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Abstract

Anisotropic Lipschitz spaces are considered. For these spaces we obtain sharp embeddings in Besov and Lorentz spaces. The methods used are based on estimates of iterative rearrangements. We find a unified approach that arises from the estimation of functions defined as minimum of a given system of functions. The case of $L^1$—norm also is covered.

1 Introduction

In this paper we prove embedding theorems for anisotropic Lipschitz spaces. More precisely, we study integrability and smoothness properties of functions under certain conditions on its moduli of continuity.

In the study of anisotropic spaces, we have different estimates with respect to different variables. The final result will be sharp if we find an equilibrium between these estimates, that is, an optimal average estimate. Therefore it is an important problem to determine a right contribution for each variable in this average. To discuss this problem we first recall some basic definitions.

Denote by $W^r_{p,j}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($r \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 \leq j \leq n$) the Sobolev space with respect to the $j$th variable; i.e. the class of functions $f$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with
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partial generalized derivative $D^r_j f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now, if $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in \mathbb{N}$, then we set

$$W^r_{p_1, \ldots, p_n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \bigcap_{j=1}^n W^{r_j}_{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and

$$\|f\|_{W^r_{p_1, \ldots, p_n}} = \|f\|_p + \sum_{j=1}^n \|D^r_j f\|_p.$$

If $f$ is a function on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then we denote

$$\Delta^k_j(h)f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^{k-i} \binom{k}{i} f(x + ihe_j)$$

(where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $h \in \mathbb{R}$, and $e_j$ is a basis vector). Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$). Then the function

$$\omega^k_j(f; \delta)_p = \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \|\Delta^k_j(h)f\|_p \quad (\delta \geq 0)$$

is called the partial modulus of continuity of $f$ of order $k$ in $L^p$ with respect to $x_j$.

Let $r > 0$, and let $k$ be the least integer such that $k > r$. We denote by $H^r_{p,j}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the Nikol’skii space of functions $f$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for which

$$\omega^k_j(f; \delta)_p = O(\delta^r).$$

Assume that $r_j > 0$, $(j = 1, \ldots, n)$ and that $k_j$ are the least integers such that $k_j > r_j$. Then the space $H^r_{p,j}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as $\bigcap_{j=1}^n H^r_{p,j}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with the norm

$$\|f\|_{H^r_{p,1, \ldots, p,n}} = \|f\|_p + \sum_{j=1}^n \sup_{u>0} u^{-r_j} \omega^k_j(f; u)_p.$$

It is well known that an important characteristic of the spaces $W$ is the harmonic mean

$$r = n \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{r_j} \right)^{-1}$$

(see [9, 10]). In particular, if $1 \leq p < \frac{n}{r}$ and $q^* = \frac{np}{n-rp}$, then

$$W^r_{p,1, \ldots, p,n} \hookrightarrow L^q.$$
if and only if \( p \leq q \leq q^* \). That is, the integrability properties of functions in \( W^{r_1,\ldots,r_n} \) are completely determined by \( r \), and the contribution of the variable \( x_k \) is proportional to \( 1/r_k \) in a sense.

A similar situation holds for Nikol’skiî spaces, although in this case the embedding with the limit exponent fails (see [15, 2]).

However, the behaviour of anisotropic Lipschitz spaces is completely different.

Let \( 1 \leq p < \infty, r > 0 \) and let \( \tilde{r} \) be the least integer such that \( \tilde{r} \geq r \). We say that \( f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \) belongs to the Lipschitz space with respect to the \( j \)th variable \( \Lambda^{r_j}_{p,j} (\mathbb{R}^n) \) if
\[
\omega^r_j (f; \delta)_p = O(\delta^{r_j}).
\]

Let \( r_j > 0 \) (\( j = 1, \ldots, n \)) and denote by \( \tilde{r}_j \) the least integers that \( r_j \leq \tilde{r}_j \). The anisotropic Lipschitz space \( \Lambda^{r_1,\ldots,r_n}_{p,j} (\mathbb{R}^n) \) is defined as \( \cap_{j=1}^n \Lambda^{r_j}_{p,j} (\mathbb{R}^n) \). So,
\[
\|f\|_{\Lambda^{r_1,\ldots,r_n}_{p,j}} \equiv \|f\|_p + \|f\|_{\Lambda^{r_1,\ldots,r_n}_{p,j}},
\]
where the seminorm is
\[
\|f\|_{\Lambda^{r_1,\ldots,r_n}_{p,j}} = \sum_{j=1}^n \sup_{\delta > 0} \delta^{-r_j} \omega^r_j (f; \delta)_p.
\]

It is clear that
\[
\Lambda^{r_j}_{p,j} = H^{r_j}_{p,j} \quad \text{if} \quad r_j \notin \mathbb{N}.
\]

Also, by Hardy-Littlewood theorem [15], if \( r_j \in \mathbb{N} \), then
\[
\Lambda^{r_j}_{p,j} = W^{r_j}_{p,j} \quad (p > 1).
\]

For \( r_j \in \mathbb{N} \) we have the strict embedding \( \Lambda^{r_j}_{p,j} \subset H^{r_j}_{p,j} \).

Thus, Lipschitz spaces have partly character of Sobolev spaces and partly - the character of Nikol’skiî spaces. This mixed behaviour creates a main difficulty in their study.

The integrability properties of functions in Lipschitz space and Nikol’skiî space with the same indices can be completely different. It was proved in [5] (for \( r_k \leq 1 \)) that, in contrast with \( W \) and \( H \) spaces, the embedding \( \Lambda^{r_1,\ldots,r_n}_p \hookrightarrow L^q \) is not uniquely determined by the value of the harmonic mean \( r \) (see (1.1)). Roughly speaking, this means that the contribution of the variable \( x_k \) is not proportional to \( 1/r_k \).
The proof in [5] (as well as alternative proofs given in [7, 8]) was based on estimates of rearrangements and special reasonings that led to a kind of equilibrium between these estimates.

One of the main objectives of this paper is to give a quantitative sharp expression for this type of equilibrium. We obtain the following results. First, basing on known estimates of rearrangements, we modify them to special type involving functions from the spaces $L^{\theta}(\mathbb{R}_+, dx/x)$, $\mathbb{R}_+ \equiv (0, \infty)$. The invariance of these spaces under changes of variables of power type plays an important role. Then, using the modified estimates, we consider the “minimum-function”

$$\rho(t) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{ t^{r_i} \phi_i(t_i) \}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^n_+, \phi_i \in L^\theta_i(\mathbb{R}_+, dx/x), \ l_i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}.$$  

We prove a special weight estimate for this function. This result provides a unified approach to estimations of various norms. Using this approach, we prove sharp estimates of Lorentz norms as well as Besov norms for functions in Lipschitz spaces.

Let us give a more detailed description of the latter results.

As it was mentioned above, the first sharp results on embedding of Lipschitz spaces into $L^q$ were obtained in [5] (for $r_k \leq 1$) with the use of non-increasing rearrangements. Afterwards, Netrusov [13, 14] studied embeddings of the spaces $\Lambda^{r_1, \ldots, r_n}_p$ for $p > 1$ and arbitrary $r_j > 0$. His approach was based on special integral representations. First, he proved sharp results on embedding into Lorentz spaces (an alternative proof of these results including the case $p = 1$ was given in [10] and was based on non-increasing rearrangements). Then, he considered the embedding into Besov spaces.

Assume that $1 \leq p, \theta_j < \infty$ and $0 < r_j < \infty$ ($j = 1, \ldots, n$). The anisotropic Besov space $B^{r_1, \ldots, r_n}_{p, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the class of functions $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f\|_{B^{r_1, \ldots, r_n}_{p, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n}} \equiv \|f\|_p + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left( \int_0^\infty (t^{-r_j} \| \Delta_j^k (t) f \|_p)^{\theta_j} \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/\theta_j} < \infty$$

where $k_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k_j > r_j$. For each choice of the integers $k_j$ one obtains equivalent norms; in addition, one can replace in the definition the norm of finite differences by the corresponding moduli of continuity ([15], Chapter 4 and [2], Chapter 4). For simplicity we denote $B^{r_1, \ldots, r_n}_{p, \theta} \equiv B^{r_1, \ldots, r_n}_{p, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n}$. 
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Il’in [2, §18.12] obtained the following refinement of the classical Sobolev inequality: if $1 < p < q < \infty$, $r_j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\kappa \equiv 1 - n/r(1/p - 1/q) > 0$, then

$$W_{p}^{r_1,\ldots,r_n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B_{q,p}^{\sigma r_1,\ldots,\sigma r_n}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.3)

In the case $p = n = 1$ this embedding fails. It was proved by Kolyada [6, 9] that the embedding (1.3) is true in the case $p = 1$, $n \geq 2$, too.

For the Lipschitz spaces, the following result was obtained by Netrusov [14]: if $1 < p < q < \infty$, $r_j > 0$ ($j = 1, \ldots, n$), and $\kappa \equiv 1 - n/r(1/p - 1/q) > 0$, then

$$\Lambda_{p}^{r_1,\ldots,r_n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow B_{q,\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_n}^{\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.4)

Although we do not specify here the values of parameters, it is important to point out that here $\gamma_j$ take two values - one for all $j$ such that $r_j \notin \mathbb{N}$ and other in the case $r_j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us emphasize that the methods of integral representations used in [14] fail in the case $p = 1$. In particular, the question on validity of the embedding for $p = 1$ was remained open.

In this paper (section §5) we prove the embedding (1.4) for $p \geq 1$. It is the most important application of our main estimates concerning integrability of functions of the type (1.2). Moreover, we prove estimates for stronger norms defined in terms of iterative rearrangements.

For a given function on $\mathbb{R}^n$, we obtain its iterative rearrangement, rearranging this function first with respect to one variable, then respect to another, and so on. It turns out that the iterative rearrangement is defined on $\mathbb{R}^n_+ \equiv (0, \infty)^n$, it is non-increasing in each variable and equimeasurable with $|f|$. It is defined a Lorentz kind norm $\|\cdot\|_{q,p;\mathbb{R}}$ in term of iterative rearrangements (see §2). It is important to stress that in the case $q > p$ this norm is stronger than the usual Lorentz norm $\|\cdot\|_{q,p}$. Observe also that iterative rearrangements were used in embedding theorems in the works [5, 6, 8, 11, 16]. In particular, it was proved in [11] that for anisotropic Sobolev spaces a stronger version of Sobolev type inequality with the generalized Lorentz norm $\|\cdot\|_{q,p;\mathbb{R}}$ is true.

Applying estimates of functions (1.2) we immediately obtain a similar result for Lipschitz spaces. That is, in Section §5 we prove a Sobolev type inequality

$$\|f\|_{q^*,s;\mathbb{R}} \leq c \|f\|_{\Lambda_{p}^{r_1,\ldots,r_n}}, \quad 1 \leq p < n/r,$$

which gives an extension of the results of Kolyada and Netrusov mentioned above.
Further, in Section §5 we prove one of our main results – Il’in’s type inequality

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} \left[ h^{-\alpha_i} \| \Delta_{i}^{p}(h) f \|_{q,1;R} \right]^{\gamma_i} \frac{dh}{h} \right)^{1/\gamma_i} \leq c \| f \|_{L^{p}_{\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_n}}. \]  

(1.5) LipB

This immediately implies the embedding (1.4) for all \( p \geq 1 \). Let us emphasize that \( p = 1 \) is included. Moreover, comparing with (1.4), the left hand side of (1.5) contains the stronger Lorentz norm \( \| . \|_{q,1;R} \) instead of \( \| . \|_{q} \). Note also that it is even possible to replace \( \| . \|_{q,1;R} \) by a stronger norm \( \| . \|_{q,\xi;R} \) for any \( \xi > 0 \).

As it was observed above, our approach is based on two tools. First, we use some modifications of estimates of rearrangements obtained in [11, 10]. Second, we apply estimates of functions of the type (1.2).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we consider the definition and basic properties of the iterative rearrangements. Section 3 is devoted to modify known estimates of rearrangements into a special type. Next, in Section 4 we get main lemmas that give us special weight estimates for functions of type (1.2). Finally, sharp embeddings for anisotropic Lipschitz spaces are proved in Section 5.

2 Non-Increasing rearrangements

This section contains basic facts concerning rearrangements. We refer to ([11], §2).

Let \( S_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \) be the class of measurable and almost everywhere finite functions \( f \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) such that for each \( y > 0 \),

\[ \lambda_f(y) \equiv \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > y \} < \infty. \]

A non-increasing rearrangement of a function \( f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \) is a non-increasing function \( f^* \) on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \equiv (0, +\infty) \) that is equimeasurable with \( |f| \). The rearrangement \( f^* \) can be defined by the equality

\[ f^*(t) = \sup_{|E|=t} \inf_{x \in E} |f(x)|, \quad 0 < t < \infty. \]

Next, we consider the so called iterative rearrangements.
Let \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \). Removing the variable \( x_k \) from the \( n \)-tuple \( x \) we obtain a \((n-1)\)-dimensional vector denoted by \( \hat{x}_k \).

We denote by \((\tau, \hat{x}_k) \ (\tau \in \mathbb{R})\) the vector in \( \mathbb{R}^n \) with first component \( \tau \) and the remaining components equal to the \((n-1)\)-dimensional vector \( \hat{x}_k \).

Let \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) and \( f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \). We obtain \( \mathcal{R}_k f(t, \hat{x}_k) \) a.e. on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \) by fixing \( \hat{x}_k \) and "rearranging" \( f \) in non-increasing order as a function of the variable \( x_k \) only.

Let \( \mathcal{P}_n \) be the collection of all permutations \( \sigma = \{k_1, \ldots, k_n\} \) of the set \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \). For each \( \sigma \in \mathcal{P}_n \) we set \( \mathcal{R}_\sigma f \equiv \mathcal{R}_{k_n} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{k_1} f \). It is easy to see that \( \mathcal{R}_\sigma f \) decreases monotonically with respect to each variable and is equimeasurable with \( |f| \) (for more details, see ([11], §2)).

It is easy to verify that

\[
\mathcal{R}_\sigma f(t) \leq f^*(t_1 \cdots t_n),
\]

(2.1) \[ \text{nir1} \]

\[
\mathcal{R}_\sigma (f + g)(t + s) \leq \mathcal{R}_\sigma f(t) + \mathcal{R}_\sigma g(s) \quad (t, s \in \mathbb{R}^n_+) \).
\]

Let \( k \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \), \( t_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+ \), \( \hat{x}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \). We consider the following averages:

\[
\mathcal{R}_k^* f(t_1, \hat{x}_k) \equiv \frac{1}{t_1} \int_0^{t_1} \mathcal{R}_k f(u, \hat{x}_k) du,
\]

\[
\mathcal{R}_k f(t_1, \hat{x}_k) \equiv \frac{1}{t_1} \int_{t_1}^\infty \mathcal{R}_k f(u, \hat{x}_k) du.
\]

Now, for each \( \sigma \in \mathcal{P}_n \) we set

\[
\mathcal{R}_\sigma^* f(t) = \mathcal{R}_{k_n}^* \cdots \mathcal{R}_{k_1}^* f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.
\]

It holds (see [11, §2])

\[
\|\mathcal{R}_\sigma^* f\|_p \leq c_p \|f\|_p, \quad 1 < p < \infty.
\]

(2.2) \[ \text{desestr} \]

We denote also

\[
\overline{\mathcal{R}}_\sigma f(t) = \mathcal{R}_{k_n} \cdots \mathcal{R}_{k_1} f(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+^n,
\]

and for each \( 1 < \nu < \infty \) we set

\[
\overline{\mathcal{R}}^{(\nu)}_\sigma f(t) \equiv (\overline{\mathcal{R}}_\sigma f^{\nu}(t))^{1/\nu}.
\]

This operator was defined in [11] and it was used to prove embedding theorems. Its important property is that

\[
\|\overline{\mathcal{R}}^{(\nu)}_\sigma f\|_1 \leq c \|f\|_1.
\]

(2.3) \[ \text{desover} \]
Assume that $0 < p, q < \infty$. A function $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ belongs to the Lorentz space $L_{q,p}^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if
\[
\|f\|_{q,p} \equiv \left( \int_0^\infty \left( t^{1/q} f^*(t) \right)^p \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/p} < \infty.
\]
We have the inequality (see [1, p.217])
\[
\|f\|_{q,s} \leq c \|f\|_{q,p} \quad (0 < p \leq s < \infty),
\]
so that $L_{q,p} \subset L_{q,s}$ for $p < s$. In particular, for $0 < p \leq q$,
\[
L_{q,p} \subset L_{q,q} \equiv L_q.
\]
In what follows we set
\[
\pi(t) = \prod_{k=1}^n t_{k}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+^n.
\]
Assume that $0 < q, p < \infty$ and let $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_n$ ($n \geq 2$). We denote by $L_{q,p}^\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the class of functions $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that
\[
\|f\|_{q,p;\mathcal{P}} \equiv \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \left[ \pi(t)^{1/q} \mathcal{R}_\sigma f(t) \right]^p \frac{dt}{\pi(t)} \right)^{1/p} < \infty
\]
(see [3]). We also set
\[
L_{\mathcal{R}}^q(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigcap_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_n} L_{q,p}^\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \|f\|_{q,p;\mathcal{R}} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_n} \|f\|_{q,p;\mathcal{P}_\sigma}.
\]
It is easy to see that
\[
\|f\|_{q,s;\mathcal{R}} \leq c \|f\|_{q,p;\mathcal{R}} \quad (0 < p \leq s < \infty). \tag{2.4} \]  
(ann1)
If $q > p$, then for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and each $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
\[
\|f\|_{q,p} \leq c \|f\|_{q,p;\mathcal{R}_\sigma}
\]
(see [17]). Thus,
\[
L_{\mathcal{R}_\sigma}^q \subset L_{q,p}^q \quad (q > p).
\]
Moreover, this is a proper embedding [17].
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3 Estimates

From now on $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $0 < r_j < +\infty$ ($j = 1, \ldots, n$). We denote by $\bar{r}_j$ the least integer such that $r_j \leq \bar{r}_j$.

Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($1 \leq p < +\infty$). For each $j = 1, \ldots, n$ set

$$f_{j,h}(x) \equiv \Delta_{\bar{r}_j}(h)f(x).$$

In this section we consider some modifications of the estimates of the iterative rearrangements $R_{\sigma}f$ and $R_{\sigma}f_{j,h}$ obtained in [11] and [10].

For $1 \leq p < \infty$ we denote $L^p \equiv L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, du/u)$; set also $L^\infty \equiv L^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ (see [4]).

Lemma 1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Assume that $F \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ is a non-negative function, non-increasing at each one of its variables. Then, for any $\delta > 0$ and any $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ there exists a non-negative function $\phi \equiv \phi_{\delta,j}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ such that:

i) $F(t) \leq \pi(t)^{-1/p} \phi(t_j)$,

ii) $\|\phi\|_{L^p} \leq c(\delta)\|F\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}$,

iii) $\phi(u)u^\delta \uparrow$ and $\phi(u)u^{-\delta} \downarrow$.

Proof. As $F$ is non-increasing at each one of its variables, we use a weak type inequality

$$F(t) \leq \pi(t)^{-1/p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}} F(t) d\hat{t}_j\right)^{1/p} \equiv \pi(t_j)^{-1/p} g(t_j).$$

Then $g$ is non-negative and non-increasing in $\mathbb{R}_+$ and

$$\|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} = \|F\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 of [12] we obtain a function $\tilde{g}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$g \leq \tilde{g}, \quad \|\tilde{g}\|_p \leq c(\delta)\|g\)_p \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{g}(u)u^{1/p-\delta} \downarrow, \tilde{g}(u)u^{1/p+\delta} \uparrow, \quad u > 0.$$

Denoting $\phi(u) \equiv \tilde{g}(u)u^{1/p}$, by (3.1) and (3.3) we get i). Next, ii) follows from (3.2) and (3.3), and iii) follows from (3.3). \hfill \Box

Lemma 2. Let $n \geq 2$, $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $r_j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let $f \in W^{r_j}_{p,0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We choose $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_n$, $1 \leq l \leq n$ ($l \neq \sigma^{-1}(j)$), and $0 < \delta < 1$. Then there exists a non-negative function $\phi \equiv \phi_{j,l,\sigma,\delta}$ on $\mathbb{R}_+$ such that:

$$\|\phi\|_{L^p} \leq c\|D_j^\sigma f\|_p;$$
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\( \phi(u)u^\delta \uparrow \) and \( \phi(u)u^{-\delta} \downarrow \ u > 0; \quad (3.5) \)

for any \( K > 1 \)

\[ \mathcal{R}_\sigma f(t) \leq 2^{r_j} \mathcal{R}_{\sigma'} f \left( K t_{m_j}, \frac{\hat{m}_j}{2} \right) + c(K) \pi(t)^{-1/p} t_{m_j}^{r_j} \phi(t); \quad (3.6) \]

\[ \mathcal{R}_{\sigma f_{j,h}} (t) \leq c \pi(t)^{-1/p} h_{r_j} \delta_{m_j} \phi(t) \quad \text{for all} \ 0 < h < t_{m_j}, \quad (3.7) \]

where \( \sigma'_j \) is obtained from \( \sigma \) by moving the \( j \)th index to the first position, \( m_j = \sigma^{-1}(j) \) and \( c, c(K) \) do not depend on \( f \).

**Proof.** Case 1. First we suppose that \( p > 1 \). Denote \( g_j \equiv D^r_j f \). From [11, (3.3) and (3.7)] we get

\[ \mathcal{R}_\sigma f(t) \leq 2^{r_j} \mathcal{R}_{\sigma'} f \left( K t_{m_j}, \frac{\hat{m}_j}{2} \right) + c(K) t_{m_j}^{r_j} \mathcal{R}_{g_j} (\frac{\hat{m}_j}{2}) \quad \forall K > 1 \quad (3.8) \]

where \( \sigma'_j \) is obtained from \( \sigma \) by moving the \( j \)th index to the first position.

Besides, by [11, (4.5)],

\[ \mathcal{R}_{\sigma f_{j,h}} (t) \leq c \pi(t)^{-1/p} R_{\sigma} g_j (t). \quad (3.9) \]

Now (see (2.2)) note that \( \mathcal{R}_{g_j} (t) \) satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1. So, for \( \delta \) and \( l \) we obtain a non-negative function \( \phi \) such that (3.5) holds,

\[ \mathcal{R}_{g_j} (t) \leq \pi(t)^{-1/p} \phi(t), \] \( \text{red} \) \( (3.10) \)

and

\[ \|\phi\|_{L^p} \leq c \|\mathcal{R}_{\sigma} g_j\|_{p}. \]

Then (3.4) follows from the last estimate and (2.2). Inequalities (3.6) and (3.7) are immediate consequences of (3.10), (3.8) and (3.9).

Case 2. Now we suppose that \( p = 1 \). Set \( \nu = 1/(1 - \delta) \). We have (see [11, (3.3) and (3.10)])

\[ \mathcal{R}_\sigma f(t) \leq 2^{r_j} \mathcal{R}_{\sigma'} f \left( K t_{m_j}, \frac{\hat{m}_j}{2} \right) + c(K) t_{m_j}^{r_j} F_j (\frac{\hat{m}_j}{2}), \quad (3.11) \]

where

\[ F_j (\hat{m}_j) = \mathcal{R}_{\sigma}^{(\nu)} \psi_j (\hat{m}_j), \quad \psi_j (\hat{x}_j) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_j (x) dx. \]
Besides, by [11, (4.11)]
\[ R_\sigma f_{j,h}(t) \leq c h^{r_j - \delta} t_{m_j}^{\delta - 1} f_j(\hat{t}_{m_j}). \]  
(3.12)

By (2.3) we have
\[ \|F_j\|_{L^1, \mathbb{R}^n} \leq \|g_j\|_{L^1}. \]  
(3.13)

So, for any \( l \neq m_j, t \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \) and \( 0 < \delta < 1 \) we apply Lemma 1 to \( F_j \) and obtain a function \( \phi(t) \) satisfying (3.5). Besides,
\[ F_j(\hat{t}_{m_j}) \leq c \pi(\hat{t}_{m_j})^{-1} \phi(t). \]
Thus, by (3.11) and (3.12) we get (3.6) and (3.7). Finally,
\[ \|\phi\|_{L^\infty} \leq c \|F_j\|_1, \]
and (3.13) imply (3.4).

\[ \square \]

Lemma 3. Let \( n \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}, 0 < r_j < \infty \) and \( 1 \leq p < \infty \). Let \( f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \). Then, for any \( \sigma \in \mathcal{P}_n \) and any \( K > 1 \)
\[ R_\sigma f(t) \leq 2^{r_j} R_{\sigma'} f \left( K t_{m_j}, \frac{\hat{t}_{m_j}}{2} \right) + c(K) \pi(t)^{-1/p} \omega_j^{\bar{r}_j}(f; t_{m_j})_p \]  
(3.14)

and
\[ R_\sigma f_{j,h}(t) \leq \pi(t)^{-1/p} \omega_j^{\bar{r}_j}(f; h)_p, \]  
(3.15)

where \( \sigma'_j \) is obtained from \( \sigma \) by moving the \( j \)th index to the first position and \( m_j = \sigma^{-1}(j) \).

Proof. By [11, (3.3)], we have for any \( K > 1 \)
\[ R_\sigma f(t) \leq 2^{r_j} R_{\sigma'} f \left( K t_{m_j}, \frac{\hat{t}_{m_j}}{2} \right) + R_\sigma \Phi_j \left( \frac{t}{2} \right), \]  
(3.16)

where
\[ \Phi_j(x) = \frac{1}{t_{m_j}} \int_0^{(r_j+1)K t_{m_j}} |\Delta_j^{r_j}(h) f(x)| dh. \]

Besides, by (2.1),
\[ R_\sigma \Phi_j \left( \frac{t}{2} \right) \leq \Phi_j^* \left( \frac{\pi(t)}{2^n} \right). \]  
(3.17)
We choose a measurable set \( E \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) such that \( |E| \geq \frac{\pi(t)}{2^n} \) and \( |\Phi_j(x)| \geq \Phi_j^* \left( \frac{\pi(t)}{2^n} \right) \) for all \( x \in E \). Integrating over \( E \), applying Fubini theorem and using Hölder’s inequality, we get

\[
\Phi_j^* \left( \frac{\pi(t)}{2^n} \right) \leq \frac{1}{|E|} \int_E \Phi_j(x)dx = \frac{1}{|E|t_{m_j}} \int_0^{(r_j+1)Kt_{m_j}} \left( \int_E |\Delta_j^p(h)f(x)|dx \right) dh \leq \frac{1}{|E|^{1/p}t_{m_j}} \int_0^{(r_j+1)Kt_{m_j}} \|\Delta_j^p(h)f\|_p dh \leq c(K)\pi(t)^{-1/p} \omega_j^p(f; t_{m_j})_p. \tag{3.18}
\]

Now (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18) imply (3.14). Inequality (3.15) is immediate; indeed, we have

\[
R_\sigma f_{j,h}(t) \leq f^*_{j,h}(\pi(t)) \leq \pi(t)^{-1/p} \|f_{j,h}\|_p \leq \pi(t)^{-1/p} \omega_j^p(f; h)_p.
\]

\( \square \)

**Remark 1.** If \( f \in \left[ \bigcap_{j,r_j \in \mathbb{N}} W_{p_j}^{r_j}(\mathbb{R}^n) \right] \cap \left[ \bigcap_{j,r_j \notin \mathbb{N}} H_{p_j}^{r_j}(\mathbb{R}^n) \right] \), then we can simultaneously apply the estimates obtained in Lemmas 2 and 3. Let \( \sigma \in \mathcal{P}_a, K > 1, \) and \( 0 < \delta < 1 \). If \( r_j \in \mathbb{N} \), choose \( i_j = \sigma^{-1}(j) \) and denote by \( \psi_j \) the function \( \phi \equiv \phi_{j,i_j,\sigma,\delta} \) defined in Lemma 2. If \( r_j \notin \mathbb{N} \) denote \( \Omega_j \equiv \sup_{u > 0} u^{-r_j} \omega_j^p(f; u)_p \). Now, combining (3.6) and (3.14)

\[
R_\sigma f(t) \leq 2^n \sum_{j=1}^n R_{\psi_j} \left( K t_{m_j}, \frac{t_{m_j}}{2} \right) + c(K)\pi(t)^{-1/p} \rho_\sigma(t), \tag{3.19}
\]

where \( m_j = \sigma^{-1}(j), \) \( \bar{r} \equiv \max \bar{r}_j \) and

\[
\rho_\sigma(t) = \min \{ \min \{ t_j, \phi_j(t_j) \}, \min \{ t_j, \Omega_j \} \}, \tag{3.20}
\]

4 The main lemma

In this section we prove main lemmas that form the base of our approach (see Lemmas 5, 7, and 8 below). It will be convenient to use the following auxiliary proposition.

**Lemma 4.** Let \( m \in \mathbb{N}; 0 < \alpha_i < \infty \, (i = 1, \ldots, m) \). Define \( \alpha = (\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i)^{-1} \).

Let \( a, b > 0 \) be such that \( a/b < \alpha \). Set

\[
\rho(z) = \min \{ \lambda, z_1^{\alpha_1}, \ldots, z_m^{\alpha_m} \lambda_m \} \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}^m),
\]

\[
\rho(z) = \min \{ \lambda, z_1^{\alpha_1} \lambda_1, \ldots, z_m^{\alpha_m} \lambda_m \} \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}^m),
\]
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where $\lambda, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ are positive constants. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \rho(z)^b \pi(z)^{-a} \frac{dz}{\pi(z)} \leq c \lambda^{b-a/a} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i^{a_i} \quad (4.1)$$

where $c$ is a constant that only depends on $\alpha_i, a, b$.

**Proof.** Set $\rho_i(z_i) = \min\{\lambda, z_i^\alpha \lambda_i\} i = 1, \ldots, m$. Denote by $I$ the left hand side of (4.1). It is clear that

$$I \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \rho_i(z_i)^{\frac{b}{\alpha_i}} z_i^{-a} \frac{dz}{\pi(z)} = \prod_{i=1}^{m} I_i, \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$I_i = \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho_i(z_i)^{\frac{b}{\alpha_i}} z_i^{-a} \frac{dz_i}{z_i}.$$

Now,

$$I_i = \lambda_i^{\frac{b}{\alpha_i}} \int_{0}^{(\lambda_i^{1/\alpha_i})} z_i^{b-\alpha a} \frac{dz_i}{z_i} + \lambda_i^{\frac{b}{\alpha_i}} \int_{(\lambda_i^{1/\alpha_i})}^{\infty} z_i^{-a} \frac{dz_i}{z_i} = c \lambda_i^{b\alpha - a \lambda_i^{1/\alpha_i}}. \quad (4.3)$$

By (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain immediately (4.1). \( \square \)

From now on, let $n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < r_i < \infty, 1 \leq \theta_i \leq \infty$. Assume that $\phi_i \in L^{\theta_i}$ are positive functions $(i = 1, \ldots, n)$. Define

$$\rho(t) = \min\{t_1^{\alpha_1} \phi_1(t_1), t_2^{\alpha_2} \phi_2(t_2), \ldots, t_n^{\alpha_n} \phi_n(t_n)\}, \quad (4.4)$$

where $l_1, \ldots, l_n \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

**Remark 2.** Note that the function $\rho_o(t)$ defined in (3.20) is a particular case of (4.4) ($\theta_i = p$ if $r_i \in \mathbb{N}, \theta_i = \infty, \phi_i \equiv \Omega_i$ if $r_i \notin \mathbb{N}$).

The lemma below gives us the integrability for functions of the type (4.4).

**Lemma 5 (The main Lemma).** Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 < r_i < \infty, 1 \leq \theta_i \leq \infty (i = 1, \ldots, n)$. Let $\rho(t) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n}\{t_i^{\alpha_i} \phi_i(t_i)\}, \phi_i \in L^{\theta_i}, l_i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Set

$$r = n \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{r_i}\right)^{-1}, \quad s = \frac{n}{r} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{r_i \theta_i}\right)^{-1}. \quad (4.5)$$
Then
\[
\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \rho(t)^s \pi(t)^{-rs/n} \frac{dt}{\pi(t)} \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^n \| \phi_i \|_{L^\theta_i},
\]
where \( c \) is a finite constant that only depends on \( n, r, \theta_i \).

**Proof.** We can assume that
\[
\sum_{i=1}^n \| \phi_i \|_{L^\theta_i} = 1.
\]
Besides, we can suppose that not all \( \theta_i \)’s are equal to infinity\(^1\).

Denote \( \phi(u) = \sum_{i=1, \ldots, n; \theta_i \neq \infty} \phi_i(u)^{\theta_i} \) \((u > 0)\). By (4.7), \( \| \phi \|_{L^1} \leq 1 \). Set
\[
B_k = \{ t \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \max_{i=1, \ldots, n; \theta_i \neq \infty} \phi(t_i) \leq \phi(t_k) \}.
\]
It is clear that \( \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k = \mathbb{R}_+^n \).

Without loss of generality we consider the integral of the left part of (4.6) only over \( B_1 \). We get for almost all \( t \in B_1 \)
\[
\rho(t) \leq \rho_{B_1}(t) \equiv \min\{ t_1^{r_1} \phi(t_1)^{1/\theta_1}, t_2^{r_2} \phi(t_1)^{1/\theta_2}, \ldots, t_n^{r_n} \phi(t_1)^{1/\theta_n} \}. \tag{4.8}
\]
From here
\[
\int_{B_1} \rho(t)^s \pi(t)^{-rs/n} \frac{dt}{\pi(t)} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \rho_{B_1}(t)^s \pi(t)^{-rs/n} \frac{dt}{\pi(t)} =
\]
\[
= \int_0^\infty \frac{t_1^{-rs/n}dt_1}{t_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}} \rho_{B_1}(t_1, \hat{t}_1)^s \pi(\hat{t}_1)^{-rs/n} \frac{d\hat{t}_1}{\pi(\hat{t}_1)}. \tag{4.9}
\]
For each fixed \( t_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+ \), applying Lemma 4 and (4.5), we get
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n-1}} \rho_{B_1}(t_1, \hat{t}_1)^s \pi(\hat{t}_1)^{-rs/n} d\hat{t}_1 \leq
\]
\[
\leq c [t_1^{r_1} \phi(t_1)^{1/\theta_1}]^{r_1} \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{r_i}{\theta_i} \phi(t_1) \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{r_i}{\theta_i} = c \phi(t_1) t_1^{rs/n}. \tag{4.10}
\]
Since \( \| \phi \|_{L^1} \leq 1 \), (4.9) and (4.10) yield that
\[
\int_{B_1} \rho(t)^s \pi(t)^{-rs/n} \frac{dt}{\pi(t)} \leq c.
\]
\(^1\)otherwise \( s = \infty \) and the result is trivial.
We will obtain a generalization of Lemma 5. For this purpose, we need the following Hardy type inequality.

**Lemma 6.** Let $\varphi$ a measurable non-negative function on $\mathbb{R}_+$. Let $\delta, \alpha > 0$ and let $1 \leq \gamma < \infty$. Assume that $\beta$ is a measurable and positive function on $\mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\beta(u)u^{-\delta}$ increases. Then

$$
\int_0^\infty h^{-\alpha-1} dh \left( \int_{\{h \geq \beta(u)\}} \varphi(u) \frac{du}{u} \right)^\gamma \leq c \int_0^\infty \beta(u)^{-\alpha} \varphi(u)^\gamma \frac{du}{u} \quad (4.11)
$$

and

$$
\int_0^\infty h^{-\alpha-1} dh \left( \int_{\{h \leq \beta(u)\}} \varphi(u) \frac{du}{u} \right)^\gamma \leq c \int_0^\infty \beta(u)^\alpha \varphi(u)^\gamma \frac{du}{u}, \quad (4.12)
$$

where $c$ is a constant that only depends on $\alpha, \delta$ and $\gamma$.

**Proof.** As $\beta(u)u^{-\delta} \uparrow$, this implies that the inverse function $\beta^{-1}$ exists on $\mathbb{R}_+$ and satisfies the condition

$$
\beta^{-1}(2u) \leq 2^{1/\delta} \beta^{-1}(u) \quad (4.13)
$$

Denote by $I$ the left hand side of (4.11). We have

$$
I \equiv \int_0^\infty h^{-\alpha-1} dh \left( \int_0^{\beta^{-1}(h)} \varphi(u) \frac{du}{u} \right)^\gamma =
$$

$$
= \int_0^\infty h^{-\alpha-1} dh \left( \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{\beta^{-1}(2^{-k-1}h)}^{\beta^{-1}(2^{-k}h)} \varphi(u) \frac{du}{u} \right)^\gamma.
$$

Next, by Minkowski’s inequality

$$
I^{1/\gamma} \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty h^{-\alpha-1} dh \left( \int_{\beta^{-1}(2^{-k-1}h)}^{\beta^{-1}(2^{-k}h)} \varphi(u) \frac{du}{u} \right)^\gamma \right)^{1/\gamma} =
$$

$$
= \sum_{k=0}^\infty 2^{-k\alpha/\gamma} \left( \int_0^\infty z^{-\alpha-1} dz \left( \int_{\beta^{-1}(z/2)}^{\beta^{-1}(z)} \varphi(u) \frac{du}{u} \right)^\gamma \right)^{1/\gamma}.
$$

Further, using the Hölder’s inequality and (4.13)

$$
\int_{\beta^{-1}(z/2)}^{\beta^{-1}(z)} \varphi(u) \frac{du}{u} \leq c \left( \int_0^{\beta^{-1}(z)} \varphi(u)^\gamma \frac{du}{u} \right)^{1/\gamma}.
$$
Thus, by Fubini’s theorem

\[
I \leq c \int_0^\infty z^{-\alpha-1} dz \int_0^{\beta^{-1}(z)} \varphi(u)^{\gamma} \frac{du}{u} = c \int_0^\infty \beta(u)^{-\alpha} \varphi(u)^{\gamma} \frac{du}{u}.
\]

The same reasonings prove (4.12).

\[\textbf{Lemma 7.}\] Assume that the conditions of Lemma 5 hold and suppose that there exists \(0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2} \min_{1 \leq i, k \leq n, \theta_k \neq \infty} \{ \frac{r_i}{\theta_k} \} \) such that

\[
\phi_i(u)^{\delta} \uparrow \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_i(u)^{-\delta} \downarrow \quad \text{for every } i \text{ such that } \theta_i < \infty.
\]

Then, for any \(0 < d \leq \infty\) and \(j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\)

\[
\left( \int_0^\infty \| \rho(t)^s \pi(t)^{-rs/n} \|_{L^d(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \frac{dt_j}{\pi(t_j)})} \frac{dt_j}{t_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^n \| \phi_i \|_{L^q},
\]

where \(c\) is a constant that depends on \(n, r_i, \theta_i, d, \delta\).

Note that the greater is \(d\), the weaker is (4.15). Indeed, by (4.14), \(\rho(t) \pi(t)^{\delta}\) is increasing at each one of its variables. So, it is easy to see that

\[
\sup_{i \in \mathbb{R}^n_{+}} \rho(t)^s \pi(t)^{-rs/n} \leq c \| \rho(t)^s \pi(t)^{-rs/n} \|_{L^d(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \frac{dt_j}{\pi(t_j)})} \quad \text{for any } 0 < d < \infty.
\]

From here, it follows that if \(q > d > 0\), then

\[
\| \rho(t)^s \pi(t)^{-rs/n} \|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \frac{dt_j}{\pi(t_j)})} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^n \| \phi_i \|_{L^q}.
\]

Note also that for \(d = 1\) we get the same conclusion as in Lemma 5. So, for the proof, we can suppose that \(0 < d < 1\).

\[\textbf{Proof.}\] As above, we can suppose that the condition (4.7) holds. Let \(\phi\) and \(B_k (k = 1, \ldots, n)\) be defined as in Lemma 5. Then the left hand side of (4.15) does not exceed the sum \(\sum_{k=1}^n I_k\), where

\[
I_k = \left( \int_0^\infty \| \rho(t)^s \pi(t)^{-rs/n} \chi_{B_k}(t) \|_{L^d(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \frac{dt_j}{\pi(t_j)})} \frac{dt_j}{t_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
\]
We consider $I_1$. For almost all $t \in B_1$ we have the inequality (4.8). Thus,

$$
I_1^s \leq \int_0^\infty \| \rho_{B_1}(t)^s \pi(t)^{-rs/n} \|_{L^d(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+, \frac{d_i}{\pi(t)})} dt_j.
$$

Case 1. If $j = 1$, then

$$
I_1^s \leq c \int_0^\infty t_1^{-rs/n} G(t_1) \frac{dt_1}{t_1},
$$

where

$$
G(t_1)^d \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}_+} [\rho_{B_1}(t)^s \pi(\hat{t}_1)^{-rs/n}]^d \frac{d\hat{t}_1}{\pi(t_1)}.
$$

Applying Lemma 4 to the variables $t_2, \ldots, t_n$, we easily get

$$
G(t_1)^d \leq c[t_1^r \phi(t_1)^{1/b_1}]^{\frac{rd}{\sum_i -\phi(r)}} \frac{\phi(t_1)^d}{\sum_i \frac{r_i}{\pi(i)}} [t_1^{-rs/n} \phi(t_1)]^d.
$$

This implies (4.15).

Case 2. Let $j \neq 1$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}^n_+$, denote by $\hat{t}_{1,j}$ the $(n-2)$-dimensional vector obtained from $t$ by removal of $t_1, t_j$. Then

$$
I_1^s \leq c \int_0^\infty t_j^{-rs/n} \| R(t_1, t_j) \|_{L^d(t_1)} \frac{dt_j}{t_j},
$$

where

$$
R(t_1, t_j)^d = t_1^{-rd/n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-2}_+} [\pi(\hat{t}_{1,j})^{-rs/n} \rho_{B_1}(t)^n]^d \frac{d\hat{t}_{1,j}}{\pi(t_1)}.
$$

Fix $t_1, t_j$ and apply Lemma 4 to coordinates of the vector $\hat{t}_{1,j}$. We obtain

$$
R(t_1, t_j) \leq c t_1^{-rs/n} \min \{t_1^r \phi(t_1)^{1/b_1}, t_j^r \phi(t_1)^{1/b_j}\}^{\frac{d}{\sum_i -\phi(r)}} \phi(t_1) \frac{\phi(t_1)^d}{\sum_i \frac{r_i}{\pi(i)}}.
$$

Now, we define $\beta(t_1) = \{t_1^r \phi(t_1)^{1/b_1-1/b_2}\}^{1/r_2}$. Note that by (4.14)

$$
\beta(t_1) t_1^{-\delta/r_2} \uparrow.
$$

Besides ($b \equiv \frac{r_2}{nr_2} + \sum_i \frac{r_2}{nr_2}, b' \equiv \frac{r_2}{nr_2} + \sum_i \frac{r_2}{nr_2}$),

$$
R(t_1, t_j) \leq c \begin{cases}
    t_1^r \phi(t_1)^b \equiv R_1(t_1), & \text{if } \beta(t_1) \leq t_j, \\
    t_1^{r_2 \phi(t_1)^{1/r_2}} \phi(t_1)^{r_2} \equiv t_1^{r_2 \phi(t_1)^{1/r_2}} R_2(t_1), & \text{if } \beta(t_1) \geq t_j.
\end{cases}
$$
Joining (4.16) and (4.18), we get

\[
I_s \leq c \int_0^\infty t_j^{-\frac{r_s}{r_j}} dt_j \left( \int_{\{t_j \geq \beta(t_1)\}} R_1(t_1) \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \right)^{1/d} +
\]

\[
+ c \int_0^\infty t_j^{-\frac{r_s}{r_j}} dt_j \left( \int_{\{t_j \leq \beta(t_1)\}} R_2(t_1) \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \right)^{1/d}.
\]

Taking into account (4.17), we apply Lemma 6 with \(\gamma = \frac{1}{d} \) (\(\gamma > 1\)). Using the definitions of \(\beta\), \(R_1\), and \(R_2\), and (4.5), we obtain

\[
I_s \leq c \int_0^\infty \beta(t_1)^{-\frac{r_s}{r_1}} R_1(t_1) \frac{dt_1}{t_1} + c \int_0^\infty \beta(t_1)^{-\frac{r_s}{r_1}} R_2(t_1) \frac{dt_1}{t_1} = c' \int_0^\infty \phi(t_1) \frac{dt_1}{t_1}.
\]

We will use also the following generalization of Lemma 7.

**Lemma 8.** Let \(m \in \mathbb{N}\), \(0 < r_i < \infty\), \(1 \leq \theta_i \leq \infty\) \((i = 1, \ldots, m)\). Define the function \(\rho(z) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{z_{i}^{r_i} \phi_i(z_{i})\}\), \(\phi_i \in L^{\theta_i}\), \(l_i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}\). Suppose also that there exists \(0 < \delta \leq \frac{1}{2} \min_{1 \leq i,k \leq m, \theta_i \neq \infty} \{\frac{r_i}{\theta_k}\}\) such that

\[
\phi_i(u)u^\delta \uparrow \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_i(u)u^{-\delta} \downarrow
\]

for every \(i\) such that \(\theta_i < \infty\). Let \(0 < a_i < \infty\) be numbers verifying

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{a_i}{r_i \theta_i} = 1. \tag{4.19}
\]

Set \(a \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{a_i}{r_i}\). Then, for any \(0 < d \leq \infty\) and \(j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}\)

\[
\left( \int_0^\infty \|\rho(z)\|^a \prod_{i=1}^{m} z_i^{-a_i} \|_{L^d(\mathbb{R}_+^{m-1}, \mu_i(z_j))} \frac{dz_j}{z_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{a}} \leq c \sum_{i=1}^{m} \|\phi_i\|_{L^{\theta_i}}, \tag{4.20}
\]

where \(c\) is a constant that depends on \(m, r_i, a_i, \theta_i, d, \delta\).

Note that Lemma 7 is the particular case \(a_1 = \ldots = a_m\).
Proof. Let $J$ be the left hand side of (4.20). The change of variable $z_i = \frac{a_i}{b}$ gives us

$$J = c \left( \int_0^\infty \|\rho(u)^a\pi(u)^b\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{m-1})} \frac{du}{u} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

where $\rho(u) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq m} \{u_i^r, F_i(u_i)\}$, $r'_i = \frac{r_i b}{a_i}$, and $F_i(v) = \phi_i(v^{b/a_i})$ belongs to $L^q_i$. Note now that $F_i(v) = \phi_i(v^{b/a_i})$ and $r'_i s_i = (\sum \frac{1}{r'_i \theta_i})^{-1} = b$ by (4.19) and we get (4.20).

5 Embeddings of Lipschitz spaces

Theorem 1. Let $2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < r_i < \infty, (i = 1, \ldots, n)$. Set

$$r = n \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{r_i} \right)^{-1}, \quad r' = n \left( \sum_{i: r_i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{r_i} \right)^{-1}, \quad s = r'_{p' r}, \quad q^* = \frac{np}{n - rp}. \quad (5.1)$$

Then, if $p < n/r$

$$\|f\|_{q^*, s; \mathbb{R}} \leq c \|f\|_{r_1', \ldots, r_n'} \quad \text{for all } f \in \Lambda_{r_1', \ldots, r_n'}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (5.2)$$

where $c$ is a constant that doesn’t depend on $f$.

Proof. First suppose that $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $S = \|f\|_{q^*, s; \mathbb{R}}$. So, $S < \infty$.

It is well known that if $1 < p \leq \infty$,

$$\|f\|_{r_1', \ldots, r_n'} \sim \sum_{j: r_j \in \mathbb{N}} \|D_j^f f\|_p + \sum_{j: r_j \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{u > 0} u^{-r_j} \omega_j^p (f; u)_p, \quad (5.3)$$

and it is still true for $p = 1$ restricted to functions in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ [10].

Now, taking into account (5.3) and Remark 1, we integrate inequality (3.19) and get for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{P}_n$

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \pi(t)^{s/q^* - 1} R_\sigma f(t)^s dt \right)^{1/s} \leq 2^{p+n} K^{-1/q^*} S + c(K) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n_+} \pi(t)^{-\frac{s}{q^*} - 1} \rho_\sigma(t)^s dt \right)^{1/s}\text{.}$$
with $\rho_\sigma(t)$ defined in (3.20). Consequently
\[
S = \sum_{\sigma \in P_n} \|f\|_{q^*, r; R^*_\sigma} \leq n! 2^{r^* + n} K^{-1/r^*} S + \]
\[
c'(K) \sum_{\sigma \in P_n} \left( \int_{R_n^*} \pi(t)^{-\frac{r^*_q}{q^*} - 1} \rho_\sigma(t)^s dt \right)^{1/s}. \tag{5.4} \]

Now, we apply Lemma 5 with $\theta_i = p$ if $r_i \in \mathbb{N}$; $\theta_i = \infty$ and $\phi_i = \Omega_i$ if $r_i \notin \mathbb{N}$ (observe that the values of $s$ in (5.1) and (4.5) coincide) and get
\[
\left( \int_{R_n^*} \pi(t)^{-\frac{r^*_q}{q^*} - 1} \rho_\sigma(t)^s dt \right)^{1/s} \leq c \sum_{r_i \in \mathbb{N}} \|\phi_i\|_{L^p} + \sum_{r_i \notin \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i \tag{5.5} \]

Therefore, setting $K = (2^{r^* + n} n!)^{q^*}$, and using (5.4), (5.5), the definition of $\Omega_i$, and (3.4) we obtain the inequality (5.2).

For $f \in \Lambda_{p}^{r_1, \ldots, r_n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a sequence of functions $f_k \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\lim_{k \to \infty} \|f_k\|_{\Lambda_{p}^{r_1, \ldots, r_n}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{\Lambda_{p}^{r_1, \ldots, r_n}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and $f_k \to f$ in $L^p$ (use $\epsilon$-regularizations and cut-off). So, applying Lemma 2 of [11] and Fatou’s Lemma we obtain (5.2) in the general case.

Remark 3. If all the $r_i$’s are integers, then $s = p$ and we get the embedding of anisotropic Sobolev spaces into Lorentz spaces proved earlier in [9, 11]. In the general case, assume that $s \leq q^*$. Then Theorem 1 yields an alternative proof of the results concerning embeddings into $L^{q^*, s}[5]$ and $L^{q^*, s}[14, 10]$.

\textbf{Theorem 2.} Let $2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p < q < \infty$. Let $0 < r_i < \infty$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$). Define $r, s$ as in (5.1). Suppose that
\[
\kappa = 1 - \frac{n}{r} \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} \right) > 0
\]
and define
\[
\alpha_i = \kappa r_i, \quad \frac{1}{\gamma_i} = \begin{cases} \frac{1 - \kappa}{s} + \frac{\kappa}{p}, & \text{if } r_i \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \frac{1 - \kappa}{s}, & \text{if } r_i \notin \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}
\]
Then, for any $f \in \Lambda_{p}^{r_1, \ldots, r_n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
\[
\sum_{i=1}^n \left( \int_0^\infty \left[ h^{-\alpha_i} \|\Delta_{h}^p(h)f\|_{q_i, 1; \mathbb{R}} \right] \frac{\gamma_i}{h} \frac{dh}{h} \right)^{1/\gamma_i} \leq c \|f\|_{\Lambda_{p}^{r_1, \ldots, r_n}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{5.6} \]
where $c$ is a constant that does not depend on $f$. 

20
Proof. Consider the first term in the left hand side of (5.6). Denote $f_{1,h}(x) = \Delta_{1}^{h}(x)f(x)$. Estimate $J(h) = \|f_{1,h}\|_{q,1;\mathbb{R}} < \infty$. As in Theorem 1, we can suppose that $f \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$.

Define now
\[
\delta \equiv \frac{1}{2} \min\left\{ \frac{r}{n}(1 - \kappa), \frac{1}{p} \min r_{j} \right\}.
\]
Then, by (5.3), we proceed similarly to Remark 1. Applying Lemmas 2 and 3 to $f_{1,h}$, we easily obtain that for any $K > 1$
\[
\mathcal{R}_{\sigma}f_{1,h}(t) \leq 2^{p'} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{R}_{\sigma_{j}}f_{1,h}\left(\frac{Kt_{m_{j}}, \hat{t}_{m_{j}}}{2}\right) + c(K)\pi(t)^{-1/p}\rho_{\sigma}(t),
\]
where $\rho_{\sigma}(t)$ is defined in (3.20). Moreover, from (3.7) and (3.15) it follows that if $h < t_{m_{1}}$,
\[
\mathcal{R}_{\sigma}f_{1,h}(t) \leq c\pi(t)^{-1/p}\mu(t, h),
\]
where
\[
\mu(t, h) = \begin{cases} h^{r_{1} - \delta}\delta_{m_{1}}\phi_{1}(t_{1}), & \text{if } r_{1} \in \mathbb{N}, \\ h^{r_{1}}\Omega_{1}, & \text{if } r_{1} \notin \mathbb{N}, \end{cases}
\] (5.7)
and $\phi_{1}(t_{1})$ is defined in Lemma 2. Furthermore, setting
\[
\bar{\rho}_{\sigma}(t, h) = \min\{\rho_{\sigma}(t), \mu(t, h)\},
\] (5.8)
we get for any $K > 1$
\[
\mathcal{R}_{\sigma}f_{1,h}(t) \leq 2^{p'} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathcal{R}_{\sigma_{j}}f_{1,h}\left(\frac{Kt_{m_{j}}, \hat{t}_{m_{j}}}{2}\right) + c(K)\pi(t)^{-1/p}\bar{\rho}_{\sigma}(t, h).
\]

Multiplying by $\pi(t)^{1/q-1}$ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, we obtain
\[
\|f_{1,h}\|_{q,1;\mathbb{R}} \leq 2^{p'+n}K^{-1/q}J(h) + c(K)J_{1}(h, \sigma),
\] (5.9)
where
\[
J_{1}(h, \sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}} \pi(t)^{-\frac{r}{n}(1 - \kappa)}\bar{\rho}_{\sigma}(t, h) \frac{dt}{\pi(t)}. \quad (5.10)
\]

Summing up inequalities (5.9) over all $\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{n}$ and choosing $K = (2^{p'+n+1}n!)^{q}$, we get
\[
J(h) \leq c' \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{F}_{n}} J_{1}(h, \sigma). \quad (5.11)
\]
Furthermore, denoting by $I$ the first term of the left hand side of (5.6), we have (let's suppose that $\gamma_1 < \infty$)

$$I \equiv \left( \int_0^\infty h^{-\alpha_1 \gamma_1 - 1} J(h) \gamma_1 dh \right)^{1/\gamma_1} \leq c' \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_n} \bar{I}(\sigma),$$

where (by (5.11))

$$\bar{I}(\sigma) = \left( \int_0^\infty h^{-\alpha_1 \gamma_1} J_1(h, \sigma)^{\gamma_1} \frac{dh}{h} \right)^{1/\gamma_1}. \quad (5.12)$$

But now, it is clear that (see (5.8), (3.20) and (5.7))

$$\bar{\rho}_\sigma(t, h) \leq [1 + (\frac{t_{m_1}}{h})^{\delta_1}] \tilde{\rho}_\sigma(t, h) \quad (5.13)$$

where

$$\tilde{\rho}_\sigma(t, h) \equiv \begin{cases} 
\min \{h^{r_1} \phi_1(t_{l_1}), \rho_\sigma(t)\}, & \text{if } r_1 \in \mathbb{N} \\
\min \{h^{r_1} \Omega_1, \rho_\sigma(t)\}, & \text{if } r_1 \notin \mathbb{N}. 
\end{cases} \quad (5.14)$$

So, due to (5.12), (5.10) and (5.13) we have

$$\bar{I}(\sigma) \leq c\bar{I}_1(\sigma) + c\bar{I}_2(\sigma),$$

where

$$\bar{I}_1(\sigma) \equiv \left( \int_0^\infty \|h^{-\alpha_1 \gamma_1} \pi(t)^{-\frac{\pi(1-\gamma_1)}{\gamma_1}} \tilde{\rho}_\sigma(t, h)^{\gamma_1} \|_{L^{1/\gamma_1}(\mathbb{R}_+, \frac{dt}{\pi(t)})} \frac{dh}{h} \right)^{1/\gamma_1}$$

and

$$\bar{I}_2(\sigma) \equiv \left( \int_0^\infty \|h^{-\alpha_1 \gamma_1} \pi(t)^{-\frac{\pi(1-\gamma_1)}{\gamma_1}} (\frac{t_{m_1}}{h})^{\delta_1} \tilde{\rho}_\sigma(t, h)^{\gamma_1} \|_{L^{1/\gamma_1}(\mathbb{R}_+, \frac{dt}{\pi(t)})} \frac{dh}{h} \right)^{1/\gamma_1}.$$

It remains to estimate the last two integrals.

Joining (5.14) and (3.20) we get

$$\tilde{\rho}_\sigma(t, h) = \begin{cases} 
\min \{h^{r_1} \phi_1(t_{l_1}), \min_{r_j \in \mathbb{N}} \{t_{m_j}^{r_j} \phi_j(t_{l_j})\}, \min_{r_j \notin \mathbb{N}} \{t_{m_j}^{r_j} \Omega_j\}\}, & \text{if } r_1 \in \mathbb{N} \\
\min \{h^{r_1} \Omega_1, \min_{r_j \in \mathbb{N}} \{t_{m_j}^{r_j} \phi_j(t_{l_j})\}, \min_{r_j \notin \mathbb{N}} \{t_{m_j}^{r_j} \Omega_j\}\}, & \text{if } r_1 \notin \mathbb{N}. 
\end{cases}$$

Otherwise, none of the $r_i$'s belongs to $\mathbb{N}$, and the analogous of (5.6) follows from (5.11), (5.10) and Lemma 4.
So, \( \tilde{\rho}(t,h) \) has the form of \( \rho(z) \) in Lemma 8 \((\rho(z) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq m}\{z_i \phi_i(z_i)\})\), \( \phi_i \in L^{\theta_i} \). Indeed, \( m = n + 1, r_{n+1} = r_1 \),

\[
z_1 = t_{m_1}, \quad \cdots, \quad z_n = t_{m_n}, \quad z_{n+1} = h. \]

\((1 \leq i \leq n + 1)\)

If \( r_i \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \theta_i = p \) and \( \phi_i(v) v^\delta \uparrow \), \( \phi_i(v) v^{-\delta} \downarrow \) (see (3.5)).

If \( r_i \notin \mathbb{N} \), \( \theta_i = \infty \) and \( \phi_i = \Omega_i \).

To estimate \( \tilde{I}_1(\sigma) \), note that it has the form of the left hand side of (4.20) with

\[
a_1 = \cdots = a_n = \frac{r}{n}(1 - \varkappa) \gamma_1 > 0, \quad a_{n+1} = \alpha_1 \gamma_1 > 0, \quad d = 1/\gamma_1, \quad j = n + 1. \]

Then \( a = \gamma_1 \) and (4.19) holds. Applying Lemma 8 we get

\[
\tilde{I}_1(\sigma) \leq c \left[ \sum_{r_i \in \mathbb{N}} \|\phi_i\|_{L^p} + \sum_{r_i \notin \mathbb{N}} \Omega_i \right].
\]

And by \( \Omega_i \) definition and (3.4)

\[
\tilde{I}_1(\sigma) \leq c \|f\|_{\Lambda_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \alpha_n(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\]

Next, \( \tilde{I}_2(\sigma) \) also is similar to the left hand side of (4.20). Indeed,

\[
a_{n+1} = (\alpha_1 + \delta) \gamma_1 > 0, \quad a_1 = \left[ \frac{r}{n}(1 - \varkappa) - \delta \right] \gamma_1 > 0, \quad a_i = \frac{r}{n}(1 - \varkappa) \gamma_1 > 0 \quad (i = 2, \ldots, n), \quad d = 1/\gamma_1, \quad j = n + 1.
\]

We have that \( a = \gamma_1 \) and (4.19) holds. Applying Lemma 8 we obtain the same estimate for \( \tilde{I}_2(\sigma) \) as for \( \tilde{I}_1(\sigma) \).

In addition to the Theorem 1 (embedding with limit exponent) we have also the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** Let \( 2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq p < q < \infty, \) and \( 0 < r_i < \infty \) \((i = 1, \ldots, n)\). Let \( r \) be as in (5.1). Suppose that \( 1 - n/r(1/p - 1/q) > 0 \). Then, for any \( 0 < \xi < \infty \)

\[
\|f\|_{\Lambda_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \alpha_n(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c \|f\|_{L_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \alpha_n(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad (5.15)
\]

where \( c \) is a constant that doesn’t depend on \( f \).
Proof. First of all we can suppose that \( f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \), so \( S \equiv \|f\|_{q,\xi;\mathbb{R}} < \infty \). By (2.4) we can suppose that \( 0 < \xi < 1 \).

By Remark 1 we get (3.19) and (3.20). We define for \( \sigma = m^{-1} \in \mathbb{P}_n \) and \( j = 1, \ldots, n \).

\[ A = \{ t \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : t_i \geq 1, i = 1, \ldots, n \}, \quad A_{\sigma,j} = \{ t \in \mathbb{R}_+^n : \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} t_{m_i}^r = t_{m_j}^r < 1 \} \]

It’s clear that \( A \cup \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_{\sigma,j} \right) = \mathbb{R}_+^n \).

Then, using (3.19),

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(t)^{\xi/q-1} \mathcal{R}_\sigma f(t) \xi^\sigma dt \leq (2^{n+1} K^{-1/q} S)^\xi + I_0 + c(K) \sum_{j=1}^n I_j, \]

where

\[ I_0 = \int_A \pi(t)^{\xi/q-1} \mathcal{R}_\sigma f(t) \xi^\sigma dt \quad \text{and} \quad I_j = \int_{A_{\sigma,j}} \pi(t)^{-\frac{\alpha}{s}(1-\kappa)\xi-1} \rho_\sigma(t) \xi^\sigma dt. \]

Using the same methods as in Theorem 1, we choose \( K = (n!2^{n+1+1/\xi})^q \) and it only remains to estimate \( I_0 \) and \( I_j \). Applying Holder’s inequality with exponents \( p/\xi \) and \( (p/\xi)' \), we have (due to \((\xi/q - 1)(p/\xi)' < -1\))

\[ I_0 \leq c\|f\|_p^\xi. \]

On the other hand, let \( \zeta \) be such that

\[ 1 > \frac{1}{\zeta} = \begin{cases} \frac{1-\kappa}{s} & \text{if } r_j \notin \mathbb{N}, \\ \frac{1-\kappa}{s} + \frac{1+\kappa}{p} & \text{if } r_j \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \]

Then, by Holder’s inequality

\[ I_j \leq \left( \int_{A_{\sigma,j}} \pi(t)^{-\alpha \zeta t_{m_j}^r} \frac{dt}{\pi(t)} \right)^{1/\zeta} \cdot \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(t)^{-\alpha \zeta t_{m_j}^r} \rho_\sigma(t) \xi^\sigma \frac{dt}{\pi(t)} \right)^{1/\zeta} \equiv J_1 J_2. \]

where \( \alpha = \frac{s}{2n}(1-\kappa)\xi \) and \( \beta = \frac{s}{2}(1+\kappa)\xi \). The first factor, \( J_1 \), is a constant by \( A_{\sigma,j} \) definition. Indeed,

\[ J_1^{\zeta'} = \int_0^1 \frac{dt_{m_j}}{t_{m_j}^r} \int_{\{t_{m_j} : t_{m_j} \geq t_{m_j}^r(1-r_j)\}} \pi(t)^{-\alpha \zeta t_{m_j}^r} \frac{dt_{m_j}}{\pi(t_{m_j})} = c. \]
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For the second factor, $J_2$, we apply Lemma 8 with

$$m = n, \ d = 1, \ z_i = t_{m_i},$$

$$a_i = \alpha \zeta \text{ if } i \neq j \text{ and } a_j = \alpha \zeta + \beta \zeta$$

(as above $\theta_i = p$ if $r_i \in \mathbb{N}$; and $\theta_i = \infty, \phi_i = \Omega_i$ if $r_i \notin \mathbb{N}$). Lastly we use the definition of $\Omega_i$ and (3.4). So,

$$J_2 \leq c(\|f\|_{\Lambda^{r_1, \ldots, r_n}(\mathbb{R}^n)})^\zeta$$

and (5.15) is proved.

**Remark 4.** As $q$ is not a limit exponent, the embedding

$$\Lambda^{r_1, \ldots, r_n}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{q, \zeta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

follows easily from the embeddings without limit exponent for spaces of Besov [10] or another. The goal of Theorem 3 is to present a proof based on the $\| \cdot \|_{q, \zeta, \mathbb{R}}$ norm (which is stronger than the usual Lorentz norm if $\xi < q$).
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