Effective homology of groups and discrete Morse theory

Ana Romero

Universidad de La Rioja, Spain

III Iberian Mathematical Meeting
Braga, 2010

Partially supported by Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (Spain), project MTM2009-13842-C02-01.

Homology of groups: introduction

Homology of groups: introduction

Given a group G, how can we compute its homology groups $H_i(G)$?

Homology of groups: introduction

Given a group G, how can we compute its homology groups $H_i(G)$?

Several constructions:

Homology of groups: introduction

Given a group G, how can we compute its homology groups $H_i(G)$?

Several constructions:

- Topology:

Homology of groups: introduction

Given a group G, how can we compute its homology groups $H_i(G)$?

Several constructions:

- Topology: we identify $H_i(G)$ with the homology of a canonical topological space $K \equiv K(G,1)$ associated with G

Homology of groups: introduction

Given a group G, how can we compute its homology groups $H_i(G)$?

Several constructions:

- Topology: we identify $H_i(G)$ with the homology of a canonical topological space $K \equiv K(G,1)$ associated with G, with $\pi_1(K) = G$ and $\pi_i(K) = 0$ for all $i > 1$.

Homology of groups: introduction

Given a group G, how can we compute its homology groups $H_i(G)$?

Several constructions:

- Topology: we identify $H_i(G)$ with the homology of a canonical topological space $K \equiv K(G,1)$ associated with G, with $\pi_1(K) = G$ and $\pi_i(K) = 0$ for all $i > 1$.
- Algebra:

Homology of groups: introduction

Given a group G, how can we compute its homology groups $H_i(G)$?

Several constructions:

- Topology: we identify $H_i(G)$ with the homology of a canonical topological space $K \equiv K(G,1)$ associated with G, with $\pi_1(K) = G$ and $\pi_i(K) = 0$ for all $i > 1$.
- Algebra: $H_i(G)$ are computed by means of *resolutions*.

Resolutions (Algebra)

Resolutions (Algebra)

Definition

A *resolution* F_* for a group G is an acyclic chain complex of $\mathbb{Z}G$-modules

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} F_{-1} = \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

Resolutions (Algebra)

Definition

A *resolution* F_* for a group G is an acyclic chain complex of $\mathbb{Z}G$-modules

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} F_{-1} = \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

A chain complex of Abelian groups is obtained: $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} F_*$

Resolutions (Algebra)

Definition

A *resolution* F_* for a group G is an acyclic chain complex of $\mathbb{Z}G$-modules

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} F_{-1} = \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

A chain complex of Abelian groups is obtained: $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} F_*$

Theorem

Let G be a group and F_*, F'_* two free resolutions of G. Then

$$H_n(\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} F_*) \cong H_n(\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} F'_*) \cong H_n(K(G,1)) \quad \textit{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Resolutions (Algebra)

Definition

A *resolution* F_* for a group G is an acyclic chain complex of $\mathbb{Z}G$-modules

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} F_{-1} = \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

A chain complex of Abelian groups is obtained: $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} F_*$

Theorem

Let G be a group and F_*, F'_* two free resolutions of G. Then

$$H_n(\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} F_*) \cong H_n(\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} F'_*) \cong H_n(K(G,1)) \quad \textit{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Definition

Given a group G, the *homology groups* $H_n(G)$ are defined as $H_n(G) = H_n(\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} F_*)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where F_* is any free resolution for G.

Resolutions (Algebra)

Resolutions (Algebra)

One can always consider the *bar resolution* $B_* = \mathrm{Bar}_*(G)$

Resolutions (Algebra)

One can always consider the *bar resolution* $B_* = \mathrm{Bar}_*(G)$, which satisfies $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} B_* \equiv K(G,1)$.

Resolutions (Algebra)

One can always consider the *bar resolution* $B_* = \mathrm{Bar}_*(G)$, which satisfies $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} B_* \equiv K(G,1)$. Drawback: for $n > 1$, $K(G,1)_n = G^n$.

Resolutions (Algebra)

One can always consider the *bar resolution* $B_* = \mathrm{Bar}_*(G)$, which satisfies $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} B_* \equiv K(G,1)$. Drawback: for $n > 1$, $K(G,1)_n = G^n$.

For some particular cases, small (or minimal) resolutions can be directly constructed.

Resolutions (Algebra)

One can always consider the *bar resolution* $B_* = \mathrm{Bar}_*(G)$, which satisfies $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} B_* \equiv K(G,1)$. Drawback: for $n > 1$, $K(G,1)_n = G^n$.

For some particular cases, small (or minimal) resolutions can be directly constructed.

For instance, let $G = C_m$ with generator t. The resolution F_*

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{t-1} \mathbb{Z}G \xrightarrow{N} \mathbb{Z}G \xrightarrow{t-1} \mathbb{Z}G \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

produces

$$H_i(G) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } \ i = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } \ i \text{ is odd} \\ 0 & \text{if } \ i \text{ is even}, i > 0 \end{cases}$$

Effective homology (Topology)

Effective homology (Topology)

Definition

A *reduction* ρ between two chain complexes C_* and D_* (denoted by $\rho : C_* \Rrightarrow D_*$) is a triple $\rho = (f, g, h)$

$$\begin{tikzcd} C_* \arrow[loop left, "h"] \arrow[r, bend left, "f"] & D_* \arrow[l, bend left, "g"] \end{tikzcd}$$

satisfying the following relations:

1) $fg = \mathrm{Id}_{D_*}$;
2) $d_C h + h d_C = \mathrm{Id}_{C_*} - gf$;
3) $fh = 0; \quad hg = 0; \quad hh = 0.$

Effective homology (Topology)

Definition

A *reduction* ρ between two chain complexes C_* and D_* (denoted by $\rho : C_* \Rrightarrow D_*$) is a triple $\rho = (f, g, h)$

$$\begin{tikzcd} C_* \arrow[loop left, "h"] \arrow[r, bend left, "f"] & D_* \arrow[l, bend left, "g"] \end{tikzcd}$$

satisfying the following relations:

1) $fg = \mathrm{Id}_{D_*}$;
2) $d_C h + h d_C = \mathrm{Id}_{C_*} - gf$;
3) $fh = 0; \quad hg = 0; \quad hh = 0.$

If $C_* \Rrightarrow D_*$, then $C_* \cong D_* \oplus A_*$, with A_* acyclic, which implies that $H_n(C_*) \cong H_n(D_*)$ for all n.

Effective homology (Topology)

Effective homology (Topology)

Definition

A *(strong chain) equivalence* ε between C_* and D_*, $\varepsilon : C_* \Lleftarrow\!\!\Rrightarrow D_*$, is a triple $\varepsilon = (B_*, \rho, \rho')$ where B_* is a chain complex, $\rho : B_* \Rrightarrow C_*$ and $\rho' : B_* \Rrightarrow D_*$.

$$\begin{tikzcd} & B_* \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow] & \\ C_* & & D_* \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} & \frac{42}{30} \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow] & \\ \frac{14}{10} & & \frac{21}{15} \end{tikzcd}$$

Effective homology (Topology)

Definition

A *(strong chain) equivalence* ε between C_* and D_*, $\varepsilon : C_* \Lleftarrow\!\!\Rrightarrow D_*$, is a triple $\varepsilon = (B_*, \rho, \rho')$ where B_* is a chain complex, $\rho : B_* \Rrightarrow C_*$ and $\rho' : B_* \Rrightarrow D_*$.

$$\begin{tikzcd} & B_* \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow] & \\ C_* & & D_* \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} & \frac{42}{30} \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow] & \\ \frac{14}{10} & & \frac{21}{15} \end{tikzcd}$$

Definition

An *object with effective homology* is a quadruple $(X, C_*(X), HC_*, \varepsilon)$ where HC_* is an effective chain complex and $\varepsilon : C_*(X) \Lleftarrow\!\!\Rrightarrow HC_*$.

Effective homology (Topology)

Definition

A *(strong chain) equivalence* ε between C_* and D_*, $\varepsilon : C_* \Lleftarrow\!\!\!\Rrightarrow D_*$, is a triple $\varepsilon = (B_*, \rho, \rho')$ where B_* is a chain complex, $\rho : B_* \Rrightarrow C_*$ and $\rho' : B_* \Rrightarrow D_*$.

$$\begin{tikzcd} & B_* \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow] & \\ C_* & & D_* \end{tikzcd} \qquad \begin{tikzcd} & \frac{42}{30} \arrow[dl, Rightarrow] \arrow[dr, Rightarrow] & \\ \frac{14}{10} & & \frac{21}{15} \end{tikzcd}$$

Definition

An *object with effective homology* is a quadruple $(X, C_*(X), HC_*, \varepsilon)$ where HC_* is an effective chain complex and $\varepsilon : C_*(X) \Lleftarrow\!\!\!\Rrightarrow HC_*$.

This implies that $H_n(X) \cong H_n(HC_*)$ for all n.

Algorithm computing the effective homology of a group

Algorithm computing the effective homology of a group

Given G a group, F_* a (small) free $\mathbb{Z}G$-resolution with a *contracting homotopy* $h_n : F_n \to F_{n+1}$.

Algorithm computing the effective homology of a group

Given G a group, F_* a (small) free $\mathbb{Z}G$-resolution with a *contracting homotopy* $h_n : F_n \to F_{n+1}$.

Goal: an equivalence $C_*(K(G,1)) \Longleftarrow\!\!\!\Longrightarrow E_*$ where E_* is an effective chain complex.

Algorithm computing the effective homology of a group

Given G a group, F_* a (small) free $\mathbb{Z}G$-resolution with a *contracting homotopy* $h_n : F_n \to F_{n+1}$.

Goal: an equivalence $C_*(K(G,1)) \Longleftarrow\!\!\!\Longrightarrow E_*$ where E_* is an effective chain complex.

We consider the bar resolution $B_* = \mathrm{Bar}_*(G)$ for G with contracting homotopy h'.

Algorithm computing the effective homology of a group

Given G a group, F_* a (small) free $\mathbb{Z}G$-resolution with a *contracting homotopy* $h_n : F_n \to F_{n+1}$.

Goal: an equivalence $C_*(K(G,1)) \Longleftrightarrow E_*$ where E_* is an effective chain complex.

We consider the bar resolution $B_* = \mathrm{Bar}_*(G)$ for G with contracting homotopy h'.

It is well known that there exists a morphism of chain complexes of $\mathbb{Z}G$-modules $f : B_* \to F_*$ which is a homotopy equivalence.

Algorithm computing the effective homology of a group

Given G a group, F_* a (small) free $\mathbb{Z}G$-resolution with a *contracting homotopy* $h_n : F_n \to F_{n+1}$.

Goal: an equivalence $C_*(K(G,1)) \Longleftarrow\!\!\!\Longrightarrow E_*$ where E_* is an effective chain complex.

We consider the bar resolution $B_* = \mathrm{Bar}_*(G)$ for G with contracting homotopy h'.

It is well known that there exists a morphism of chain complexes of $\mathbb{Z}G$-modules $f : B_* \to F_*$ which is a homotopy equivalence. An algorithm has been designed constructing the explicit expressions of f and the corresponding maps g, h and k

$$\begin{tikzcd} B_* \arrow[loop left, "h"] \arrow[r, bend left, "f"] & F_* \arrow[l, bend left, "g"] \arrow[loop right, "k"] \end{tikzcd}$$

Algorithm computing the effective homology of a group

Algorithm computing the effective homology of a group

Applying the functor $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} -$ we obtain an equivalence of chain complexes (of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules):

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} B_* \arrow[loop above, "h"] \arrow[r, shift left, "f"] & \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} F_* \arrow[l, shift left, "g"] \arrow[loop above, "k"] \end{tikzcd}$$

Algorithm computing the effective homology of a group

Applying the functor $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} -$ we obtain an equivalence of chain complexes (of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules):

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} B_* \arrow[loop above, "h"] \arrow[r, bend left=10, "f"] & \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} F_* \arrow[l, bend left=10, "g"] \arrow[loop above, "k"] \end{tikzcd}$$

In order to obtain a strong chain equivalence we make use of the mapping cylinder construction.

$$\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} B_* \overset{\rho'}{\Lleftarrow} \text{Cylinder}(f)_* \overset{\rho}{\Rrightarrow} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} F_*$$

Algorithm computing the effective homology of a group

Applying the functor $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} -$ we obtain an equivalence of chain complexes (of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules):

$$\begin{tikzcd} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} B_* \arrow[loop above, "h"] \arrow[r, shift left, "f"] & \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} F_* \arrow[l, shift left, "g"] \arrow[loop above, "k"] \end{tikzcd}$$

In order to obtain a strong chain equivalence we make use of the mapping cylinder construction.

$$\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} B_* \overset{\rho'}{\Longleftarrow} \text{Cylinder}(f)_* \overset{\rho}{\Longrightarrow} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} F_*$$

Finally we observe that the left chain complex $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} B_*$ is equal to $C_*(K(G,1))$.

Algorithm computing the effective homology of a group

Applying the functor $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} -$ we obtain an equivalence of chain complexes (of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules):

$$
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} B_* \arrow[loop above, "h"] \arrow[r, bend left=10, "f"] & \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} F_* \arrow[l, bend left=10, "g"] \arrow[loop above, "k"]
\end{tikzcd}
$$

In order to obtain a strong chain equivalence we make use of the mapping cylinder construction.

$$\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} B_* \overset{\rho'}{\Lleftarrow} \mathrm{Cylinder}(f)_* \overset{\rho}{\Rrightarrow} \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} F_*$$

Finally we observe that the left chain complex $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} B_*$ is equal to $C_*(K(G,1))$. Moreover, if the initial resolution F_* is of finite type (and small), then the right chain complex $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} F_* \equiv E_*$ is effective.

Algorithm computing the effective homology of a group

Algorithm computing the effective homology of a group

Algorithm

Input: a group G *and a free resolution* F_* *of finite type with contracting homotopy.*
Output: the effective homology of $K(G,1)$*, that is, a (strong chain) equivalence* $C_*(K(G,1)) \Lleftarrow\!\!\Rrightarrow E_*$ *where* E_* *is an effective chain complex.*

Algorithm computing the effective homology of a group

Algorithm

Input: a group G and a free resolution F_* of finite type with contracting homotopy.
Output: the effective homology of $K(G,1)$, that is, a (strong chain) equivalence $C_*(K(G,1)) \Longleftarrow\!\!\!\Longrightarrow E_*$ where E_* is an effective chain complex.

- Implemented in Common Lisp, enhancing the Kenzo system (developed by F. Sergeraert and some coworkers).

Algorithm computing the effective homology of a group

Algorithm

Input: a group G and a free resolution F_* of finite type with contracting homotopy.
Output: the effective homology of $K(G,1)$, that is, a (strong chain) equivalence $C_*(K(G,1)) \lll\!\Longleftrightarrow\!\ggg E_*$ where E_* is an effective chain complex.

- Implemented in Common Lisp, enhancing the Kenzo system (developed by F. Sergeraert and some coworkers).
- It allows to compute homology of groups

Algorithm computing the effective homology of a group

Algorithm

Input: a group G and a free resolution F_* of finite type with contracting homotopy.
Output: the effective homology of $K(G,1)$, that is, a (strong chain) equivalence $C_*(K(G,1)) \lll\!\!\Longrightarrow\!\!\ggg E_*$ where E_* is an effective chain complex.

- Implemented in Common Lisp, enhancing the Kenzo system (developed by F. Sergeraert and some coworkers).
- It allows to compute homology of groups and, what is more important,

Algorithm computing the effective homology of a group

Algorithm

Input: a group G and a free resolution F_* of finite type with contracting homotopy.
Output: the effective homology of $K(G,1)$, that is, a (strong chain) equivalence $C_*(K(G,1)) \lll\!\!\Longrightarrow\!\!\ggg E_*$ where E_* is an effective chain complex.

- Implemented in Common Lisp, enhancing the Kenzo system (developed by F. Sergeraert and some coworkers).
- It allows to compute homology of groups and, what is more important, to use the space $K(G,1)$ in other constructions allowing new computations.

Applications and examples

Applications and examples

Computations with $K(C_p, n)'s$

Applications and examples

Computations with $K(C_p, n)'s$

```
> (setf KC71 (K-G-1 C7))
[K82 Abelian-Simplicial-Group]
> (efhm KC71)
[K119 Homotopy-Equivalence K82 <= K109 => K76]
```

Applications and examples

Computations with $K(C_p, n)'s$

```
> (setf KC71 (K-G-1 C7))
[K82 Abelian-Simplicial-Group]
> (efhm KC71)
[K119 Homotopy-Equivalence K82 <= K109 => K76]
```

The classifying space constructor $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$ gives us $\overline{\mathcal{W}}(K(G,1)) = K(G,2)$.

Applications and examples

Computations with $K(C_p, n)'s$

```
> (setf KC71 (K-G-1 C7))
[K82 Abelian-Simplicial-Group]
> (efhm KC71)
[K119 Homotopy-Equivalence K82 <= K109 => K76]
```

The classifying space constructor $\overline{\mathcal{W}}$ gives us $\overline{\mathcal{W}}(K(G,1)) = K(G,2)$.

```
> (setf KC72 (classifying-space KC71))
[K120 Abelian-Simplicial-Group]
> (efhm KC72)
[K259 Homotopy-Equivalence K120 <= K249 => K245]
> (homology KC72 3 6)
Homology in dimension 3 :
---done---
Homology in dimension 4 :
Component Z/7Z
---done---
Homology in dimension 5 :
---done---
```

Applications and examples

Applications and examples

Homology of 2-types

Applications and examples

Homology of 2-types

Let $G = C_3$, $A = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ with trivial G-action, and $[f] \in H^3(G, A) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ a non-trivial cohomology class.

Applications and examples

Homology of 2-types

Let $G = C_3$, $A = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ with trivial G-action, and $[f] \in H^3(G, A) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ a non-trivial cohomology class. This corresponds to a 2-type with $\pi_1 = G$ and $\pi_2 = A$, which can be seen as $X = K(A, 2) \times_f K(G, 1)$.

Applications and examples

Homology of 2-types

Let $G = C_3$, $A = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ with trivial G-action, and $[f] \in H^3(G, A) = \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ a non-trivial cohomology class. This corresponds to a 2-type with $\pi_1 = G$ and $\pi_2 = A$, which can be seen as $X = K(A, 2) \times_f K(G, 1)$.

```
> (setf K-C3-1 (K-Cm-n 3 1))
[K261 Abelian-Simplicial-Group]
> (setf chml-clss (chml-clss K-C3-1 3))
[K308 Cohomology-Class on K288 of degree 3]
> (setf tau (zp-whitehead 3 K-C3-1 chml-clss))
[K323 Fibration K261 -> K309]
> (setf x (fibration-total tau))
[K329 Kan-Simplicial-Set]
> (efhm x)
[K541 Homotopy-Equivalence K329 <= K531 => K527]
> (homology x 5)
Homology in dimension 5 :
Component Z/3Z
---done---
```

Applications and examples

Applications and examples

Central extensions

Applications and examples

Central extensions

$$E =< x, y, z | x^p = y^p = z^{p^{n-2}} = [x, z] = [y, z] = 1; [x, y] = z^{p^{n-3}} >$$

Applications and examples

Central extensions

$$E =< x, y, z | x^p = y^p = z^{p^{n-2}} = [x, z] = [y, z] = 1; [x, y] = z^{p^{n-3}} >$$

can be seen as a central extension of the groups
$A =< z | z^{p^{n-2}} = 1) \cong C_{p^{n-2}}$
and $G =< x, y | x^p = y^p = [x, y] = 1 > \cong C_p \oplus C_p$.

Applications and examples

Central extensions

$$E =< x, y, z | x^p = y^p = z^{p^{n-2}} = [x, z] = [y, z] = 1; [x, y] = z^{p^{n-3}} >$$

can be seen as a central extension of the groups
$A =< z | z^{p^{n-2}} = 1) \cong C_{p^{n-2}}$
and $G =< x, y | x^p = y^p = [x, y] = 1 >\cong C_p \oplus C_p$.

The space $K(E, 1)$ is isomorphic to $K(A, 1) \times_\tau K(G, 1)$.

Applications and examples

Central extensions

$$E =< x, y, z | x^p = y^p = z^{p^{n-2}} = [x, z] = [y, z] = 1; [x, y] = z^{p^{n-3}} >$$

can be seen as a central extension of the groups
$A =< z | z^{p^{n-2}} = 1) \cong C_{p^{n-2}}$
and $G =< x, y | x^p = y^p = [x, y] = 1 > \cong C_p \oplus C_p$.

The space $K(E, 1)$ is isomorphic to $K(A, 1) \times_\tau K(G, 1)$.

```
(progn
     (setf p 3 n 4) (setf A (cyclicGroup (expt  p (- n 2))))
     (setf G (gr-crts-prdc (cyclicGroup p) (cyclicGroup p)))
     (setf cocycle #'(lambda (crpr1 crpr2)
                    (with-grcrpr (x1 y1) crpr1
                      (with-grcrpr (x2 y2) crpr2
                        (mod (* y1 x2 (1- p) (expt p (- n 3))) (expt p (- n 2)))))))
     (setf E (gr-cntr-extn A G cocycle)))
[K663 Group]
```

Applications and examples

Central extensions

```
> (setf KE1 (K-G-1 E))
[K776 Simplicial-Group]
> (efhm KE1)
[K884 Homotopy-Equivalence K776 <= K870 => K866]
> (homology KE1 3)
Homology in dimension 3 :
Component Z/9Z
Component Z/3Z
Component Z/3Z
Component Z/3Z
---done---
```

Discrete Morse theory

Discrete Morse theory

Definition

Let $C_* = (C_p, d_p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}}$ a free chain complex with distinguished $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\beta_p \subset C_p$. A *discrete vector field* V on C_* is a collection of pairs $V = \{(\sigma_i; \tau_i)\}_{i\in I}$ satisfying the conditions:

- Every σ_i is some element of β_p, in which case $\tau_i \in \beta_{p+1}$. The degree p depends on i and in general is not constant.
- Every component σ_i is a *regular face* of the corresponding τ_i.
- Each generator (*cell*) of C_* appears at most one time in V.

Discrete Morse theory

Discrete Morse theory

Definition

A cell σ which does not appear in a discrete vector field V is called a *critical cell*.

Discrete Morse theory

Definition

A cell σ which does not appear in a discrete vector field V is called a *critical cell*.

Theorem

Let $C_* = (C_p, d_p)_{p\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *be a free chain complex and* $V = \{(\sigma_i; \tau_i)\}_{i\in I}$ *be an admissible discrete vector field on* C_**. Then the vector field* V *defines a canonical reduction* $\rho = (f, g, h) : (C_p, d_p) \Rightarrow\!\!\!\Rightarrow (C_p^c, d_p') $ *where* $C_p^c = \mathbb{Z}[\beta_p^c]$ *is the free* $\mathbb{Z}$*-module generated by the critical p-cells.*

Discrete Morse theory and homology of $K(C_p, 1)$'s

Discrete Morse theory and homology of $K(C_p, 1)$'s

Theorem

An admissible discrete vector field V can be defined on $C_*(K(C_p, 1))$:

Discrete Morse theory and homology of $K(C_p, 1)$'s

Theorem

An admissible discrete vector field V can be defined on $C_*(K(C_p, 1))$:

1. *The critical cells are the unique 0-simplex* $[]$, *the* $(2k)$*-simplex* $[p-1, 1, \ldots, p-1, 1]$ *for each* $k \geq 1$ *and the* $(2k+1)$*-simplex* $[1, p-1, 1, \ldots, p-1, 1]$ *for each* $k \geq 0$.
2. *The source cells are the* k*-simplices* $[a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2r}, p-1, 1, \ldots, p-1, 1]$ *with* $a_{k-2r} > 1$ *and* $0 \leq r < k/2$.
3. *The target cells are the* $(k+1)$*-simplices* $[a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2r}, 1, p-1, 1, \ldots, p-1, 1]$ *with* $a_{k-2r} < p-1$ *and* $0 \leq r < k/2$.
4. *The pairing [source cell* $\leftrightarrow$ *target cell] associates to the source cell* $[a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2r}, p-1, 1, \ldots, p-1, 1]$ *the target cell* $[a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2r} - 1, 1, p-1, 1, \ldots, p-1, 1]$.

Discrete Morse theory and homology of $K(C_p, 1)$'s

Theorem

An admissible discrete vector field V can be defined on $C_*(K(C_p, 1))$:

1. *The critical cells are the unique 0-simplex* $[]$, *the* $(2k)$*-simplex* $[p-1, 1, \ldots, p-1, 1]$ *for each* $k \geq 1$ *and the* $(2k+1)$*-simplex* $[1, p-1, 1, \ldots, p-1, 1]$ *for each* $k \geq 0$.
2. *The source cells are the* k*-simplices* $[a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2r}, p-1, 1, \ldots, p-1, 1]$ *with* $a_{k-2r} > 1$ *and* $0 \leq r < k/2$.
3. *The target cells are the* $(k+1)$*-simplices* $[a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2r}, 1, p-1, 1, \ldots, p-1, 1]$ *with* $a_{k-2r} < p-1$ *and* $0 \leq r < k/2$.
4. *The pairing [source cell* $\leftrightarrow$ *target cell] associates to the source cell* $[a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2r}, p-1, 1, \ldots, p-1, 1]$ *the target cell* $[a_1, \ldots, a_{k-2r} - 1, 1, p-1, 1, \ldots, p-1, 1]$.

This gives us a reduction $C_*(K(C_p, 1)) \Rrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\beta^c]$, where $\mathbb{Z}[\beta^c]$ is the free chain complex generated by the critical cells, which is an effective (and small) chain complex.

Discrete Morse theory and homology of fibrations

Discrete Morse theory and homology of fibrations

Theorem (F. Sergeraert, 2010)

Let $F \hookrightarrow E \to B$ a fibration, where E can be seen as a twisted Cartesian product $E = F \times_\tau B$. Then one can define an admissible discrete vector field on E which defines a homological reduction:

$$C_*(F \times_\tau B) \Rrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\beta^c] \cong C_*(F) \otimes_t C_*(B)$$

Discrete Morse theory and homology of fibrations

Theorem (F. Sergeraert, 2010)

Let $F \hookrightarrow E \to B$ a fibration, where E can be seen as a twisted Cartesian product $E = F \times_\tau B$. Then one can define an admissible discrete vector field on E which defines a homological reduction:

$$C_*(F \times_\tau B) \Rrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\beta^c] \cong C_*(F) \otimes_t C_*(B)$$

This improves the original reduction $C_*(F \times_\tau B) \Rrightarrow C_*(F) \otimes_t C_*(B)$ given by the twisted Eilenberg-Zilber theorem.

Discrete Morse theory and homology of fibrations

Theorem (F. Sergeraert, 2010)

Let $F \hookrightarrow E \to B$ a fibration, where E can be seen as a twisted Cartesian product $E = F \times_\tau B$. Then one can define an admissible discrete vector field on E which defines a homological reduction:

$$C_*(F \times_\tau B) \Rrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\beta^c] \cong C_*(F) \otimes_t C_*(B)$$

This improves the original reduction $C_*(F \times_\tau B) \Rrightarrow C_*(F) \otimes_t C_*(B)$ given by the twisted Eilenberg-Zilber theorem. Combined with the effective homology of a (twisted) tensor product, one obtains an equivalence

$$C_*(F \times_\tau B) \Lleftarrow\!\!\Rrightarrow EFF'_*$$

where EFF'_* is an effective chain complex.

Conclusions and further work

Conclusions and further work

- Topology can also be used to compute homology of groups by means of the effective homology method.

Conclusions and further work

- Topology can also be used to compute homology of groups by means of the effective homology method.
- Moreover, effective homology makes it possible to use the spaces $K(G,1)'s$ in other interesting constructions allowing new results (for instance, homology of 2-types).

Conclusions and further work

- Topology can also be used to compute homology of groups by means of the effective homology method.
- Moreover, effective homology makes it possible to use the spaces $K(G,1)'s$ in other interesting constructions allowing new results (for instance, homology of 2-types).
- Discrete vector fields can be considered to improve our algorithms, obtaining in some cases direct reductions instead of equivalences or decreasing the complexity of the constructions.

Conclusions and further work

- Topology can also be used to compute homology of groups by means of the effective homology method.
- Moreover, effective homology makes it possible to use the spaces $K(G,1)'s$ in other interesting constructions allowing new results (for instance, homology of 2-types).
- Discrete vector fields can be considered to improve our algorithms, obtaining in some cases direct reductions instead of equivalences or decreasing the complexity of the constructions.
- One should try to obtain discrete vector fields for the effective homology of other groups (different from C_p's) and introduce them in other parts of our constructions.

Conclusions and further work

- Topology can also be used to compute homology of groups by means of the effective homology method.
- Moreover, effective homology makes it possible to use the spaces $K(G,1)'s$ in other interesting constructions allowing new results (for instance, homology of 2-types).
- Discrete vector fields can be considered to improve our algorithms, obtaining in some cases direct reductions instead of equivalences or decreasing the complexity of the constructions.
- One should try to obtain discrete vector fields for the effective homology of other groups (different from C_p's) and introduce them in other parts of our constructions.
- With respect to computation time, our first experiments have not been as good as expected. Work should be done trying to improve it.