,0,0,7,5],[1,0,4,8,-1],[1,0,0,9,8],[1,2,3,6,5]]:real^5^4)
value[code] dim (row-space (list-of-list-to-matrix [[1,0,0,7,5],[1,0,4,8,-1],[1,0,0,9,8],[1,2,3,6,5]]:real^5^4)
value[code] col-rank (list-of-list-to-matrix [[1,0,0,7,5],[1,0,4,8,-1],[1,0,0,9,8],[1,2,3,6,5]]:real^5^4)
value[code] dim (col-space (list-of-list-to-matrix [[1,0,0,7,5],[1,0,4,8,-1],[1,0,0,9,8],[1,2,3,6,5]]:real^5^4))
value[code] rank (list-of-list-to-matrix [[1,0,0,7,5],[1,0,4,8,-1],[1,0,0,9,8],[1,2,3,6,5]]:real^5^4)
value[code] dim (null-space (list-of-list-to-matrix [[1,0,0,7,5],[1,0,4,8,-1],[1,0,0,9,8],[1,2,3,6,5]]:real^5^4))
```

19.2.2 Inverse of a matrix

Examples on computing the inverse of real matrices

```
value[code] let A=(list-of-list-to-matrix [[1,1,2,4,5,9,8],[3,0,8,4,5,0,8],[3,2,0,4,5,9,8],
[3,2,8,0,5,9,8],[3,2,8,4,0,9,8],[3,2,8,4,5,0,8],[3,2,8,4,5,9,0]]:real^7^7)
      in matrix-to-list-of-list (P-Gauss-Jordan A)
value[code] let A=(list-of-list-to-matrix [[1,1,2,4,5,9,8],[3,0,8,4,5,0,8],[3,2,0,4,5,9,8],
[3,2,8,0,5,9,8],[3,2,8,4,0,9,8],[3,2,8,4,5,0,8],[3,2,8,4,5,9,0]]:real^7^7) in
```

```

matrix-to-list-of-list (A ** (P-Gauss-Jordan A))
value[code] let A=(list-of-list-to-matrix [[1,1,2,4,5,9,8],[3,0,8,4,5,0,8],[3,2,0,4,5,9,8],
[3,2,8,0,5,9,8],[3,2,8,4,0,9,8],[3,2,8,4,5,0,8],[3,2,8,4,5,9,0]]::real^7^7)
in (inverse-matrix A)
value[code] let A=(list-of-list-to-matrix [[1,1,2,4,5,9,8],[3,0,8,4,5,0,8],[3,2,0,4,5,9,8],
[3,2,8,0,5,9,8],[3,2,8,4,0,9,8],[3,2,8,4,5,0,8],[3,2,8,4,5,9,0]]::real^7^7)
in matrix-to-list-of-list (the (inverse-matrix A))
value[code] let A=(list-of-list-to-matrix [[1,1,1,1,1,1,1],[2,2,2,2,2,2,2],[3,2,0,4,5,9,8],
[3,2,8,0,5,9,8],[3,2,8,4,0,9,8],[3,2,8,4,5,0,8],[3,2,8,4,5,9,0]]::real^7^7)
in (inverse-matrix A)

```

19.2.3 Determinant of a matrix

Examples on computing determinants of matrices

```

value[code] (let A = list-of-list-to-matrix[[1,2,7,8,9],[3,4,12,10,7],[-5,4,8,7,4],[0,1,2,4,8],[9,8,7,13,11]]::real^5^5)
in det A)
value[code] det (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,0,0],[0,1,0],[0,0,1]])::real^3^3)
value[code] det (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,8,9,1,47],[7,2,2,5,9],[3,2,7,7,4],[9,8,7,5,1],[1,2,6,4,5]])::rat^5^5)

```

19.2.4 Bases of the fundamental subspaces

Examples on computing basis for null space, row space, column space and left null space

```

value[code] let A = (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,3,-2,0,2,0],[2,6,-5,-2,4,-3],[0,0,5,10,0,15],[2,6,0,8,4,18]]))
in vec-to-list` (basis-null-space A)
value[code] let A = (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[3,4,0,7],[1,-5,2,-2],[-1,4,0,3],[1,-1,2,2]])::real^4^4)
in vec-to-list` (basis-null-space A)

value[code] let A = (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,3,-2,0,2,0],[2,6,-5,-2,4,-3],[0,0,5,10,0,15],[2,6,0,8,4,18]]))
in vec-to-list` (basis-row-space A)
value[code] let A = (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[3,4,0,7],[1,-5,2,-2],[-1,4,0,3],[1,-1,2,2]])::real^4^4)
in vec-to-list` (basis-row-space A)

value[code] let A = (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,3,-2,0,2,0],[2,6,-5,-2,4,-3],[0,0,5,10,0,15],[2,6,0,8,4,18]]))
in vec-to-list` (basis-col-space A)
value[code] let A = (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[3,4,0,7],[1,-5,2,-2],[-1,4,0,3],[1,-1,2,2]])::real^4^4)
in vec-to-list` (basis-col-space A)

value[code] let A = (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,3,-2,0,2,0],[2,6,-5,-2,4,-3],[0,0,5,10,0,15],[2,6,0,8,4,18]]))
in vec-to-list` (basis-left-null-space A)

```

```

value[code] let A = (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[3,4,0,7],[1,-5,2,-2],[-1,4,0,3],[1,-1,2,2]])::real^4^4)
in vec-to-list` (basis-left-null-space A)

```

19.2.5 Consistency and inconsistency

Examples on checking the consistency/inconsistency of a system of equations

```

value[code] independent-and-consistent (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,0,0],[0,1,0],[0,0,1],[0,0,0],[0,0,0]])::real^3^5)
(list-to-vec([2,3,4,0,0])::real^5)
value[code] consistent (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,0,0],[0,1,0],[0,0,1],[0,0,0],[0,0,0]])::real^3^5)
(list-to-vec([2,3,4,0,0])::real^5)
value[code] inconsistent (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,0,0],[0,1,0],[3,0,1],[0,7,0],[0,0,9]])::real^3^5)
(list-to-vec([2,0,4,0,0])::real^5)
value[code] dependent-and-consistent (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,0,0],[0,1,0]])::real^3^2)
(list-to-vec([3,4])::real^2)
value[code] independent-and-consistent (mat 1::real^3^3) (list-to-vec([3,4,5])::real^3)

```

19.2.6 Solving systems of linear equations

Examples on solving linear systems.

definition print-result-solve A = (if A = None then None else Some (vec-to-list (fst (the A)), vec-to-list` (snd (the A))))

```

value[code] let A = (list-of-list-to-matrix [[4,5,8],[9,8,7],[4,6,1]]::real^3^3);
b=(list-to-vec [4,5,8]::real^3)
in (print-result-solve (solve A b))

value[code] let A = (list-of-list-to-matrix [[0,0,0],[0,0,0],[0,0,1]]::real^3^3);
b=(list-to-vec [4,5,0]::real^3)
in (print-result-solve (solve A b))

value[code] let A = (list-of-list-to-matrix [[3,2,5,2,7],[6,4,7,4,5],[3,2,-1,2,-11],[6,4,1,4,-13]]::real^5^4);
b=(list-to-vec [0,0,0,0]::real^4)
in (print-result-solve (solve A b))

value[code] let A = (list-of-list-to-matrix [[1,2,1],[-2,-3,-1],[2,4,2]]::real^3^3);
b=(list-to-vec [-2,1,-4]::real^3)
in (print-result-solve (solve A b))

value[code] let A = (list-of-list-to-matrix [[1,1,-4,10],[3,-2,-2,6]]::real^4^2);
b=(list-to-vec [24,15]::real^2)
in (print-result-solve (solve A b))

```

end

20 Immutable Arrays with Code Generation

```

theory IArray
imports Main
begin

Immutable arrays are lists wrapped up in an additional constructor. There
are no update operations. Hence code generation can safely implement this
type by efficient target language arrays. Currently only SML is provided.
Should be extended to other target languages and more operations.

Note that arrays cannot be printed directly but only by turning them into
lists first. Arrays could be converted back into lists for printing if they were
wrapped up in an additional constructor.

datatype 'a iarray = IArray 'a list

primrec list-of :: 'a iarray ⇒ 'a list where
list-of (IArray xs) = xs
hide-const (open) list-of

definition of-fun :: (nat ⇒ 'a) ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a iarray where
[simp]: of-fun f n = IArray (map f [0..<n])
hide-const (open) of-fun

definition sub :: 'a iarray ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a (infixl !! 100) where
[simp]: as !! n = IArray.list-of as ! n
hide-const (open) sub

definition length :: 'a iarray ⇒ nat where
[simp]: length as = List.length (IArray.list-of as)
hide-const (open) length

lemma list-of-code [code]:
IArray.list-of as = map (λn. as !! n) [0 ..< IArray.length as]
by (cases as) (simp add: map-nth)

```

20.1 Code Generation

code-reserved *SML Vector*

```

code-printing
type-constructor iarray → (SML) - Vector.vector
| constant IArray → (SML) Vector.fromList

lemma [code]:
size (as :: 'a iarray) = 0
by (cases as) simp

lemma [code]:
iarray-size f as = Suc (list-size f (IArray.list-of as))

```

```

by (cases as) simp

lemma [code]:
iarray-rec f as = f (IArray.list-of as)
by (cases as) simp

lemma [code]:
iarray-case f as = f (IArray.list-of as)
by (cases as) simp

lemma [code]:
HOL.equal as bs  $\longleftrightarrow$  HOL.equal (IArray.list-of as) (IArray.list-of bs)
by (cases as, cases bs) (simp add: equal)

primrec tabulate :: integer  $\times$  (integer  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$  'a iarray where
tabulate (n, f) = IArray (map (f  $\circ$  integer-of-nat) [0.. $<$ nat-of-integer n])
hide-const (open) tabulate

lemma [code]:
IArray.of-fun f n = IArray.tabulate (integer-of-nat n, f  $\circ$  nat-of-integer)
by simp

code-printing
constant IArray.tabulate  $\rightarrow$  (SML) Vector.tabulate

primrec sub' :: 'a iarray  $\times$  integer  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
sub' (as, n) = IArray.list-of as ! nat-of-integer n
hide-const (open) sub'

lemma [code]:
as !! n = IArray.sub' (as, integer-of-nat n)
by simp

code-printing
constant IArray.sub'  $\rightarrow$  (SML) Vector.sub

definition length' :: 'a iarray  $\Rightarrow$  integer where
[simp]: length' as = integer-of-nat (List.length (IArray.list-of as))
hide-const (open) length'

lemma [code]:
IArray.length as = nat-of-integer (IArray.length' as)
by simp

code-printing
constant IArray.length'  $\rightarrow$  (SML) Vector.length

end

```

21 IArrays Addenda

```
theory IArray-Addenda
imports
  $ISABELLE-HOME/src/HOL/Library/IArray
begin

instantiation iarray :: (plus) plus
begin
definition plus-iarray :: 'a iarray ⇒ 'a iarray ⇒ 'a iarray
  where plus-iarray A B = IArray.of-fun (λn. A !! n + B !! n) (IArray.length A)
instance proof qed
end

instantiation iarray :: (minus) minus
begin
definition minus-iarray :: 'a iarray ⇒ 'a iarray ⇒ 'a iarray
  where minus-iarray A B = IArray.of-fun (λn. A !! n - B !! n) (IArray.length A)
instance proof qed
end
```

21.2 Some previous definitions and properties for IArrays

21.2.1 Lemmas

```
lemma of-fun-nth:
  assumes i: i < n
  shows (IArray.of-fun f n) !! i = f i
  unfolding of-fun-def using map-nth i by auto
```

21.2.2 Definitions

```
fun all :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a iarray ⇒ bool
where all p (IArray as) = (ALL a : set as. p a)
hide-const (open) all

fun exists :: ('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ 'a iarray ⇒ bool
where exists p (IArray as) = (EX a : set as. p a)
hide-const (open) exists
```

21.3 Code generation

```
code-const IArray-Addenda.exists
  (SML Vector.exists)
```

```
code-const IArray-Addenda.all
  (SML Vector.all)
```

```
end
```

22 Matrices as nested IArrays

```
theory Matrix-To-IArray
imports
  Mod-Type
  Elementary-Operations
  IArray-Addenda
begin
```

22.1 Isomorphism between matrices implemented byvecs and matrices implemented by iarrays

22.1.1 Isomorphism between vec and iarray

```
definition vec-to-iarray :: 'a ^'n::{mod-type}  $\Rightarrow$  'a iarray
  where vec-to-iarray A = IArray.of-fun ( $\lambda i$ . A $ (from-nat i)) (CARD('n))

definition iarray-to-vec :: 'a iarray  $\Rightarrow$  'a ^'n::{mod-type}
  where iarray-to-vec A = ( $\chi i$ . A !! (to-nat i))
```

```
lemma vec-to-iarray-nth:
  fixes A::'a ^'n::{finite, mod-type}
  assumes i:  $i < \text{CARD}('n)$ 
  shows (vec-to-iarray A) !! i = A $ (from-nat i)
  unfolding vec-to-iarray-def using of-fun-nth[OF i] .

lemma vec-to-iarray-nth':
  fixes A::'a ^'n::{mod-type}
  shows (vec-to-iarray A) !! (to-nat i) = A $ i
proof -
  have to-nat-less-card:  $to\text{-nat } i < \text{CARD}('n)$  using bij-to-nat[where ?'a='n] un-
  folding bij-betw-def by fastforce
  show ?thesis unfolding vec-to-iarray-def unfolding of-fun-nth[OF to-nat-less-card]
```

```

from-nat-to-nat-id ..
qed

lemma iarray-to-vec-nth:
  shows (iarray-to-vec A) $ i = A !! (to-nat i)
  unfolding iarray-to-vec-def by simp

lemma vec-to-iarray-morph:
  fixes A::'a ^'n:{mod-type}
  shows (A = B) = (vec-to-iarray A = vec-to-iarray B)
  by (metis vec-eq-iff vec-to-iarray-nth')

lemma inj-vec-to-iarray:
  shows inj vec-to-iarray
  using vec-to-iarray-morph unfolding inj-on-def by blast

lemma iarray-to-vec-vec-to-iarray:
  fixes A::'a ^'n:{mod-type}
  shows iarray-to-vec (vec-to-iarray A)=A
  proof (unfold vec-to-iarray-def iarray-to-vec-def, vector, auto)
    fix i::'n
    have to-nat i < CARD('n) using bij-to-nat[where ?'a='n] unfolding bij-betw-def
    by auto
    thus map (λi. A $ from-nat i) [0..<CARD('n)] ! to-nat i = A $ i by simp
  qed

lemma vec-to-iarray-iarray-to-vec:
  assumes length-eq: IArray.length A = CARD('n:{mod-type})
  shows vec-to-iarray (iarray-to-vec A::'a ^'n:{mod-type}) = A
  proof (unfold vec-to-iarray-def iarray-to-vec-def, vector, auto)
    obtain xs where xs: A = IArray xs by (metis iarray.exhaust)
    show IArray (map (λi. IArray.list-of A ! to-nat (from-nat i::'n)) [0..<CARD('n)]) = A
    proof (unfold xs iarray.inject list-eq-iff-nth-eq, auto)
      show CARD('n) = length xs using length-eq unfolding xs by simp
      fix i assume i: i < CARD('n)
      show xs ! to-nat (from-nat i::'n) = xs ! i unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id[OF i]
    ..
    qed
  qed

lemma length-vec-to-iarray:
  fixes xa::'a ^'n:{mod-type}
  shows IArray.length (vec-to-iarray xa) = CARD('n)
  unfolding vec-to-iarray-def by simp

```

22.1.2 Isomorphism between matrix and nested iarrays

```

definition matrix-to-iarray :: 'a ^'n::{mod-type} ^'m::{mod-type} => 'a iarray iarray
  where matrix-to-iarray A = IArray (map (vec-to-iarray o (op $ A) o (from-nat:nat=>'m))
    [0..

```

```

lemma vec-matrix: vec-to-iarray (A$i) = (matrix-to-iarray A) !! (to-nat i)
  unfolding matrix-to-iarray-def o-def by fastforce

lemma iarray-to-matrix-matrix-to-iarray:
  fixes A::'a ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
  shows iarray-to-matrix (matrix-to-iarray A) = A unfolding matrix-to-iarray-def
iarray-to-matrix-def o-def
  by (vector, auto, metis IArray.sub-def vec-to-iarray-nth')

```

22.2 Definition of operations over matrices implemented by iarrays

```

definition mult-iarray :: 'a::{times} iarray => 'a => 'a iarray
  where mult-iarray A q = IArray.of-fun ( $\lambda n. q * A!!n$ ) (IArray.length A)

definition row-iarray :: nat => 'a iarray iarray => 'a iarray
  where row-iarray k A = A !! k

definition column-iarray :: nat => 'a iarray iarray => 'a iarray
  where column-iarray k A = IArray.of-fun ( $\lambda m. A !! m !! k$ ) (IArray.length A)

definition nrows-iarray :: 'a iarray iarray => nat
  where nrows-iarray A = IArray.length A

definition ncols-iarray :: 'a iarray iarray => nat
  where ncols-iarray A = IArray.length (A!!0)

definition rows-iarray A = {row-iarray i A | i. i ∈ {..<nrows-iarray A}}

definition columns-iarray A = {column-iarray i A | i. i ∈ {..<ncols-iarray A}}

definition tabulate2 :: nat => nat => (nat => nat => 'a) => 'a iarray iarray
  where tabulate2 m n f = IArray.of-fun ( $\lambda i. IArray.of-fun (f i) n$ ) m

definition transpose-iarray :: 'a iarray iarray => 'a iarray iarray
  where transpose-iarray A = tabulate2 (ncols-iarray A) (nrows-iarray A) ( $\lambda a b. A!!b!!a$ )

definition matrix-matrix-mult-iarray :: 'a::{times, comm-monoid-add} iarray iarray => 'a iarray iarray => 'a iarray iarray (infixl **i 70)
  where A **i B = tabulate2 (nrows-iarray A) (ncols-iarray B) ( $\lambda i j. setsum (\lambda k. ((A!!i)!!k) * ((B!!k)!!j)) \{0..<\text{ncols-iarray } A\}$ )

definition matrix-vector-mult-iarray :: 'a::{semiring-1} iarray iarray => 'a iarray => 'a iarray (infixl *iv 70)
  where A *iv x = IArray.of-fun ( $\lambda i. setsum (\lambda j. ((A!!i)!!j) * (x!!j)) \{0..<IArray.length x\}$ ) (nrows-iarray A)

definition vector-matrix-mult-iarray :: 'a::{semiring-1} iarray => 'a iarray iarray => 'a iarray (infixl v*i 70)

```

```

where  $x \cdot v * i A = IArray.of-fun (\lambda j. setsum (\lambda i. ((A!!i)!!j) * (x!!i)) \{0..IArray.length  
x\}) (ncols-iarray A)$ 

```

```

definition mat-iarray :: 'a::{zero} => nat => 'a iarray iarray
where mat-iarray k n = tabulate2 n n ( $\lambda i j. if i = j then k else 0$ )

```

```

definition is-zero-iarray :: 'a::{zero} iarray => bool
where is-zero-iarray A = IArray-Addenda.all ( $\lambda i. A !! i = 0$ ) (IArray[0..IArray.length  
A])

```

22.2.1 Properties of previous definitions

```

lemma is-zero-iarray-eq-iff:
  fixes A::'a::{zero} ^'n::{mod-type}
  shows (A = 0) = (is-zero-iarray (vec-to-iarray A))
proof (auto)
  show is-zero-iarray (vec-to-iarray 0) by (simp add: vec-to-iarray-def is-zero-iarray-def
  is-none-def find-None-iff)
  show is-zero-iarray (vec-to-iarray A) ==> A = 0
  proof (simp add: vec-to-iarray-def is-zero-iarray-def is-none-def find-None-iff
  vec-eq-iff, clarify)
    fix i::'n
    assume  $\forall i \in \{0..CARD('n)\}. A \$ mod-type-class.from-nat i = 0$ 
    hence eq-zero:  $\forall x \in CARD('n). A \$ from-nat x = 0$  by force
    have to-nat i < CARD('n) using bij-to-nat[where ?'a='n] unfolding bij-betw-def
    by fastforce
    hence A $(from-nat (to-nat i)) = 0 using eq-zero by blast
    thus A $ i = 0 unfolding from-nat-to-nat-id .
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma mult-iarray-works:
  assumes a < IArray.length A shows mult-iarray A q !! a = q * A!!a
  unfolding mult-iarray-def
  unfolding of-fun-def unfolding sub-def
  using assms by simp

```

```

lemma length-eq-card-rows:
  fixes A::'a ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows:::{mod-type}
  shows IArray.length (matrix-to-iarray A) = CARD('rows)
  unfolding matrix-to-iarray-def by auto

```

```

lemma nrows-eq-card-rows:
  fixes A::'a ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows:::{mod-type}
  shows nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A) = CARD('rows)
  unfolding nrows-iarray-def length-eq-card-rows ..

```

```

lemma length-eq-card-columns:
  fixes A::'a ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows:::{mod-type}

```

```

shows IArray.length (matrix-to-iarray A !! 0) = CARD ('columns)
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-def o-def vec-to-iarray-def by simp

lemma ncols-eq-card-columns:
  fixes A::'a ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
  shows ncols-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A) = CARD ('columns)
  unfolding ncols-iarray-def length-eq-card-columns ..

lemma matrix-to-iarray-nrows:
  fixes A::'a ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
  shows nrows A = nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)
  unfolding nrows-def nrows-eq-card-rows ..

lemma matrix-to-iarray-ncols:
  fixes A::'a ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
  shows ncols A = ncols-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)
  unfolding ncols-def ncols-eq-card-columns ..

lemma vec-to-iarray-row[code-unfold]: vec-to-iarray (row i A) = row-iarray (to-nat i) (matrix-to-iarray A)
  unfolding row-def row-iarray-def vec-to-iarray-def
  by (auto, metis IArray.sub-def of-fun-def vec-matrix vec-to-iarray-def)

lemma vec-to-iarray-row': vec-to-iarray (row i A) = (matrix-to-iarray A) !! (to-nat i)
  unfolding row-def vec-to-iarray-def
  by (auto, metis IArray.sub-def of-fun-def vec-matrix vec-to-iarray-def)

lemma vec-to-iarray-column[code-unfold]: vec-to-iarray (column i A) = column-iarray (to-nat i) (matrix-to-iarray A)
  unfolding column-def vec-to-iarray-def column-iarray-def length-eq-card-rows
  by (auto, metis IArray.sub-def from-nat-not-eq vec-matrix vec-to-iarray-nth')

lemma vec-to-iarray-column':
  assumes k: k < ncols A
  shows (vec-to-iarray (column (from-nat k) A)) = (column-iarray k (matrix-to-iarray A))
  unfolding vec-to-iarray-column unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id [OF k[unfolded ncols-def]]
  ..

lemma column-iarray-nth:
  assumes i: i < nrows-iarray A
  shows column-iarray j A !! i = A !! i !! j
  proof -
    have column-iarray j A !! i = map ( $\lambda m.$  A !! m !! j) [ $0..<\text{IArray.length } A$ ] ! i
    unfolding column-iarray-def by auto
    also have ... = ( $\lambda m.$  A !! m !! j) ([ $0..<\text{IArray.length } A$ ] ! i) using i nth-map
    unfolding nrows-iarray-def by auto
    also have ... = ( $\lambda m.$  A !! m !! j) (i) using nth-upd [of 0 i IArray.length A] i
  
```

```

unfolding nrows-iarray-def by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma vec-to-iarray-rows: vec-to-iarray` (rows A) = rows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)
  unfolding rows-def unfolding rows-iarray-def
  apply (auto simp add: vec-to-iarray-row to-nat-less-card nrows-eq-card-rows)
  by (unfold image-def, auto, metis from-nat-not-eq vec-to-iarray-row)

lemma vec-to-iarray-columns: vec-to-iarray` (columns A) = columns-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)
  unfolding columns-def unfolding columns-iarray-def
  apply(auto simp add: ncols-eq-card-columns to-nat-less-card vec-to-iarray-column)
  by (unfold image-def, auto, metis from-nat-not-eq vec-to-iarray-column)

```

22.3 Definition of elementary operations

```

definition interchange-rows-iarray :: 'a iarray iarray => nat => nat => 'a iarray iarray
  where interchange-rows-iarray A a b = IArray.of-fun (λn. if n=a then A!!b else
  if n=b then A!!a else A!!n) (IArray.length A)

definition mult-row-iarray :: 'a:{times} iarray iarray => nat => 'a => 'a iarray iarray
  where mult-row-iarray A a q = IArray.of-fun (λn. if n=a then mult-iarray (A!!a)
  q else A!!n) (IArray.length A)

definition row-add-iarray :: 'a:{plus, times} iarray iarray => nat => nat =>
  'a => 'a iarray iarray
  where row-add-iarray A a b q = IArray.of-fun (λn. if n=a then A!!a + mult-iarray
  (A!!b) q else A!!n) (IArray.length A)

definition interchange-columns-iarray :: 'a iarray iarray => nat => nat => 'a iarray iarray
  where interchange-columns-iarray A a b = tabulate2 (nrows-iarray A) (ncols-iarray A) (λi j. if j = a then A !! i !! b else if j = b then A !! i !! a else A !! i !! j)

definition mult-column-iarray :: 'a:{times} iarray iarray => nat => 'a => 'a iarray iarray
  where mult-column-iarray A n q = tabulate2 (nrows-iarray A) (ncols-iarray A)
  (λi j. if j = n then A !! i !! j * q else A !! i !! j)

definition column-add-iarray :: 'a:{plus, times} iarray iarray => nat => nat
  => 'a => 'a iarray iarray
  where column-add-iarray A n m q = tabulate2 (nrows-iarray A) (ncols-iarray A) (λi j. if j = n then A !! i !! n + A !! i !! m * q else A !! i !! j)

```

22.3.1 Code generator

```

lemma vec-to-iarray-plus[code-unfold]: vec-to-iarray (a + b) = (vec-to-iarray a)
+ (vec-to-iarray b)
  unfolding vec-to-iarray-def
  unfolding plus-iarray-def by auto

lemma matrix-to-iarray-plus[code-unfold]: matrix-to-iarray (A + B) = (matrix-to-iarray
A) + (matrix-to-iarray B)
  unfolding matrix-to-iarray-def o-def
  by (simp add: plus-iarray-def vec-to-iarray-plus)

lemma matrix-to-iarray-mat[code-unfold]:
  matrix-to-iarray (mat k ::'a::{zero} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}) = mat-iarray
k CARD('n::{mod-type})
  unfolding matrix-to-iarray-def o-def vec-to-iarray-def mat-def mat-iarray-def
tabulate2-def
  using from-nat-eq-imp-eq by fastforce

lemma matrix-to-iarray transpose[code-unfold]:
  shows matrix-to-iarray (transpose A) = transpose-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)
  unfolding matrix-to-iarray-def transpose-def transpose-iarray-def
    o-def tabulate2-def nrows-iarray-def ncols-iarray-def vec-to-iarray-def
  by auto

lemma matrix-to-iarray-matrix-matrix-mult[code-unfold]:
  fixes A::'a::{semiring-1} ^'m::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type} and B::'a ^'b::{mod-type} ^'m::{mod-type}
  shows matrix-to-iarray (A ** B) = (matrix-to-iarray A) **i (matrix-to-iarray
B)
  unfolding matrix-to-iarray-def matrix-matrix-mult-iarray-def matrix-matrix-mult-def

  unfolding o-def tabulate2-def nrows-iarray-def ncols-iarray-def vec-to-iarray-def
  using setsigma-reindex-cong[of from-nat::nat=>'m] using bij-from-nat unfolding
bij-betw-def by fastforce

lemma vec-to-iarray-matrix-matrix-mult[code-unfold]:
  fixes A::'a::{semiring-1} ^'m::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type} and x::'a ^'m::{mod-type}
  shows vec-to-iarray (A *v x) = (matrix-to-iarray A) *iv (vec-to-iarray x)
  unfolding matrix-vector-mult-iarray-def matrix-vector-mult-def
  unfolding o-def tabulate2-def nrows-iarray-def ncols-iarray-def matrix-to-iarray-def
vec-to-iarray-def
  using setsigma-reindex-cong[of from-nat::nat=>'m] using bij-from-nat unfolding
bij-betw-def by fastforce

lemma vec-to-iarray-vector-matrix-mult[code-unfold]:
  fixes A::'a::{semiring-1} ^'m::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type} and x::'a ^'n::{mod-type}
  shows vec-to-iarray (x v* A) = (vec-to-iarray x) v*i (matrix-to-iarray A)
  unfolding vector-matrix-mult-def vector-matrix-mult-iarray-def

```

```

unfolding o-def tabulate2-def nrows-iarray-def ncols-iarray-def matrix-to-iarray-def
vec-to-iarray-def
proof (auto)
  fix xa
  show ( $\sum i \in \text{UNIV}. A \$ i \$ \text{from-nat} xa * x \$ i$ ) = ( $\sum i = 0.. < \text{CARD}'n). A \$$ 
from-nat i \$ from-nat xa * x \$ from-nat i)
  apply (rule setsum-reindex-cong[of from-nat::nat=>'n]) using bij-from-nat[where
? 'a='n] unfolding bij-betw-def by fast+
qed

lemma matrix-to-iarray-interchange-rows[code-unfold]:
  fixes A::'a::semiring_1 ^'columns::mod-type ^'rows::mod-type
  shows matrix-to-iarray (interchange-rows A i j) = interchange-rows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray
A) (to-nat i) (to-nat j)
  proof (unfold matrix-to-iarray-def interchange-rows-iarray-def o-def map-vec-to-iarray-rw,
auto)
  fix x assume x-less-card:  $x < \text{CARD}'\text{rows}$ 
  and x-not-j:  $x \neq \text{to-nat} j$  and x-not-i:  $x \neq \text{to-nat} i$ 
  show vec-to-iarray (interchange-rows A i j \$ from-nat x) = vec-to-iarray (A \$ from-nat x)
  by (metis interchange-rows-preserves to-nat-from-nat-id x-less-card x-not-i x-not-j)
qed

lemma matrix-to-iarray-mult-row[code-unfold]:
  fixes A::'a::semiring_1 ^'columns::mod-type ^'rows::mod-type
  shows matrix-to-iarray (mult-row A i q) = mult-row-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)
(to-nat i) q
  unfolding matrix-to-iarray-def mult-row-iarray-def o-def
  unfolding mult-iarray-def vec-to-iarray-def mult-row-def apply auto
proof -
  fix i x
  assume i-contr:i ≠ to-nat (from-nat i::'rows) and x < CARD('columns)
  and i < CARD('rows)
  hence i = to-nat (from-nat i::'rows) using to-nat-from-nat-id by fastforce
  thus q * A \$ from-nat i \$ from-nat x = A \$ from-nat i \$ from-nat x
  using i-contr by contradiction
qed

lemma matrix-to-iarray-row-add[code-unfold]:
  fixes A::'a::semiring_1 ^'columns::mod-type ^'rows::mod-type
  shows matrix-to-iarray (row-add A i j q) = row-add-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)
(to-nat i) (to-nat j) q
  proof (unfold matrix-to-iarray-def row-add-iarray-def o-def, auto)
  show vec-to-iarray (row-add A i j q \$ i) = vec-to-iarray (A \$ i) + mult-iarray
(vec-to-iarray (A \$ j)) q
  unfolding mult-iarray-def vec-to-iarray-def unfolding plus-iarray-def row-add-def
by auto

```

```

fix ia assume ia-not-i: ia ≠ to-nat i and ia-card: ia < CARD('rows)
have from-nat-ia-not-i: from-nat ia ≠ i
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ from-nat ia ≠ i hence from-nat ia = i by simp
  hence to-nat (from-nat ia:'rows) = to-nat i by simp
  hence ia=to-nat i using to-nat-from-nat-id ia-card by fastforce
  thus False using ia-not-i by contradiction
qed
show vec-to-iarray (row-add A i j q $ from-nat ia) = vec-to-iarray (A $ from-nat
ia)
  using ia-not-i
  unfolding vec-to-iarray-morph[symmetric] unfolding row-add-def using from-nat-ia-not-i
by vector
qed

lemma matrix-to-iarray-interchange-columns[code-unfold]:
  fixes A::'a::{semiring-1} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
  shows matrix-to-iarray (interchange-columns A i j) = interchange-columns-iarray
(matrix-to-iarray A) (to-nat i) (to-nat j)
  unfolding interchange-columns-def interchange-columns-iarray-def o-def tabulate2-def
  unfolding nrows-eq-card-rows ncols-eq-card-columns
  unfolding matrix-to-iarray-def o-def vec-to-iarray-def
  by (auto simp add: to-nat-from-nat-id to-nat-less-card[of i] to-nat-less-card[of j])

lemma matrix-to-iarray-mult-columns[code-unfold]:
  fixes A::'a::{semiring-1} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
  shows matrix-to-iarray (mult-column A i q) = mult-column-iarray (matrix-to-iarray
A) (to-nat i) q
  unfolding mult-column-def mult-column-iarray-def o-def tabulate2-def
  unfolding nrows-eq-card-rows ncols-eq-card-columns
  unfolding matrix-to-iarray-def o-def vec-to-iarray-def
  by (auto simp add: to-nat-from-nat-id)

lemma matrix-to-iarray-column-add[code-unfold]:
  fixes A::'a::{semiring-1} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
  shows matrix-to-iarray (column-add A i j q) = column-add-iarray (matrix-to-iarray
A) (to-nat i) (to-nat j) q
  unfolding column-add-def column-add-iarray-def o-def tabulate2-def
  unfolding nrows-eq-card-rows ncols-eq-card-columns
  unfolding matrix-to-iarray-def o-def vec-to-iarray-def
  by (auto simp add: to-nat-from-nat-id to-nat-less-card[of i] to-nat-less-card[of j])

end

```

23 Gauss Jordan algorithm over nested IArrays

```

theory Gauss-Jordan-IArrays
imports
  Matrix-To-IArray
  Gauss-Jordan
begin

23.1 Definitions and functions to compute the Gauss-Jordan
algorithm over matrices represented as nested iarrays

definition least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index A i = the (List.find (λx.
A !! x ≠ 0) [i..<IArray.length A])
definition least-non-zero-position-of-vector A = least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index
A 0

definition vector-all-zero-from-index :: (nat × 'a::{zero} iarray) => bool
  where vector-all-zero-from-index A' = (let i=fst A'; A=(snd A') in IArray-Addenda.all
(λx. A!!x = 0) (IArray [i..<(IArray.length A)]))

definition Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays :: 'a::{field} iarray iarray => nat => nat
=> 'a iarray iarray
  where Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays A i j = (let n = least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index
(column-iarray j A) i;
interchange-A = interchange-rows-iarray A i n;
A' = mult-row-iarray interchange-A i (1/interchange-A!!i!!j)
in IArray.of-fun (λs. if s = i then A' !! s else row-add-iarray A' s i (- interchange-A
!! s !! j) !! s) (nrows-iarray A))

definition Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays :: (nat × 'a::{field} iarray iarray) =>
nat => (nat × 'a iarray iarray)
  where Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays A' k = (let A=(snd A'); i=(fst A') in
if ((vector-all-zero-from-index (i, (column-iarray k A)))) ∨ i = (nrows-iarray A)
then (i,A) else (Suc i, (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays A i k)))

definition Gauss-Jordan-upk-iarrays :: 'a::{field} iarray iarray => nat => 'a::{field}
iarray iarray
  where Gauss-Jordan-upk-iarrays A k = snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays
(0,A) [0..<Suc k])

definition Gauss-Jordan-iarrays :: 'a::{field} iarray iarray => 'a::{field} iarray
iarray
  where Gauss-Jordan-iarrays A = Gauss-Jordan-upk-iarrays A (ncols-iarray A
- 1)

```

23.2 Proving the equivalence between Gauss-Jordan algorithm over nested iarrays and over nestedvecs (abstract matrices).

```

lemma vector-all-zero-from-index-eq:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'n::{mod-type}
  shows ( $\forall m \geq i. A \$ m = 0$ ) = (vector-all-zero-from-index (to-nat i, vec-to-iarray A))
  proof (auto simp add: vector-all-zero-from-index-def Let-def is-none-def find-None-iff)
    fix x
    assume zero:  $\forall m \geq i. A \$ m = 0$ 
    and x-length:  $x < \text{length } (\text{IArray.list-of } (\text{vec-to-iarray } A))$  and i-le-x:  $\text{to-nat } i \leq x$ 
    have x-le-card:  $x < \text{CARD}'(n)$  using x-length unfolding vec-to-iarray-def by auto
    have i-le-from-nat-x:  $i \leq \text{from-nat } x$  using from-nat-mono'[OF i-le-x x-le-card]
    unfolding from-nat-to-nat-id .
    hence Axk:  $A \$ (\text{from-nat } x) = 0$  using zero by simp
    have vec-to-iarray A !! x = vec-to-iarray A !! to-nat (from-nat x :: 'n) unfolding
    to-nat-from-nat-id[OF x-le-card] ..
    also have ... = A $(from-nat x) unfolding vec-to-iarray-nth' ..
    also have ... = 0 unfolding Axk ..
    finally show IArray.list-of (vec-to-iarray A) ! x = 0
    unfolding IArray.sub-def .

  next
    fix m :: 'n
    assume zero-assm:  $\forall x \in \{\text{mod-type-class.to-nat } i \dots < \text{length } (\text{IArray.list-of } (\text{vec-to-iarray } A))\}. \text{IArray.list-of } (\text{vec-to-iarray } A) ! x = 0$ 
    and i-le-m:  $i \leq m$ 
    have zero:  $\forall x < \text{length } (\text{IArray.list-of } (\text{vec-to-iarray } A)). \text{mod-type-class.to-nat } i \leq x \longrightarrow \text{IArray.list-of } (\text{vec-to-iarray } A) ! x = 0$ 
    using zero-assm by auto
    have to-nat-i-le-m:  $\text{to-nat } i \leq \text{to-nat } m$  using to-nat-mono'[OF i-le-m] .
    have m-le-length:  $\text{to-nat } m < \text{IArray.length } (\text{vec-to-iarray } A)$  unfolding vec-to-iarray-def
    using to-nat-less-card by auto
    have A $ m = vec-to-iarray A !! (to-nat m) unfolding vec-to-iarray-nth' ..
    also have ... = 0 using zero to-nat-i-le-m m-le-length unfolding nrows-iarray-def
    by (metis IArray.sub-def length-def)
    finally show A $ m = 0 .
  qed

lemma matrix-vector-all-zero-from-index:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
  shows ( $\forall m \geq i. A \$ m \$ k = 0$ ) = (vector-all-zero-from-index (to-nat i, vec-to-iarray (column k A)))
  unfolding vector-all-zero-from-index-eq[symmetric] column-def by simp

lemma vec-to-iarray-least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index:

```

```

fixes A::'a::{field} ^'n::{mod-type}
assumes not-all-zero:  $\neg (\text{vector-all-zero-from-index } (\text{to-nat } i, \text{ vec-to-iarray } A))$ 
shows least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index (vec-to-iarray A) (to-nat i) = to-nat (LEAST n. A $ n  $\neq 0 \wedge i \leq n$ )
proof -
  have  $\exists a. \text{List.find } (\lambda x. \text{vec-to-iarray } A !! x \neq 0) [\text{to-nat } i..<\text{IArray.length } (\text{vec-to-iarray } A)] = \text{Some } a$ 
    proof (rule ccontr, simp, unfold sub-def[symmetric] length-def[symmetric])
      assume List.find ( $\lambda x. (\text{vec-to-iarray } A !! x \neq 0)$ ) [ $\text{to-nat } i..<\text{IArray.length } (\text{vec-to-iarray } A)$ ] = None
      hence  $\neg (\exists x. x \in \text{set } [\text{mod-type-class.to-nat } i..<\text{IArray.length } (\text{vec-to-iarray } A)] \wedge \text{vec-to-iarray } A !! x \neq 0)$ 
        unfolding find-None-iff .
      thus False using not-all-zero unfolding vector-all-zero-from-index-eq[symmetric]
        by (simp del: length-def sub-def, unfold length-vec-to-iarray, metis to-nat-less-card to-nat-mono' vec-to-iarray-nth')
    qed
  from this obtain a where a: List.find ( $\lambda x. \text{vec-to-iarray } A !! x \neq 0$ ) [ $\text{to-nat } i..<\text{IArray.length } (\text{vec-to-iarray } A)$ ] = Some a
    by blast
  from this obtain ia where
    ia-less-length: ia < length [ $\text{to-nat } i..<\text{IArray.length } (\text{vec-to-iarray } A)$ ] and
    not-eq-zero: vec-to-iarray A !! ([ $\text{to-nat } i..<\text{IArray.length } (\text{vec-to-iarray } A)$ ] ! ia)  $\neq 0$  and
    a-eq: a = [ $\text{to-nat } i..<\text{IArray.length } (\text{vec-to-iarray } A)$ ] ! ia
    and least: ( $\forall ja < ia. \neg \text{vec-to-iarray } A !! ([\text{to-nat } i..<\text{IArray.length } (\text{vec-to-iarray } A)] ! ja) \neq 0$ )
    unfolding find-Some-iff by blast
  have not-eq-zero': vec-to-iarray A !! a  $\neq 0$  using not-eq-zero unfolding a-eq .
  have i-less-a: to-nat i  $\leq a$  using ia-less-length length-upd nth-upd a-eq by auto
  have a-less-card: a < CARD('n) using a-eq ia-less-length unfolding vec-to-iarray-def by auto
  have (LEAST n. A $ n  $\neq 0 \wedge i \leq n$ ) = from-nat a
  proof (rule Least-equality, rule conjI)
    show A $ from-nat a  $\neq 0$  unfolding vec-to-iarray-nth'[symmetric] using
      not-eq-zero' unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id[OF a-less-card].
    show i  $\leq$  from-nat a using a-less-card from-nat-mono' from-nat-to-nat-id i-less-a by fastforce
    fix x assume A $ x  $\neq 0 \wedge i \leq x$  hence Axj: A $ x  $\neq 0$  and i-le-x: i  $\leq x$ 
    by fast+
    show from-nat a  $\leq x$ 
    proof (rule ccontr)
      assume  $\neg$  from-nat a  $\leq x$  hence x-less-from-nat-a: x < from-nat a by simp
      def ja $\equiv$ (to-nat x) - (to-nat i)
      have to-nat-x-less-card: to-nat x < CARD ('n) using bij-to-nat[where ?'a='n] unfolding bij-betw-def by fastforce
      hence ja-less-length: ja < IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A) unfolding ja-def
      vec-to-iarray-def by auto
      have [ $\text{to-nat } i..<\text{IArray.length } (\text{vec-to-iarray } A)$ ] ! ja = to-nat i + ja
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

by (rule nth-upt, unfold vec-to-iarray-def, auto, metis add-diff-inverse diff-add-zero
ja-def not-less-iff-gr-or-eq to-nat-less-card)
  also have i-plus-ja: ... = to-nat x unfolding ja-def by (simp add: i-le-x
to-nat-mono')
    finally have list-rw: [to-nat i..<IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A)] ! ja = to-nat
x .
  moreover have ja<ia
  proof -
    have a = to-nat i + ia unfolding a-eq by (rule nth-upt, metis ia-less-length
length-upt less-diff-conv nat-add-commute)
      thus ?thesis by (metis i-plus-ja add-less-cancel-right nat-add-commute
to-nat-le x-less-from-nat-a)
    qed
    ultimately have vec-to-iarray A !! (to-nat x) = 0 using least by auto
    hence A $ x = 0 unfolding vec-to-iarray-nth'.
      thus False using Axj by contradiction
    qed
  qed
  hence a = to-nat (LEAST n. A $ n ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) using to-nat-from-nat-id[OF
a-less-card] by simp
  thus ?thesis unfolding least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index-def unfold-
ing a the.simps .
  qed

corollary vec-to-iarray-least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index':
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
assumes not-all-zero: ¬ (vector-all-zero-from-index (to-nat i, vec-to-iarray (column
j A)))
shows least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index (vec-to-iarray (column j A))
(to-nat i) = to-nat (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)
unfolding vec-to-iarray-least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index[OF not-all-zero]
unfolding column-def by fastforce

corollary vec-to-iarray-least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index'':
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
assumes not-all-zero: ¬ (vector-all-zero-from-index (to-nat j, vec-to-iarray (row i
A)))
shows least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index (vec-to-iarray (row i A)) (to-nat
j) = to-nat (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0 ∧ j ≤ n)
unfolding vec-to-iarray-least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index[OF not-all-zero]
unfolding row-def by fastforce

lemma matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij[code-unfold]:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
assumes not-all-zero: ¬ (vector-all-zero-from-index (to-nat i, vec-to-iarray (column
j A)))
shows matrix-to-iarray (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij A i j) = Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays

```

```

(matrix-to-iarray A) (to-nat i) (to-nat j)
proof (unfold Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-def Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-def Let-def, rule
matrix-to-iarray-eq-of-fun, auto simp del: sub-def length-def)
show vec-to-iarray (mult-row (interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0
∧ i ≤ n)) i (1 / A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ j) $ i) =
    mult-row-iarray
        (interchange-rows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A) (to-nat i)
            (least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index (column-iarray (to-nat j) (matrix-to-iarray
A)) (to-nat i)))
        (to-nat i) (1 / interchange-rows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A) (to-nat i)
            (least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index (column-iarray (to-nat j)
(matrix-to-iarray A)) (to-nat i))) !! to-nat i !! to-nat j !! to-nat i
unfolding vec-to-iarray-column[symmetric]
unfolding vec-to-iarray-least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index'[OF not-all-zero]
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-interchange-rows[symmetric]
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-mult-row[symmetric]
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nth
unfolding interchange-rows-i
unfolding vec-matrix ..
next
fix ia
show vec-to-iarray
    (row-add (mult-row (interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i
≤ n)) i (1 / A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ j)) ia i
    (- interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ ia $ j) $ ia) =
        row-add-iarray
            (mult-row-iarray
                (interchange-rows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A) (to-nat i)
                    (least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index (column-iarray (to-nat j)
(matrix-to-iarray A)) (to-nat i)))
                (to-nat i)
                (1 / interchange-rows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A) (to-nat i)
                    (least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index (column-iarray (to-nat
j) (matrix-to-iarray A)) (to-nat i))) !!
                to-nat i !! to-nat j))
            (to-nat ia) (to-nat i)
            (- interchange-rows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A) (to-nat i)
                (least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index (column-iarray (to-nat j)
(matrix-to-iarray A)) (to-nat i))) !!
            to-nat ia !! to-nat j) !! to-nat ia
unfolding vec-to-iarray-column[symmetric]
unfolding vec-to-iarray-least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index'[OF not-all-zero]
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-interchange-rows[symmetric]
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-mult-row[symmetric]
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nth
unfolding interchange-rows-i
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-row-add[symmetric]
unfolding vec-matrix ..

```

```

next
show nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A) =
  IArray.length (matrix-to-iarray
    ( $\chi$  s. if  $s = i$  then mult-row (interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j  $\neq 0$ 
 $\wedge i \leq n)) i (1 / interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j  $\neq 0 \wedge i \leq n)) \$ i \$$ 
j) \$ s
    else row-add (mult-row (interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j  $\neq 0 \wedge i \leq n)) i (1 / interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j  $\neq 0 \wedge i \leq n)) \$ i \$ j)) s i
    (- interchange-rows A i (LEAST n. A $ n $ j  $\neq 0 \wedge i \leq n)) \$ s \$ j) \$ s))
  unfolding length-eq-card-rows nrows-eq-card-rows ..
qed$$$$ 
```

```

lemma matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-1:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
  assumes k: k < ncols A
  and i: i ≤ nrows A
  shows (fst (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (i, A) k)) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays
(i, matrix-to-iarray A) k)
  proof (cases i < nrows A)
    case True
    show ?thesis
    unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-def
    fst-conv snd-conv
    unfolding vec-to-iarray-column[of from-nat k A, unfolded to-nat-from-nat-id[OF
    k[unfolded ncols-def]], symmetric]
    using matrix-vector-all-zero-from-index[symmetric, of from-nat i::'rows from-nat
    k::'columns]
    unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id[OF True[unfolded nrows-def]] to-nat-from-nat-id[OF
    k[unfolded ncols-def]]
    using matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij
    unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nrows snd-conv by auto
next
  case False
  have vector-all-zero-from-index (nrows A, column-iarray k (matrix-to-iarray A))
  unfolding vector-all-zero-from-index-def unfolding Let-def snd-conv fst-conv
  unfolding nrows-def column-iarray-def
  unfolding length-eq-card-rows by (simp add: is-none-code(1))
  thus ?thesis
    using i False
    unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def
    by auto
qed

```

```

lemma matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-2:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
  assumes k: k < ncols A
  and i: i ≤ nrows A

```

```

shows matrix-to-iarray (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k (i, A) k)) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays
(i, matrix-to-iarray A) k)
proof (cases i < nrows A)
  case True show ?thesis
    unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-def
    fst-conv snd-conv
    unfolding vec-to-iarray-column[of from-nat k A, unfolded to-nat-from-nat-id[OF
    k[unfolded ncols-def]], symmetric]
    unfolding matrix-vector-all-zero-from-index[symmetric, of from-nat i::'rows
    from-nat k::'columns, symmetric]
    using matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij[of from-nat i::'rows from-nat k::'columns]

    unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id[OF True[unfolded nrows-def]] to-nat-from-nat-id[OF
    k[unfolded ncols-def]]
    unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nrows by auto
next
  case False show ?thesis
    using assms False unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-def
    by (auto simp add: matrix-to-iarray-nrows)
qed

```

Due to the assumptions presented in $\llbracket ?k < \text{ncols } ?A; ?i \leq \text{nrows } ?A \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{matrix-to-iarray} (\text{snd} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-column-k} (?i, ?A) ?k)) = \text{snd} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays} (?i, \text{matrix-to-iarray} ?A) ?k)$, the following lemma must have three shows. The proof style is similar to $?k < \text{ncols } ?A \implies \text{reduced-row-echelon-form-upk} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-upk} ?A ?k) (\text{Suc } ?k)$

$?k < \text{ncols } ?A \implies \text{foldl} \text{Gauss-Jordan-column-k} (0, ?A) [0..<\text{Suc } ?k] =$
 $(\text{if } \forall m. \text{is-zero-row-upk} m (\text{Suc } ?k) (\text{snd} (\text{foldl} \text{Gauss-Jordan-column-k} (0, ?A) [0..<\text{Suc } ?k])) \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{mod-type-class.to-nat} (\text{GREATEST}' n.$
 $\neg \text{is-zero-row-upk} n (\text{Suc } ?k) (\text{snd} (\text{foldl} \text{Gauss-Jordan-column-k} (0, ?A) [0..<\text{Suc } ?k]))) + 1, \text{snd} (\text{foldl} \text{Gauss-Jordan-column-k} (0, ?A) [0..<\text{Suc } ?k])).$

lemma foldl-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-eq:
 fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
 assumes k: k < ncols A
 shows matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan-upk[code-unfold]: matrix-to-iarray (Gauss-Jordan-upk A k) = Gauss-Jordan-upk-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) k
 and fst-foldl-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-eq: fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays (0, matrix-to-iarray A) [0..<Suc k]) = fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k])
 and fst-foldl-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-less: fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k]) ≤ nrows A
 using assms
 proof (induct k)
 show matrix-to-iarray (Gauss-Jordan-upk A 0) = Gauss-Jordan-upk-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) 0
 unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upk-def Gauss-Jordan-upk-iarrays-def by (auto,

```

metis k le0 less-nat-zero-code matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-2 neq0-conv)

  show fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays (0, matrix-to-iarray A) [0..<Suc 0]) = fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc 0])
    unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-def Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-def by (auto,
      metis gr-implies-not0 k le0 matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-1 neq0-conv)
    show fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc 0]) ≤ nrows A unfolding
      Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-def by (simp add: Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def size1
      nrows-def)
  next
    fix k
    assume (k < ncols A ⇒ matrix-to-iarray (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k A k) = Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays
      (matrix-to-iarray A) k) and
      (k < ncols A ⇒ fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays (0, matrix-to-iarray
        A) [0..<Suc k]) = fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k]))
    and (k < ncols A ⇒ fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k]) ≤
      nrows A)
    and Suc-k-less-card: Suc k < ncols A
    hence hyp1: matrix-to-iarray (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k A) = Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays
      (matrix-to-iarray A) k
      and hyp2: fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays (0, matrix-to-iarray A)
        [0..<Suc k]) = fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k])
      and hyp3: fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k]) ≤ nrows A
      by auto
    hence hyp1-unfolded: matrix-to-iarray (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A)
      [0..<Suc k])) = snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays (0, matrix-to-iarray A)
      [0..<Suc k])
      using hyp1 unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-def Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-def
      by simp
      have upt-rw: [0..<Suc (Suc k)] = [0..<Suc k] @ [(Suc k)] by auto
      have fold-rw: (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays (0, matrix-to-iarray A) [0..<Suc
        k]) =
        (fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays (0, matrix-to-iarray A) [0..<Suc
          k]), snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays (0, matrix-to-iarray A) [0..<Suc
            k]))
      by simp
      have fold-rw': (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<(Suc k)])
        = (fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<(Suc k)]), snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k
          (0, A) [0..<(Suc k)])) by simp
      show fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays (0, matrix-to-iarray A) [0..<Suc
        (Suc k)]) = fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)])
        unfolding upt-rw foldl-append unfolding List.foldl.simps apply (subst fold-rw)
        apply (subst fold-rw') unfolding hyp2 unfolding hyp1-unfolded[symmetric]
        proof (rule matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-1[symmetric, of Suc k (snd
          (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k]))])
        show Suc k < ncols (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k]))
        using Suc-k-less-card unfolding ncols-def .
        show fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k]) ≤ nrows (snd (foldl
          Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k])) using hyp3 unfolding nrows-def .

```

```

qed
show matrix-to-iarray (Gauss-Jordan-upk A (Suc k)) = Gauss-Jordan-upk-iarrays
(matrix-to-iarray A) (Suc k)
  unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upk-def Gauss-Jordan-upk-iarrays-def upto-rw foldl-append
List.foldl.simps
  apply (subst fold-rw) apply (subst fold-rw') unfolding hyp2 hyp1-unfolded[symmetric]
proof (rule matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-2, unfold ncols-def nrows-def)
  show Suc k < CARD('columns) using Suc-k-less-card unfolding ncols-def .
  show fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc k]) ≤ CARD('rows)
using hyp3 unfolding nrows-def .
qed
show fst (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k (0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]) ≤ nrows A
  unfolding upto-rw foldl-append unfolding List.foldl.simps apply (subst fold-rw')
  unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Let-def
  using hyp3 le-antisym not-less-eq-eq unfolding nrows-def by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan[code-unfold]:
  fixes A::'a::{field} ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
  shows matrix-to-iarray (Gauss-Jordan A) = Gauss-Jordan-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray
A)
  unfolding Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-def ncols-iarray-def unfolding length-eq-card-columns
  by (auto simp add: Gauss-Jordan-def matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan-upk ncols-def)

```

23.3 Implementation over IArrays of the computation of the rank of a matrix

```

definition rank-iarray :: 'a::{field} iarray iarray => nat
  where rank-iarray A = (let A' = (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays A); nrows = (IArray.length
A') in card {i. i < nrows ∧ ¬ is-zero-iarray (A' !! i)})

```

23.3.1 Proving the equivalence between rank and rank-iarray.

First of all, some code equations are removed to allow the execution of Gauss-Jordan algorithm using iarrays

```

lemmas card'-code(2)[code del]
lemmas rank-Gauss-Jordan-code[code del]

```

```

lemma rank-eq-card-iarrays:
  fixes A::real ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
  shows rank A = card {vec-to-iarray (row i (Gauss-Jordan A)) | i. ¬ is-zero-iarray
(vec-to-iarray (row i (Gauss-Jordan A)))}
proof (unfold rank-Gauss-Jordan-eq Let-def, rule bij-betw-same-card[of vec-to-iarray],
auto simp add: bij-betw-def)
  show inj-on vec-to-iarray {row i (Gauss-Jordan A) | i. row i (Gauss-Jordan A)
≠ 0} using inj-vec-to-iarray unfolding inj-on-def by blast

```

```

fix i assume r: row i (Gauss-Jordan A) ≠ 0
show ∃ia. vec-to-iarray (row i (Gauss-Jordan A)) = vec-to-iarray (row ia (Gauss-Jordan
A)) ∧ ¬ is-zero-iarray (vec-to-iarray (row ia (Gauss-Jordan A)))
proof (rule exI[of - i], simp)
  show ¬ is-zero-iarray (vec-to-iarray (row i (Gauss-Jordan A))) using r un-
folding is-zero-iarray-eq-iff .
qed
next
fix i
assume not-zero-iarray: ¬ is-zero-iarray (vec-to-iarray (row i (Gauss-Jordan
A)))
show vec-to-iarray (row i (Gauss-Jordan A)) ∈ vec-to-iarray ` {row i (Gauss-Jordan
A) | i. row i (Gauss-Jordan A) ≠ 0}
  by (rule imageI, auto simp add: not-zero-iarray is-zero-iarray-eq-iff)
qed

lemma rank-eq-card-iarrays':
fixes A::real^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
shows rank A = (let A' = (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A)) in card
{row-iarray (to-nat i) A' | i:'rows. ¬ is-zero-iarray (A' !! (to-nat i))})
unfolding Let-def unfolding rank-eq-card-iarrays vec-to-iarray-row' matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan
row-iarray-def ..

lemma rank-eq-card-iarrays-code:
fixes A::real^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
shows rank A = (let A' = (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A)) in card
{i:'rows. ¬ is-zero-iarray (A' !! (to-nat i))})
proof (unfold rank-eq-card-iarrays' Let-def, rule bij-betw-same-card[symmetric, of
λi. row-iarray (to-nat i) (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A))],
unfold bij-betw-def inj-on-def, auto, unfold sub-def[symmetric])
fix x y:'rows
assume x: ¬ is-zero-iarray (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) !! to-nat
x)
and y: ¬ is-zero-iarray (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) !! to-nat y)
and eq: row-iarray (to-nat x) (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A)) =
row-iarray (to-nat y) (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A))
have eq': (Gauss-Jordan A) $ x = (Gauss-Jordan A) $ y by (metis eq matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan
row-iarray-def vec-matrix vec-to-iarray-morph)
hence not-zero-x: ¬ is-zero-row x (Gauss-Jordan A) and not-zero-y: ¬ is-zero-row
y (Gauss-Jordan A)
  by (metis is-zero-iarray-eq-iff is-zero-row-def' matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan
vec-eq-iff vec-matrix x zero-index)+
hence x-in: row x (Gauss-Jordan A) ∈ {row i (Gauss-Jordan A) | i:'rows. row i
(Gauss-Jordan A) ≠ 0}
  and y-in: row y (Gauss-Jordan A) ∈ {row i (Gauss-Jordan A) | i:'rows. row i
(Gauss-Jordan A) ≠ 0}
  by (metis (lifting, mono-tags) is-zero-iarray-eq-iff matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan
mem-Collect-eq vec-to-iarray-row' x y)+
```

```

show x = y using inj-index-independent-rows[OF - x-in eq] rref-Gauss-Jordan
by fast
qed

```

23.3.2 Code equations for computing the rank over nested iarrays and the dimensions of the elementary subspaces

```

lemma rank-iarrays-code[code]:
  rank-iarray A = length (filter (λx. ¬ is-zero-iarray x) (IArray.list-of (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays A)))
proof -
  obtain xs where A-eq-xs: (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays A) = IArray xs by (metis
    iarray.exhaust)
  have rank-iarray A = card {i. i < (IArray.length (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays A)) ∧ ¬
    is-zero-iarray ((Gauss-Jordan-iarrays A) !! i)} unfolding rank-iarray-def Let-def
  ..
  also have ... = length (filter (λx. ¬ is-zero-iarray x) (IArray.list-of (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays A)))
    unfolding A-eq-xs using length-filter-conv-card[symmetric] by force
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma matrix-to-iarray-rank[code-unfold]:
  shows rank A = rank-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)
  unfolding rank-eq-card-iarrays-code rank-iarray-def Let-def
  apply (rule bij-betw-same-card[of to-nat])
  unfolding bij-betw-def
  apply auto
  unfolding length-def[symmetric] sub-def[symmetric] apply (metis inj-onI to-nat-eq)
  unfolding matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan[symmetric] length-eq-card-rows
  using bij-to-nat[where ?'a='b] unfolding bij-betw-def by auto

lemma dim-null-space-iarray[code-unfold]:
  fixes A::real^'columns:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}
  shows dim (null-space A) = ncols-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A) - rank-iarray
    (matrix-to-iarray A)
  unfolding dim-null-space ncols-eq-card-columns matrix-to-iarray-rank by simp

lemma dim-col-space-iarray[code-unfold]:
  fixes A::real^'columns:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}
  shows dim (col-space A) = rank-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)
  unfolding rank-eq-dim-col-space[of A, symmetric] matrix-to-iarray-rank ..

lemma dim-row-space-iarray[code-unfold]:
  fixes A::real^'columns:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}
  shows dim (row-space A) = rank-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)
  unfolding row-rank-def[symmetric] rank-def[symmetric] matrix-to-iarray-rank
..

```

```

lemma dim-left-null-space-space-iarray[code-unfold]:
  fixes A::real ^'columns::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
  shows dim (left-null-space A) = nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A) - rank-iarray
  (matrix-to-iarray A)
  unfolding dim-left-null-space nrows-eq-card-rows matrix-to-iarray-rank by auto

```

```
end
```

24 Obtaining explicitly the invertible matrix which transforms a matrix to its reduced row echelon form over nested iarrays

```

theory Gauss-Jordan-PA-IArrays
imports
  Gauss-Jordan-PA
  Gauss-Jordan-IArrays
begin

```

24.1 Definitions

```

definition Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA A' i j =
(let P = fst A'; A = snd A'; n = least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index
(column-iarray j A) i; interchange-A = interchange-rows-iarray A i n;
interchange-P = interchange-rows-iarray P i n; P' = mult-row-iarray interchange-P
i (1 / interchange-A !! i !! j)
in (IArray.of_fun (λs. if s = i then P' !! s else row-add-iarray P' s i (- interchange-A
!! s !! j) !! s) (nrows-iarray A), Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays A i j))

```

```

definition Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA :: ('a::{field} iarray iarray × nat ×
'a::{field} iarray iarray) => nat => ('a iarray iarray × nat × 'a iarray iarray)
where Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA A' k = (let P = fst A'; i = fst (snd
A'); A = snd (snd A') in
if (vector-all-zero-from-index (i, (column-iarray k A))) ∨ i = (nrows-iarray A)
then (P, i, A) else let Gauss = Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA (P, A) i k
in (fst Gauss, i + 1, snd Gauss))

```

```

definition Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA :: 'a::{field} iarray iarray => nat =>
('a::{field} iarray iarray × 'a iarray iarray)
where Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA A k = (let foldl = foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA
(mat-iarray 1 (nrows-iarray A), 0, A) [0..

```

```

definition Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA :: 'a::{field} iarray iarray => ('a iarray iarray
× 'a iarray iarray)
where Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA A = Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA A (ncols-iarray
A - 1)

```

24.2 Proofs

24.2.1 Properties of Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA

lemma *Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA-def' [code]*:

Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA A' i j =

$$(\text{let } P = \text{fst } A'; A = \text{snd } A'; n = \text{least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index} (\text{column-iarray } j A) i;$$

$$\text{interchange-}A = \text{interchange-rows-iarray } A i n; A' = \text{mult-row-iarray } \text{interchange-}A i (1 / \text{interchange-}A !! i !! j);$$

$$\text{interchange-}P = \text{interchange-rows-iarray } P i n; P' = \text{mult-row-iarray } \text{interchange-}P i (1 / \text{interchange-}A !! i !! j)$$

$$\text{in } (\text{IArray.of-fun } (\lambda s. \text{if } s = i \text{ then } P' !! s \text{ else } \text{row-add-iarray } P' s i (- \text{interchange-}A !! s !! j) !! s) (\text{nrows-iarray } A),$$

$$(\text{IArray.of-fun } (\lambda s. \text{if } s = i \text{ then } A' !! s \text{ else } \text{row-add-iarray } A' s i (- \text{interchange-}A !! s !! j) !! s) (\text{nrows-iarray } A)))$$

unfolding *Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-def Let-def ..*

lemma *snd-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA*:

shows *snd (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA (P, A) i j) = Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays A i j*

unfolding *Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-def Let-def snd-conv ..*

lemma *matrix-to-iarray-snd-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA*:

assumes $\neg \text{vector-all-zero-from-index} (\text{to-nat } i, \text{vec-to-iarray} (\text{column } j A))$

shows *matrix-to-iarray (snd (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA (P, A) i j)) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA (matrix-to-iarray P, matrix-to-iarray A) (to-nat i) (to-nat j))*

by (*metis assms matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij snd-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA-eq snd-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA*)

lemma *matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA*:

assumes *not-all-zero: $\neg \text{vector-all-zero-from-index} (\text{to-nat } i, \text{vec-to-iarray} (\text{column } j A))$*

shows *matrix-to-iarray (fst (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA (P, A) i j)) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA (matrix-to-iarray P, matrix-to-iarray A) (to-nat i) (to-nat j))*

proof (*unfold Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv, rule matrix-to-iarray-eq-of-fun, auto simp del: length-def sub-def*)

show *vec-to-iarray (mult-row (interchange-rows P i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n)) i (1 / A \$ (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) \$ j) \$ i) = mult-row-iarray (interchange-rows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray P) (to-nat i) (least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index (column-iarray (to-nat j) (matrix-to-iarray A)) (to-nat i))) (to-nat i))*

$$(1 / \text{interchange-rows-iarray} (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A) (\text{to-nat } i) (\text{least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index} (\text{column-iarray} (\text{to-nat } j) (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A)) (\text{to-nat } i)) !! \text{to-nat } i !! \text{to-nat } j) !! \text{to-nat } i$$

unfolding *vec-to-iarray-column[symmetric]*

```

unfolding vec-to-iarray-least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index'[OF not-all-zero]
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-interchange-rows[symmetric]
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-mult-row[symmetric]
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nth
unfolding interchange-rows-i
unfolding vec-matrix ..
next
fix ia
show vec-to-iarray (row-add (mult-row (interchange-rows P i (LEAST n. A $ n  

$ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) i (1 / A $ (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ i ≤ n) $ j)) ia i  


$$(- \text{interchange-rows } A i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) \$ ia \$ j) \$ ia) =$$

row-add-iarray (mult-row-iarray (interchange-rows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray  

P) (to-nat i)  


$$(\text{least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index } (\text{column-iarray } (\text{to-nat } j)  

(\text{matrix-to-iarray } A)) (\text{to-nat } i)) (\text{to-nat } i)$$


$$(1 / \text{interchange-rows-iarray } (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A) (\text{to-nat } i)$$


$$(\text{least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index } (\text{column-iarray } (\text{to-nat } j  

j) (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A)) (\text{to-nat } i)) !!$$


$$(\text{to-nat } i !! \text{to-nat } j) (\text{to-nat } ia) (\text{to-nat } i)$$


$$(- \text{interchange-rows-iarray } (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A) (\text{to-nat } i)$$


$$(\text{least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index } (\text{column-iarray } (\text{to-nat } j)  

(\text{matrix-to-iarray } A)) (\text{to-nat } i)) !!$$


$$\text{to-nat } ia !! \text{to-nat } j) !! \text{to-nat } ia$$

unfolding vec-to-iarray-column[symmetric]
unfolding vec-to-iarray-least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index'[OF not-all-zero]
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-interchange-rows[symmetric]
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-mult-row[symmetric]
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nth
unfolding interchange-rows-i
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-row-add[symmetric]
unfolding vec-matrix ..
next
show nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A) = IArray.length (matrix-to-iarray  


$$(\chi s. \text{if } s = i \text{ then } \text{mult-row } (\text{interchange-rows } P i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq  

0 \wedge i \leq n) i (1 / \text{interchange-rows } A i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) \$ i  

\$ j) \$ s$$


$$\text{else } \text{row-add } (\text{mult-row } (\text{interchange-rows } P i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq  

0 \wedge i \leq n) i (1 / \text{interchange-rows } A i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) \$ i  

\$ j)) \$ s i$$


$$(- \text{interchange-rows } A i (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n) \$ s \$ j) \$ s))$$

unfolding length-eq-card-rows nrows-eq-card-rows ..
qed

```

24.2.2 Properties about Gauss-Jordan-column-*k*-iarrays-PA

lemma *matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA*:
assumes *i: i≤nrows A and k: k<ncols A*

```

shows matrix-to-iarray (fst (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (P,i,A) k)) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA
(matrix-to-iarray P, i, matrix-to-iarray A) k)
proof (cases i < nrows A)
case True
show ?thesis
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA-def
Let-def
unfolding snd-conv fst-conv
unfolding matrix-vector-all-zero-from-index
unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id[OF True[unfolded nrows-def]]
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nrows
using matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA[of from-nat i from-nat k
A P]
using matrix-to-iarray-snd-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA[of from-nat i from-nat k
A P]
unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id[OF True[unfolded nrows-def]]
unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id[OF k[unfolded ncols-def]]
unfolding vec-to-iarray-column'[OF k] by auto
next
case False
hence i =eq nrows : i = nrows A using i by simp
thus ?thesis
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA-def
Let-def
unfolding snd-conv fst-conv unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nrows by fastforce
qed

lemma matrix-to-iarray-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA:
assumes i: i ≤ nrows A and k: k < ncols A
shows (fst (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (P,i,A) k))) = fst (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA
(matrix-to-iarray P, i, matrix-to-iarray A) k))
using matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-1[OF k i]
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-def
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA-def
snd-conv fst-conv Let-def
unfolding snd-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA
unfolding snd-if-conv
unfolding snd-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA-eq
by fastforce

lemma matrix-to-iarray-third-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA:
assumes i: i ≤ nrows A and k: k < ncols A
shows matrix-to-iarray (snd (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (P,i,A) k))) = snd
(snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA (matrix-to-iarray P, i, matrix-to-iarray
A) k))
using matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-2[OF k i]
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-def
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA-def

```

```

snd-conv fst-conv Let-def
unfolding snd-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA
unfolding snd-if-conv snd-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-PA-eq by fast

```

24.2.3 Properties about *Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA*

lemma

```

assumes  $k < \text{ncols } A$ 
shows matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA: matrix-to-iarray (fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA  $A k$ )) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA (matrix-to-iarray  $A$   $k$ ))
and matrix-to-iarray-snd-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA: matrix-to-iarray (snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA  $A k$ )) = (snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA (matrix-to-iarray  $A$   $k$ )))
and fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (mat 1, 0,  $A$ ) [0..<Suc  $k$ ])) =
    fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA (mat-iarray 1 (nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray  $A$ )), 0, matrix-to-iarray  $A$ ) [0..<Suc  $k$ ])))
and fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (mat 1, 0,  $A$ ) [0..<Suc  $k$ ])) ≤
nrows A
using assms
proof (induct  $k$ )
assume zero-less-ncols:  $0 < \text{ncols } A$ 
show matrix-to-iarray (fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA  $A 0$ )) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA (matrix-to-iarray  $A$  0))
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA-def Let-def
fst-conv apply auto
unfolding nrows-eq-card-rows unfolding matrix-to-iarray-mat[symmetric]
by (rule matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA, auto simp add: zero-less-ncols)
show matrix-to-iarray (snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA  $A 0$ )) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA (matrix-to-iarray  $A$  0))
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA-def Let-def
fst-conv snd-conv apply auto
unfolding nrows-eq-card-rows unfolding matrix-to-iarray-mat[symmetric]
by (rule matrix-to-iarray-third-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA, auto simp add: zero-less-ncols)
show fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (mat 1, 0,  $A$ ) [0..<Suc 0])) =
    fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA (mat-iarray 1 (nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray  $A$ )), 0, matrix-to-iarray  $A$ ) [0..<Suc 0])))
apply simp unfolding nrows-eq-card-rows unfolding matrix-to-iarray-mat[symmetric]
by (rule matrix-to-iarray-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA, auto simp add: zero-less-ncols)
show fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (mat 1, 0,  $A$ ) [0..<Suc 0])) ≤
nrows A
by (simp add: fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-eq fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-k)
next
fix  $k$  assume ( $k < \text{ncols } A \implies \text{matrix-to-iarray} (\text{fst} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA } A k)) = \text{fst} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA} (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A k))$ )
and ( $k < \text{ncols } A \implies \text{matrix-to-iarray} (\text{snd} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA } A k)) = \text{snd} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA} (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A k))$ )
and ( $k < \text{ncols } A \implies \text{fst} (\text{snd} (\text{foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA} (\text{mat } 1, 0, A) [0..<\text{Suc } k])) =$ 
    fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA (mat-iarray 1 (nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray  $A$ )), 0, matrix-to-iarray  $A$ ) [0..<Suc  $k$ ])))

```

```

and ( $k < \text{ncols } A \implies \text{fst} (\text{snd} (\text{foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-}k\text{-PA} (\text{mat } 1, 0, A) [0..<\text{Suc } k])) \leq \text{nrows } A$ )
and  $\text{Suc-}k : \text{Suc } k < \text{ncols } A$ 
hence  $\text{hyp1} : \text{matrix-to-iarray} (\text{fst} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-upt-}k\text{-PA } A \ k)) = \text{fst} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-upt-}k\text{-iarrays-PA} (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A) \ k)$ 
and  $\text{hyp2} : \text{matrix-to-iarray} (\text{snd} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-upt-}k\text{-PA } A \ k)) = \text{snd} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-upt-}k\text{-iarrays-PA} (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A) \ k)$ 
and  $\text{hyp3} : \text{fst} (\text{snd} (\text{foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-}k\text{-PA} (\text{mat } 1, 0, A) [0..<\text{Suc } k])) =$ 
 $\quad \text{fst} (\text{snd} (\text{foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-}k\text{-iarrays-PA} (\text{mat-iarray } 1 (\text{nrows-iarray} (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A)), 0, \text{matrix-to-iarray } A) [0..<\text{Suc } k]))$ 
and  $\text{hyp4} : \text{fst} (\text{snd} (\text{foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-}k\text{-PA} (\text{mat } 1, 0, A) [0..<\text{Suc } k))) \leq \text{nrows } A$ 
by  $\text{linarith+}$ 

have  $\text{suc-rw} : [0..<\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)] = [0..<\text{Suc } k] @ [\text{Suc } k]$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
def  $A' == (\text{foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-}k\text{-PA} (\text{mat } 1, 0, A) [0..<\text{Suc } k])$ 
def  $B == (\text{foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-}k\text{-iarrays-PA} (\text{mat-iarray } 1 (\text{nrows-iarray} (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A)), 0, \text{matrix-to-iarray } A) [0..<\text{Suc } k])$ 
have  $A'\text{-eq} : A' = (\text{fst } A', \text{fst} (\text{snd } A'), \text{snd} (\text{snd } A'))$  by  $\text{auto}$ 

have  $\text{fst-}A' : \text{matrix-to-iarray} (\text{fst } A') = \text{fst } B$  unfolding  $A'\text{-def } B\text{-def}$  by (rule  $\text{hyp1}[\text{unfolded Gauss-Jordan-upt-}k\text{-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-upt-}k\text{-iarrays-PA-def Let-def fst-conv}]$ )
have  $\text{fst-snd-}A' : \text{fst} (\text{snd } A') = \text{fst} (\text{snd } B)$  unfolding  $A'\text{-def } B\text{-def}$  by (rule  $\text{hyp3}[\text{unfolded Gauss-Jordan-upt-}k\text{-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-upt-}k\text{-iarrays-PA-def }]$ )
have  $\text{snd-snd-}A' : \text{matrix-to-iarray} (\text{snd} (\text{snd } A')) = (\text{snd} (\text{snd } B))$ 
unfolding  $A'\text{-def } B\text{-def}$ 
by (rule  $\text{hyp2}[\text{unfolded Gauss-Jordan-upt-}k\text{-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-upt-}k\text{-iarrays-PA-def Let-def fst-conv snd-conv}]$ )

show  $\text{matrix-to-iarray} (\text{fst} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-upt-}k\text{-PA } A (\text{Suc } k))) = \text{fst} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-upt-}k\text{-iarrays-PA} (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A) (\text{Suc } k))$ 
proof -
have  $\text{matrix-to-iarray} (\text{fst} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-upt-}k\text{-PA } A (\text{Suc } k))) = \text{matrix-to-iarray} (\text{fst} (\text{foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-}k\text{-PA} (\text{mat } 1, 0, A) [0..<\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)]))$ 
unfolding  $\text{Gauss-Jordan-upt-}k\text{-PA-def Let-def fst-conv ..}$ 
also have ... =  $\text{matrix-to-iarray} (\text{fst} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-column-}k\text{-PA} (\text{foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-}k\text{-PA} (\text{mat } 1, 0, A) [0..<\text{Suc } k]) (\text{Suc } k)))$ 
unfolding  $\text{suc-rw foldl-append unfolding List.foldl.simps ..}$ 
also have ... =  $\text{matrix-to-iarray} (\text{fst} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-column-}k\text{-PA} (\text{fst } A', \text{fst} (\text{snd } A'), \text{snd} (\text{snd } A')) (\text{Suc } k)))$  unfolding  $A'\text{-def}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
also have ... =  $\text{fst} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-column-}k\text{-iarrays-PA} (\text{matrix-to-iarray} (\text{fst } A'), \text{fst} (\text{snd } A'), \text{matrix-to-iarray} (\text{snd} (\text{snd } A'))) (\text{Suc } k))$ 
proof (rule  $\text{matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-}k\text{-PA}$ )
show  $\text{fst} (\text{snd } A') \leq \text{nrows} (\text{snd} (\text{snd } A'))$  using  $\text{hyp4}$  unfolding  $\text{nrows-def } A'\text{-def}$ 
.
show  $\text{Suc } k < \text{ncols} (\text{snd} (\text{snd } A'))$  using  $\text{Suc-}k$  unfolding  $\text{ncols-def ..}$ 
qed

```

```

also have ... = fst (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA (fst B, fst (snd B), snd
(snd B)) (Suc k))
unfolding fst-A' fst-snd-A' snd-snd-A' ..
also have ... = fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA (matrix-to-iarray A) (Suc k))
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA-def Let-def fst-conv
unfolding suc-rw foldl-append unfolding List.foldl.simps B-def by fastforce
finally show ?thesis .
qed

show matrix-to-iarray (snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA A (Suc k))) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA
(matrix-to-iarray A) (Suc k))
proof -
have matrix-to-iarray (snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA A (Suc k))) = matrix-to-iarray
(snd (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (mat 1, 0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)])))
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-PA-def Let-def snd-conv ..
also have ... = matrix-to-iarray (snd (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (foldl
Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (mat 1, 0, A) [0..<Suc k]) (Suc k))))
unfolding suc-rw foldl-append unfolding List.foldl.simps ..
also have ... = matrix-to-iarray (snd (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (fst A',fst
(snd A'), snd (snd A')) (Suc k)))) unfolding A'-def by simp
also have ... = snd (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA (matrix-to-iarray
(fst A'), fst (snd A'), matrix-to-iarray (snd (snd A')) (Suc k))))
proof (rule matrix-to-iarray-third-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA)
show fst (snd A') ≤ nrows (snd (snd A')) using hyp4 unfolding nrows-def A'-def
.
show Suc k < ncols (snd (snd A')) using Suc-k unfolding ncols-def .
qed
also have ... = snd (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA (fst B, fst (snd B),
snd (snd B)) (Suc k)))
unfolding fst-A' fst-snd-A' snd-snd-A' ..
also have ... = snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA (matrix-to-iarray A) (Suc k))

unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA-def Let-def fst-conv
unfolding suc-rw foldl-append unfolding List.foldl.simps B-def by fastforce
finally show ?thesis .
qed

show fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (mat 1, 0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]))
=
fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA (mat-iarray 1 (nrows-iarray
(matrix-to-iarray A)), 0, matrix-to-iarray A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]))
proof -
have fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (mat 1, 0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]))
=
fst (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (mat 1,
0, A) [0..<Suc k]) (Suc k)))
unfolding suc-rw foldl-append unfolding List.foldl.simps ..
also have ... = fst (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (fst A',fst (snd A'), snd (snd
A')) (Suc k)))

```

```

unfolding A'-def by simp
also have ... = fst (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA (matrix-to-iarray (fst
A'), fst (snd A'), matrix-to-iarray (snd (snd A')))) (Suc k)))
proof (rule matrix-to-iarray-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA)
show fst (snd A') ≤ nrows (snd (snd A')) using hyp4 unfolding nrows-def A'-def
.
show Suc k < ncols (snd (snd A')) using Suc-k unfolding ncols-def .
qed
also have ... = fst (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA (fst B, fst (snd B),
snd (snd B)) (Suc k)))
unfolding fst-A' fst-snd-A' snd-snd-A' ..
also have ... = fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA (mat-iarray 1
(nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)), 0, matrix-to-iarray A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]))
unfolding B-def unfolding nrows-eq-card-rows unfolding matrix-to-iarray-mat[symmetric]
by auto
finally show ?thesis .
qed
show fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA (mat 1, 0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]))
≤ nrows A
by (metis snd-foldl-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-eq Suc-k fst-foldl-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-less)
qed

```

24.2.4 Properties about Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA

```

lemma matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA:
shows matrix-to-iarray (fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A)) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA
(matrix-to-iarray A))
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA-def using matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k
by (metis One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1-left add-diff-inverse diff-le-self less-Suc0 matrix-to-iarray-ncols
n-not-Suc-n nat-neq-iff ncols-not-0 not-le)

lemma matrix-to-iarray-snd-Gauss-Jordan-PA:
shows matrix-to-iarray (snd (Gauss-Jordan-PA A)) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA
(matrix-to-iarray A))
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA-def using matrix-to-iarray-snd-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k
by (metis One-nat-def Suc-eq-plus1-left add-diff-inverse diff-le-self less-Suc0 matrix-to-iarray-ncols
n-not-Suc-n nat-neq-iff ncols-not-0 not-le)

lemma Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA-mult:
fixes A::real ^'cols:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}
shows snd (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA (matrix-to-iarray A)) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA
(matrix-to-iarray A)) **i (matrix-to-iarray A)
proof -
have snd (Gauss-Jordan-PA A) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA A) ** A using fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA[of
A] ..
hence matrix-to-iarray (snd (Gauss-Jordan-PA A)) = matrix-to-iarray (fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA
A) ** A) by simp
thus ?thesis unfolding matrix-to-iarray-snd-Gauss-Jordan-PA matrix-to-iarray-matrix-matrix-mult

```

matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA .
qed

lemma *snd-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA-eq*: *snd (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA (P,A) i j) = Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays A i j*
shows *snd (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA (P,i,A) k)) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays (i,A) k)*
unfoldings *Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-def Let-def by auto*

lemma *snd-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA-eq*:
shows *snd (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA (P,i,A) k)) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays (i,A) k)*
unfoldings *Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-def*
unfoldings *Let-def snd-conv fst-conv unfolding snd-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-PA-eq by auto*

lemma *fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA-eq*:
shows *fst (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA (P,i,A) k)) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays (i,A) k)*
unfoldings *Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-def*
unfoldings *Let-def snd-conv fst-conv by auto*

lemma *foldl-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-eq*:
snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA (B, 0, A) [0..<k]) = foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays (0, A) [0..<k]
proof (*induct k*)
case 0
show ?case **by simp**
case (*Suc k*)
have *suc-rw*: *[0..<Suc k] = [0..<k] @ [k]* **by simp**
show ?case
unfoldings *suc-rw foldl-append unfolding List.foldl.simps*
by (metis Suc.hyps fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA-eq snd-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-PA-surjective-pairing)
qed

lemma *snd-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA*:
shows *snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA A k) = (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays A k)*
unfoldings *Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA-def Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-def Let-def*
using *foldl-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-iarrays-eq[of mat-iarray 1 (nrows-iarray A) A Suc k] by simp*

lemma *snd-Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA-eq*: *snd (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA A) = Gauss-Jordan-iarrays A*
unfoldings *Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-def Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA-def*
using *snd-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-iarrays-PA by auto*

```
end
```

25 Bases of the four fundamental subspaces over IArrays

```
theory Bases-Of-Fundamental-Subspaces-IArrays
```

```
imports
```

```
  Bases-Of-Fundamental-Subspaces
```

```
  Gauss-Jordan-PA-IArrays
```

```
begin
```

25.1 Computation of bases of the fundamental subspaces using IArrays

We have made the definitions as efficient as possible.

```
definition basis-left-null-space-iarrays A
```

```
= (let GJ = Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA A;
    rank-A = length [x←IArray.list-of (snd GJ) . ¬ is-zero-iarray x]
    in set (map (λi. row-iarray i (fst GJ)) [(rank-A)..<(nrows-iarray A)]))
```

```
definition basis-null-space-iarrays A
```

```
= (let GJ = Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA (transpose-iarray A);
    rank-A = length [x←IArray.list-of (snd GJ) . ¬ is-zero-iarray x]
    in set (map (λi. row-iarray i (fst GJ)) [(rank-A)..<(ncols-iarray A)]))
```

```
definition basis-row-space-iarrays A =
```

```
(let GJ = Gauss-Jordan-iarrays A;
    rank-A = length [x←IArray.list-of (GJ) . ¬ is-zero-iarray x]
    in set (map (λi. row-iarray i (GJ)) [0..<rank-A]))
```

```
definition basis-col-space-iarrays A = basis-row-space-iarrays (transpose-iarray A)
```

The following lemmas make easier the proofs of equivalence between abstract versions and concrete versions. They are false if we remove *matrix-to-iarray*

```
lemma basis-null-space-iarrays-eq:
```

```
fixes A::real ^'cols::{ mod-type } ^'rows::{ mod-type }
```

```
shows basis-null-space-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A)
```

```
= set (map (λi. row-iarray i (fst (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA (transpose-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)))))) [(rank-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A))..<(ncols-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A))])
```

```
unfolding basis-null-space-iarrays-def Let-def
```

```
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-rank[symmetric, of A]
```

```
unfolding rank-transpose[symmetric, of A]
```

```
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-rank
```

```
unfolding rank-iarrays-code
```

```
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-transpose[symmetric]
```

```
unfolding snd-Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA-eq ..
```

```

lemma basis-row-space-iarrays-eq:
fixes A::real^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
shows basis-row-space-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) = set (map (λi. row-iarray i
(Gauss-Jordan-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A))) [0..<(rank-iarray (matrix-to-iarray
A))])
unfolding basis-row-space-iarrays-def Let-def rank-iarrays-code ..
lemma basis-left-null-space-iarrays-eq:
fixes A::real^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
shows basis-left-null-space-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) = basis-null-space-iarrays
(transpose-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A))
unfolding basis-left-null-space-iarrays-def
unfolding basis-null-space-iarrays-def Let-def
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-transpose[symmetric]
unfolding transpose-transpose
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-ncols[symmetric]
unfolding ncols-transpose
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nrows ..

```

25.2 Code equations

```

lemma vec-to-iarray-basis-null-space[code-unfold]:
fixes A::real^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
shows vec-to-iarray` (basis-null-space A) = basis-null-space-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray
A)
proof (unfold basis-null-space-def basis-null-space-iarrays-eq, auto, unfold image-def,
auto)
fix i::'cols
assume rank-le-i: rank A ≤ to-nat i
show ∃ x ∈ {rank-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)..<ncols-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)}.
vec-to-iarray (row i (P-Gauss-Jordan (Cartesian-Euclidean-Space.transpose A)))
= row-iarray x (fst (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA (transpose-iarray (matrix-to-iarray
A))))
proof (rule bexI[of - to-nat i])
show to-nat i ∈ {rank-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)..<ncols-iarray (matrix-to-iarray
A)}
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-ncols[symmetric]
using rank-le-i to-nat-less-card[of i]
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-rank ncols-def by fastforce
show vec-to-iarray (row i (P-Gauss-Jordan (Cartesian-Euclidean-Space.transpose
A)))
= row-iarray (to-nat i) (fst (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA (transpose-iarray (matrix-to-iarray
A))))
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-transpose[symmetric]
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA[symmetric]
unfolding P-Gauss-Jordan-def
unfolding vec-to-iarray-row ..
qed

```

```

next
fix  $i$  assume  $\text{rank-le-}i : \text{rank-iarray} (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A) \leq i$ 
      and  $i\text{-less-nrows} : i < \text{ncols-iarray} (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A)$ 
hence  $i\text{-less-card}:i < \text{CARD ('cols)}$ 
unfolding  $\text{matrix-to-iarray-ncols[symmetric]}$   $\text{ncols-def}$  by simp
show  $\exists x. (\exists i. x = \text{row } i (\text{P-Gauss-Jordan} (\text{Cartesian-Euclidean-Space.transpose } A)) \wedge \text{rank } A \leq \text{to-nat } i) \wedge$ 
       $\text{row-iarray } i (\text{fst} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA} (\text{transpose-iarray} (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A)))) = \text{vec-to-iarray } x$ 
proof (rule exI[of - row (from-nat i) (P-Gauss-Jordan (Cartesian-Euclidean-Space.transpose A))], rule conjI)
show  $\exists ia. \text{row} (\text{from-nat } i) (\text{P-Gauss-Jordan} (\text{Cartesian-Euclidean-Space.transpose } A)) = \text{row } ia (\text{P-Gauss-Jordan} (\text{Cartesian-Euclidean-Space.transpose } A)) \wedge$ 
       $\text{rank } A \leq \text{to-nat } ia$ 
      by (rule exI[of - from-nat i], simp add: rank-le-i to-nat-from-nat-id[OF i-less-card] matrix-to-iarray-rank)
show  $\text{row-iarray } i (\text{fst} (\text{Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA} (\text{transpose-iarray} (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A)))) =$ 
       $\text{vec-to-iarray} (\text{row} (\text{from-nat } i) (\text{P-Gauss-Jordan} (\text{Cartesian-Euclidean-Space.transpose } A)))$ 
unfolding  $\text{matrix-to-iarray-transpose[symmetric]}$ 
unfolding  $\text{matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA[symmetric]}$ 
unfolding  $\text{P-Gauss-Jordan-def}$ 
unfolding  $\text{vec-to-iarray-row to-nat-from-nat-id[OF i-less-card]} ..$ 
qed
qed

corollary  $\text{vec-to-iarray-basis-left-null-space[code-unfold]}:$ 
fixes  $A:\text{real}^{\wedge}\text{cols}:\{\text{mod-type}\}^{\wedge}\text{rows}:\{\text{mod-type}\}$ 
shows  $\text{vec-to-iarray}^{\wedge} (\text{basis-left-null-space } A) = \text{basis-left-null-space-iarrays} (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A)$ 
proof –
have  $\text{rw: basis-left-null-space } A = \text{basis-null-space} (\text{transpose } A)$ 
by (metis transpose-transpose basis-null-space-eq-basis-left-null-space-transpose)
show  $?thesis$  unfolding  $\text{rw}$  unfolding  $\text{basis-left-null-space-iarrays-eq}$ 
using  $\text{vec-to-iarray-basis-null-space[of transpose } A]$ 
unfolding  $\text{matrix-to-iarray-transpose[symmetric]}.$ 
qed

lemma  $\text{vec-to-iarray-basis-row-space[code-unfold]}:$ 
fixes  $A:\text{real}^{\wedge}\text{cols}:\{\text{mod-type}\}^{\wedge}\text{rows}:\{\text{mod-type}\}$ 
shows  $\text{vec-to-iarray}^{\wedge} (\text{basis-row-space } A) = \text{basis-row-space-iarrays} (\text{matrix-to-iarray } A)$ 
proof (unfold basis-row-space-def basis-row-space-iarrays-eq, auto, unfold image-def, auto)
fix  $i$ 
assume  $i: \text{row } i (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A) \neq 0$ 

```

```

show  $\exists x \in \{0..<\text{rank-iarray}(\text{matrix-to-iarray } A)\}. \text{vec-to-iarray}(\text{row } i (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A)) = \text{row-iarray } x (\text{Gauss-Jordan-iarrays}(\text{matrix-to-iarray } A))$ 
  proof (rule bexI[of - to-nat i])
    show  $\text{vec-to-iarray}(\text{row } i (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A)) = \text{row-iarray}(\text{to-nat } i) (\text{Gauss-Jordan-iarrays}(\text{matrix-to-iarray } A))$ 
      unfolding vec-to-iarray-row matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan ..
      show  $\text{to-nat } i \in \{0..<\text{rank-iarray}(\text{matrix-to-iarray } A)\}$ 
      by (auto, unfold matrix-to-iarray-rank[symmetric],
        metis (full-types) i iarray-to-vec-vec-to-iarray not-less rank-less-row-i-imp-i-is-zero
        row-iarray-def vec-matrix vec-to-iarray-row)
    qed
  next
  fix i
  assume i:  $i < \text{rank-iarray}(\text{matrix-to-iarray } A)$ 
  hence i-less-rank:  $i < \text{rank } A$  unfolding matrix-to-iarray-rank.
  show  $\exists x. (\exists i. x = \text{row } i (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A) \wedge \text{row } i (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A) \neq 0) \wedge$ 
     $\text{row-iarray } i (\text{Gauss-Jordan-iarrays}(\text{matrix-to-iarray } A)) = \text{vec-to-iarray } x$ 
  proof (rule exI[of - row (from-nat i) (Gauss-Jordan A)], rule conjI)
    have not-zero-i:  $\neg \text{is-zero-row}(\text{from-nat } i) (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A)$ 
    proof (unfold is-zero-row-def, rule greatest-ge-nonzero-row')
      show reduced-row-echelon-form-upt-k (Gauss-Jordan A) (ncols (Gauss-Jordan A))
      by (metis rref-Gauss-Jordan rref-implies-rref-upt)
      have A-not-0:  $A \neq 0$  using i-less-rank by (metis less-nat-zero-code rank-0)
      hence Gauss-not-0:  $\text{Gauss-Jordan } A \neq 0$  by (metis Gauss-Jordan-not-0)
      have i ≤ to-nat (GREATEST' a. ¬ is-zero-row a (Gauss-Jordan A)) using i-less-rank
      unfolding rank-eq-suc-to-nat-greatest[OF A-not-0] by auto
      thus from-nat i ≤ (GREATEST' m. ¬ is-zero-row-upt-k m (ncols (Gauss-Jordan A)) (Gauss-Jordan A)) unfolding is-zero-row-def[symmetric] by (metis leD not-leE to-nat-le)
      show  $\neg (\forall a. \text{is-zero-row-upt-k } a (\text{ncols (Gauss-Jordan A)}) (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A))$  using Gauss-not-0 unfolding is-zero-row-def[symmetric] is-zero-row-def' by (metis vec-eq-iff zero-index)
    qed
    have i-less-card:  $i < \text{CARD('rows)}$  using i-less-rank rank-le-nrows[of A] unfolding nrows-def by simp
    show  $\exists ia. \text{row}(\text{from-nat } i) (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A) = \text{row } ia (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A) \wedge \text{row } ia (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A) \neq 0$ 
      apply (rule exI[of - from-nat i], simp) using not-zero-i unfolding row-def is-zero-row-def' vec-nth-inverse by auto
      show  $\text{row-iarray } i (\text{Gauss-Jordan-iarrays}(\text{matrix-to-iarray } A)) = \text{vec-to-iarray}(\text{row } (\text{from-nat } i) (\text{Gauss-Jordan } A))$ 
      unfolding matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan[symmetric] vec-to-iarray-row to-nat-from-nat-id[OF i-less-card] by rule
    qed
  qed

```

corollary *vec-to-iarray-basis-col-space[code-unfold]*:
fixes *A::real^'cols:{mod-type} ^'rows:{mod-type}*

```

shows vec-to-iarray` (basis-col-space A) = basis-col-space-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray
A)
unfolding basis-col-space-eq-basis-row-space-transpose basis-col-space-iarrays-def
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-transpose[symmetric]
unfolding vec-to-iarray-basis-row-space ..

```

end

26 Solving systems of equations using the Gauss Jordan algorithm over nested IArrays

```

theory System-Of-Equations-IArrays
imports
  System-Of-Equations
  Bases-Of-Fundamental-Subspaces-IArrays
begin

26.1 Previous definitions and properties

definition greatest-not-zero :: 'a::{zero} iarray => nat
  where greatest-not-zero A = the (List.find (λn. A !! n ≠ 0) (rev [0..<IArray.length A])))

lemma vec-to-iarray-exists:
shows (exists b. A $ b ≠ 0) = IArray-Addenda.exists (λb. (vec-to-iarray A) !! b ≠ 0)
(IArray[0..<IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A)])
proof (unfold IArray-Addenda.exists.simps length-vec-to-iarray, auto simp del:
sub-def)
  fix b assume Ab: A $ b ≠ 0
  show exists b ∈ {0..CARD('a)}. vec-to-iarray A !! b ≠ 0
    by (rule bexI[of - to-nat b], unfold vec-to-iarray-nth', auto simp add: Ab
to-nat-less-card[of b])
  next
  fix b assume b: b < CARD('a) and Ab-vec: vec-to-iarray A !! b ≠ 0
  show exists b. A $ b ≠ 0 by (rule exI[of - from-nat b], metis Ab-vec vec-to-iarray-nth[OF
b])
qed

corollary vec-to-iarray-exists':
shows (exists b. A $ b ≠ 0) = IArray-Addenda.exists (λb. (vec-to-iarray A) !! b ≠ 0)
(IArray (rev [0..<IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A)]))
by (simp add: vec-to-iarray-exists is-none-def find-None-iff)

lemma not-is-zero-iarray-eq-iff: (exists b. A $ b ≠ 0) = (¬ is-zero-iarray (vec-to-iarray
A))
by (metis (full-types) is-zero-iarray-eq-iff vec-eq-iff zero-index)

```

```

lemma vec-to-iarray-greatest-not-zero:
assumes ex-b: ( $\exists b. A \$ b \neq 0$ )
shows greatest-not-zero (vec-to-iarray A) = to-nat (GREATEST' b. A \$ b \neq 0)
proof -
let ?P=( $\lambda n. (\text{vec-to-iarray } A) !! n \neq 0$ )
let ?xs=(rev [0..<IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A)])
have  $\exists a. (\text{List.find } ?P ?xs) = \text{Some } a$ 
proof(rule ccontr, simp, unfold find-None-iff)
assume  $\neg (\exists x. x \in \text{set} (\text{rev} [0..<\text{length} (\text{IArray.list-of} (\text{vec-to-iarray } A))])) \wedge$ 
IArray.list-of (vec-to-iarray A) ! x \neq 0
thus False using ex-b
unfolding set-rev by (auto, unfold length-def[symmetric] sub-def[symmetric]
length-vec-to-iarray,metis to-nat-less-card vec-to-iarray-nth')
qed
from this obtain a where a: (List.find ?P ?xs) = Some a by blast
from this obtain ia where ia-less-length: ia < length ?xs
and P-xs-ia: ?P (?xs!ia) and a-eq: a = ?xs!ia and all-zero: ( $\forall j < ia. \neg ?P (?xs!j)$ )
unfolding find-Some-iff by auto
have ia-less-card: ia < CARD('a) using ia-less-length by (metis diff-zero length-rev
length-up length-vec-to-iarray)
have ia-less-length': ia < length ([0..<IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A)]) using
ia-less-length unfolding length-rev .
have a-less-card: a < CARD('a) unfolding a-eq unfolding rev-nth[OF ia-less-length']
using nth-up[of 0 (length [0..<IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A)] - Suc ia) (length
[0..<IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A)])]
by (metis diff-less length-up length-vec-to-iarray minus-nat.diff-0 plus-nat.add-0
zero-less-Suc zero-less-card-finite)
have (GREATEST' b. A \$ b \neq 0) = from-nat a
proof (rule Greatest'-equality)
have A \$ from-nat a = (vec-to-iarray A) !! a by (rule vec-to-iarray-nth[symmetric,OF
a-less-card])
also have ... \neq 0 using P-xs-ia unfolding a-eq[symmetric] .
finally show A \$ from-nat a \neq 0 .
next
fix y assume Ay: A \$ y \neq 0
show y \leq from-nat a
proof (rule ccontr)
assume  $\neg y \leq \text{from-nat } a$  hence y-greater-a: y > from-nat a by simp
have y-greater-a': to-nat y > a using y-greater-a using to-nat-mono[of
from-nat a y] using to-nat-from-nat-id by (metis a-less-card)
have a = ?xs ! ia using a-eq .
also have ... = [0..<IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A)] ! (length [0..<IArray.length
(vec-to-iarray A)] - Suc ia) by (rule rev-nth[OF ia-less-length'])
also have ... = 0 + (length [0..<IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A)] - Suc ia)
apply (rule nth-up) using ia-less-length' by fastforce
also have ... = (length [0..<IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A)] - Suc ia) by
simp
finally have a = (length [0..<IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A)] - Suc ia) .
hence ia-eq: ia = length [0..<IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A)] - (Suc a)

```

```

by (metis Suc-diff-Suc Suc-eq-plus1-left diff-diff-cancel less-imp-le ia-less-length
length-rev)
  def ja≡length [0..<IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A)] = to-nat y - 1
  have ja-less-length: ja < length [0..<IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A)] unfolding
  ja-def
    by (metis diff-0-eq-0 diff-Suc-eq-diff-pred diff-Suc-less diff-right-commute ia-eq
ia-less-length' neq0-conv)
    have suc-i-le: IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A) ≥ Suc (to-nat y) unfolding
    vec-to-iarray-def using to-nat-less-card[of y] by auto
    have ?xs ! ja = [0..<IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A)] ! (length [0..<IArray.length
(vec-to-iarray A)] - Suc ja) unfolding rev-nth[OF ja-less-length] ..
    also have ... = 0 + (length [0..<IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A)] - Suc ja)
  apply (rule nth-upd, auto simp del: length-def) unfolding ja-def
    by (metis diff-Suc-less ia-less-length' length-upd less-nat-zero-code minus-nat.diff-0
neq0-conv)
    also have ... = (length [0..<IArray.length (vec-to-iarray A)] - Suc ja) by
simp
    also have ... = to-nat y unfolding ja-def using suc-i-le by force
    finally have xs-ja-eq-y: ?xs ! ja = to-nat y .
    have ja-less-ia: ja < ia unfolding ja-def ia-eq by (auto simp del: length-def,
metis Suc-leI suc-i-le diff-less-mono2 le-imp-less-Suc less-le-trans y-greater-a')
    hence eq-0: vec-to-iarray A !! (?xs ! ja) = 0 using all-zero by simp
    hence A $ y = 0 using vec-to-iarray-nth'[of A y] unfolding xs-ja-eq-y by
simp
    thus False using Ay by contradiction
qed
qed
thus ?thesis unfolding greatest-not-zero-def a the.simps unfolding to-nat-eq[symmetric]
unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id[OF a-less-card] ..
qed

```

26.2 Consistency and inconsistency

```

definition consistent-iarrays A b = (let GJ=Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA A;
rank-A = length [x←IArray.list-of (snd GJ) . ¬
is-zero-iarray x];
P-mult-b = fst(GJ) *iv b
in (rank-A ≥ (if (¬ is-zero-iarray P-mult-b)
then (greatest-not-zero P-mult-b + 1) else 0)))

```

```

definition inconsistent-iarrays A b = (¬ consistent-iarrays A b)

```

```

lemma matrix-to-iarray-consistent[code]: consistent A b = consistent-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray
A) (vec-to-iarray b)
  unfolding consistent-eq-rank-ge-code
  unfolding consistent-iarrays-def Let-def
  unfolding Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq
  unfolding rank-Gauss-Jordan-code[symmetric, unfolded Let-def]
  unfolding snd-Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA-eq

```

```

unfolding rank-iarrays-code[symmetric]
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-rank
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA[symmetric]
unfolding vec-to-iarray-matrix-matrix-mult[symmetric]
unfolding not-is-zero-iarray-eq-iff
using vec-to-iarray-greatest-not-zero[unfolded not-is-zero-iarray-eq-iff]
by force

lemma matrix-to-iarray-inconsistent[code]: inconsistent A b = inconsistent-iarrays
(matrix-to-iarray A) (vec-to-iarray b)
unfolding inconsistent-def inconsistent-iarrays-def
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-consistent ..

definition solve-consistent-rref-iarrays A b
= IArray.of-fun ( $\lambda j.$  if (IArray-Addenda.exists ( $\lambda i.$  A !! i !!  $j = 1 \wedge j = \text{least-non-zero-position-of-vector}(\text{row-iarray } i \text{ A})$ ) (IArray[0..<nrows-iarray A]))  

then b !! ( $\text{least-non-zero-position-of-vector}(\text{column-iarray } j \text{ A})$  else 0) (ncols-iarray A)

lemma exists-solve-consistent-rref:
fixes A::'a::{field} ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form A
shows ( $\exists i.$  A $ i $ j = 1  $\wedge j = (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0)$ )
= (IArray-Addenda.exists ( $\lambda i.$  (matrix-to-iarray A) !! i !! (to-nat j) = 1  

 $\wedge (\text{to-nat } j) = \text{least-non-zero-position-of-vector}(\text{row-iarray } i \text{ (matrix-to-iarray A)})$ )  

(IArray[0..<nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)]))
proof (rule)
assume  $\exists i.$  A $ i $ j = 1  $\wedge j = (\text{LEAST } n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0)$ 
from this obtain i where Aij: A $ i $ j = 1 and j-eq: j = (LEAST n. A $ i $ n  $\neq 0$ ) by blast
show IArray-Addenda.exists ( $\lambda i.$  matrix-to-iarray A !! i !! to-nat j = 1  $\wedge$  to-nat j  

= least-non-zero-position-of-vector (row-iarray i (matrix-to-iarray A)))
(IArray [0..<nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)])
unfolding IArray-Addenda.exists.simps find-Some-iff
apply (rule bexI[of - to-nat i])+
proof (auto, unfold sub-def[symmetric])
show to-nat i < nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A) unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nrows[symmetric]
nrows-def using to-nat-less-card by fast
have to-nat j = to-nat (LEAST n. A $ i $ n  $\neq 0$ ) unfolding j-eq by
simp
also have ... = to-nat (LEAST n. A $ i $ n  $\neq 0 \wedge 0 \leq n$ ) by (metis
least-mod-type)
also have ... = least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index (vec-to-iarray
(row i A) (to-nat (0::'cols)))
proof (rule vec-to-iarray-least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index "[symmetric,
of 0::'cols i A]")
show  $\neg \text{vector-all-zero-from-index}(\text{to-nat } (0::'cols), \text{vec-to-iarray } (\text{row}$ 
```

```

 $i A))$ 
unfolding vector-all-zero-from-index-eq[symmetric, of  $0::'cols$  row  $i$   $A]$  unfolding row-def vec-nth-inverse using  $Aij$  least-mod-type[of  $j$ ] by fastforce
qed
also have ... = least-non-zero-position-of-vector (row-iarray (to-nat  $i$ ) (matrix-to-iarray  $A$ )) unfolding vec-to-iarray-row least-non-zero-position-of-vector-def
unfolding to-nat-0 ..
finally show to-nat  $j$  = least-non-zero-position-of-vector (row-iarray (to-nat  $i$ ) (matrix-to-iarray  $A$ )) .
show matrix-to-iarray  $A$  !! mod-type-class.to-nat  $i$  !! mod-type-class.to-nat  $j = 1$  unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nth using  $Aij$  .
qed
next
assume ex-eq: IArray-Addenda.exists ( $\lambda i.$  matrix-to-iarray  $A$  !!  $i$  !! to-nat  $j = 1$   $\wedge$  to-nat  $j$  = least-non-zero-position-of-vector (row-iarray  $i$  (matrix-to-iarray  $A$ ))) ( $IArray [0..<nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)]$ )
have  $\exists y.$  List.find ( $\lambda i.$  matrix-to-iarray  $A$  !!  $i$  !! to-nat  $j = 1 \wedge$  to-nat  $j$  = least-non-zero-position-of-vector (row-iarray  $i$  (matrix-to-iarray  $A$ )))
 $[0..<nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)] = Some y$ 
proof (rule ccontr, simp del: length-def sub-def, unfold find-None-iff)
assume  $\neg (\exists x. x \in set [0..<nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)] \wedge$  matrix-to-iarray  $A$  !!  $x$  !! mod-type-class.to-nat  $j = 1 \wedge$  mod-type-class.to-nat  $j$  = least-non-zero-position-of-vector (row-iarray  $x$  (matrix-to-iarray  $A$ )))
thus False using ex-eq unfolding IArray-Addenda.exists.simps by auto
qed
from this obtain  $y$  where  $y: List.find (\lambda i.$  matrix-to-iarray  $A$  !!  $i$  !! to-nat  $j = 1 \wedge$  to-nat  $j$  = least-non-zero-position-of-vector (row-iarray  $i$  (matrix-to-iarray  $A$ )))  $[0..<nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)] = Some y$  by blast
from this obtain  $i$  where i-less-length:  $i < length [0..<nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)]$  and
 $Aij-1:$  matrix-to-iarray  $A$  !! ( $[0..<nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)] ! i$ ) !! to-nat  $j = 1$ 
and j-eq: to-nat  $j$  = least-non-zero-position-of-vector (row-iarray ( $[0..<nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)] ! i$ ) (matrix-to-iarray  $A$ )) and
y-eq:  $y = [0..<nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)] ! i$ 
and least: ( $\forall ja < i. \neg (matrix-to-iarray A !! ([0..<nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)] ! ja) !! to-nat j = 1 \wedge$  to-nat  $j$  = least-non-zero-position-of-vector (row-iarray ( $[0..<nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)] ! ja$ ) (matrix-to-iarray  $A$ ))))
unfolding find-Some-iff by blast
show  $\exists i.$   $A \$ i \$ j = 1 \wedge j = (LEAST n. A \$ i \$ n \neq 0)$ 
proof (rule exI[of - from-nat  $i$ ], rule conjI)
have i-rw:  $[0..<nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)] ! i = i$  using nth-up[of 0  $i$  nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray  $A$ )] using i-less-length by auto
have i-less-card:  $i < CARD ('rows)$  using i-less-length unfolding nrows-iarray-def matrix-to-iarray-def by auto
show A-ij:  $A \$ from-nat i \$ j = 1$ 
using Aij-1 unfolding i-rw using matrix-to-iarray-nth[of  $A$  from-nat  $i$   $j$ ] unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id[OF i-less-card] by simp

```

```

have to-nat j = least-non-zero-position-of-vector (row-iarray ([0..<nrows-iarray
(matrix-to-iarray A)] ! i) (matrix-to-iarray A)) using j-eq .
also have ... = least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index (row-iarray i (matrix-to-iarray
A)) 0
unfolding least-non-zero-position-of-vector-def i-rw ..
also have ... = least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index (vec-to-iarray (row
(from-nat i) A)) (to-nat (0::'cols)) unfolding vec-to-iarray-row
unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id[OF i-less-card] unfolding to-nat-0 ..
also have ... = to-nat (LEAST n. A $ (from-nat i) $ n ≠ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ n)
proof (rule vec-to-iarray-least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index")
    show ¬ vector-all-zero-from-index (to-nat (0::'cols), vec-to-iarray (row
(from-nat i) A))
    unfolding vector-all-zero-from-index-eq[symmetric] using A-ij by (metis
iarray-to-vec-vec-to-iarray least-mod-type vec-matrix vec-to-iarray-row' zero-neq-one)
    qed
also have ... = to-nat (LEAST n. A $ (from-nat i) $ n ≠ 0) using least-mod-type
by metis
    finally show j = (LEAST n. A $ from-nat i $ n ≠ 0) unfolding to-nat-eq .
    qed
qed

```

```

lemma to-nat-the-solve-consistent-rref:
fixes A::'a:{field} ^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form A
and exists: (∃ i. A $ i $ j = 1 ∧ j = (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0))
shows to-nat (THE i. A $ i $ j = 1) = least-non-zero-position-of-vector (column-iarray
(to-nat j) (matrix-to-iarray A))
proof –
obtain i where Aij: A $ i $ j = 1 and j:j = (LEAST n. A $ i $ n ≠ 0) using
exists by blast
have least-non-zero-position-of-vector (column-iarray (to-nat j) (matrix-to-iarray
A)) =
    least-non-zero-position-of-vector (vec-to-iarray (column j A)) unfolding vec-to-iarray-column
..
also have ... = least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index (vec-to-iarray (column
j A)) (to-nat (0::'rows)) unfolding least-non-zero-position-of-vector-def to-nat-0 ..
also have ... = to-nat (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0 ∧ 0 ≤ n)
proof (rule vec-to-iarray-least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index')
    show ¬ vector-all-zero-from-index (to-nat (0::'rows), vec-to-iarray (column
j A))
    unfolding vector-all-zero-from-index-eq[symmetric] column-def using Aij
least-mod-type[of i] by fastforce
    qed
also have ... = to-nat (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0) using least-mod-type by
metis
finally have least-eq: least-non-zero-position-of-vector (column-iarray (to-nat
j) (matrix-to-iarray A)) = to-nat (LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0) .
have i-eq-least: i=(LEAST n. A $ n $ j ≠ 0)

```

```

proof (rule Least-equality[symmetric])
  show  $A \$ i \$ j \neq 0$  by (metis Aij zero-neq-one)
  show  $\bigwedge y. A \$ y \$ j \neq 0 \implies i \leq y$  by (metis (mono-tags) Aij is-zero-row-def' j order-refl rref rref-condition4 zero-neq-one)
qed
have the-eq-least-pos: (THE i. A \$ i \$ j = 1) = from-nat (least-non-zero-position-of-vector (column-iarray (to-nat j) (matrix-to-iarray A)))
proof (rule the-equality)
  show  $A \$ \text{from-nat}(\text{least-non-zero-position-of-vector}(\text{column-iarray}(\text{to-nat} j)(\text{matrix-to-iarray} A))) \$ j = 1$ 
  unfolding least-eq from-nat-to-nat-id i-eq-least[symmetric] using Aij .
  fix a assume a:  $A \$ a \$ j = 1$ 
  show a = from-nat (least-non-zero-position-of-vector (column-iarray (to-nat j) (matrix-to-iarray A)))
  unfolding least-eq from-nat-to-nat-id
  by (metis Aij a i-eq-least is-zero-row-def' j rref rref-condition4-explicit zero-neq-one)
qed
have to-nat (THE i. A \$ i \$ j = 1) = to-nat (from-nat (least-non-zero-position-of-vector (column-iarray (to-nat j) (matrix-to-iarray A))))::'rows) using the-eq-least-pos by auto
also have ... = (least-non-zero-position-of-vector (column-iarray (to-nat j) (matrix-to-iarray A))) by (rule to-nat-from-nat-id, unfold least-eq, simp add: to-nat-less-card)
also have ... = to-nat (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0) unfolding least-eq from-nat-to-nat-id
 $\dots$ 
finally have (THE i. A \$ i \$ j = 1) = (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0) unfolding to-nat-eq .
thus ?thesis unfolding least-eq from-nat-to-nat-id unfolding to-nat-eq .
qed

```

```

lemma iarray-exhaust2:
(xs = ys) = (IArray.list-of xs = IArray.list-of ys)
by (metis iarray.exhaust list-of.simps)

```

```

lemma vec-to-iarray-solve-consistent-rref:
fixes A::real^'cols::{mod-type} ^'rows::{mod-type}
assumes rref: reduced-row-echelon-form A
shows vec-to-iarray (solve-consistent-rref A b) = solve-consistent-rref-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) (vec-to-iarray b)
proof (unfold iarray-exhaust2 list-eq-iff-nth-eq length-def[symmetric] sub-def[symmetric], rule conjI)
  show IArray.length (vec-to-iarray (solve-consistent-rref A b)) = IArray.length (solve-consistent-rref-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) (vec-to-iarray b))
  unfolding solve-consistent-rref-def solve-consistent-rref-iarrays-def
  unfolding ncols-iarray-def matrix-to-iarray-def by (simp add: vec-to-iarray-def)
  show  $\forall i < IArray.length (\text{vec-to-iarray}(\text{solve-consistent-rref} A b)). \text{vec-to-iarray}(\text{solve-consistent-rref} A b) !! i = \text{solve-consistent-rref-iarrays}(\text{matrix-to-iarray} A)(\text{vec-to-iarray} b) !! i$ 
proof (clarify)

```

```

fix i assume i: i < IArray.length (vec-to-iarray (solve-consistent-rref A b))
hence i-less-card: i < CARD('cols) unfolding vec-to-iarray-def by auto
hence i-less-ncols: i < (ncols-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)) unfolding ncols-eq-card-columns
.
show vec-to-iarray (solve-consistent-rref A b) !! i = solve-consistent-rref-iarrays
(matrix-to-iarray A) (vec-to-iarray b) !! i
unfolding vec-to-iarray-nth[OF i-less-card]
unfolding solve-consistent-rref-def
unfolding vec-lambda-beta
unfolding solve-consistent-rref-iarrays-def
unfolding of-fun-nth[OF i-less-ncols]
unfolding exists-solve-consistent-rref[OF rref, of from-nat i, symmetric, unfolded
to-nat-from-nat-id[OF i-less-card]]
using to-nat-the-solve-consistent-rref[OF rref, of from-nat i, symmetric, unfolded
to-nat-from-nat-id[OF i-less-card]]
using vec-to-iarray-nth' by metis
qed
qed

```

26.3 Independence and dependence

```

definition independent-and-consistent-iarrays A b =
(let GJ = Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA A;
rank-A = length [x←IArray.list-of (snd GJ) . ¬ is-zero-iarray x];
P-mult-b = fst GJ *iv b;
consistent-A = ((if ¬ is-zero-iarray P-mult-b then greatest-not-zero P-mult-b
+ 1 else 0) ≤ rank-A);
dim-solution-set = ncols-iarray A - rank-A
in consistent-A ∧ dim-solution-set = 0)

definition dependent-and-consistent-iarrays A b =
(let GJ = Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA A;
rank-A = length [x←IArray.list-of (snd GJ) . ¬ is-zero-iarray x];
P-mult-b = fst GJ *iv b;
consistent-A = ((if ¬ is-zero-iarray P-mult-b then greatest-not-zero P-mult-b
+ 1 else 0) ≤ rank-A);
dim-solution-set = ncols-iarray A - rank-A
in consistent-A ∧ dim-solution-set > 0)

lemma matrix-to-iarray-independent-and-consistent[code]:
shows independent-and-consistent A b = independent-and-consistent-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray
A) (vec-to-iarray b)
unfolding independent-and-consistent-def
unfolding independent-and-consistent-iarrays-def
unfolding dim-solution-set-homogeneous-eq-dim-null-space
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-consistent
unfolding consistent-iarrays-def
unfolding dim-null-space-iarray
unfolding rank-iarrays-code

```

unfolding *snd-Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA-eq[symmetric]*
unfolding *Let-def ..*

lemma *matrix-to-iarray-dependent-and-consistent[code]:*
shows *dependent-and-consistent A b = dependent-and-consistent-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) (vec-to-iarray b)*
unfolding *dependent-and-consistent-def*
unfolding *dependent-and-consistent-iarrays-def*
unfolding *dim-solution-set-homogeneous-eq-dim-null-space*
unfolding *matrix-to-iarray-consistent*
unfolding *consistent-iarrays-def*
unfolding *dim-null-space-iarray*
unfolding *rank-iarrays-code*
unfolding *snd-Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA-eq[symmetric]*
unfolding *Let-def ..*

26.4 Solve a system of equations over nested IArrays

definition *solve-system-iarrays A b = (let A' = Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA A in (snd A', fst A' *iv b))*

lemma *matrix-to-iarray-fst-solve-system: matrix-to-iarray (fst (solve-system A b)) = fst (solve-system-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) (vec-to-iarray b))*
unfolding *solve-system-def solve-system-iarrays-def Let-def fst-conv*
by (*metis matrix-to-iarray-snd-Gauss-Jordan-PA*)

lemma *vec-to-iarray-snd-solve-system: vec-to-iarray (snd (solve-system A b)) = snd (solve-system-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) (vec-to-iarray b))*
unfolding *solve-system-def solve-system-iarrays-def Let-def snd-conv*
by (*metis matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA vec-to-iarray-matrix-matrix-mult*)

definition *solve-iarrays A b = (let GJ-P=Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA A;
P-mult-b = fst GJ-P *iv b;
rank-A = length [x←IArray.list-of (snd GJ-P) . ¬ is-zero-iarray x];
consistent-Ab = (if ¬ is-zero-iarray P-mult-b then greatest-not-zero
P-mult-b + 1 else 0) ≤ rank-A;
GJ transpose = Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA (transpose-iarray A);
basis = set (map (λi. row-iarray i (fst GJ transpose))
[rank-A..< ncols-iarray A])
in (if consistent-Ab then Some (solve-consistent-rref-iarrays
(snd GJ-P) P-mult-b, basis) else None))*

definition *pair-vec-vecset A = (if Option.is-none A then None else Some (vec-to-iarray (fst (the A)), vec-to-iarray' (snd (the A))))*

lemma *pair-vec-vecset-solve[code-unfold]:*

```

shows pair-vec-vecset (solve A b) = solve-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) (vec-to-iarray b)
unfoldings pair-vec-vecset-def
proof (auto)
assume none-solve-Ab: Option.is-none (solve A b)
show None = solve-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) (vec-to-iarray b)
proof -
  def GJ-P == Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA (matrix-to-iarray A)
  def P-mult-b == fst GJ-P *iv vec-to-iarray b
  def rank-A == length [x←IArray.list-of (snd GJ-P) . ¬ is-zero-iarray x]
  have ¬ consistent A b using none-solve-Ab unfolding solve-def unfolding
is-none-def by auto
  hence ¬ consistent-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) (vec-to-iarray b) using
matrix-to-iarray-consistent by auto
  hence (if ¬ is-zero-iarray P-mult-b then greatest-not-zero P-mult-b + 1 else
0) ≤ rank-A
  unfolding GJ-P-def P-mult-b-def rank-A-def
  using consistent-iarrays-def unfolding Let-def by fast
  thus ?thesis unfolding solve-iarrays-def Let-def unfolding GJ-P-def P-mult-b-def
rank-A-def by presburger
qed
next
assume not-none: ¬ Option.is-none (solve A b)
show Some (vec-to-iarray (fst (the (solve A b))), vec-to-iarray ` snd (the (solve A
b))) = solve-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) (vec-to-iarray b)
proof -
  def GJ-P == Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA (matrix-to-iarray A)
  def P-mult-b == fst GJ-P *iv vec-to-iarray b
  def rank-A == length [x←IArray.list-of (snd GJ-P) . ¬ is-zero-iarray x]
  def GJ transpose == Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA (transpose-iarray (matrix-to-iarray
A))
  def basis == set (map (λi. row-iarray i (fst GJ transpose)) [rank-A..<ncols-iarray
(matrix-to-iarray A)])
  def P-mult-b == fst GJ-P *iv vec-to-iarray b
  have consistent-Ab: consistent A b using not-none unfolding solve-def unfold-
ing is-none-def by metis
  hence consistent-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) (vec-to-iarray b) using matrix-to-iarray-consistent
by auto
  hence (if ¬ is-zero-iarray P-mult-b then greatest-not-zero P-mult-b + 1 else 0)
≤ rank-A
  unfolding GJ-P-def P-mult-b-def rank-A-def
  using consistent-iarrays-def unfolding Let-def by fast
  hence solve-iarrays-rw: solve-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) (vec-to-iarray b) =
Some (solve-consistent-rref-iarrays (snd GJ-P) P-mult-b, basis)
  unfolding solve-iarrays-def Let-def P-mult-b-def GJ-P-def rank-A-def basis-def
GJ transpose-def by auto
  have snd-rw: vec-to-iarray ` basis-null-space A = basis unfolding basis-def
GJ transpose-def rank-A-def GJ-P-def
  unfolding vec-to-iarray-basis-null-space unfolding basis-null-space-iarrays-def

```

```

Let-def
  unfolding snd-Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA-eq
  unfolding rank-iarrays-code[symmetric]
  unfolding matrix-to-iarray-transpose[symmetric]
  unfolding matrix-to-iarray-rank[symmetric]
  unfolding rank-transpose[symmetric, of A] ..
  have fst-rw: vec-to-iarray (solve-consistent-rref (fst (solve-system A b)) (snd
  (solve-system A b))) = solve-consistent-rref-iarrays (snd GJ-P) P-mult-b
    using vec-to-iarray-solve-consistent-rref[OF rref-Gauss-Jordan, of A fst (Gauss-Jordan-PA
  A) *v b]
    unfolding solve-system-def Let-def fst-conv
    unfolding Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq snd-conv
    unfolding GJ-P-def P-mult-b-def
    unfolding vec-to-iarray-matrix-matrix-mult
    unfolding matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA[symmetric]
    unfolding matrix-to-iarray-snd-Gauss-Jordan-PA[symmetric]
    unfolding Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq .
  show ?thesis unfolding solve-iarrays-rw
    unfolding solve-def if-P[OF consistent-Ab] the.simps fst-conv snd-conv
    unfolding fst-rw snd-rw ..
qed
qed

end

```

27 Computing determinants of matrices using the Gauss Jordan algorithm over nested IArrays

```

theory Determinants-IArrays
imports
  Determinants2
  Gauss-Jordan-IArrays
begin

```

27.1 Definitions

```

definition Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-iarrays A i j = (let n = least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index
(column-iarray j A) i
  in (if i = n then 1 / A !! i !! j else - 1 / A !! n !! j, Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays
A i j))

definition Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-iarrays A' k = (let det-P = fst A'; i =
fst (snd A'); A = snd (snd A')
  in if vector-all-zero-from-index (i, column-iarray k A) ∨ i = nrows-iarray A then
(det-P, i, A)
  else let gauss = Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-iarrays A i k in (fst gauss * det-P, i
+ 1, snd gauss))

```

```

definition Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-iarrays A k = (let foldl = foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-iarrays
(1, 0, A) [0.. $\langle$ Suc k] in (fst foldl, snd (snd foldl)))
definition Gauss-Jordan-det-P-iarrays A = Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-iarrays A
(ncols-iarray A - 1)

```

27.2 Proofs

A more efficient equation for *Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-iarrays A i j*.

```

lemma Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-iarrays-code[code]: Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-iarrays
A i j
= (let n = least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index (column-iarray j A) i;
interchange-A = interchange-rows-iarray A i n;
A' = mult-row-iarray interchange-A i (1 / interchange-A !! i !! j)
in (if i = n then 1 / A !! i !! j else - 1 / A !! n !! j, IArray.of-fun ( $\lambda s.$ 
if s = i then A' !! s else row-add-iarray A' s i (- interchange-A !! s !! j) !! s)
(nrows-iarray A)))
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-iarrays-def Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-iarrays-def Let-def
..

```

```

lemma matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P:
assumes ex-n:  $\exists n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n$ 
shows fst (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P A i j) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-iarrays
(matrix-to-iarray A) (to-nat i) (to-nat j))
proof -
have least-n-eq: least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index (vec-to-iarray (column
j A)) (to-nat i) = to-nat (LEAST n. A \$ n \$ j  $\neq 0 \wedge i \leq n$ )
by (rule vec-to-iarray-least-non-zero-position-of-vector-from-index'[unfolded matrix-vector-all-zero-from-index[metis ex-n])
show ?thesis
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-iarrays-def Let-def
fst-conv
unfolding least-n-eq[unfolded vec-to-iarray-column] unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nth
by auto
qed

```

```

corollary matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P':
assumes  $\neg (\text{vector-all-zero-from-index} (\text{to-nat } i, \text{vec-to-iarray} (\text{column } j A)))$ 
shows fst (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P A i j) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-iarrays
(matrix-to-iarray A) (to-nat i) (to-nat j))
using matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P assms unfolding matrix-vector-all-zero-from-index[symm]
by auto

```

```

lemma matrix-to-iarray-snd-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P:
assumes ex-n:  $\exists n. A \$ n \$ j \neq 0 \wedge i \leq n$ 
shows matrix-to-iarray (snd (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P A i j)) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-iarrays
(matrix-to-iarray A) (to-nat i) (to-nat j))
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P-iarrays-def Let-def

```

```

 $\text{snd\_conv}$ 
by (rule matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij, simp add: matrix-vector-all-zero-from-index[symmetric],
metis ex-n)

```

```

lemma matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P:
assumes i:  $i \leq \text{nrows } A$  and k:  $k < \text{ncols } A$ 
shows  $\text{fst}(\text{Gauss-Jordan-column-}k\text{-det-}P(n, i, A) k) = \text{fst}(\text{Gauss-Jordan-column-}k\text{-det-}P\text{-iarrays}(n, i, \text{matrix-to-iarray } A) k)$ 
proof (cases i < nrows A)
case True
show ?thesis
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-iarrays-def
Let-def snd-conv fst-conv
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nrows matrix-vector-all-zero-from-index
using matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P'[of from-nat i from-nat k A]
unfolding vec-to-iarray-column
unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id[OF True[unfolded nrows-def]]
unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id[OF k[unfolded ncols-def]]
by auto
next
case False
hence i = nrows A using i by simp
thus ?thesis
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-iarrays-def
Let-def snd-conv fst-conv unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nrows by force
qed

```

```

lemma matrix-to-iarray-fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P:
assumes i:  $i \leq \text{nrows } A$  and k:  $k < \text{ncols } A$ 
shows  $\text{fst}(\text{snd}(\text{Gauss-Jordan-column-}k\text{-det-}P(n, i, A) k)) = \text{fst}(\text{snd}(\text{Gauss-Jordan-column-}k\text{-det-}P\text{-iarrays}(n, i, \text{matrix-to-iarray } A) k))$ 
proof (cases i < nrows A)
case True
show ?thesis
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-iarrays-def
Let-def snd-conv fst-conv
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nrows matrix-vector-all-zero-from-index
unfolding vec-to-iarray-column
unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id[OF True[unfolded nrows-def]]
unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id[OF k[unfolded ncols-def]] by auto
next
case False
thus ?thesis
using assms
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-iarrays-def
Let-def snd-conv fst-conv
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nrows matrix-vector-all-zero-from-index by auto

```

qed

```
lemma matrix-to-iarray-snd-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P:
assumes i: i≤nrows A and k: k<ncols A
shows matrix-to-iarray (snd (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (n, i, A) k))) =
snd (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-iarrays (n, i, matrix-to-iarray A) k))
proof (cases i<nrows A)
case True
show ?thesis
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-iarrays-def
Let-def fst-conv snd-conv
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nrows matrix-vector-all-zero-from-index
using matrix-to-iarray-snd-Gauss-Jordan-in-ij-det-P[of A from-nat k from-nat i]
using matrix-vector-all-zero-from-index[of from-nat i A from-nat k]
unfolding vec-to-iarray-column
unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id[OF True[unfolded nrows-def]]
unfolding to-nat-from-nat-id[OF k[unfolded ncols-def]]
by auto
next
case False
thus ?thesis
using assms
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-iarrays-def
Let-def fst-conv snd-conv
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nrows matrix-vector-all-zero-from-index by auto
qed
```

```
lemma fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-le-nrows:
assumes i: i≤nrows A
shows fst (snd (Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (n, i, A) k)) ≤ nrows A
unfolding fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-eq-fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA[unfolded
fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-PA-eq]
by (rule fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-k[OF i])
```

The proof of the following theorem is very similar to the ones of *foldl-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-eq*, *rref-and-index-Gauss-Jordan-upk* and *foldl-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P*.

```
lemma
assumes k<ncols A
shows matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P: fst (Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P
A k) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) k)
and matrix-to-iarray-snd-Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P: matrix-to-iarray (snd (Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P
A k)) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-upk-det-P-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) k)
and fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (1, 0, A) [0..<Suc k])) ≤ nrows
A
and fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-iarrays (1, 0, matrix-to-iarray
A) [0..<Suc k])) = fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (1, 0, A) [0..<Suc
k]))
using assms
```

```

proof (induct k)
show fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P A 0) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-iarrays
(matrix-to-iarray A) 0)
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-iarrays-def Let-def
fst-conv
by (simp, rule matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P, simp-all add: ncols-def)
show matrix-to-iarray (snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P A 0)) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-iarrays
(matrix-to-iarray A) 0)
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-iarrays-def Let-def
fst-conv snd-conv
by (simp, rule matrix-to-iarray-snd-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P, simp-all add:
ncols-def)
show fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (1, 0, A) [0..<Suc 0])) ≤ nrows
A
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-iarrays-def Let-def
fst-conv snd-conv
by (simp, rule fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-le-nrows, simp add: nrows-def)
show fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-iarrays (1, 0, matrix-to-iarray
A) [0..<Suc 0])) = fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (1, 0, A) [0..<Suc
0]))
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-iarrays-def Let-def
fst-conv snd-conv
by (simp, rule matrix-to-iarray-fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P[symmetric],
simp-all add: ncols-def)
next
fix k
assume (k < ncols A ⇒ fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P A k) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-iarrays
(matrix-to-iarray A) k))
and (k < ncols A ⇒ matrix-to-iarray (snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P A
k)) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) k)))
and (k < ncols A ⇒ fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (1, 0,
A) [0..<Suc k])) ≤ nrows A)
and (k < ncols A ⇒ fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-iarrays (1,
0, matrix-to-iarray A) [0..<Suc k])) = fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P
(1, 0, A) [0..<Suc k]))))
and Suc-k-less-ncols: Suc k < ncols A
hence hyp1: fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P A k) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-iarrays
(matrix-to-iarray A) k)
and hyp2: matrix-to-iarray (snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P A k)) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-iarrays
(matrix-to-iarray A) k)
and hyp3: fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (1, 0, A) [0..<Suc k])) ≤
nrows A
and hyp4: (fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-iarrays (1, 0, matrix-to-iarray
A) [0..<Suc k])) = fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (1, 0, A) [0..<Suc
k])))
by auto
have list-rw: [0..<Suc (Suc k)] = [0..<(Suc k)] @ [Suc k] by simp
def f≡(foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (1, 0, A) [0..<Suc k])
def g≡(foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-iarrays (1, 0, matrix-to-iarray A) [0..<Suc
k])
```

```

k])
have f-rw:  $f = (fst f, fst (snd f), snd (snd f))$  by simp
have g-rw:  $g = (fst g, fst (snd g), snd (snd g))$  by simp
have fst-rw:  $fst g = fst f$  unfolding f-def g-def using hyp1[unfolded Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-iarrays-def Let-def fst-conv] ..
have fst-snd-rw:  $fst (snd g) = fst (snd f)$  unfolding f-def g-def using hyp4 .
have snd-snd-rw:  $snd (snd g) = matrix-to-iarray (snd (snd f))$ 
  unfolding f-def g-def using hyp2[unfolded Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-iarrays-def Let-def snd-conv] ..
have fst-snd-f-le-nrows:  $fst (snd f) \leq nrows (snd (snd f))$  unfolding f-def g-def using hyp3 unfolding nrows-def .
have Suc-k-less-ncols':  $Suc k < ncols (snd (snd f))$  using Suc-k-less-ncols unfolding ncols-def .
show fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P A (Suc k)) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) (Suc k))
  unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-iarrays-def Let-def fst-conv
  unfolding list-rw foldl-append
  unfolding List.foldl.simps
  unfolding f-def[symmetric] g-def[symmetric]
  by (subst f-rw, subst g-rw, unfold fst-rw fst-snd-rw snd-snd-rw, rule matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-column-fst-snd-f-le-nrows Suc-k-less-ncols')
show matrix-to-iarray (snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P A (Suc k))) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A) (Suc k))
  unfolding Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P-iarrays-def Let-def snd-conv
  unfolding list-rw foldl-append
  unfolding List.foldl.simps
  unfolding f-def[symmetric] g-def[symmetric]
  by (subst f-rw, subst g-rw, unfold fst-rw fst-snd-rw snd-snd-rw, rule matrix-to-iarray-snd-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-fst-snd-f-le-nrows Suc-k-less-ncols')
show fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (1, 0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)])) ≤ nrows A
  unfolding list-rw foldl-append List.foldl.simps
  unfolding f-def[symmetric] g-def[symmetric]
  apply (subst f-rw)
  using fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-le-nrows[OF fst-snd-f-le-nrows]
  unfolding nrows-def .
show fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P-iarrays (1, 0, matrix-to-iarray A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)])) = fst (snd (foldl Gauss-Jordan-column-k-det-P (1, 0, A) [0..<Suc (Suc k)]))
  unfolding list-rw foldl-append List.foldl.simps
  unfolding f-def[symmetric] g-def[symmetric]
  by (subst f-rw, subst g-rw, unfold fst-rw fst-snd-rw snd-snd-rw, rule matrix-to-iarray-fst-snd-Gauss-Jordan-column-OF fst-snd-f-le-nrows Suc-k-less-ncols')
qed

```

lemma matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-det-P:

```

shows fst (Gauss-Jordan-det-P A) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-det-P-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A))
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-det-P-iarrays-def
using matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P
by (metis diff-less matrix-to-iarray-ncols ncols-not-0 neq0-conv zero-less-one)

lemma matrix-to-iarray-snd-Gauss-Jordan-det-P:
shows matrix-to-iarray (snd (Gauss-Jordan-det-P A)) = snd (Gauss-Jordan-det-P-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A))
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-det-P-def Gauss-Jordan-det-P-iarrays-def
using matrix-to-iarray-snd-Gauss-Jordan-upt-k-det-P
by (metis diff-less matrix-to-iarray-ncols ncols-not-0 neq0-conv zero-less-one)

```

27.3 Code equations

```

definition det-iarrays A = (let A' = Gauss-Jordan-det-P-iarrays A in listprod
(map (λi. (snd A') !! i !! i) [0..<nrows-iarray A]) / fst A')

lemma matrix-to-iarray-det[code-unfold]:
fixes A::'a::{field,linordered-idom} ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}
shows det A = det-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A)
proof -
let ?f=(λi. snd (Gauss-Jordan-det-P-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A)) !! i !! i)
have *: fst (Gauss-Jordan-det-P A) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-det-P-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A)) unfolding matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-det-P ..
have listprod (map ?f [0..<nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)]) = setprod ?f (set
[0..<nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)])
proof (rule listprod-distinct-conv-setprod-set)
show distinct [0..<nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)] unfolding nrows-iarray-def
by auto
qed
also have ... = (Π i∈UNIV. snd (Gauss-Jordan-det-P A) $ i $ i)
proof (rule setprod-reindex-cong[of to-nat:(‘n=>nat)])
show inj (to-nat:(‘n=>nat)) by (metis strict-mono-imp-inj-on strict-mono-to-nat)
show set [0..<nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)] = range (to-nat:'n=>nat)
unfolding nrows-eq-card-rows using bij-to-nat[where ?'a='n]
unfolding bij-betw-def
unfolding atLeast0LessThan atLeast-upt by auto
show (λi. snd (Gauss-Jordan-det-P A) $ i $ i) = (λi. snd (Gauss-Jordan-det-P-iarrays
(matrix-to-iarray A))) !! i !! i) ∘ to-nat
unfolding o-def
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-snd-Gauss-Jordan-det-P[symmetric]
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-nth ..
qed
finally have (Π i∈UNIV. snd (Gauss-Jordan-det-P A) $ i $ i)
= listprod (map (λi. snd (Gauss-Jordan-det-P-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray A))) !! i
!! i) [0..<nrows-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)]) ..
thus ?thesis using * unfolding det-code-equation det-iarrays-def Let-def by
presburger

```

```
qed
```

```
end
```

28 Inverse of a matrix using the Gauss Jordan algorithm over nested IArrays

```
theory Inverse-IArrays
imports
  Inverse
  Gauss-Jordan-PA-IArrays
begin
```

28.1 Definitions

```
definition invertible-iarray A = (rank-iarray A = nrows-iarray A)
definition inverse-matrix-iarray A = (if invertible-iarray A then Some(fst(Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA
A)) else None)
definition matrix-to-iarray-option A = (if A ≠ None then Some (matrix-to-iarray
(the A)) else None)
```

28.2 Some lemmas and code generation

```
lemma matrix-inv-Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA:
fixes A::real ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}
assumes inv-A: invertible A
shows matrix-to-iarray (matrix-inv A) = fst (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA (matrix-to-iarray
A))
by (metis inv-A matrix-inv-Gauss-Jordan-PA matrix-to-iarray-fst-Gauss-Jordan-PA)

lemma matrix-to-iarray-invertible[code-unfold]:
fixes A::real ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}
shows invertible A = invertible-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)
unfolding invertible-iarray-def invertible-eq-full-rank[of A] matrix-to-iarray-rank
matrix-to-iarray-nrows ..

lemma matrix-to-iarray-option-inverse-matrix:
fixes A::real ^'n::{mod-type} ^'n::{mod-type}
shows matrix-to-iarray-option (inverse-matrix A) = (inverse-matrix-iarray (matrix-to-iarray
A))
proof (unfold inverse-matrix-def, auto)
assume inv-A: invertible A
show matrix-to-iarray-option (Some (matrix-inv A)) = inverse-matrix-iarray (matrix-to-iarray
A)
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-option-def unfolding inverse-matrix-iarray-def using
inv-A unfolding matrix-to-iarray-invertible
using matrix-inv-Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA[OF inv-A] by auto
next
```

```

assume not-inv-A:  $\neg$  invertible A
show matrix-to-iarray-option None = inverse-matrix-iarray (matrix-to-iarray A)
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-option-def inverse-matrix-iarray-def
using not-inv-A unfolding matrix-to-iarray-invertible by simp
qed

lemma matrix-to-iarray-option-inverse-matrix-code[code-unfold]:
fixes A::real'n::{mod-type}'n::{mod-type}
shows matrix-to-iarray-option (inverse-matrix A) = (let matrix-to-iarray-A = matrix-to-iarray
A; GJ = Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA matrix-to-iarray-A
in if nrows-iarray matrix-to-iarray-A = length [x←IArray.list-of (snd GJ) .  $\neg$ 
is-zero-iarray x] then Some (fst GJ) else None)
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-option-inverse-matrix
unfolding inverse-matrix-iarray-def
unfolding invertible-iarray-def
unfolding rank-iarrays-code
unfolding Let-def
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-snd-Gauss-Jordan-PA[symmetric]
unfolding Gauss-Jordan-PA-eq
unfolding matrix-to-iarray-Gauss-Jordan by presburger

lemma[code-unfold]:
shows inverse-matrix-iarray A = (let A' = (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA A); nrows
= IArray.length A in
(if length [x←IArray.list-of (snd A') .  $\neg$  is-zero-iarray x]
= nrows
then Some (fst A') else None))
unfolding inverse-matrix-iarray-def invertible-iarray-def rank-iarrays-code Let-def
unfolding nrows-iarray-def snd-Gauss-Jordan-iarrays-PA-eq ..

end

```

29 Examples of computations over matrices represented as nested IArrays

```

theory Examples-Gauss-Jordan-IArrays
imports
  System-Of-Equations-IArrays
  Determinants-IArrays
  Inverse-IArrays
  Code-Bit
   $\sim\sim$ /src/HOL/Library/Code-Target-Numerical
begin

```

29.1 Transformations between nested lists nested IArrays

```
definition iarray-of-iarray-to-list-of-list :: 'a iarray iarray => 'a list list
  where iarray-of-iarray-to-list-of-list A = map IArray.list-of (map (op !! A)
  [0..<IArray.length A])
```

The following definitions are also in the file *Examples-on-Gauss-Jordan-Abstract*.

Definitions to transform a matrix to a list of list and vice versa

```
definition vec-to-list :: 'a ^'n::{finite, enum} => 'a list
  where vec-to-list A = map (op $ A) (enum-class.enum::'n list)
```

```
definition matrix-to-list-of-list :: 'a ^'n::{finite, enum} ^'m::{finite, enum} => 'a
list list
  where matrix-to-list-of-list A = map (vec-to-list) (map (op $ A) (enum-class.enum::'m
list))
```

This definition should be equivalent to *vector-def* (in suitable types)

```
definition list-to-vec :: 'a list => 'a ^'n::{finite, enum, mod-type}
  where list-to-vec xs = vec-lambda (%i. xs ! (to-nat i))
```

```
lemma [code abstract]: vec-nth (list-to-vec xs) = (%i. xs ! (to-nat i))
  unfolding list-to-vec-def by fastforce
```

```
definition list-of-list-to-matrix :: 'a list list => 'a ^'n::{finite, enum, mod-type} ^'m::{finite,
enum, mod-type}
  where list-of-list-to-matrix xs = vec-lambda (%i. list-to-vec (xs ! (to-nat i)))
```

```
lemma [code abstract]: vec-nth (list-of-list-to-matrix xs) = (%i. list-to-vec (xs !
(to-nat i)))
  unfolding list-of-list-to-matrix-def by auto
```

29.2 Examples

From here on, we do the computations in two ways. The first one consists of executing the abstract functions (which internally will execute the ones over iarrays). The second one runs directly the functions over iarrays.

29.2.1 Ranks, dimensions and Gauss Jordan algorithm

In the following examples, the theorem *matrix-to-iarray-rank* (which is the file *Gauss-Jordan-IArrays* and it is a code unfold theorem) assures that the computation will be carried out using the iarrays representation.

```
value[code] dim (col-space (list-of-list-to-matrix [[1,0,0,7,5],[1,0,4,8,-1],[1,0,0,9,8],[1,2,3,6,5]]::real^5^4))
value[code] rank (list-of-list-to-matrix [[1,0,0,7,5],[1,0,4,8,-1],[1,0,0,9,8],[1,2,3,6,5]]::real^5^4)
```

```
value[code] dim (null-space (list-of-list-to-matrix [[1,0,0,7,5],[1,0,4,8,-1],[1,0,0,9,8],[1,2,3,6,5]]::real^5^4))
```

```
value[code] rank-iarray (IArray[IArray[1::rat,0,0,7,5],IArray[1,0,4,8,-1],IArray[1,0,0,9,8],IArray[1,2,3,6,7,8]])
```

```
value[code] rank-iarray (IArray[IArray[1::real,0,1],IArray[1,1,0],IArray[0,1,1]])
value[code] rank-iarray (IArray[IArray[1::bit,0,1],IArray[1,1,0],IArray[0,1,1]])
```

Examples on computing the Gauss Jordan algorithm.

```
value[code] iarray-of-iarray-to-list-of-list (matrix-to-iarray (Gauss-Jordan (list-of-list-to-matrix
[[Complex 1 1,Complex 1 -1, Complex 0 0],[Complex 2 -1,Complex 1 3, Complex
7 3]]::complex^3^2)))
value[code] iarray-of-iarray-to-list-of-list (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays(IArray[IArray[Complex
1 1,Complex 1 -1,Complex 0 0],IArray[Complex 2 -1,Complex 1 3,Complex 7
3]]))
```

29.2.2 Inverse of a matrix

Examples on inverting matrices

```
definition print-result-some-iarrays A = (if A = None then None else Some (iarray-of-iarray-to-list-of-list
(the A)))
```

```
value[code] let A=(list-of-list-to-matrix [[1,1,2,4,5,9,8],[3,0,8,4,5,0,8],[3,2,0,4,5,9,8],[3,2,8,0,5,9,8],[3,2,
in print-result-some-iarrays (matrix-to-iarray-option (inverse-matrix
A)))
value[code] let A=(IArray[IArray[1::real,1,2,4,5,9,8],IArray[3,0,8,4,5,0,8],IArray[3,2,0,4,5,9,8],IArray[3,2,8,0,5,9,8],IArray[3,
```

29.2.3 Determinant of a matrix

Examples on computing determinants of matrices

```
value[code] det (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,8,9,1,47],[7,2,2,5,9],[3,2,7,7,4],[9,8,7,5,1],[1,2,6,4,5]])::rat^5^5)
value[code] det (list-of-list-to-matrix [[1,2,7,8,9],[3,4,12,10,7],[-5,4,8,7,4],[0,1,2,4,8],[9,8,7,13,11]]::rat^5^5)
```

```
value[code] det-iarrays (IArray[IArray[1::real,2,7,8,9],IArray[3,4,12,10,7],IArray[-5,4,8,7,4],IArray[0,1,2,4,8],IArray[1,2,7,8,9]])
value[code] det-iarrays (IArray[IArray[286,662,263,246,642,656,351,454,339,848],
IArray[307,489,667,908,103,47,120,133,85,834],
IArray[69,732,285,147,527,655,732,661,846,202],
IArray[463,855,78,338,786,954,593,550,913,378],
IArray[90,926,201,362,985,341,540,912,494,427],
IArray[384,511,12,627,131,620,987,996,445,216],
IArray[385,538,362,643,567,804,499,914,332,512],
IArray[879,159,312,187,827,503,823,893,139,546],
IArray[800,376,331,363,840,737,911,886,456,848],
IArray[900,737,280,370,121,195,958,862,957,754::real]])
```

29.2.4 Bases of the fundamental subspaces

Examples on computing basis for null space, row space, column space and left null space.

value[code] let $A = (\text{list-of-list-to-matrix} ([[1,3,-2,0,2,0],[2,6,-5,-2,4,-3],[0,0,5,10,0,15],[2,6,0,8,4,18]]))$

in *vec-to-list*^c (*basis-null-space A*)

value[code] let $A = (\text{list-of-list-to-matrix} ([[3,4,0,7],[1,-5,2,-2],[-1,4,0,3],[1,-1,2,2]]))::real^4^4$)

in *vec-to-list*^c (*basis-null-space A*)

value[code] let $A = (IArray[IArray[1::real,3,-2,0,2,0],IArray[2,6,-5,-2,4,-3],IArray[0,0,5,10,0,15],IArray[1,-1,2,2,2,0],IArray[1,-5,2,-2,-1,4,0,3,1,-1,2,2,0,0,5,10,0,15,2,6,0,8,4,18]]))$

in *IArray.list-of*^c (*basis-null-space-iarrays A*)

value[code] let $A = (IArray[IArray[3::real,4,0,7],IArray[1,-5,2,-2],IArray[-1,4,0,3],IArray[1,-1,2,2]]))$

in *IArray.list-of*^c (*basis-null-space-iarrays A*)

value[code] let $A = (\text{list-of-list-to-matrix} ([[1,3,-2,0,2,0],[2,6,-5,-2,4,-3],[0,0,5,10,0,15],[2,6,0,8,4,18]]))$

in *vec-to-list*^c (*basis-row-space A*)

value[code] let $A = (\text{list-of-list-to-matrix} ([[3,4,0,7],[1,-5,2,-2],[-1,4,0,3],[1,-1,2,2]]))::real^4^4$)

in *vec-to-list*^c (*basis-row-space A*)

value[code] let $A = (IArray[IArray[1::real,3,-2,0,2,0],IArray[2,6,-5,-2,4,-3],IArray[0,0,5,10,0,15],IArray[1,-1,2,2,0],IArray[1,-5,2,-2,-1,4,0,3,1,-1,2,2,0,0,5,10,0,15,2,6,0,8,4,18]]))$

in *IArray.list-of*^c (*basis-row-space-iarrays A*)

value[code] let $A = (IArray[IArray[3::real,4,0,7],IArray[1,-5,2,-2],IArray[-1,4,0,3],IArray[1,-1,2,2]]))$

in *IArray.list-of*^c (*basis-row-space-iarrays A*)

value[code] let $A = (\text{list-of-list-to-matrix} ([[1,3,-2,0,2,0],[2,6,-5,-2,4,-3],[0,0,5,10,0,15],[2,6,0,8,4,18]]))$

in *vec-to-list*^c (*basis-col-space A*)

value[code] let $A = (\text{list-of-list-to-matrix} ([[3,4,0,7],[1,-5,2,-2],[-1,4,0,3],[1,-1,2,2]]))::real^4^4$)

in *vec-to-list*^c (*basis-col-space A*)

value[code] let $A = (IArray[IArray[1::real,3,-2,0,2,0],IArray[2,6,-5,-2,4,-3],IArray[0,0,5,10,0,15],IArray[1,-1,2,2,0],IArray[1,-5,2,-2,-1,4,0,3,1,-1,2,2,0,0,5,10,0,15,2,6,0,8,4,18]]))$

in *IArray.list-of*^c (*basis-col-space-iarrays A*)

value[code] let $A = (IArray[IArray[3::real,4,0,7],IArray[1,-5,2,-2],IArray[-1,4,0,3],IArray[1,-1,2,2]]))$

in *IArray.list-of*^c (*basis-col-space-iarrays A*)

value[code] let $A = (\text{list-of-list-to-matrix} ([[1,3,-2,0,2,0],[2,6,-5,-2,4,-3],[0,0,5,10,0,15],[2,6,0,8,4,18]]))$

in *vec-to-list*^c (*basis-left-null-space A*)

value[code] let $A = (\text{list-of-list-to-matrix} ([[3,4,0,7],[1,-5,2,-2],[-1,4,0,3],[1,-1,2,2]]))::real^4^4$)

in *vec-to-list*^c (*basis-left-null-space A*)

```

value[code] let A = (IArray[IArray[1::real,3,-2,0,2,0],IArray[2,6,-5,-2,4,-3],IArray[0,0,5,10,0,15],IArray
in IArray.list-of` (basis-left-null-space-iarrays A)
value[code] let A = (IArray[IArray[3::real,4,0,7],IArray[1,-5,2,-2],IArray[-1,4,0,3],IArray[1,-1,2,2]])
in IArray.list-of` (basis-left-null-space-iarrays A)

```

29.2.5 Consistency and inconsistency

Examples on checking the consistency/inconsistency of a system of equations. The theorems *matrix-to-iarray-independent-and-consistent* and *matrix-to-iarray-dependent-and-inconsistent* which are code theorems and they are in the file *System-Of-Equations-IArrays* assure the execution using the iarrays representation.

```

value[code] independent-and-consistent (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,0,0],[0,1,0],[0,0,1],[0,0,0],[0,0,0]])::real^3
(list-to-vec([2,3,4,0,0])::real^5)
value[code] consistent (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,0,0],[0,1,0],[0,0,1],[0,0,0],[0,0,0]])::real^3^5)
(list-to-vec([2,3,4,0,0])::real^5)
value[code] inconsistent (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,0,0],[0,1,0],[3,0,1],[0,7,0],[0,0,9]])::real^3^5)
(list-to-vec([2,0,4,0,0])::real^5)
value[code] dependent-and-consistent (list-of-list-to-matrix ([[1,0,0],[0,1,0]])::real^3^2)
(list-to-vec([3,4])::real^2)
value[code] independent-and-consistent (mat 1::real^3^3) (list-to-vec([3,4,5])::real^3)

```

29.2.6 Solving systems of linear equations

Examples on solving linear systems.

```

definition print-result-system-iarrays A = (if A = None then None else Some
(IArray.list-of (fst (the A)), IArray.list-of` (snd (the A))))

```

```

value[code] let A = (list-of-list-to-matrix [[0,0,0],[0,0,0],[0,0,1]]::real^3^3); b=(list-to-vec
[4,5,0)::real^3);
      result = pair-vec-vecset (solve A b)
      in print-result-system-iarrays (result)
value[code] let A = (list-of-list-to-matrix [[3,2,5,2,7],[6,4,7,4,5],[3,2,-1,2,-11],[6,4,1,4,-13]]::real^5^4);
b=(list-to-vec [0,0,0,0)::real^4);
      result = pair-vec-vecset (solve A b)
      in print-result-system-iarrays (result)
value[code] let A = (list-of-list-to-matrix [[4,5,8],[9,8,7],[4,6,1]]::real^3^3); b=(list-to-vec
[4,5,8)::real^3);
      result = pair-vec-vecset (solve A b)
      in print-result-system-iarrays (result)

value[code] let A = (IArray[IArray[0::real,0,0],IArray[0,0,0],IArray[0,0,1]]); b=(IArray[4,5,0]);
      result = (solve-iarrays A b)
      in print-result-system-iarrays (result)
value[code] let A = (IArray[IArray[3::real,2,5,2,7],IArray[6,4,7,4,5],IArray[3,2,-1,2,-11],IArray[6,4,1,4,
b=(IArray[0,0,0,0]);
      result = (solve-iarrays A b)
      in print-result-system-iarrays (result)

```

```

value[code] let A = (IArray[IArray[4,5,8],IArray[9::real,8,7],IArray[4,6::real,1]]);  

  b=(IArray[4,5,8]);  

    result = (solve-iarrays A b)  

    in print-result-system-iarrays (result)

export-code  

  rank-iarray  

  inverse-matrix-iarray  

  det-iarrays  

  consistent-iarrays  

  inconsistent-iarrays  

  independent-and-consistent-iarrays  

  dependent-and-consistent-iarrays  

  basis-left-null-space-iarrays  

  basis-null-space-iarrays  

  basis-col-space-iarrays  

  basis-row-space-iarrays  

  solve-iarrays  

  in SML  

end

```

30 Code Generation from IArrays to Haskell

```

theory IArray-Haskell
  imports IArray-Addenda
begin

```

30.1 Code Generation to Haskell

The following code is included to import into our namespace the modules and classes to which our serialisation will be mapped

```

code-include Haskell IArray <
  import qualified Data.Array.IArray;
  import qualified Data.Ix;
  import qualified System.IO;
  import qualified Data.List;

  -- The following is largely inspired by the heap monad theory in the Imperative HOL Library

  -- We restrict ourselves to immutable arrays whose indexes are Integer

  type IArray e = Data.Array.IArray.Array Integer e;

  -- The following function constructs an immutable array from an upper bound and a function;
  -- It is the equivalent to SML Vector.of-fun:

```

```

array :: (Integer -> e) -> Integer -> IArray e;
array f k = Data.Array.IArray.array (0, k - 1) (map (\i -> (i, f i)) [0..k - 1]);

```

-- The following function is the equivalent to *IArray* type constructor in the SML code

-- generation setup;

-- The function *length* returns a term of type *Int*, from which we cast to an *Integer*

```
listIArray :: [e] -> IArray e;
```

```
listIArray l = Data.Array.IArray.listArray (0, (toInteger . length) l - 1) l;
```

-- The access operation for *IArray*, denoted by *!* as an infix operator;

-- in SML it was denoted as *Vector.sub*;

-- note that SML *Vector.sub* has a single parameter, a pair,

-- whereas Haskell *(!)* has two different parameters;

-- that's why we introduce *sub* in Haskell

```
infixl 9 !;
```

```
(!) :: IArray e -> Integer -> e;
```

```
v ! i = v Data.Array.IArray.! i;
```

```
sub :: (IArray e, Integer) -> e;
```

```
sub (v, i) = v ! i;
```

-- We use the name *lengthIArray* to avoid clashes with *Prelude.length*, usual *length* for lists:

```
lengthIArray :: IArray e -> Integer;
```

```
lengthIArray v = toInteger (Data.Ix.rangeSize (Data.Array.IArray.bounds v));
```

-- An equivalent to the *Vector.find* SML function;

-- we introduce an auxiliary recursive function

```
findr :: (e -> Bool) -> Integer -> IArray e -> Maybe e;
```

```
findr f i v = (if ((lengthIArray v - 1) < i) then Nothing
```

else case f (v ! i) of

True -> Just (v ! i)

False -> findr f (i + 1) v);

-- The definition of *find* is as follows

```
find :: (e -> Bool) -> IArray e -> Maybe e;
```

```
find f v = findr f 0 v;
```

-- The definition of the SML function *Vector.exists*, based on *find*

```

existsIArray :: (e -> Bool) -> IArray e -> Bool;
existsIArray f v = (case (find f v) of {Nothing -> False;
                                         -> True});

-- The definition of the SML function Vector.all, based on Haskell in existsIArray

allIArray :: (e -> Bool) -> IArray e -> Bool;
allIArray f v = not (existsIArray (\x -> not (f x)) v);
}

code-reserved Haskell IArray

code-printing type-constructor iarray → (Haskell) IArray.IArray -

```

We use the type *integer* for both indexes and the length of '*a* iarray'; read the comments about the library Code Numeral in the code generation manual, where integer and natural are suggested as more appropriate for representing indexes of arrays in target-language arrays.

```

code-printing
constant IArray → (Haskell) IArray.listIArray
| constant IArray.sub' → (Haskell) IArray.sub
| constant IArray.length' → (Haskell) IArray.lengthIArray
| constant IArray.tabulate → (Haskell) (let x = - in (IArray.array (snd x) (fst
x)))

```

The following functions were generated for our examples in SML, in the file *IArray-Addenda.thy*, and are also introduced here for Haskell:

```

code-printing
constant IArray-Addenda.exists → (Haskell) IArray.existsIArray
| constant IArray-Addenda.all → (Haskell) IArray.allIArray

end

```

31 Code Generation for rational numbers in Haskell

```

theory Code-Rational
imports
  Rat
  ∽/src/HOL/Library/Code-Target-Int
begin

```

31.1 Serializations

The following *code-include* module is the usual way to import libraries in Haskell. In this case, we rebind some functions from Data.Ratio. See <https://lists.cam.ac.uk/pipermail/cl-isabelle-users/2013-June/msg00007.html>

```

code-include Haskell Rational <<
  import qualified Data.Ratio;
  fract a b = a Data.Ratio.% b;
  numerator a = Data.Ratio.numerator a;
  denominator a = Data.Ratio.denominator a;
>>

```

Frct has been serialized to a function in Haskell that makes use of *integer-of-int*. The problem is that *integer-of-int* is an Isabelle's function that it is not always automatically generated to Haskell. Then, sometimes we will have to add it when we will export code. See the example at the end of this file.

```

code-printing
  type-constructor rat  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) Prelude.Rational
  | class-instance rat :: HOL.equal  $\Rightarrow$  (Haskell) –

  | constant 0 :: rat  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) (Prelude.toRational (0::Integer))
  | constant 1 :: rat  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) (Prelude.toRational (1::Integer))
  | constant Frct  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) (let (x,y) = - in (Rational.fract (integer'-of'-int x) (integer'-of'-int y)))
  | constant quotient-of  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) (let x = - in (Int'-of'-integer (Rational.numerator x), Int'-of'-integer (Rational.denominator x)))
  | constant HOL.equal :: rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) (-) == (-)
  | constant op < :: rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) - < -
  | constant op  $\leq$  :: rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) - <=
  | constant op + :: rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) (-) + (-)
  | constant op - :: rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) (-) - (-)
  | constant op * :: rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) (-) * (-)
  | constant op / :: rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) (-) '/ (-)
  | constant uminus :: rat  $\Rightarrow$  rat  $\rightarrow$  (Haskell) Prelude.negate

end

```

```

theory Code-Real-Approx-By-Float
imports Complex-Main Code-Target-Int
begin

```

WARNING This theory implements mathematical reals by machine reals

(floats). This is inconsistent. See the proof of False at the end of the theory, where an equality on mathematical reals is (incorrectly) disproved by mapping it to machine reals.

The value command cannot display real results yet.

The only legitimate use of this theory is as a tool for code generation purposes.

code-printing

type-constructor *real* \rightarrow
 (SML) *real*
 and (OCaml) *float*

code-printing

constant *Ratreal* \rightarrow
 (SML) *error* / Bad constant: *Ratreal*

code-printing

constant *0 :: real* \rightarrow
 (SML) *0.0*
 and (OCaml) *0.0*

declare zero-real-code[code-unfold *del*]

code-printing

constant *1 :: real* \rightarrow
 (SML) *1.0*
 and (OCaml) *1.0*

declare one-real-code[code-unfold *del*]

code-printing

constant *HOL.equal :: real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow bool* \rightarrow
 (SML) *Real.== ((-, (-))*
 and (OCaml) *Pervasives.(=)*

code-printing

constant *Orderings.less-eq :: real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow bool* \rightarrow
 (SML) *Real.<=((-, (-))*
 and (OCaml) *Pervasives.(<=)*

code-printing

constant *Orderings.less :: real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow bool* \rightarrow
 (SML) *Real.< ((-, (-))*
 and (OCaml) *Pervasives.(<)*

code-printing

constant *op + :: real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real* \rightarrow
 (SML) *Real.+ ((-, (-))*
 and (OCaml) *Pervasives.(+.)*

code-printing

```

constant op * :: real ⇒ real ⇒ real →
  (SML) Real.* ((-), (-))
  and (OCaml) Pervasives.( *. )

code-printing
constant op - :: real ⇒ real ⇒ real →
  (SML) Real.- ((-), (-))
  and (OCaml) Pervasives.( -. )

code-printing
constant uminus :: real ⇒ real →
  (SML) Real.~
  and (OCaml) Pervasives.( ~-. )

code-printing
constant op / :: real ⇒ real ⇒ real →
  (SML) Real./* ((-), (-))
  and (OCaml) Pervasives.( '/. )

code-printing
constant HOL.equal :: real ⇒ real ⇒ bool →
  (SML) Real.== ((::real), (-))

code-printing
constant sqrt :: real ⇒ real →
  (SML) Math.sqrt
  and (OCaml) Pervasives.sqrt
declare sqrt-def[code del]

definition real-exp :: real ⇒ real where real-exp = exp

lemma exp-eq-real-exp[code-unfold]: exp = real-exp
  unfolding real-exp-def ..

code-printing
constant real-exp →
  (SML) Math.exp
  and (OCaml) Pervasives.exp
declare real-exp-def[code del]
declare exp-def[code del]

hide-const (open) real-exp

code-printing
constant ln →
  (SML) Math.ln
  and (OCaml) Pervasives.ln
declare ln-def[code del]

```

```

code-printing
constant cos  $\rightarrow$ 
  (SML) Math.cos
  and (OCaml) Pervasives.cos
declare cos-def[code del]

code-printing
constant sin  $\rightarrow$ 
  (SML) Math.sin
  and (OCaml) Pervasives.sin
declare sin-def[code del]

code-printing
constant pi  $\rightarrow$ 
  (SML) Math.pi
  and (OCaml) Pervasives.pi
declare pi-def[code del]

code-printing
constant arctan  $\rightarrow$ 
  (SML) Math.atan
  and (OCaml) Pervasives.atan
declare arctan-def[code del]

code-printing
constant arccos  $\rightarrow$ 
  (SML) Math.scos
  and (OCaml) Pervasives.acos
declare arccos-def[code del]

code-printing
constant arcsin  $\rightarrow$ 
  (SML) Math.asin
  and (OCaml) Pervasives.asin
declare arcsin-def[code del]

definition real-of-integer :: integer  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  real-of-integer = of-int  $\circ$  int-of-integer

code-printing
constant real-of-integer  $\rightarrow$ 
  (SML) Real.fromInt
  and (OCaml) Pervasives.float (Big'-int.int'-of'-big'-int (-))

definition real-of-int :: int  $\Rightarrow$  real where
  [code-abbrev]: real-of-int = of-int

lemma [code]:
  real-of-int = real-of-integer  $\circ$  integer-of-int

```

```

by (simp add: fun-eq-iff real-of-integer-def real-of-int-def)

lemma [code-unfold del]:
  0 ≡ (of-rat 0 :: real)
  by simp

lemma [code-unfold del]:
  1 ≡ (of-rat 1 :: real)
  by simp

lemma [code-unfold del]:
  numeral k ≡ (of-rat (numeral k) :: real)
  by simp

lemma [code-unfold del]:
  neg-numeral k ≡ (of-rat (neg-numeral k) :: real)
  by simp

hide-const (open) real-of-int

code-printing
constant Ratreal → (SML)

definition Realfract :: int => int => real
where
  Realfract p q = of-int p / of-int q

code-datatype Realfract

code-printing
constant Realfract → (SML) Real.fromInt -/ '>// Real.fromInt -

lemma [code]:
  Ratreal r = split Realfract (quotient-of r)
  by (cases r) (simp add: Realfract-def quotient-of-Fract of-rat-rat)

lemma [code, code del]:
  (HOL.equal :: real=>real=>bool) = (HOL.equal :: real => real => bool)
  ..

lemma [code, code del]:
  (plus :: real => real => real) = plus
  ..

lemma [code, code del]:
  (uminus :: real => real) = uminus
  ..

lemma [code, code del]:

```

```

(minus :: real => real => real) = minus
..

lemma [code, code del]:
(times :: real => real => real) = times
..

lemma [code, code del]:
(divide :: real => real => real) = divide
..

lemma [code]:
fixes r :: real
shows inverse r = 1 / r
by (fact inverse-eq-divide)

notepad
begin
have cos (pi/2) = 0 by (rule cos-pi-half)
moreover have cos (pi/2) ≠ 0 by eval
ultimately have False by blast
end

end

```

32 Serialization of real numbers in Haskell

```

theory Code-Real-Approx-By-Float-Haskell
imports ~~/src/HOL/Library/Code-Real-Approx-By-Float
begin

```

WARNING This theory implements mathematical reals by machine reals in Haskell, in a similar way to the work done in the theory *Code-Real-Approx-By-Float-Haskell*. This is inconsistent.

32.1 Implementation of real numbers in Haskell

```

code-printing
type-constructor real → (Haskell) Prelude.Double
| constant 0 :: real → (Haskell) 0.0
| constant 1 :: real → (Haskell) 1.0
| constant real-of-integer → (Haskell) Prelude.fromIntegral (-)
| class-instance real :: HOL.equal => (Haskell) -
| constant HOL.equal :: real ⇒ real ⇒ bool →
(Haskell) (-) == (-)
| constant op < :: real => real => bool →

```

```

(Haskell) - < -
| constant op ≤ :: real => real => bool →
(Haskell) - <= -
| constant op + :: real ⇒ real ⇒ real →
(Haskell) (-) + (-)
| constant op - :: real ⇒ real ⇒ real →
(Haskell) (-) - (-)
| constant op * :: real ⇒ real ⇒ real →
(Haskell) (-) * (-)
| constant op / :: real ⇒ real ⇒ real →
(Haskell) (-) '/ (-)
| constant uminus :: real => real →
(Haskell) Prelude.negate
| constant sqrt :: real => real →
(Haskell) Prelude.sqrt
| constant Code-Real-Approx-By-Float.real-exp →
(Haskell) Prelude.exp
| constant ln →
(Haskell) Prelude.log
| constant cos →
(Haskell) Prelude.cos
| constant sin →
(Haskell) Prelude.sin
| constant tan →
(Haskell) Prelude.tan
| constant pi →
(Haskell) Prelude.pi
| constant arctan →
(Haskell) Prelude.atan
| constant arccos →
(Haskell) Prelude.acos
| constant arcsin →
(Haskell) Prelude.asin

```

The following lemmas have to be removed from the code generator in order to be able to execute $op <$ and $op \leq$

```

declare real-less-code[code del]
declare real-less-eq-code[code del]

end

```

33 Exporting code to SML and Haskell

```

theory Code-Generation-IArrays
imports
  Examples-Gauss-Jordan-IArrays

```

IArray-Haskell
Code-Rational
Code-Real-Approx-By-Float-Haskell
begin

The following two equations are necessary to execute code. If we don't remove them from code unfold, the exported code will not work (there exist problems with the number 1 and number 0. Those problems appear when the HMA library is imported).

```

lemma [code-unfold del]: 1 ≡ real-of-rat 1 by simp
lemma [code-unfold del]: 0 ≡ real-of-rat 0 by simp

definition matrix-z2 = IArray[IArray[0,1], IArray[1,1::bit], IArray[1,0::bit]]
definition matrix-rat = IArray[IArray[1,0,8], IArray[5.7,22,1], IArray[41,-58/7,78::rat]]
definition matrix-real = IArray[IArray[0,1], IArray[1,-2::real]]
definition vec-rat = IArray[21,5,7::rat]

definition print-result-Gauss A = iarray-of-iarray-to-list-of-list (Gauss-Jordan-iarrays
A)
definition print-rank A = rank-iarray A
definition print-det A = det-iarrays A

definition print-result-z2 = print-result-Gauss (matrix-z2)
definition print-result-rat = print-result-Gauss (matrix-rat)
definition print-result-real = print-result-Gauss (matrix-real)

definition print-rank-z2 = print-rank (matrix-z2)
definition print-rank-rat = print-rank (matrix-rat)
definition print-rank-real = print-rank (matrix-real)

definition print-det-rat = print-det (matrix-rat)
definition print-det-real = print-det (matrix-real)

definition print-inverse A = inverse-matrix-iarray A
definition print-inverse-real A = print-inverse (matrix-real)
definition print-inverse-rat A = print-inverse (matrix-rat)

definition print-system A b = print-result-system-iarrays (solve-iarrays A b)
definition print-system-rat = print-result-system-iarrays (solve-iarrays matrix-rat
vec-rat)

export-code
  print-rank-real
  print-rank-rat
  print-rank-z2
  print-rank
  print-result-real
  print-result-rat
  print-result-z2

```

```

print-result-Gauss
print-det-rat
print-det-real
print-det
print-inverse-real
print-inverse-rat
print-inverse
print-system-rat
print-system
in SML module-name Gauss-SML file Gauss-SML.sml

export-code
print-rank-real
print-rank-rat
print-rank-z2
print-rank
print-result-real
print-result-rat
print-result-z2
print-result-Gauss
print-det-rat
print-det-real
print-det
print-inverse-real
print-inverse-rat
print-inverse
print-system-rat
print-system
in Haskell
module-name Gauss-Haskell
file haskell

end

```

References

- [1] S. Axler. *Linear Algebra Done Right*. Springer, 2nd edition, 1997.
- [2] M. S. Gockenbach. *Finite Dimensional Linear Algebra*. CRC Press, 2010.